# Cyclic Brunn-Minkowski Inequalities for the General $L_p$ -Mixed Brightness Integrals and General $L_p$ -Dual Mixed Brightness Integrals

Linmei Yu, Weidong Wang\* and Yuanyuan Zhang

Abstract—Based on the general  $L_p$ -projection bodies, Yan and Wang introduced the notion of general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals. Combining with general  $L_p$ -intersection bodies, Zhang and Wang gave the general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals. In this paper, we establish the new cyclic Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals and general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals and general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals. Our results unify the relevant cyclic inequalities and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities.

Index Terms—cyclic inequality; Brunn-Minkowski inequality;  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integral;  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integral.

# I. Introduction

**T** HE setting for this paper is the Euclidean n-space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If K is nonempty compact convex set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the support function,  $h_K = h(K, \cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ , of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  is defined by (see [6])

$$h(K, x) = \max\{x \cdot y : y \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

where  $x \cdot y$  denotes the standard inner product of x and y. If K is compact convex set with nonempty interiors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , then K is called a convex body. Let  $\mathcal{K}^n_o$  denote the set of convex bodies that containing the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

For a compact set K in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which is star shaped with respect to the origin, the radial function,  $\rho_K = \rho(K, \cdot)$ :  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to [0, \infty)$ , was defined by (see [6])

$$\rho(K, x) = \max\{\lambda \ge 0 : \lambda x \in K\}, \qquad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

If  $\rho_K$  is positive and continuous, then K will be called a star body (about the origin). Let  $\mathcal{S}_o^n$  denote the subset of star bodies containing the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Two star bodies K and L are dilates (of one another) if  $\rho_K(u)/\rho_L(u)$  is independent of  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , where  $S^{n-1}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

Asymmetric  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski theory has its origins in the work of Ludwig, Haberl and Schuster (see [10], [11], [12], [14], [13], [21], [22]). Based on Lutwak, Yang and Zhang's  $L_p$ -projection body (see [24]), Ludwig ([21]) discovered general  $L_p$ -projection bodies: For  $K \in \mathcal{K}_n^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , the

Manuscript received October 14, 2019. This work was supported in part by the Research Fund for Excellent Dissertation of China Three Gorges University (No.2019SSPY147) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11371224).

Linmei Yu is with the Department of Mathematics, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, China, e-mail: Yu Linmei 1071926066@qq.com.

Yuanyuan Zhang is with the Department of Mathematics, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, China, e-mail: Zhang Yuanyuan 150323147@qq.com.

\*Weidong Wang is corresponding author with the Department of Mathematics and Three Gorges Mathematical Research Center, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, China, e-mail: wangwd722@163.com.

function  $\varphi_{\tau}: \mathbf{R} \to [0, \infty)$  given by  $\varphi_{\tau}(t) = |t| + \tau t$ , where  $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ , the general  $L_p$ -projection body,  $\Pi_p^{\tau} K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , of K is defined by

$$h_{\Pi_p^{\tau}K}^p(u) = \alpha_{n,p}(\tau) \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi_{\tau}(u \cdot \nu)^p dS_p(K, \nu), \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $u \in S^{n-1}$ . Here  $S_p(K,\cdot)$  denotes the  $L_p$ -surface area measure of K, and

$$\alpha_{n,p}(\tau) = \frac{\alpha_{n,p}}{(1+\tau)^p + (1-\tau)^p}.$$

The normalization is chosen such that  $\Pi_p^{\tau}B=B$  for every  $\tau\in[-1,1]$ . Obviously, if  $\tau=0$ , then  $\Pi_p^{\tau}K=\Pi_pK$  which is just Lutwak, Yang and Zhang's  $L_p$ -projection body (see [24]). On this basis, let p=1, the convex body  $\Pi_1K$  is a dilate of the classical projection body  $\Pi K$  of K and K0 and K1 and K2 and K3.

