Almost Periodic Solution, Local Asymptotical Stability and Uniform Persistence for A Harvesting Model of Plankton Allelopathy with Time Delays

Yuelian Shi and Can Li

Abstract—In this paper, we consider a class of delayed differential equation model of plankton allelopathy with harvesting terms. Firstly, the existence and uniqueness of positive almost periodic solution for the model are obtained by using the theory of exponential dichotomy and Banach fixed point theorem. Secondly, the local asymptotical stability of the model is studied. Finally, the uniform persistence of the above model is also considered. Examples with computer simulations are given to illustrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the main result.

Index Terms—Almost periodic solution; Biological model; Banach fixed point theorem; Local asymptotical stability; Uniform persistence.

I. INTRODUCTION

R Ecently, the effects of toxic substances have been incorporated into competitive systems, and many excellent results have been obtained (see [1-9] for example). Maynard Smith [1] introduced the effects of toxic substances into a two-species Lotka-Volterra competitive system by considering that each species produces a substance toxic to the other only when the other is present. The modified model takes the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \left[r_{1}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(t) x_{j}(t) - b_{1}(t) x_{1}(t) x_{2}(t) \right], \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) \left[r_{2}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2j}(t) x_{j}(t) - b_{2}(t) x_{2}(t) x_{1}(t) \right], \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $x_i(t)$ denotes the population density of the *i*th species at time t for a common pool of resources. The terms $b_1 x_1^2 x_2$ and $b_2 x_2^2 x_1$ denote the effect of toxic substances.

In applications, if the various constituent components of the temporally nonuniform environment is with incommensurable (nonintegral multiples) periods, then one has to consider the environment to be almost periodic since there is no a priori reason to expect the existence of periodic solutions. If we consider the effects of the environmental factors, almost periodicity is sometimes more realistic and more general than periodicity. Therefore, more and more attention are paid to almost periodic dynamics behavior for nonlinear differential equations. For example, in recent years, the existence and

Manuscript received October 15, 2018; revised April 10, 2019.

uniqueness of almost periodic solution for some kinds of neural networks [10-19] have been widely studied by using contraction mapping principle. Unlike the neural networks, the solution of biological models is positive. So we could not study the existence and uniqueness of positive almost periodic solution for biological models as the same as the neural networks by using contraction mapping principle. In view of this, we introduce varying harvesting rate into a class of differential equation model of plankton allelopathy and investigate the existence and uniqueness of positive almost periodic solution by using contraction mapping principle.

In many earlier studies, it has been shown that harvesting has a strong impact on dynamic evolution of a population, e.g., see [20-24]. So the study of the population dynamics with harvesting is becoming a very important subject in mathematical bio-economics. The delayed model of plankton allelopathy with harvesting is generally described as :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \left[r_{1}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(t) x_{j}(t - \alpha_{j}(t)) -b_{1}(t) x_{1}(t - \mu_{1}(t)) x_{2}(t - \nu_{1}(t)) \right] \\ -b_{1}(t), \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) \left[r_{2}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2j}(t) x_{j}(t - \beta_{j}(t)) -b_{2}(t) x_{2}(t - \nu_{2}(t)) x_{1}(t - \mu_{2}(t)) \right] \\ -b_{2}(t), \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where h_1 and h_2 represent harvesting terms. By using contraction mapping principle, the main purpose of this paper is to study the existence and uniqueness of positive almost periodic solution of system (1.2).

Let $C(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ and $C^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ be the space of continuous functions and continuously differential functions which map \mathbb{X} into \mathbb{Y} , respectively. Especially, $C(\mathbb{X}) := C(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$, $C^1(\mathbb{X}) := C^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$. For any bounded function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $f^+ = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} f(s), f^- = \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} f(s)$.

