On the Hilfer Fractional Volterra-Fredholm Integro Differential Equations

Karim Ivaz, Ismael Alasadi and Ahmed Hamoud

Abstract—In this work, we investigate a class of nonlocal Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations involving Hilfer fractional derivatives and almost sectorial operators. We prove our results by applying Arzela-Ascoli theorem and Schauder's fixed point technique. Moreover, we show the fundamental properties of the representation of the solution by discussing a case related to the associated semigroup. For that, we consider compactness properties.

Index Terms—Hilfer fractional derivatives, mild solutions, almost sectorial operators, measure of compactness.

I. INTRODUCTION

F RACTIONAL differential equations have attracted much attention and have been the focus of many studies due mainly to their varied applications in many fields of engineering, biology, physics, biophysics, chemistry, control theory, economy and so on; see [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10].

We also refer to the work in [2], where Debbouche and Antonov studied the question of the existence of nonlinear Hilfer fractional differential equations with controls. Sufficient conditions are also established, where the time fractional derivative is the Hilfer derivative. In [11], Zhang and Zhou studied fractional Cauchy problems with almost sectorial operators of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^{L}D^{\alpha}_{0^{+}}\varpi(\rho) = A\varpi(\rho) + g(\rho, \varpi(\rho)) \\ {}^{I(1-\alpha)}_{0^{+}}\varpi(0) = \varpi_{0}, \ \rho \in [0, a], \end{cases}$$

where ${}^{L}D^{\alpha}_{0^+}$ is the Riemann-Liouville derivative of order α , $I^{(1-\alpha)}_{0^+}$ is the Riemann-Liouville integral of order $1-\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, A is an almost sectorial operator on a complex Banach space, and g is a given function.

Recently, in many published works, Hilfer fractional differential equations have received attention [2], [6], [12].

Furati et al. in [13], considered nonlinear fractional differential equation involving Hilfer fractional derivative

$$D_{a^+}^{\alpha,\beta}\varpi(\rho) = g(\rho,\varpi(\rho)), \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \beta \le 1,$$
$$I_{a^+}^{(1-\psi)}\varpi(a^+) = \varpi_a, \rho > a, \ \psi = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta,$$
(1)

where $D_{a^+}^{\alpha,\beta}(.), I_{a^+}^{(1-\psi)}(.)$ are Hilfer fractional derivative and Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, respectively, $\varpi_a \in \mathbb{R}$. The author used the Banach fixed point theorem to investigate the existence and uniqueness and stability of global solutions in the weighted space. Dheigude and Bhairat in [14],

Manuscript received April 19, 2021; revised September 7, 2021.

Ismael Alasadi is a researcher at the Department of Mathematics, Thi Qar Directorates of Education, Ministry of Education, Iraq. Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. e-mail: (7207183617ismael@gmail.com).

Ahmed Hamoud is an assistant professor at the Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz, Yemen. e-mail: (ahmed.hamoud@taiz.edu.ye) disccused the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of solution for the problem (1) by using successive approximations and generalized Gronwall inequality. Oliveira and de Oliveira in [15], proposed a new fractional derivative the Hilfer-Katugampola fractional derivatives ${}^{\rho}D_{a+}^{\alpha,\beta}(.)$ and generalized fractional integral ${}^{\rho}I_{a+}^{(1-\psi)}(.)$. The authors used Banach fixed point theorem to obtain the existence and uniqueness of solution for a weighted Cauchy-type problem (1).

In [16], Jaiswal and Bahuguna studied equations of Hilfer fractional derivatives with almost sectorial operators in the abstract sense:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\alpha,\psi}\varpi(\rho) + A\varpi(\rho) = g(\rho,\varpi(\rho))\\ I_{0^+}^{(1-\alpha)(1-\psi)}\varpi(0) = \varpi_0, \ \rho \in (0,T], \end{cases}$$

Motivated by these results, here, we extend the previous available results of the literature to a class of Hilfer fractional integro-differential equations in which the closed operator is almost sectorial. Moreover, we also consider compactness case of the semigroup operator.

We consider nonlocal Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations involving Hilfer fractional derivatives and almost sectorial operators:

$$D_{0^+}^{\alpha,\psi}\varpi(\rho) + A\varpi(\rho) = g\Big(\rho, \varpi(\rho), B\varpi(\rho), Z\varpi(\rho)\Big), \quad (2)$$
$$I_{0^+}^{(1-\alpha)(1-\psi)}[\varpi(\rho)]|_{\rho=0} + h(\varpi(\rho)) = \varpi_0, \quad (3)$$

where $D_{0^+}^{\alpha,\psi}$ is the Hilfer fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and type $\psi \in [0,1]$. We assume that A is an almost sectorial operator on a Banach space Y with norm $\|.\|$. Let $f_i: J \times Y \longrightarrow Y, i = 1, 2, \ \rho \in J := (0,T], \ g: J \times Y \times Y \times Y \longrightarrow Y$ and $h: C(J:Y) \longrightarrow Y$ to be given abstract functions to be specified later. For brevity, we take

$$B\varpi(\rho) = \int_0^\rho K(\rho, s) f_1(s, \varpi(s)) ds,$$
$$Z\varpi(\rho) = \int_0^T H(\rho, s) f_2(s, \varpi(s)) ds.$$

The paper is structured as follows. In Section II, we present necessary information about the Hilfer derivative, almost sectorial operators, measures of compactness, mild solutions of Eqs. (2) and (3) and some useful definitions, results and lemmas. We discuss fundamental results for mild solutions to Eqs. (2) and (3) and we prove the solvability question, when associated semigroups is compact in Section III.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and properties of the fractional calculus theory and auxiliary lemmas which will be used throughout this paper, see ([4],

Karim Ivaz is a professor at the Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. e-mail: (ivaz@tabrizu.ac.ir).