In 2015, based on the general  $L_p$ -projection bodies, Yan and Wang ([42]) defined general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals: for  $K_1,...,K_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and  $\tau \in [-1,1]$ , the general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals,  $D_p^{(\tau)}(K_1,...,K_n)$ , of  $K_1,...,K_n$  is defined by

$$D_p^{(\tau)}(K_1, ..., K_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K_1, u) \cdots \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K_n, u) dS(u), \qquad (1.2)$$

where  $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K,u) = \frac{1}{2}h(\Pi_p^{\tau}K,u)$  denotes the half general  $L_p$ -brightness of  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$  in the direction u. If there exist constants  $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n>0$  such that for all  $u \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$\lambda_1 \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K_1, u) = \lambda_2 \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K_2, u) = \dots = \lambda_n \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K_n, u),$$

then we call convex bodies  $K_1, ..., K_n$  have similar general  $L_p$ -brightness.

For  $\tau=0$  in (1.2), we write  $\delta_p^{(0)}(K,u)=\delta_p(K,u)=\frac{1}{2}h(\Pi_pK,u)$  and

$$D_{p}(K_{1},...,K_{n}) = D_{p}^{(0)}(K_{1},...,K_{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_{p}(K_{1},u) \cdot ... \delta_{p}(K_{n},u) dS(u).$$
(1.3)

Here  $D_p(K_1,...,K_n)$  is called the mixed  $L_p$ -brightness integrals of  $K_1,...,K_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ . If p=1 in (1.3), then it is just the mixed brightness integrals given by Li and Zhu (see [17])

In (1.2), let  $K_1 = ... = K_{n-i} = K$  and  $K_{n-i+1} = ... = K_n = L$ , we write

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, u)^{n-i} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, u)^i dS(u), \tag{1.4}$$

if allow i is any real number, then  $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)$  is called the i-th general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals of K and L.

Let L = B in (1.4), we write  $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,B) = \frac{1}{2^i}D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)$  and notice that  $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(B,u) = \frac{1}{2}h(\Pi_p^{\tau}B,u) = \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , then by (1.4) we have

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K) = \frac{1}{2^i \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, u)^{n-i} dS(u),$$

where the  $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)$  is called the *i*-th general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals of K.

In [42], Yan and Wang established the following Brunn-Minkowski type inequality and cyclic inequality for i-th general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals.

**Theorem 1.A.** If  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $i \ne n$ , then for i < n - p,

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_{p} L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \le D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}; \quad (1.5)$$

for n - p < i < n or i > n, we have

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_{p} L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \ge D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}. \quad (1.6)$$

In each case, equality holds if and only if K and L have similar general  $L_p$ -brightness. For i=n-p, equality always holds in (1.5) and (1.6). Here the " $\oplus_p$ " denotes the  $L_p$ -Blaschke sum.

**Theorem 1.B.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \in [-1,1]$  and  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{R}$ . If i < j < k, then

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K)^{k-i} \le D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)^{k-j} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K)^{j-i},$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general  $L_p$ -brightness, i.e., K has constant general  $L_p$ -brightness.

In 2006, Haberl and Ludwig ([11]) introduced the notion of  $L_p$ -intersection body, it is an important concept in  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski theory. Recently, Wang and Li ([35], [36]) using the function  $\varphi_{\tau}': \mathbf{R} \to [0, +\infty)$  which is given by

$$\varphi_{\tau}'(t) = |t| - \tau t, \quad \tau \in [-1, 1],$$

to define the general  $L_p$ -intersection body with parameter  $\tau$  as follows: for  $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ ,  $0 , and <math>\tau \in [-1,1]$ , the general  $L_p$ -intersection body,  $I_p^{\tau}K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ , of K is defined by (see [35], [36])

$$\rho(I_p^{\tau}K, u)^p$$

$$= i(\tau) \int_K \varphi_{\tau}'(u \cdot x)^{-p} dx$$

$$= i(\tau) \int_K [|u \cdot x| - \tau(u \cdot x)]^{-p} dx$$

$$= \frac{i(\tau)}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}} [|u \cdot v| - \tau(u \cdot v)]^{-p} \rho(K, v)^{n-p} dv, \quad (1.7)$$

for any  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , where

$$i(\tau) = \frac{(1+\tau)^p (1-\tau)^p}{(1+\tau)^p + (1-\tau)^p}.$$
 (1.8)

Motivated by the general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals and based on the general  $L_p$ -intersection bodies, Zhang and Wang ([43]) defined the general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals as follows: For  $K_1,...,K_n\in\mathcal{S}_o^n,\ 0< p<1$  and

 $\tau \in [-1,1]$ , the general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals,  $\widetilde{D}_p^{(\tau)}(K_1,...,K_n)$ , of  $K_1,...,K_n$  is defined by

$$\widetilde{D}_p^{(\tau)}(K_1, ..., K_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(K_1, u) \cdots \widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(K_n, u), \qquad (1.9)$$

where  $\widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(K,u)=\frac{1}{2}\rho(I_p^{\tau}K,u)$  denotes the half general  $L_p$ -dual brightness of  $K\in\mathcal{S}_o^n$  in direction  $u\in S^{n-1}$ .