We list some assumptions which will be used in this paper. (H_1) r_i , a_{ij} , b_i and h_i are nonnegative almost periodic functions with $0 < h_i^- < r_i^+$, i, j = 1, 2.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (H_2) & \text{There exist positive constants } \eta_i \in \\ \left[\frac{r_i^+ h_i^+}{r_i^-}, \frac{(r_i^+)^2 h_i^+}{r_i^- h_i^-}\right)(i=1,2) \text{ such that} \\ & \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ -r_i(s) + \sum_{j=1}^2 2a_{ij}(s) + 3b_i(s) \right\} < -\eta_i < 0, \end{array}$$

where i = 1, 2.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2,

Yuelian Shi is with the School of Mathematics and Physics, Jinzhong University, Jinzhong, Shanxi 030619, China. (shiyuelian2011@tom.com).

Can Li is with the Department of Science, Taiyuan Institute of Technology, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030008, China. (ruilang@aliyun.com).

Correspondence author: Can Li. (ruilang@aliyun.com).

we give some basic definitions and necessary lemmas which will be used in later sections. In Section 3, by using Banach fixed point theorem, we obtain some sufficient conditions ensuring existence and uniqueness of almost periodic solution of system (1.2). In Sections 4-5, the local asymptotical stability and uniform persistence of the model are considered. Finally, examples with computer simulations are given to illustrate that the result of this paper is feasible.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Now, let us state the following definitions and lemmas, which will be useful in proving our main result.

Definition 1. $([25, 26]) x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called almost periodic, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a real number $l = l(\epsilon) > 0$, for any interval with length $l(\epsilon)$, there exists a number $\tau = \tau(\epsilon)$ in this interval such that $||x(t + \tau) - x(t)|| < \epsilon$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. The collection of those functions is denoted by $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 2. ([25, 26]) Let $y \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and P(t) be a $n \times n$ continuous matrix defined on \mathbb{R} . The linear system

$$\dot{y}(t) = P(t)y(t)$$

is said to be an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{R} if there exist constants $k, \lambda > 0$, projection S and the fundamental matrix Y(t) satisfying

$$||Y(t)SY^{-1}(s)|| \le ke^{-\lambda(t-s)}, \quad \forall t \ge s,$$

 $||Y(t)(I-S)Y^{-1}(s)|| \le ke^{-\lambda(s-t)}, \quad \forall t \le s.$

Lemma 1. ([25, 26]) If the linear system $\dot{y}(t) = P(t)y(t)$ has an exponential dichotomy, then almost periodic system

$$\dot{y}(t) = P(t)y(t) + g(t)$$

has a unique almost periodic solution y(t) which can be expressed as follows:

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} Y(t)SY^{-1}(s)g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s$$
$$-\int_{t}^{\infty} Y(t)(I-S)Y^{-1}(s)g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Lemma 2. ([26, 27]) Let $a, b \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. If

$$M(a) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \neq 0,$$

then $\dot{y}(t) = a(t)y(t) + b(t)$ exists a unique almost periodic solution y(t) can be written as follows

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\int_{s}^{t} a(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} b(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, & m(a) < 0, \\ -\int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{\int_{s}^{t} a(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} b(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, & m(a) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. ([28]) Assume that (\mathbb{B}, ρ) is a complete metric space, $T : (\mathbb{B}, \rho) \to (\mathbb{B}, \rho)$ is a contraction mapping, i.e., there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \le \lambda \rho(x, y), \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{B}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point in \mathbb{B} .

III. Almost periodic solution

In this section, we study the existence and uniqueness of almost periodic solution of system (1.2) by using Banach

fixed point theorem.

Let

$$k_i := \frac{h_i^-}{r_i^+}, \quad l_i := \frac{r_i^+ h_i^+}{r_i^- \eta_i}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By (H_2) , it is easy to see that $k_i < l_i \le 1$, i = 1, 2. Set

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) : \\ k_i \le x_i(t) \le l_i, \, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2 \right\}$$

with the distance $\rho(x, y)$ from x to y is defined by

$$\rho(x, y) = \max_{1 \le i \le 2} \{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |x_i(t) - y_i(t)| \},\$$

where $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))^T$, $y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t))^T \in \mathbb{B}$. Obviously, (\mathbb{B}, ρ) is a complete metric space.