[6], [10], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28]).

Definition 1. [4] The left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ with a lower limit a for a function $x : [a, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$I_{a^+}^{\alpha} x(\rho) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^{\rho} (\rho - s)^{\alpha - 1} x(s) ds,$$

provided the right hand side is defined almost everywhere (a.e.) on $[a, +\infty)$.

Remark 2. If a = 0, then we write $I_{a^+}^{\alpha} f(\rho) = (g_{\alpha} * f)(\rho)$, where

$$g_{\alpha}(\rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \rho^{\alpha-1}, & \rho > 0, \\ 0, & \rho \le 0, \end{cases}$$

and, as usual, * denotes the convolution of functions. Note that $\lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} g_{\alpha}(\rho) = \delta(\rho)$ with δ the delta Dirac function.

Definition 3. [4] The left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$, $n - 1 \le \alpha < n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for a function $x : [a, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is defined by

$${}^{L}D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(\rho) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\frac{d^{n}}{d\rho^{n}}\int_{a}^{\rho}\frac{1}{(\rho-s)^{\alpha-n+1}}x(s)ds,$$

provided the right hand side is defined a.e. on $[a, +\infty)$.

Definition 4. [4] The left-sided Caputo's fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$, $n - 1 < \alpha < n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for a function $x : [a, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is defined by

$$\begin{split} {}^{C}D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(\rho) &= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\int_{a}^{\rho}\frac{1}{(\rho-s)^{\alpha-n+1}}x^{(n)}(s)ds \\ &= & I_{a^{+}}^{n-\alpha}x^{(n)}(\rho), \ \rho > a, \end{split}$$

provided the right hand side is defined a.e. on $[a, +\infty)$.

Definition 5. [16] The left-sided Hilfer fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ and type $\psi \in [0,1]$, of a function $x : [a, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is defined as

$$D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha,\psi}x(\rho) = \Big[I_{0^{+}}^{(1-\alpha)\psi}D\Big(I_{a^{+}}^{(1-\alpha)(1-\psi)}x\Big)\Big](\rho).$$

Remark 6. (i) If $\psi = 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and a = 0, then the Hilfer fractional derivative corresponds to the classical Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative:

$$D_{a^+}^{\alpha,0} x(\rho) = \frac{d}{d\rho} I_{a^+}^{(1-\alpha)} x(\rho) = {}^L D_{a^+}^{\alpha} x(\rho).$$

(ii) If $\psi = 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and a = 0, then the Hilfer fractional derivative corresponds to the classical Caputo fractional derivative:

$$D_{a^+}^{\alpha,1} x(\rho) = I_{a^+}^{(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{d\rho} x(\rho) = {}^C D_{a^+}^{\alpha} x(\rho).$$

The motivation to consider our problem can be found in [11]. Here, we generalize the results in [11]. Let $X \subset Y$ be bounded. The Hausdorff measure of non-compactness is considered as

$$Q(X) = \inf \left\{ \theta > 0 : X \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} B_{\theta}(x_j), \ x_j \in Y, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

while the Kurtawoski measure of noncompactness F on a bounded set $B \subset Y$ is given by

$$F(X) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : X \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} M_j, \text{ and } \operatorname{diam}(M_j) \leq \epsilon \right\},$$

with the following properties:

1. $X_1 \subset X_2 \Longrightarrow Q(X_1) \le Q(LX_2)$, where $X_1, X_2 \subset Y$ and bounded;

2. Q(X) = 0 if and only if X is relatively compact in Y;

3. $Q(\{z\} \cup X) = Q(X)$ for all $z \in YX \subseteq Y$;

4. $Q(X_1 \cup X_2) \le \max\{Q(X_1), Q(X_2)\};$

5. $Q(X_1 + X_2) \le Q(X_1) + Q(X_2);$

6. $Q(rX) \leq |r|Q(X)$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $M \subset C(J, Y)$ and $M(r) = \{ \varpi(r) \in Y : \varpi \in M \}$. One defines

$$\int_0^{\rho} M(r) dr := \Big\{ \int_0^{\rho} \varpi(r) dr : \varpi \in M \Big\}, \ \rho \in J.$$

Proposition 7. [16] If $M \subset C(J,Y)$ is equicontinuous and bounded, then $\rho \longrightarrow Q(M(\rho))$ is continuous on J. Furthermore,

$$\begin{array}{lll} Q(M) & = & \max\left\{Q(M(\rho)), Q\Big(\int_0^\rho \varpi(r)dr\Big)\right\} \\ & \leq & \int_0^\rho Q(\varpi(r))dr. \end{array}$$