If  $\tau=0$ , by (1.7) and (1.8), we have  $I_p^0K=I_pK$ , the  $I_pK$  called the  $L_p$ -intersection body which was given by Haberl and Ludwig (see [11]). So we write  $\widetilde{\delta}_p^0(K,u)=\frac{1}{2}\rho(I_pK,u)$  and  $\widetilde{D}_p^{(0)}(K_1,...,K_n)=\widetilde{D}_p(K_1,...,K_n)$ .  $\widetilde{D}_p(K_1,...,K_n)$  was called the  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals of  $K_1,...,K_n\in S_o^n$ , this was introduced by Zhang and Wang (see [43])

Let  $K_1 = \cdots = K_{n-i} = K$  and  $K_{n-i+1} = \cdots = K_n = L$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n) in (1.9), that is  $\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L) = \widetilde{D}_p^{(\tau)}(K, ..., K, L, ..., L)$ . If i is any real number,  $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ ,  $0 , <math>\tau \in [-1, 1]$ , then the i-th general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals,  $\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)$ , of K and L is defined by (see [43])

$$\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L) 
= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(K,u)^{n-i} \widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(L,u)^i dS(u) 
= \frac{1}{2^n \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_p^{\tau}K,u)^{n-i} \rho(I_p^{\tau}L,u)^i dS(u).$$
(1.10)

In (1.10), let L=B and notice that the  $\widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(B,u)=\frac{1}{2}\rho(I_p^{\tau}B,u)=\frac{1}{2}$  for all  $u\in S^{n-1}$ , so we write  $\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,B)=\frac{1}{2^i}\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)$ , which together with (1.10) yields

$$\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K) = \frac{1}{2^i \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \widetilde{\delta}_p^{(\tau)}(K, u)^{n-i} dS(u).$$

Here we call  $\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)$  the *i*-th general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals of K.

For the *i*-th general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals, Zhang and Wang ([43]) gave related Brunn-Minkowski type inequality and cyclic inequality as follows:

**Theorem 1.C.** Let  $K, K', L \in S_o^n$ ,  $0 , <math>\tau \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $i \neq n$ . If i < n - p, then

$$\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K',L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \\
\leq \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K',L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}},$$
(1.11)

equality holds if and only if  $I_p^{\tau}K$  and  $I_p^{\tau}K'$  are dilates. If i>n-p, then inequality (1.11) is reversed. For i=n-p, (1.11) becomes an equality. Here " $\hat{+}_p$ " denotes  $L_p$  radial Blaschke sum.

**Theorem 1.D.** Let  $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ ,  $0 , <math>\tau \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $\frac{k-i}{k-j} > 1$ , then

$$\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{k-j}\widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{j-i} \ge \widetilde{D}_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{k-i}, \quad (1.12)$$

equality holds if and only if  $I_p^{\tau}K$  and  $I_p^{\tau}L$  are dilates. If  $0 < \frac{k-i}{k-j} < 1$ , the inequality (1.12) is reversed.

In this paper, associated with the Brunn-Minkowski type inequality (Theorem 1.A or Theorem 1.C) and cyclic inequality (Theorem 1.B or Theorem 1.D), we establish two

cyclic Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for *i*-th general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals and *i*-th general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals, respectively. For more cyclic Brunn-Minkowski inequality, please refer to references ([44], [45]).