Theorem 1. Assume that (H_1) - (H_2) hold, then system (1.2) has a unique almost periodic solution in \mathbb{B} .

Proof: For $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{B}$, we consider the almost periodic solution of nonlinear almost periodic differential system

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = r_{1}(t)x_{1}(t) - \varphi_{1}(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(t)\varphi_{j}(t - \alpha_{j}(t)) + b_{1}(t)\varphi_{1}(t - \mu_{1}(t))\varphi_{2}(t - \nu_{1}(t)) \right] - h_{1}(t),$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = r_{2}(t)x_{2}(t) - \varphi_{2}(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2j}(t)\varphi_{j}(t - \beta_{j}(t)) + b_{2}(t)\varphi_{2}(t - \nu_{2}(t))\varphi_{1}(t - \mu_{2}(t)) \right] - h_{2}(t).$$

$$(3.1)$$

Notice that $M(r_i) > 0$, i = 1, 2. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain that the system (3.1) has exactly one almost periodic solution:

$$x^{\varphi}(t) = (x_1^{\varphi}(t), x_2^{\varphi}(t))^T,$$

where

 x_2^{φ}

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{\varphi}(t) &= \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\int_t^s r_1(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\left\{ \varphi_1(s) \bigg[\sum_{j=1}^2 a_{1j}(s) \varphi_j(s - \alpha_j(s)) \\ &+ b_1(s) \varphi_1(s - \mu_1(s)) \varphi_2(s - \nu_1(s)) \bigg] + h_1(s) \right\} \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}(t) &= \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{2}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\left\{ \varphi_{2}(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2j}(s) \varphi_{j}(s - \beta_{j}(s)) \right. \right. \\ &\left. + b_{2}(s) \varphi_{2}(s - \nu_{2}(s)) \varphi_{1}(s - \mu_{2}(s)) \right] + h_{1}(s) \right\} \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

Now, we give a mapping T defined on \mathbb{B} by setting

$$T(\varphi) = (T_1(\varphi), T_2(\varphi))^T = (x_1^{\varphi}, x_2^{\varphi})^T, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{B}.$$

First, we prove that the mapping T is a self-mapping from \mathbb{B} to \mathbb{B} . In fact, $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{B}$, in view of definition of T, we have

$$T_1(\varphi)(t) \ge \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\int_t^s r_1(u) \,\mathrm{d}u} h_1(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \ge k_1, \,\forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, $T_2(\varphi)(t) \ge \frac{h_2^-}{r_2^+} = k_2, \, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$ On the other hand, it follows that

$$\begin{split} T_{1}(\varphi)(t) \\ &= \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \bigg\{ \varphi_{1}(s) \bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(s) \varphi_{j}(s - \alpha_{j}(s)) \\ &+ b_{1}(s) \varphi_{1}(s - \mu_{1}(s)) \varphi_{2}(s - \nu_{1}(s)) \bigg] + h_{1}(s) \bigg\} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\left\{ l_{1} \bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(s) l_{j} + b_{1}(s) l_{1} l_{2} \bigg] + h_{1}(s) \bigg\} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\left\{ l_{1} \bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(s) + b_{1}(s) \bigg] + h_{1}(s) \bigg\} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \int_{t}^{+\infty} \bigg[r_{1}(s) e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} - \eta_{1} e^{-r_{1}^{+}(s - t)} \bigg] l_{1} \, \mathrm{d}s + \frac{h_{1}^{+}}{r_{1}^{--}} \\ &\leq (1 - \frac{\eta_{1}}{r_{1}^{+}}) l_{1} + \frac{h_{1}^{+}}{r_{1}^{--}} \\ &= l_{1}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, $T_2(\varphi)(t) \leq l_2, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. So T is a self-mapping from \mathbb{B} to \mathbb{B} .