Proposition 8. [2] Let $\{\varpi_n : J \longrightarrow Y, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be Bochner integrable functions. This implies that $\|\varpi_n\| \leq m(\rho)$ a.e. for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$. Then, $\zeta(\rho) = Q(\{\varpi_n(\rho)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and satisfies

$$Q(\{\int_0^\rho \varpi_n(r)dr: n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \le 2\int_0^\rho \zeta(r)dr.$$

Proposition 9. [2] Let M be a bounded set. Then, for any q > 0, there exists a sequence $\{\varpi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset M$ such that

$$Q(M) \le 2Q\{\varpi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} + q$$

Almost Sectorial Operators

Let $0 < \beta < \pi$ and $-1 < \beta < 0$. We define $S^0_\beta := \{ \varpi \in C \setminus \{0\} : |\arg \varpi| < \beta \}$ and its closure by S_β , such that $S_\beta = \{ \varpi \in C \setminus \{0\} : |\arg \varpi| \le \beta \} \cup \{0\}.$

Definition 10. [4] For $-1 < \beta < 0$ and $0 < w < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we define $\{Q_w^\beta\}$ as the family of all closed and linear operators $A: D(A) \subset Y \longrightarrow Y$ such that

- 1. $\sigma(A)$ is contained in S_w ;
- 2. for all $\beta \in (w, \pi)$ there exists M_{β} such that

$$||R(z,A)||_{L(Y)} \le M_{\beta}|z|^{\beta}$$

where $R(z, A) = (zI - A)^{-1}$ is the resolvent operator and $A \in Q_w^\beta$ is said to be an almost sectorial operator on Y.

Proposition 11. [4] Let $A \in Q_w^\beta$ for $-1 < \beta < 0$ and $0 < w < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then the following properties hold:

- 1. $Q(\rho)$ is analytic and $\frac{d^n}{d\rho^n}Q(\rho) = (-A^nQ(\rho)(\rho \in S^0_{\frac{\pi}{2}});$
- 2. $Q(\rho+s) = Q(\rho)Q(s), \forall \rho, s \in \frac{0}{\pi};$
- 3. $||Q(\rho)||_{L(Y)} \le C_0 \rho^{-\beta-1} (\rho > 0)^2$, where $C_0 = C_0(\beta) > 0$ is a constant;

4. if $\sum_Q = \{x \in Y : \lim_{\rho \to 0_+} Q(\rho)x = x\}$, then $D(A^q) \subset \sum_Q$ if $q > 1 + \beta$; 5. $R(r, -A) = \int_0^\infty e^{-rs}Q(s)ds, \ r \in \mathbb{C}$ with Re(r) > 0. We use the following Wright-type function [8]:

$$M_{\alpha}(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(-q)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha n)(n-1)!}, \ q \in \mathbb{C}$$

For $-1 < \sigma < \infty$, r > 0, the following properties hold:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(A1)} \ M_{\alpha}(q) \geq 0, \ \rho > 0; \\ \text{(A2)} \ \ \int_{0}^{\infty} q^{\sigma} M_{\alpha} dq = \frac{\Gamma(1+\sigma)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha\sigma)}; \\ \text{(A3)} \ \ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{q^{1+\alpha}} e^{-rq} M_{\alpha}(\frac{1}{q^{\alpha}}) dq = e^{-r^{\alpha}}. \end{array}$

The characteristic operators $\{S_{\alpha}(\rho)\}_{\rho \in S^{0}_{\frac{\pi}{2}-w}}$ and $\{T_{\alpha}(\rho)\}|_{\rho\in S^{0}_{\frac{\pi}{2}-w}}$ are defined by

$$S_{\alpha}(\rho) := \int_{0}^{\infty} M_{\alpha}(q) Q(\rho^{\alpha}q) dq$$

and

$$T_{\alpha}(\rho) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha q M_{\alpha}(q) Q(\rho^{\alpha}q) dq.$$

Theorem 12. For each fixed $\rho \in S^0_{\frac{\pi}{2}-w}$, $S_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $T_{\alpha}(\rho)$ are bounded linear operators on Y. Moreover,

$$||S_{\alpha}(\rho)|| \le C_1 \rho^{-\alpha(1+\beta)}, ||T_{\alpha}(\rho)|| \le C_2 \rho^{-\alpha(1+\beta)}, \rho > 0,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants dependent on α and β .

Theorem 13. The operators $S_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $T_{\alpha}(\rho)$ are continuous in the uniform operator topology for $\rho > 0$. For s > 0, the continuity is uniform on $[s, \infty)$.

Define $W_r(J) := \{ \varpi \in C(J, Y) : \| \varpi \| \le r \}$. Our main results are proved in relation to the following hypotheses: **(H1).** For $\rho \in J$, $g(\rho, ..., .): J \times Y \times Y \times Y \longrightarrow Y$ and $f(\rho, .): Y \longrightarrow Y$ are continuous functions and, for each $\varpi \in C(J,Y), g(., \varpi, B\varpi, Z\varpi) : J \longrightarrow Y \text{ and } f(., \varpi) :$

 $J \longrightarrow Y$ are strongly measurable.