Theorem 11 Let  $K_{-}K_{-}^{\prime}$   $L \in K_{-}^{\prime n}$   $n \ge 1$   $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ 

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $K, K', L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ . If j < n - p and  $i \le j \le k$   $(i \ne k)$ , then

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K\oplus_{p}K',L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$\leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}$$

$$+D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}; \qquad (1.13)$$

if n-p < j < n and  $j \le i \le k$  or j > n and  $i \le j \le k$   $(i \ne k)$ , then

$$D_{n,i}^{(\tau)}(K\oplus_{p}K',L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$\geq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}$$

$$+D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}. \tag{1.14}$$

In each case, with equality if and only if K and K' have similar general  $L_p$ -brightness.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $K, K', L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ ,  $0 , <math>\tau \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ . If j < n - p and  $i \le j \le k$   $(i \ne k)$ , then

$$\widetilde{D}_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K',L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$\leq \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}$$

$$+\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K',L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}\widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K',L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}; \qquad (1.15)$$

if n-p < j < n and  $j \le i \le k$  or j > n and  $i \le j \le k$   $(i \ne k)$ , then

$$\widetilde{D}_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K',L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$\geq \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}$$

$$+\widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K',L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}\widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K',L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}.$$
 (1.16)

In each case, with equality if and only if  $I_p^{\tau}K$  and  $I_p^{\tau}K'$  are dilates.

**Remark 1.1.** Let j=i in Theorem 1.1, we can get the following Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for i-th general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals.

**Corollary 1.1.** Let  $K, K', L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $i \neq n$ . If i < n - p, then

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_p K^{'}, L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K^{'}, L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}};$$

if i > n - p, then

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_p K^{'}, L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \geq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{\tau}(K^{'}, L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}.$$

In each case, with equality if and only if K and K' have similar general  $L_p$ -brightness.

If L = B, then Corollary 1.1 yields Theorem 1.A.

**Remark 1.2.** Let  $K' = \{o\}$  in (1.13), then we may obtain the following result which was given by Yan and Wang (see [42]).

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \in [-1,1]$  and  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ , if i < j < k, then

$$D^{\tau}_{p,j}(K,L)^{k-i} \leq D^{\tau}_{p,i}(K,L)^{k-j} D^{\tau}_{p,k}(K,L)^{j-i},$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general  $L_v$ -brightness.

In particular, let L=B in Corollary 1.2, this is just Theorem 1.B.

**Remark 1.3.** Let j=i in Theorem 1.2, we immediately obtain Theorem 1.C. If  $K^{'}=\{o\}$  in Theorem 1.2, then Theorem 1.D can be given.

Our results unify the relevant cyclic inequalities and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for the general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals and general  $L_p$ -dual mixed brightness integrals. Our work belongs to a new and rapidly evolving asymmetric  $L_p$  Brunn-Minkowski theory, the further researches for this theory, readers can refer to papers [2], [3], [4], [10], [11], [12], [14], [18], [19], [20], [25], [26], [27], [30], [31], [38], [39], [29], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [40], [32], [41], [42], [43], [46], [47].

# II. PRELIMINARIES

# A. $L_p$ -Blaschke combination

According to the existence's theorem of  $L_p$ -Minkowski problem (see Theorem 9.2.3 in [28]), the author defined the  $L_p$ -Blaschke combinations of convex bodies as follows: For  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, \ n \neq p \geq 1$  and  $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$  (not both zero), the  $L_p$ -Blaschke combination of K and L is defined by (see [28]):

$$S_p(\lambda \circ K \oplus_p \mu \circ L, \cdot) = \lambda S_p(K, \cdot) + \mu S_p(L, \cdot), \quad (2.1)$$

where " $\oplus_p$ " denotes  $L_p$ -Blaschke addition, " $\lambda \circ K$ " denotes  $L_p$ -Blaschke scalar multiplication. When K and L both are origin-symmetric convex bodies, the (2.1) was given by Lutwak ([23]). For more information on these and other binary operations between convex and star bodies, see ([7], [8], [9], [16]).

By (1.1) and (2.1), we have, for all  $u \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$h(\Pi_p^{\tau}(\lambda \circ K \oplus_p \mu \circ L), u)$$
  
=  $\lambda h(\Pi_p^{\tau}K, u)^p + \mu h(\Pi_p^{\tau}L, u)^p,$  (2.2)

i.e.,

$$\Pi_p^{\tau}(\lambda \circ K \oplus_p \mu \circ L) = \lambda \cdot \Pi_p^{\tau} K +_p \mu \cdot \Pi_p^{\tau} L.$$

B.  $L_p$ -radial combination and  $L_p$ -radial Blaschke combination

For  $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ ,  $p \neq 0$  and  $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$  (note both zero), the  $L_p$ -radial combination,  $\lambda * K + \mu * L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ , of K and L was defined by (see [5], [28])

$$\rho(\lambda * K + \mu * L, \cdot)^p = \lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^p + \lambda \rho(L, \cdot)^p, \qquad (2.3)$$

where " $\stackrel{\sim}{+}_p$ " and " $\lambda * K$ " denote  $L_p$  radial addition and  $L_p$  radial scalar multiplication, respectively.