Next, we show that $T : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ is a contraction mapping. In fact, for $\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{B}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &|T_{1}(\varphi) - T_{1}(\psi)|_{0} \\ &:= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| T_{1}(\varphi)(t) - T_{1}(\psi)(t) \right| \\ &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\left\{ \varphi_{1}(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(s)\varphi_{j}(s - \alpha_{j}(s)) \right] \\ &+ b_{1}(s)\varphi_{1}(s - \mu_{1}(s))\varphi_{2}(s - \nu_{1}(s)) \right] + h_{1}(s) \right\} \mathrm{d}s \\ &- \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\left\{ \psi_{1}(s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(s)\psi_{j}(s - \alpha_{j}(s)) \\ &+ b_{1}(s)\psi_{1}(s - \mu_{1}(s))\psi_{2}(s - \nu_{1}(s)) \right] + h_{1}(s) \right\} \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(s) \left| \varphi_{1}(s)\varphi_{j}(s - \alpha_{j}(s)) \right| \\ &- \psi_{1}(s)\psi_{j}(s - \alpha_{j}(s)) \right| \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ b_{1}(s) \left| \varphi_{1}(s)\varphi_{1}(s - \mu_{1}(s))\varphi_{2}(s - \nu_{1}(s)) \right| \right\} \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{2} 2a_{1j}(s) + 3b_{1}(s) \right\} \mathrm{d}s\rho(\varphi, \psi) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} [r_{1}(s) - \eta_{1}] \, \mathrm{d}s\rho(\varphi, \psi) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{t}^{+\infty} r_{1}(s) e^{-\int_{t}^{s} r_{1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}s \right. \left. - \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{r_{1}^{+}(s-t)} \eta_{1} \, \mathrm{d}s \right] \rho(\varphi, \psi) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{1}}{r_{1}^{+}}\right) \rho(\varphi, \psi).$$
(3.2)

Similarly, we also have

$$|T_1(\varphi) - T_1(\psi)|_0 := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |T_2(\varphi)(t) - T_2(\psi)(t)|$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta_2}{r_2^+}\right) \rho(\varphi, \psi).$$
(3.3)

It follows from (3.2)-(3.3) that

$$\begin{split} \rho(T(\varphi), T(\psi)) &\leq \max\left\{1 - \frac{\eta_1}{r_1^+}, 1 - \frac{\eta_2}{r_2^+}\right\} \rho(\varphi, \psi) \\ &= \lambda \rho(\varphi, \psi), \end{split}$$

where $\lambda = \max\left\{1 - \frac{\eta_1}{r_1^+}, 1 - \frac{\eta_2}{r_2^+}\right\} \in [0, 1)$, which implies that the mapping $T : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ is a contraction mapping. Therefore, the mapping T possesses a unique fixed point

$$x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*)^T \in \mathbb{B}, \quad Tx^* = x^*.$$

So system (1.2) has a unique almost periodic solution. This completes the proof.

IV. LOCAL ASYMPTOTICAL STABILITY

In this section, we will construct some suitable Lyapunov functions to study the local asymptotical stability of system (1.2).

Theorem 2. Assume that $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \mu_i = \nu_i = 0, i = 1, 2,$ (*H*₃) $\Theta = r^- - A - B > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} r^- &:= \min_{1 \leq i \leq 2} r_i^-, \\ A &:= \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} [a_{1i}^+ + a_{2i}^+], \\ B &:= (b_1^+ + b_2^+) \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} l_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable.