(H2). There exist functions $k_1, k_2 \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfying

$$||g(\rho,..,.)|| \le k_1(\rho) + k_2(\rho)e^{-o\rho}, \ \forall \varpi \in W_r(J)$$

and almost all ρ on J and

$$\begin{split} I_{0^+}^{-\alpha\beta} \Big[k_1(\rho) + k_2(\rho) e^{-\delta\rho} \Big] &\in C(J,\mathbb{R}),\\ \lim_{\rho \longrightarrow 0^+} \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} I_{0^+}^{(-\alpha\beta)} \Big[k_1(\rho) + k_2(\rho) e^{-\delta\rho} \Big] = 0. \end{split}$$

(H3). Function $h: C(J, Y) \longrightarrow Y$ is completely continuous and there exists a positive constant k such that

$$\|h(\varpi)\| \le k.$$

(H4). We assume that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \|S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)[\varpi_0+k]k + \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \times \int_0^\rho (\rho-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} [k_1(r) + k_2(r)e^{-\delta r}] dr \le r,$$

for r > 0, $\varpi_0 \in D(A^q)$, and $q > 1 + \beta$, where $S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho) =$
$$\begin{split} &I_{0^+}^{\psi(1-\alpha)}\rho^{\alpha-1}T_{\alpha}(\rho). \\ & \text{For the next two lemmas, we refer to [2].} \end{split}$$

Lemma 14. The fractional Cauchy problem (2)-(3) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$\varpi(\rho) = \frac{[\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho))]}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha) + \alpha)} \rho^{(1-\alpha)(\psi-1)} \times g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\rho} (\rho - r)^{\alpha-1} [-A\varpi(r) \to 0 \text{ as } \rho_2 \longrightarrow 0. + g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)] dr, \ \rho \in J. \ (4) \text{ Now, let } 0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 \leq T. \text{ One has } \rho_2 \geq T. \text{ one has } \rho_2 \leq T. \text{ one has }$$

Lemma 15. If ϖ is a solution to the integral equation (4), then it satisfies

$$\varpi(\rho) = S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)[\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho))] + \int_0^{\rho} R_{\alpha}(\rho - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr,$$

where $S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho) = I_{0^+}^{\psi(1-\alpha)} R_{\alpha}(\rho)$ with $R_{\alpha} = \rho^{\alpha-1} T_{\alpha}(\rho)$.

Definition 16. [4] By a mild solution of the Cauchy problem in Eqs. (2) and (3), we mean a function $\varpi \in C(J,Y)$ that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\varpi(\rho) \\ &= S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)[\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho))] \\ &+ \int_0^\rho R_\alpha(\rho - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr, \rho \in J. \end{aligned}$$

We define operator $\Psi: W_r(J) \longrightarrow W_r(J)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Psi\varpi)(\rho) \\ &:= S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)[\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho))] \\ &+ \int_0^\rho (\rho - r)^{\alpha - 1} T_\alpha(\rho - r) g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r) dr \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 17. [4] The operators $R_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)$ are bounded linear operators on Y for every fixed $\rho \in S^0_{\frac{\pi}{2}-w}$. Also, for $\rho > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\alpha}(\rho)\varpi\| &\leq C_{2}\rho^{-1-\alpha\beta}\|\varpi\|, \ \|S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)\varpi\| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha\beta)}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha)-\alpha\beta)}C_{2}\rho^{\psi(1-\alpha)-\alpha\beta-1}\|\varpi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 18. [4] The operators $R_{\alpha}(\rho)$ and $S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)$ are strongly continuous for $\rho > 0$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we state and prove our main results.

Theorem 19. Let $A \in Q_w^\beta$ for $-1 < \beta < 0$ and $0 < w < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Assuming that (H1)-(H4) are satisfied, then the operator $\{\Psi \varpi : \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is equicontinuous, provided $\varpi_0 \in D(A^q)$ with $q > 1 + \beta$.

Proof: For $\varpi \in W_r(J)$ and $\rho_1 = 0 < \rho_2 \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| (\Psi \varpi)(\rho_2) - (\Psi \varpi)(0) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \rho_2^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \left(S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho_2) [\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho_2))] \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - r)^{\alpha - 1} T_\alpha(\rho_2 - r) \right. \\ &\times \left. g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r) dr \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \rho_2^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho_2) \right\| (\varpi_0 + k) \\ &+ \left\| \rho_2^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^{\rho_2} (\rho_2 - r)^{\alpha - 1} T_\alpha(\rho_2 - r) \right. \\ &\times \left. g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r) dr \right) \right\| \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho_2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Volume 52, Issue 2: June 2022