If n > p > 0, then according to (2.3), we defined the  $L_p$ -radial Blaschke combination,  $\lambda \odot K +_p \mu \odot L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ , of K and L by (see [32])

$$\lambda \odot K \widehat{+}_p \mu \odot L = \lambda * K \widetilde{+}_{n-p} \mu * L. \tag{2.4}$$

For  $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ ,  $0 , <math>\tau \in [-1, 1]$ , from (1.8), (2.3) and (2.4), we can obtain for any  $u \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$\rho^p(I_n^{\tau}(K\widehat{+}_pL), u)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{i(\tau)}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}} [|u \cdot v| - \tau(u \cdot v)]^{-p} \rho((K \widehat{+}_p L), v)^{n-p} dv \\ &= \frac{i(\tau)}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}} [|u \cdot v| - \tau(u \cdot v)]^{-p} \rho(K, v)^{n-p} dv \\ &+ \frac{i(\tau)}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}} [|u \cdot v| - \tau(u \cdot v)]^{-p} \rho(L, v)^{n-p} dv \\ &= \rho(I_p^{\tau} K, u)^p + \rho(I_p^{\tau} L, u)^p, \end{split}$$

i.e.,

$$I_p^{\tau}(K\widehat{+}_pL) = I_p^{\tau}K\widetilde{+}_pI_p^{\tau}L. \tag{2.5}$$

# III. RESULTS AND PROOFS

In this part, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. First, we give the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1 ([1]).** Let  $f \in L^p(E), g \in L^q(E)$ , real number  $p, q \neq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , if p > 1, then

$$\left(\int_{E} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{E} |g(x)|^{q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\geq \int_{E} |f(x)g(x)| dx; \tag{3.1}$$

if p < 0 or 0 , then

$$\left(\int_{E} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{E} |g(x)|^{q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\leq \int_{E} |f(x)g(x)| dx. \tag{3.2}$$

In each case, with equality if and only if there exists constant  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , such that  $c_1|f(x)|^p=c_2|g(x)|^q$ . Here  $L^p(E)$  denotes all function sets defined on measurable set E in  $L_p$  spaces.

**Lemma 3.2 ([15]).** Let  $f \in L^p(E)$  and  $g \in L^p(E)$ , if real number  $p \neq 0$  and p > 1, then

$$\left(\int_{E} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{E} |g(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq \left(\int_{E} |f(x) + g(x)|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx; \tag{3.3}$$

if p < 0 or 0 , then

$$\left(\int_{E} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{E} |g(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{E} |f(x) + g(x)|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx. \tag{3.4}$$

In each case, with equality if and only if there exists constant  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , such that  $c_1|f(x)| = c_2|g(x)|$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since  $p \ge 1$  and j < n - p, thus  $\frac{n-j}{n} > 1$ . From this, by (1.4), (2.2) and (3.3), we get

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_p K', L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{2^i \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_p K', u)^{n-j} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, u)^j dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{2^n \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( h(\Pi_p^{\tau}(K \oplus_p K'), u)^p h(\Pi_p^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{jp}{n-j}} \right)^{\frac{n-j}{p}} \right]$$

$$dS(u) \int_{n-j}^{\frac{p}{n-j}} dS(u) \int_{S^{n-1}}^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \left( \left( h(\Pi_p^{\tau}K, u)^p + h(\Pi_p^{\tau}K', u)^p \right) \right) dS(u) d$$

In the first item of right hand of inequality (3.5), notice that  $i \le j \le k$  ( $i \ne k$ ) implies  $\frac{k-i}{k-j} > 1$ , by (3.1) we know

$$\frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \\
h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{j} dS(u) \\
= \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \\
h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{i(k-j)}{k-i}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{k(j-i)}{k-i}} dS(u) \\
\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \right) \right]^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} \\
h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{i(k-j)}{k-i}} \right)^{\frac{k-i}{k-j}} dS(u) \\
\times \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \right) \right]^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} \\
h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{k(j-i)}{k-i}} \right)^{\frac{k-i}{j-i}} dS(u) \\
= D_{n,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} D_{n,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{j-i}{k-i}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining  $\frac{p}{n-j} > 0$  and (3.6), we can obtain the following inequality

$$\left[\frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \right] \\
h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{j} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\
\leq D_{n,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} D_{n,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}.$$
(3.7)