Proof: Assume that $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))^T \in \mathbb{B}$ and $x^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t))^T \in \mathbb{B}$ are any two solutions of system (1.2). In view of system (1.2), for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$(x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}(t))'$$

$$= r_{1}(t) [x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}(t)]$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(t) [x_{j}(t) - x_{j}^{*}(t)]$$

$$- b_{1}(t) x_{2}(t) [x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}(t)]$$

$$- b_{1}(t) x_{1}^{*}(t) [x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}(t)]$$

$$(x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}(t))'$$

= $r_{2}(t) [x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}(t)]$
 $-\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2j}(t) [x_{j}(t) - x_{j}^{*}(t)]$
 $-b_{2}(t)x_{2}(t) [x_{1}(t) - x_{1}^{*}(t)]$
 $-b_{2}(t)x_{1}^{*}(t) [x_{2}(t) - x_{2}^{*}(t)].$

Let

$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} |x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)|.$$

Hence we can obtain from (H_3) that

$$D^{+}V(t) = D^{+} \sum_{i=1}^{2} |x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t)|$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{2} r_{i}^{-} |x_{i}(t) - x_{i}^{*}(t)|$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{n} [a_{1j}^{+} + a_{2j}^{+}] |x_{j}(t) - x_{j}^{*}(t)|$$

$$- (b_{1}^{+} + b_{2}^{+}) \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} l_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}(t) - x_{j}^{*}(t)|$$

$$\geq (r^{-} - A - B)V(t) = \Theta V(t).$$

Integrating the last inequality from T_0 to t leads to

$$V(T_0) + \Theta \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{T_0}^{t} |x_i(s) - x_i^*(s)| \, \Delta s \le V(t) < +\infty,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{T_0}^{+\infty} |x_i(s) - x_i^*(s)| \, \Delta s < +\infty,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \lim_{s \to +\infty} |x_i(s) - x_i^*(s)| = 0.$$

Thus, system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3. Assume that (H_1) - (H_3) hold. Then the unique almost periodic solution of system (1.2) is locally asymptotically stable.

V. Uniform persistence

Our object in this section is to prove the uniform persistence of system (1.2).

Theorem 4. Assume that $h_i(t) = \alpha_1(t) = \beta_1(t) \equiv 0$ in system (1.2), $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2. Suppose further that

(H₃) $r_1^- > a_{12}^+ M_2 + b_1^+ M_1 M_2$, $r_2^- > a_{21}^+ M_1 + b_2^+ M_1 M_2$. Then for any positive solution $(x_1, x_2)^T$ of system (1.2) satisfies

$$N_i \le x_i(t) \le M_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where N_i and M_i are defined as those in (5.2)-(4.5), respectively, i = 1, 2. That is, system (1.2) is uniformly

persistent.

Proof: By the first equation of system (1.2) that

$$\dot{x}_1(t) \le x_1(t) \left[r_1^+ - a_{11}^- x_1(t) \right].$$
 (5.1)

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 in [29], we have from (5.1) that

$$x_1(t) \le \frac{r_1^+}{a_{11}^-} := M_1.$$
 (5.2)

Further, from the second equation of system (1.2) that

$$\dot{x}_2(t) \le x_2(t) \left[r_2^+ - a_{22}^- x_2(t) \right].$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 in [29], we have

$$x_2(t) \le \frac{r_2^+}{a_{22}^-} := M_2.$$
 (5.3)

In view of the first equation of system (1.2), it follows that

$$\dot{x}_1(t) \ge x_1(t) \left[r_1^- - a_{12}^+ M_2 - b_1^+ M_1 M_2 - a_{11}^+ x_1(t) \right],$$

which implies that

$$x_1(t) \ge \frac{r_1^- - a_{12}^+ M_2 - b_1^+ M_1 M_2}{a_{11}^+} := N_1.$$
 (5.4)

Similar to the argument as that in (5.4), we obtain from the second equation of system (1.2) that

$$x_2(t) \ge \frac{r_2^- - a_{21}^+ M_1 - b_2^+ M_1 M_2}{a_{22}^+} := N_2.$$
 (5.5)

The proof is completed.