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\Psi \varpi)(\rho_{2}) - (\Psi \varpi)(\rho_{1}) \right\| \\ \leq & \left\| \rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho_{2}) [\varpi_{0} - h(\varpi(\rho_{2}))] \right\| \\ & -\rho_{1}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho_{1}) [\varpi_{0} - h(\varpi(\rho_{1}))] \right\| \\ & + \left\| \rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho_{2}} (\rho_{2} - r)^{\alpha-1} \right\| \\ & \times T_{\alpha}(\rho_{2} - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr \\ & -\rho_{1}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} (\rho_{1} - r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times T_{\alpha}(\rho_{1} - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr \right\| \\ \leq & \left\| \rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho_{2}) [\varpi_{0} - h(\varpi(\rho_{2}))] \right\| \\ & -\rho_{1}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho_{1}) [\varpi_{0} - h(\varpi(\rho_{1}))] \right\| \\ & + \left\| \rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{\rho_{1}}^{\rho_{2}} (\rho_{2} - r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times T_{\alpha}(\rho_{2} - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr \right\| \\ & + \left\| \rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} (\rho_{1} - r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times T_{\alpha}(\rho_{2} - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr \right\| \\ & + \left\| \rho_{1}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} (\rho_{1} - r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times T_{\alpha}(\rho_{2} - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr \right\| \\ & + \left\| \rho_{1}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho_{1}} (\rho_{1} - r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times T_{\alpha}(\rho_{2} - r)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dr \right\| \\ & = \int_{1}^{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + J_{4}. \end{aligned}$$

From the strong continuity of $S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)$, we have $J_1 \longrightarrow 0$ as $\rho_2 \longrightarrow \rho_1$. Also,

$$J_{2} \leq C_{2}\rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}\int_{\rho_{1}}^{\rho_{2}}(\rho_{2}-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} \times [k_{1}(r)+k_{2}(r)e^{-\delta r}]dr$$

$$\leq C_{2}\left|\rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}\int_{0}^{\rho_{2}}(\rho_{2}-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} \times [k_{1}(r)+k_{2}(r)e^{-\delta r}]dr -\rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}\int_{0}^{\rho_{1}}(\rho_{1}-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} \times [k_{1}(r)+k_{2}(r)e^{-\delta r}]dr\right|$$

$$\leq C_{2}\int_{0}^{\rho_{1}}\left|\rho_{1}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}(\rho_{1}-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} -\rho_{2}^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}(\rho_{2}-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1}\right| \times [k_{1}(r)+k_{2}(r)e^{-\delta r}]dr.$$

Then, by using (H2) and the dominated convergence theorem, $J_2 \longrightarrow 0$ as $\rho_2 \longrightarrow \rho_1$.

Similarly, we can see that $J_3, J_4 \longrightarrow 0$ as $\rho_2 \longrightarrow \rho_1$. Hence, $\|(\Psi \varpi)(\rho_2) - (\Psi \varpi)(\rho_1)\| \longrightarrow 0$, independently of $\varpi \in W_r(J)$ as $\rho_2 \longrightarrow \rho_1$. Therefore, $\{\Psi \varpi : \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is equicontinuous.

Theorem 20. Let $-1 < \beta < 0$, $0 < w < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $A \in Q_w^{\beta}$. Then, under hypotheses (H1)-(H4), the operator $\{\Psi \varpi : \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is continuous and bounded, provided $\varpi_0 \in D(A^q)$ with $q > 1 + \beta$.

Proof: We verify that Ψ maps $W_r(J)$ into itself. Taking $\varpi \in W_r(J)$ and defining

$$v(\rho) := \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \varpi(\rho),$$

we have $v \in W_r(J)$. Let $\rho \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Psi \varpi(\rho) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho) [\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho))] \right\| \\ & + \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \left\| \int_0^\rho (\rho - r)^{\alpha - 1} T_\alpha(\rho - r) \right\| \\ & \times g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r) dr \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \right\| S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho) [\varpi_0 - h(\varpi(\rho))] \right\| \\ & + \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - r)^{-\alpha\beta - 1} \\ & \times [k_1(r) + k_2(r)e^{-\delta r}] dr \\ & \leq \left\| \sup_{[0,T]} \left(\rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \right\| S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho) \| [\| \varpi_0 \| + k] \right\| \\ & + \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^\rho (\rho - r)^{-\alpha\beta - 1} \\ & \times [k_1(r) + k_2(r)e^{-\delta r}] dr \\ & \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|\Psi\varpi\| \leq r$ for any $\varpi \in W_r(J)$. Now, to verify Ψ is continuous in $W_r(J)$, let $\varpi_n, \varpi \in W_r(J), n = 1, 2, ...$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varpi_n = \varpi$; that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varpi_n(\rho) = \varpi(\rho)$; $\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \varpi_n(\rho) = \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \varpi(\rho)$ on J. Then, (H1) implies that

$$g(\rho, \varpi_n(\rho), (B\varpi_n)(\rho), (Z\varpi_n)(\rho))$$

$$= g(\rho, \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \varpi_n(\rho), \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} (B\varpi_n)(\rho), \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} (Z\varpi_n)(\rho))$$

$$\longrightarrow g(\rho, \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \varpi(\rho), \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} (B\varpi)(\rho), \rho^{-(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} (Z\varpi)(\rho)),$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. From (H2), we obtain the inequality