Similarly, we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau} K', u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau} K', u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} h(\Pi_{p}^{\tau} L, u)^{j} dS(u)\right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$\leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, by inequalities (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K \oplus_{p} K', L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}}$$

$$\leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}$$

$$+ D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K', L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K', L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}.$$

This yields (1.13).

Similarly, from n-p < j < n and  $k \geq i \geq j$   $(i \neq k)$ , and notice that  $p \geq 1$ , we know that  $0 < \frac{n-j}{p} < 1$  and  $0 < \frac{k-i}{k-j} < 1$ , by (3.2) and (3.4), the (3.5) and (3.6) are reversed. Since  $\frac{p}{n-j} > 0$ , so the (3.7) and (3.8) are reversed. For j > n, the  $\frac{n-j}{p} < 0$ , by (3.4), the (3.5) is reversed, and using the  $\frac{p}{n-j} < 0$  and (3.6), the inequality (3.7) is reversed. Similarly, the inequality (3.8) is also reversed. In summary, we may obtain inequality (1.14).

By the equality conditions of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, there exists equalities in (1.13) and (1.14) if and only if K and L have similar general  $L_p$ -brightness.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From 0 and <math>j < n - p, we know  $\frac{n-j}{p} > 1$ . Hence, according to (1.10), (2.3), (2.5) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{split} &\widetilde{D}_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K^{'},L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K^{'},u))^{n-j} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L,u)^{j} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K^{'}),u)^{p} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L,u)^{\frac{jp}{n-j}} \right)^{\frac{n-j}{p}} \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ &dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \left( \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K,u)^{p} + \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K^{'},u)^{p} \right) \right)^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K,u)^{p} + \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K^{'},u)^{p} \right) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K,u)^{\frac{p(k-j)(n-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L,u)^{\frac{jp}{n-j}} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K,u)^{\frac{p(j-i)(n-k)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L,u)^{\frac{p(j-i)(n-k)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L,u)^{\frac{jp}{n-j}} \right)^{\frac{n-j}{p}} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K,u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K,u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L,u)^{j} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau} K', u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \right.$$

$$\left. \rho(I_{p}^{\tau} K', u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau} L, u)^{j} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}}. \tag{3.9}$$

In the first item of right side of the above inequality (3.9), since  $i \le j \le k$  ( $i \ne k$ ), thus  $\frac{k-i}{k-j} > 1$ . Therefore, by (3.1) we get

$$\frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \\
\rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{j} dS(u) \\
= \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \\
\rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{i(k-j)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{k(j-i)}{k-i}} dS(u) \\
\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \right)^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} \\
\rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{i(k-j)}{k-i}} \right)^{\frac{k-i}{k-j}} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} \\
\times \left[ \frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \right)^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} \\
\rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{\frac{k(j-i)}{k-i}} \right)^{\frac{k-i}{j-i}} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{j-i}{k-i}} \\
= \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{j-i}{k-i}}. \tag{3.10}$$

So, using (3.10), we get

$$\left[\frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau}K, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \right] \\
\rho(I_{p}^{\tau}L, u)^{j} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\
\leq \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}.$$
(3.11)

Similarly to the above mothod, we also have

$$\left[\frac{1}{2^{n} \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau} K^{'}, u)^{\frac{(k-j)(n-i)}{k-i}} \rho(I_{p}^{\tau} K^{'}, u)^{\frac{(j-i)(n-k)}{k-i}} \right] \\
\rho(I_{p}^{\tau} L, u)^{j} dS(u) \right]^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\
\leq \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)} (K^{'}, L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)} (K^{'}, L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, by (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{D}_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K\widehat{+}_{p}K^{'},L)^{\frac{p}{n-j}} \\ & \leq \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K,L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \\ & + \widetilde{D}_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(k-j)}{(k-i)(n-j)}} \widetilde{D}_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K^{'},L)^{\frac{p(j-i)}{(k-i)(n-j)}}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of (1.15).