VI. AN EXAMPLE AND NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Example 1. Consider the following differential equation model of plankton allelopathy with harvesting terms:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1j}(t) x_{j}(t-1) - b_{1}(t) x_{1}(t) x_{2}(t-\sin^{2}(\sqrt{2}t)) \right] - 0.1, \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t) \left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2j}(t) x_{j}(t-1) - b_{2}(t) x_{2}(t) x_{1}(t-\cos^{2}(\sqrt{3}t)) \right] - 0.1, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.1)$$

where $b_1(s) = b_2(s) = 0.1 \sin^2(\sqrt{3}s)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(s) & a_{12}(s) \\ a_{21}(s) & a_{22}(s) \end{pmatrix} = 0.1 \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2(\sqrt{2}s) & \cos^2(\sqrt{3}s) \\ \cos^2(\sqrt{5}s) & \cos^2(\sqrt{7}s) \end{pmatrix},$$

 $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then system (6.1) has a unique almost periodic solution.

Proof: Corresponding to system (1.2), $a_{ij}^+ = 0.1$, $b_i^+ = 0.1$, $r_i^- = 1$ and $h_i^+ = h_i^- = 0.1$, i, j = 1, 2. Taking $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0.2$. By a easy calculation, we obtain

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ -r_i(s) + \sum_{j=1}^2 2a_{ij}(s) + 3b_i(s) \right\} < -0.3 < -\eta_i < 0,$$

where i = 1, 2, which implies that (H_2) in Theorem 1 holds. It is easy to verify that (H_1) in Theorem 1 is satisfied and the result follows from Theorem 1 (see Figures 1-3). This completes the proof.

Example 2. Consider system (5.1), corresponding to system (1.2), $l_i = 0.5$, $r^- = 1$, A = 0.4, B = 0.1 i = 1, 2. Therefore, $\Theta = 1 - 0.4 - 0.1 = 0.5 > 0$, which implies that (H_3) in Theorem 2 holds. By Theorem 2, system (6.1) is locally asymptotically stable (see Figures 4-5). Observing Figures 1-5, system (6.1) is uniformly persistent.



Fig. 1 Almost periodic oscillation of state variable x_1 system (6.1)



Fig. 2 Almost periodic oscillation of state variable x_2 system (6.1)



Fig. 3 Phase response of state variables x_1, x_2 of system (6.1)



Fig. 4 Uniform asymptotical stability of state variable x_1 of system (6.1)



Fig. 5 Uniform asymptotical stability of state variable x_2 of system (6.1)

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, some sufficient conditions are established for the existence, uniqueness and local asymptotical stability of almost periodic solution for a harvesting model of plankton allelopathy with time delays. Further, the uniform persistence of the above model is also considered. The method used in this paper may be used to study many other ecological models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank referees for their valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- M.J. Smith, Models in Ecology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [2] H.Z. Qu, X.R. Gan, T.W. Zhang, "The properties of stochastic mutualism model with time-lagged delays," IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol. 46, no.2, pp194-201, 2016.
- [3] Y.Q. Li, L.J. Xu, T.W. Zhang, "Dynamics of almost periodic mutualism model with time delays," IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol. 48, no.2, pp168-176, 2018.
- [4] L.J. Xu, Y.Z. Liao, "On an almost periodic gilpin-ayala competition model of phytoplankton allelopathy," IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol. 47, no.2, pp182-190, 2017.
- [5] Y.Z. Liao, "Dynamics of two-species harvesting model of almost periodic facultative mutualism with discrete and distributed delays," Engineering Letters, vol. 26, no.1, pp7-13, 2018.
- [6] J. Chattopadhyay, "Effect of toxic substances on a two-species competitive system", *Ecol. Model.* 84: 287-289, 1996.
- [7] G.P. Samanta, "A two-species competitive system under the influence of toxic substances", *Appl. Math. Comput.* 216: 291-299, 2010.
- [8] A. Mukhopadhyay, J. Chattopadhyay, P.K. Tapaswi, "A delay differential equations model of plankton allelopathy", *Math. Biosci.* 149: 167-189, 1998.
- [9] T.K. Kar, K.S. Chaudhuri, "On non-selective harvesting of two competing fish species in the presence of toxicity", *Ecol. Model.* 161: 125-137, 2003.