$$(\rho - r)^{-\alpha\beta - 1} |g(\rho, \varpi_n(\rho), B(\varpi_n(\rho)), Z(\varpi_n(\rho)))|$$

$$\leq 2(\rho - r)^{-(\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} [k_1(r) + k_2(r)e^{-\delta r}],$$

that is,

$$\int_0^{\rho} (\rho - r)^{-\alpha\beta - 1} \|g(\rho, \varpi_n(\rho), B(\varpi_n(\rho)), Z(\varpi_n(\rho)))\| dr$$

$$\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\rho \in [0,T]$. Now,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \Psi \varpi_n(\rho) - \Psi \varpi(\rho) \right\| \\ & \leq \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \left\| \int_0^\rho (\rho-r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times T_\alpha(\rho-r) [g(\rho, \varpi_n(\rho), B(\varpi_n(\rho)), Z(\varpi_n(\rho))) \\ & -g(\rho, \varpi(\rho), B(\varpi(\rho)), Z(\varpi(\rho)))] dr \right\|. \end{split}$$

Volume 52, Issue 2: June 2022

Applying Theorem 12, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Psi \varpi_n(\rho) - \Psi \varpi(\rho) \right\| &\leq C_2 \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^\rho \\ &\times (\rho-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} \left\| g(\rho, \varpi_n(\rho), B(\varpi_n(\rho)), Z(\varpi_n(\rho))) \right\| \\ &- g(\rho, \varpi(\rho), B(\varpi(\rho)), Z(\varpi(\rho))) \right\| dr, \end{split}$$

which tends to 0 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, i.e., $\Psi \varpi_n \longrightarrow \Psi \varpi$ pointwise on *J*. Moreover, Theorem 19 implies that $\Psi \varpi_n \longrightarrow \Psi \varpi$ uniformly on *J* as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, that is, Ψ is continuous.

We prove the existence of a mild solution to the problem in Eqs. (2)-(3). Here, we assume $Q(\rho)$ to be compact.

Theorem 21. Let $-1 < \beta < 0$, $0 < w < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $A \in Q_w^{\beta}$. If $Q(\rho)(\rho > 0)$ is compact and (H1)-(H4) hold, then there exists a mild solution of the problem (2)-(3) in $W_r(J)$ for every $\varpi_0 \in D(A^q)$ with $q > 1 + \beta$.

Proof: Because we assume $Q(\rho)$ to be compact, then the equicontinuity of $Q(\rho)(\rho > 0)$ is ensured. Moreover, by Theorems 19 and 20, $\Psi : W_r(J) \longrightarrow W_r(J)$ is continuous and bounded and $\varepsilon : W_r(J) \longrightarrow W_r(J)$ is bounded and continuous, and $\{\varepsilon \varpi : \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is equicontinuous. We can write $\Delta : W_r(J) \longrightarrow W_r(J)$ by $(\Delta \varpi)(\rho) = (\Delta^1 \varpi)(\rho) + (\Delta^2 \varpi)(\rho)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta^{1}\varpi)(\rho) \\ &= \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}S_{\alpha,\psi}(\rho)[\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi(\rho))] \\ &= \frac{\rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha))}\int_{0}^{\rho}(\rho-r)^{\psi(1-\alpha)-1}r^{\alpha-1} \\ &\int_{0}^{\infty}\alpha q M_{\alpha}(q)Q(r^{\alpha}q)(\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi))dqdr \\ &= \frac{\alpha\rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha))}\int_{0}^{\rho}\int_{0}^{\infty}(\rho-r)^{\psi(1-\alpha)-1}r^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times q M_{\alpha}(q)Q(r^{\alpha}q)(\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi))dqdr, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\Delta^2 \varpi)(\rho) = \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-r)^{\alpha-1} T_\alpha(\rho-r) \\ \times g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r) dr.$$

For $\sigma > 0$ and $q \in (0, \rho)$, we define an operator $\Delta^1_{q,\sigma}$ on $W_r(J)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta_{q,\sigma}^{1}\varpi)(\rho) \\ &= \frac{\rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha))} \int_{q}^{\rho} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} r^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times q M_{\alpha}(q) Q(r^{\alpha}q)(\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi)) dq dr \\ &= \frac{\alpha \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha))} \Upsilon(\Delta^{\alpha}\sigma) \int_{q}^{\rho} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} (\rho-r)^{\psi(1-\alpha)-1} \\ &\times r^{\alpha-1} q M_{\alpha}(q) \\ &\times Q(r^{\alpha}q-q^{\alpha}\sigma)(\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi)) dq dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Upsilon(\Delta^{\alpha}\sigma)$ is compact, $V_{q,\sigma}^{1}(\rho) = \{(\Delta_{q,\sigma}^{1}\varpi)(\rho), \varpi \in W_{r}(J)\}$ is precompact in ϖ for all $q \in (0, \rho)$ and $\delta > 0$.