When n-p < j < n and  $k \ge i \ge j$   $(i \ne k)$ , we see that  $0 < \frac{n-j}{p} < 1$  and  $0 < \frac{k-i}{k-j} < 1$ , by (3.2) and (3.4), the (3.9) and (3.10) are reversed. Since  $\frac{p}{n-j} > 0$ , so the (3.11) and (3.12) are reversed. For j > n, by (3.4), we can get the (3.9) is reversed. Using j > n and (3.10), we can get the (3.11) is reversed. Similarly, the (3.12) is also reversed. So,

when n - p < j < n and  $j \le i \le k$  or j > n and  $i \le j \le k$  $(i \neq k)$ , we can get (1.16).

From the equality conditions of the Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we see that equalities hold in (1.15) and (1.16) if and only if  $I_p^{\tau}K$  and  $I_p^{\tau}K'$  are dilates.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors want to express earnest thankfulness for the referees who provided extremely precious and helpful comments and suggestions.

# REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Bechenbach and R. Bellman, "Inequalities," Springer-Verlag, BerlinGöttingen, Heidelberg, 1961.
- Y. B. Feng and W. D. Wang, "General Lp-harmonic Blaschke bodies, ' P. Indian A. S.-Math. Sci, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 109-119, 2014.
- Y. B. Feng and W. D. Wang, "General mixed chord-integrals of star bodies, " Rocky Mt. J. Math, vol.46, no. 5, pp. 1499-1518, 2016.
- Y. B. Feng, W. D. Wang and F. H. Lu, "Some inequalities on general  $L_p$ -centroid bodies," *Math. Inequal. Appl*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 39-49,
- [5] R. J. Gardner, "The Brunn-Minkowski inequality," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, vol. 39, pp. 355-405, 2002.
- [6] R. J. Gardner, "Geometric Tomography," 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [7] R. J. Gardner, D. Hug and W. Weil, "Operations between sets in geometry," J. Eur. Math. Soc, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 2297-2352, 2013.
- R. J. Gardner, D. Hug and W. Weil, "The Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: a general framework, addition, and inequalities," J. Differential Geom, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 427-476, 2014.
- [9] R. J. Gardner, L. Parapatits and F. E. Schuster, "A characterization of Blaschke addition," Adv. Math, vol. 254, pp. 396-418, 2014.
- [10] C. Haberl, " $L_p$  intersection bodies," Adv. Math, vol.217, pp. 2599-2624, 2008.
- [11] C. Haberl and M. Ludwig, "A characterization of  $L_p$  intersection bodies," Int. Math. Res. Not, vol. 2018, no. 9, pp. 10548-10548, 2006.
- [12] C. Haberl and F. Schuster, "General  $\mathcal{L}_p$  affine isoperimetric inequalities, " J. Differential Geom, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 1-26, 2008. [13] C. Haberl and F. Schuster, "Asymmetric affine  $L_p$  Sobolev inequal-
- ities, " J. Funct. Anal, vol. 257, no. 3, pp. 641-658, 2009.
- [14] C. Haberl, F. Schuster and J. Xiao, "An asymmetric affine Pólya-Szegö principle, "Math. Ann, vol. 352, no. 3, pp. 517-542, 2012. [15] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, "Inequalities," Cambridge
- Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [16] Y. H. Li, J. Yuan and G. S. Leng, "  $L_p$ -Blaschke valuations, " Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, vol. 367, no. 3, pp. 3161-3187, 2015.
- [17] N. Li and B. C. Zhu, "Mixed brightness-integrals of convex bodies," J. Korean Math. soc, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 935-945, 2010.
- [18] Tian Li and Weidong Wang, "Some inequalities for asymmetric  $L_p$ mean zonoids," Quaestiones Mathematicae, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1-19, 2018.
- [19] Z. F. Li and W. D. Wang, "General  $L_p$ -mixed chord integrals of star Bodies, " J. Inequal. Appl, vol. 2016, pp. 12, 2016.
- [20] Z. F. Li and W. D. Wang, "Inequalities on asymmetric  $L_p$ -harmonic radial bodies, " J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 3612-3618, 2017.
- [21] M. Ludwig, "Minkowski valuations," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, vol. 357, no. 10, pp. 4191-4213, 2005.
- [22] M. Ludwig, "Intersection bodies and valuations," Amer. J. Math, vol.
- 128, no. 6, pp. 1409-1428, 2006. [23] E. Lutwak, "The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: mixed volumes and the minkowski problem," J. Differential Geom, vol. 38, no. 1993, 131-150, 1993.
- [24] E. Lutwak, Yang D. and Zhang G. Y, "  $L_p$  affine isoperimetric inequalities," *J. Differential Geom*, vol. 56, no. 2000, pp. 111-132, 2000. [25] T. Y. Ma, "The Generalized Lp-mixed volume and the Generalized
- Lp-mixed projection body," IAENG International Journal of Applied
- Mathematics, Vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 262-273, 2019.
  [26] Y. N. Pei and W. D. Wang, "Shephard type problems for general
- $L_p$ -centroid bodies," *J. Inequal. Appl*, vol. 2015, pp. 1-13, 2015. [27] Y. N. Pei and W. D. Wang, "A type of Busemann-Petty problems for general  $L_p$ -intersection bodies," *Wuhan Univ. J. Nat. Sci*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 471-475, 2015.
- [28] R. Schneider, "Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory," 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