- [10] B.W. Liu, L.H. Huang, "Positive almost periodic solutions for recurrent neural networks", *Nonlinear Anal.: RWA* 9: 830-841, 2008.
- [11] H.Y. Zhao, L. Chen, Z.S. Mao, "Existence and stability of almost periodic solution for Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with variable coefficients", *Nonlinear Anal.: RWA* 9: 663-673, 2008.
- [12] W.R. Zhao, H.S. Zhang, "On almost periodic solution of shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks with variable coefficients and timevarying delays", *Nonlinear Anal.: RWA* 9: 2326-2336, 2008.
- [13] H.J. Xiang, J.D. Cao, "Almost periodic solution of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with bounded and unbounded delays", *Nonlinear Anal.: RWA* 10: 2407-2419, 2009.
- [14] C.X. Ou, "Almost periodic solutions for shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks", *Nonlinear Anal.: RWA* 10: 2652-2658, 2009.
- [15] Y.K. Li, X.L. Fan, "Existence and globally exponential stability of almost periodic solution for Cohen-Grossberg BAM neural networks with variable coefficients", *Appl. Math. Modell.* 33: 2114-2120, 2009.
 [16] Y.K. Li, T.W. Zhang, Z.W. Xing, "The existence of nonzero almost pe-
- [16] Y.K. Li, T.W. Zhang, Z.W. Xing, "The existence of nonzero almost periodic solution for Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with continuously distributed delays and impulses", *Neurocomputing* 73: 3105-3113, 2010.
- [17] X.Y. Lou, B.T. Cui, "Existence and global attractivity of almost periodic solutions for neural field with time delay", *Appl. Math. Comput.* 200: 465-472, 2008.
- [18] M. Pinto, G. Robledo, "Existence and stability of almost periodic solutions in impulsive neural network models", *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217: 4167-4177, 2010.
- [19] H. Xiang, J. Wang, J. Cao, "Almost periodic solution to Cohen-Grossberg-type BAM networks with distributed delays", *Neurocomputing* 72: 3751-3759, 2009.
- [20] G. Dai, M. Tang, "Coexistence region and global dynamics of a harvested predator-prey system", SIAM J. Appl. Math. 58: 193-210, 1998.
- [21] D. Xiao, L. Jennings, "Bifurcations of a ratio-dependent predator-prey system with constant rate harvesting", SIAM J. Appl. Math. 65: 737-753, 2005.
- [22] T.K. Kar, U.K. Pahari, "Non-selective harvesting in prey-predator models with delay", *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 11: 499-509, 2006.
- [23] T.K. Kar, A. Ghorai, "Dynamic behaviour of a delayed predator-prey model with harvesting", *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217: 9085-9104, 2011.
- [24] G.D. Zhang, L.L. Zhu, B.S. Chen, "Hopf bifurcation in a delayed differential-algebraic biological economic system", *Nonlinear Anal.: RWA* 12: 1708-1719, 2011.
- [25] A.M. Fink, Almost Periodic Differential Equation, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, Heidleberg, New York, 1974.
- [26] C.Y. He, Almost Periodic Differential Equations, Higher Education Press, 1992 (in Chinese).
- [27] W. A. Coppel, *Dichotomies in Stability Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1978.
- [28] R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [29] X.L. Lin, Y.L. Jiang and X.Q. Wang, "Existence of periodic solutions in predator-prey with Watt-type functional response and impulsive effects", *Nonlinear Anal.: TMA*, 73, 1684-1697, 2010.