Moreover, for any $\varpi \in W_r(J)$, one has

$$\begin{split} \|(\Delta^{1}\varpi)(\rho) - (\Delta_{q,\sigma}^{1}\varpi)(\rho)\| \\ &\leq \Lambda(\alpha,\psi) \Big\| \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{0}^{\sigma} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{\alpha-1} q M_{\alpha}(q) Q(r^{\alpha}q)(\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi)) dq dr \Big\| \\ &+ \Lambda(\alpha,\psi) \Big\| \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{q} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{\alpha-1} q M_{\alpha}(q) Q(r^{\alpha}q)(\varpi_{0}-h(\varpi)) dq dr \Big\| \\ &\leq \Lambda(\alpha,\psi) \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{0}^{\sigma} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{\alpha-1} q M_{\alpha}(q) r^{-\alpha\psi-\alpha} \| \varpi_{0}-h(\varpi) \| q^{-\beta-1} dq dr \\ &+ \Lambda(\alpha,\psi) \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{\alpha-1} q M_{\alpha}(q) r^{-\alpha\beta-\alpha} \| \varpi_{0}-h(\varpi) \| q^{-\beta-1} dq dr \\ &= \Lambda(\alpha,\psi) \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{\rho} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{-\alpha\beta-1} \| \varpi_{0}-h(\varpi) \| dr \int_{0}^{\sigma} q^{-\beta} M_{\alpha}(q) dq \\ &+ \Lambda(\alpha,\psi) \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{0}^{q} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{-\alpha\beta-1} \| \varpi_{0}-h(\varpi) \| dr \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma} q^{-\beta} M_{\alpha}(q) dq \\ &\leq \Lambda \rho^{-\alpha\psi(1+\beta)} \| \varpi_{0}-h(\varpi) \| \int_{0}^{q} (\rho-r)^{(1-\alpha)\psi-1} \\ &\times r^{-\alpha\beta-1} dr \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} q^{-\beta} M_{\alpha}(q) dq \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } q \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and } \sigma \longrightarrow 0, \end{split}$$

where $\Lambda(\alpha, \psi) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\psi(1-\alpha))}$. Therefore, $V_{q,\sigma}^1(\rho) = \{(\Delta_{q,\sigma}^1 \varpi)(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ are arbitrarily close to $V^1(\rho) = \{(\Delta^1 \varpi)(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ for $\rho > 0$. Hence, $V^1(\rho)$, for $\rho > 0$, is precompact in Y. For $q \in (0, \rho)$ and $\sigma > 0$, we can present an operator $\Delta_{q,\sigma}^2$ on $W_r(J)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta_{q,\sigma}^{2}\varpi)(\rho) \\ = & \alpha\rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}\int_{0}^{\rho-q}\int_{\sigma}^{\infty}qM_{\alpha}(q)(\rho-r)^{\alpha-1} \\ & \times Q((\rho-r)^{\alpha}q)g(r,\varpi(r),(B\varpi)r,(Z\varpi)r)dqdr \\ = & \alpha\rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)}\Upsilon(q^{\alpha}\sigma)\int_{0}^{\rho-q}\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \\ & \times qM_{\alpha}(q)(\rho-r)^{\alpha-1}Q((\rho-r)^{\alpha}q-q^{\alpha}\sigma) \\ & \times g(r,\varpi(r),(B\varpi)r,(Z\varpi)r)dqdr. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, due to the compactness of $Q(q^{\alpha}\sigma)$, $V_{q,\sigma}^{2}(\rho) = {\Delta_{q,\sigma}^{2}(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_{r}(J)}$ is precompact in Y for all $q \in (0, \rho)$ and $\sigma > 0$. For every $\varpi \in W_{r}(J)$, we get

Volume 52, Issue 2: June 2022

$$\begin{split} \|(\Delta^2 \varpi)(\rho) - (\Delta_{q,\sigma}^2 \varpi)(\rho)\| \\ &\leq \|\alpha \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^\rho \int_0^\sigma q M_\alpha(q)(\rho-r)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times Q((\rho-r)^\alpha q)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dqdr \| \\ &+ \|\alpha \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{\rho-q}^\rho \int_\sigma^\infty q M_\alpha(q)(\rho-r)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\times Q((\rho-r)^\alpha q)g(r, \varpi(r), (B\varpi)r, (Z\varpi)r)dqdr \| \\ &\leq \alpha C_0 \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_0^\rho (\rho-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} \\ &\times [k_1(r) + k_2(r)e^{-\delta r}]dr \int_0^\sigma q^{-\beta} M_\alpha(q)dq \\ &+ \alpha C_0 \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(1-\psi)} \int_{\rho-q}^\rho (\rho-r)^{-\alpha\beta-1} \\ &\times [k_1(r) + k_2(r)e^{-\delta r}]dr \int_0^\infty q^{-\beta} M_\alpha(q)dq \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } \sigma \longrightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $V_{q,\sigma}^2(\rho) = \{\Delta_{q,\sigma}^2(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ are arbitrarily close to $V^2(\rho) = \{\Delta^2(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}, \ \rho > 0$. This implies the relative compactness of $V^2(\rho), \ \rho > 0$ in Y. Also, $V(\rho) = \{\Delta(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is relatively compact in $Y \ \forall \rho \in [0,T]$. It follows, from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, that $\{\Delta(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is relatively compact for Δ , it is continuous, and $\{\Delta(\rho), \ \varpi \in W_r(J)\}$ is relatively compact. This implies, by the Schauder's fixed point theorem, the existence of a fixed point $v^* \in W_r(J)$ of Δ . Let $\varpi^*(\rho) := \rho^{(1+\alpha\beta)(\psi-1)}v^*(\rho)$. Then, ϖ^* is a mild solution of the problem (2)-(3).