- [29] F. E. Schuster and M. Weberndorfer," Volume inequalities for asymmetric Wulff shapes," J. Differential Geom, vol. 92, pp. 263-283, 2012
- [30] Z. H. Shen, Y.  $\hat{N}$ . Li and W. D. Wang, "  $L_p$ -dual geominimal surface areas for the general  $L_p$ -intersection bodies," J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl, vol. 10, pp. 3519-3529, 2017.
- [31] X. Y. Wan and W. D. Wang, "Petty projection inequalities for the general  $L_p$ -mixed projection bodies," Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 190-194, 2012.
- [32] J. Y. Wang and W. D. Wang, "General  $L_p$ -dual Blaschke bodies and
- the applications," *J. Inequal. Appl*, vol. 2015, no. 233, pp. 1-11, 2015. [33] W. D. Wang and Y. B. Feng, "A general  $L_p$ -version of Petty's affine projection inequality," Taiwan. J. Math, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 517-528, 2013.
- [34] W. D. Wang and T. Li, "Volume extremals of general  $L_p$ -centroid
- bodies, J. Math. Inequal, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 193-207, 2017. [35] W. D. Wang and Y. N. Li, "Busemann-Petty problems for general  $L_p$ -intersection bodies," Acta Math. Sin, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 777-786, 2015.
- [36] W. D. Wang and Y. N. Li, "General  $L_p$ -intersection bodies," Taiwan. J. Math, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1247-1259, 2015.
- projection bodies," Taiwan. J. Math, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1749-1762,
- [39] W. D. Wang and J. Y. Wang, "Extremum of geometric functionals involving general  $L_p$ -projection bodies," J. Inequal. Appl, vol. 2016, no. 135, pp. 1-16, 2016.
- [40] M. Weberndorfer, "Shadow systems of asymmetric  $L_p$  zonotopes," Adv. Math, vol. 240, pp. 613-635, 2013.
- [41] B. Wei and W. D. Wang, "Some inequalities for general  $L_p$ -harmonic J. Math. Inequal, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 63-73, 2016. Blaschke bodies,"
- [42] L. Yan and W. D. Wang, "The general  $L_p$ -mixed brightness integrals," J. Inequal. Appl, vol. 2015, no. 190, pp. 1-11, 2015. [43] P. Zhang, X. H. Zhang and W. D. Wang, "The general  $L_p$ -dual mixed
- brightness integrals," Int. J. Appl. Math, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 138-142, 2017.
- [44] C. J. Zhao, "Dual cyclic Brunn-Minkowski inequalities," Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 391-401, 2015.
- [45] C. J. Zhao, "Cyclic Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for p-affine surface area," Quaestiones Mathematicae, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 467-476, 2017.
- [46] X. Zhao and W. D. Wang, "Brunn-Minkowski Inequalities for the Lp and Lp Radial Blaschke-Minkowski Homomorphisms, " IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol. 49, no. 3, pp 313-317, 2019.
- [47] Y. P. Zhou, "General  $L_p$ -mixed width-integral of convex bodies and related inequalities," J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl, vol. 10, pp. 4372-4380,