REFERENCES

- M. Li and Y. Wang, "Existence and iteration of monotone positive solutions for fractional boundary value problems with Riesz-Caputo derivative," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 29, no.2, pp327-331, 2021
- [2] A. Debbouche and V. Antonov, "Approximate controllability of semilinear Hilfer fractional differential inclusions with impulsive control inclusion conditions in Banach spaces," *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, vol. 102, pp140-148, 2017
- [3] T. Xue, X. Fan and J. Xu, "Existence of positive solutions for a kind of fractional multi-point boundary value problems at resonance," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 49, no.3, pp281-288, 2019
- [4] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, "Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations," *Elsevier Science Publication: Amsterdam. Heidelberg*, Germany, 2006
- [5] I. Alasadi and A. Hamoud, "Existence and stability results for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-dierential equation with mixed conditions," *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*, vol. 16, no.1, pp217-236, 2021
- [6] H. Gou and B. Li, "Existence of mild solutions for Sobolev-type Hilfer fractional evolution equations with boundary conditions," *Bound. Value Probl.* vol. 2018, pp1-25, 2018
- [7] H. Gou and J.J. Trujillo, "Existence of mild solution for evolution equation with Hilfer fractional derivative," *Appl. Math. Comput.* vol. 257, pp344-354, 2015
- [8] I. Podlubny, "Fractional Differential Equations," Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1999
- [9] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev, "Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications," *Gordon and Breach: Amsterdam, The Netherlands*, 1993
- [10] A. Hamoud, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional neutral Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations," *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*, vol. 4, no.4, pp321-331, 2020
- [11] L. Zhang and Y. Zhou, "Fractional Cauchy problems with almost sectorial operators," *Appl. Math. Comput.* vol. 257, pp145-157, 2015

- [12] A. Hamoud, A. Sharif and K. Ghadle, "Existence, uniqueness and stability results of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro differential equations of Ψ-Hilfer type," *Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity*, vol. 10, no.3, pp535-545, 2021
- [13] K. Furati, M. Kassim and N. Tatar, "Existence and uniqueness for a problem involving Hilfer fractional derivative," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 64, no.6, pp1616-1626, 2012
- [14] B. Dhaigude and P. Bhairat, "Existence and uniqueness of solution of Cauchy-type problem for Hilfer fractional differential equations," *Communications in Applied Analysis*, vol. 22, pp121-134, 2019
- [15] D.S. Oliveira1 and E.C. DE Oliveira, "Hilfer-Katugampola fractional derivative," arXiv:1705.07733, vol. 2017, pp1-21, 2017
- [16] A. Jaiswal and D. Bahuguna, "Hilfer fractional derivative differential equations with almost sectoral operators," *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.*, vol. 2020, pp1-17, 2020
- [17] A. Hamoud, "Uniqueness and stability results for Caputo fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations," J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., vol. 14, no.3, pp313-325, 2021
- [18] M. Bani Issa, A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, "Numerical solutions of fuzzy integro-differential equations of the second kind," *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 23, pp67-74, 2021
- [19] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, "Some new existence, uniqueness and convergence results for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations," J. Appl. Comput. Mech. vol. 5, no.1, pp58-69, 2019
- [20] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle and P. Pathade, "An existence and convergence results for Caputo fractional Volterra integro-differential equations," *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 12, no.3, pp307-327, 2019
- [21] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional mixed Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations," *Indian J. Math.*, vol. 60, no.3, pp375-395, 2018
- [22] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, "Existence and uniqueness of the solution for Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations," J. Siberian Federal University. Math. Phys., vol. 11, no.6, pp692-701, 2018
- [23] K.H. Hussain, A.A. Hamoud and N.M. Mohammed, "Some new uniqueness results for fractional integro-differential equations," *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, vol. 24, no.4, pp827-836, 2019
- [24] A. Hamoud and K. Ghadle, "The approximate solutions of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations by using analytical techniques," *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.*, vol. 7 (25), no.1, pp41-58, 2020
- [25] M. F. Younis, A. M. Abed and A. A. Hamoud, "Existence and uniqueness results for BVP of nonlinear fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation," *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*, vol. 16, no.2, pp457-467, 2021
- [26] J. Zhang, L. Yin, L. Li, and Q. Huang, "Analytical approximate solutions for a class of non-homogeneous time-fractional partial differential equations by a hybrid series method," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 27, no.3, pp601-612, 2019
- [27] H. Jia, "Positive solutions of a four-point fractional boundary value problem," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 49, no.2, pp207-211, 2019
- [28] H. Song, M. Yi, J. Huang and Y. Pan, "Numerical solutions of fractional partial differential equations by using Legendre wavelets," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 24, no.3, pp358-364, 2016