# A Modulus-Based Shamanskii-Like Levenberg-Marquardt Method for Solving Nonlinear Complementary Problems 

Defeng Ding, Minglei Fang, Min Wang, and Yuting Sheng


#### Abstract

In this paper, a modulus-based Shamanskii-Like Levenberg-Marquardt method is proposed for solving nonlinear complementarity problems (NCPs). First, the NCP is reformulated in the form of an equivalent non-smooth system of equations. Then, a non-smooth Shamanskii-Like LevenbergMarquardt method using a non-monotone $r$-order Armijo line search is developed by generalizing a smooth LevenbergMarquardt method to solve the resulting system. Global convergence of the proposed method is achieved under some suitable assumptions. Numerical experiments verify the feasibility and efficiency of the proposed method.
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## I. Introduction

FOR a given smooth mapping $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the nonlinear complementarity problem (NCP) is finding a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \geq 0, F(z) \geq 0, z^{\mathrm{T}} F(z)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is continuously differentiable. If $F(z)=M z+q$, the NCP degenerates to a linear complementarity problem (LCP). Assume that a solution set to (1) denoted by $Z^{*}$ is nonempty, and $\|\cdot\|$ represents a two-norm in all cases.
The NCP has been widely used in engineering, economics, and mechanics. Many problems in scientific computing and engineering applications, such as elastic contact, economic equilibrium, and free boundary problems in fluid dynamics, can be categorized as nonlinear complementary problems [1], [2], [3]. Many numerical algorithms have been developed to solve the NCPs, including Fixed point iterative methods, Newton methods, Conjugate gradient methods, and Levenberg-Marquardt methods [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]. Semi-smooth equations methods that use nonlinear complementarity functions have been popular methods in

[^0]recent years. Common forms of a complementary function $\psi$ are as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{\min }(s, t)=\min \{s, t\} \\
\psi_{F B}(s, t)=\sqrt{s^{2}+t^{2}}-s-t
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

which are called the minimum function [11] and the Fischer Burmeister function [12], respectively. Recently, Bai Zhongzhi proposed a modulus-based iteration method for solving the LCP and analyzed the global convergence of the proposed method [13]. By applying modulus-based manipulations to solving the other complementary problems, various modulus-based methods have been developed [14], [15], [16]. This study considers the Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) method, which computes the search direction by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=-\left(J_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} J_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} J_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} F_{k}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{k}=F\left(x_{k}\right), J_{k}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $F$ at $x_{k}$, and $t_{k}$ is a non-negative regularized parameter used to prevent the iteration point from moving in wrong directions when approaching the saddle point.
Hu Yaning, Peng Zheng, et al. [16] proposed a modulusbased adaptive multi-step LM method for NCPs. In this method, at each iteration, $d_{k}$ is obtained by performing approximate LM steps in addition to the classical LM step (2) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{k, i} & =-\left(J_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} J_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} J_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} F\left(x_{k, i}\right),  \tag{3}\\
x_{k, i} & =x_{k, i-1}+d_{k, i-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ is a positive integer, and $i=1,2, \cdots, r$; $x_{k, 0}=x_{k} ; d_{k, 0}=d_{k}$.
Further, global convergence of the modulus-based nonsmooth LM method has been proven using the trust region techniques. However, instead of using the trust region techniques, Chen Liang and Ma Yanfang [17] presented a smooth Shamanskii-Like Levenberg-Marquardt (SLM) method using a non-monotone $r$-order Armijo line search for solving nonlinear equations, which is expressed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F\left(x_{k}+\alpha_{k} s_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1+\omega_{k}\right)\left\|F_{k}\right\|^{2}-\xi_{0} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\xi_{1} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|F_{k}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{1}$ are positive constants, and $\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence that satisfies the conditions of:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k}<\infty, \omega_{k}>0
$$

and,

$$
s_{k}= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k, i}, & F_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} J_{k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k, i} \leq-\lambda, \\ d_{k}, 0, & \text { else },\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a small positive constant, and $d_{k, i}, i=$ $1,2, \cdots,(r-1)$ satisfies (3). The LM parameter of the method $t_{k}$ is computed by:

$$
t_{k}=\mu\left\|F_{k}\right\|
$$

where $\mu>0$ is a constant.
The method's convergence rate is proven to be $(r+$ 1). By generalizing the method presented in [17] to the non-smooth case, this study proposes a modulus-based non-smooth Shamanskii-Like Levenberg-Marquardt (NSLM) method with $r$-order Armijo line search for NCPs. The LM parameter of the proposed method $t_{k}$ is computed by:

$$
t_{k}=\mu\left\|F_{k}\right\|^{\delta}
$$

where $\delta \in[1,2]$ and $\mu>0$ are constants.
Under suitable conditions, global convergence of the proposed method is proven.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, a modulus-based manipulation is used to translate the NCP into a non-smooth system of nonlinear equations, and several common lemmas and definitions in the non-smooth case are introduced. In Section III, the proposed NSLM method is described. In Section IV, the global convergence of the proposed method is proven under suitable conditions. In Section IV, the preliminary numerical results are presented and discussed. Finally, the main conclusions and remarks are given in Section V.

## II. Preliminaries

In this paper, absolute value $|\cdot|$ is component-wise, Clarke's subdifferential of $F$ at $x$ is denoted by $\partial F(x)$, and the identity matrix is denoted by $I$.
Consider a vector $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and denote $|x|=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|, \cdots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Next, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=|x|+x, F(z)=|x|-x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, it can be obtained that:

$$
z \geq 0, F(z) \geq 0, z^{\mathrm{T}} F(z)=0
$$

which is called the modulus-base manipulation.
Further, consider the following non-smooth nonlinear system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=0, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(x)=F(x+|x|)+x-|x|$,
Theorem 1: If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a solution to (5), then $z$ dedined by $z=|x|+x$ is the solution to (1). In other words, solving (1) is equivalent to solving the non-smooth nonlinear system of equations (5).
Proof. Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a solution of (5); then, $H(x)=F(x+|x|)+x-|x|=0$. Based on (4), $z$ is the solution to (1).

Definition 1: [18] Suppose $H: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous. Assume $\Omega_{H}$ represents a set of points at which $H$ is differentiable. Then Clarke's generalized Jacobian matrix of $H$ in the neighborhood of $x$ is expressed by:

$$
\partial H(x)=c o\left\{\lim H^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right): x_{k} \rightarrow x, x_{i} \in \Omega_{H}\right\},
$$

where $H^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$ is Jaccobian matrix of $H(x)$ at $x_{k}$, and co denotes the convex hull of a collection.
Clearly, $|x|$ is locally Lipschitz continuous. According to Definition 1, Clarke's generalized Jacobian matrix of $|x|$ is given by:

$$
\partial|x|=\operatorname{diag}\left(\partial\left|x_{1}\right|, \partial\left|x_{2}\right|, \cdots, \partial\left|x_{n}\right|\right)
$$

where,

$$
\partial\left|x_{i}\right|= \begin{cases}-1, & x_{i}<0 \\ \alpha, & x_{i}=0 \\ 1, & x_{i}>0\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha \in[-1,1]$, and $i=1,2, \cdots, n$.
Then, $V_{k} \in \partial H_{k}$, and the Jacobian matrix of $H$ at $x_{k}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k}=F^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(\partial\left|x_{k}\right|+I\right)+\left(I-\partial\left|x_{k}\right|\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|H(x)\|^{2}$; then,

$$
\partial f(x)=\left\{V^{\mathrm{T}} H(x): V \in \partial H(x)\right\}
$$

For convenience, denote $\partial H_{k}=\partial H\left(x_{k}\right), H_{k}=H\left(x_{k}\right)$, $f_{k}=f\left(x_{k}\right)$, and set $V_{k} \in \partial H_{k}$.

Definition 2: [19] For any non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, if there is a component $x_{j} \neq 0$ such that $x_{j}(M x)_{j}>0$, then $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called a P-matrix.
Definition 3: [19] Suppose $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is nonempty, $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If there is a constant $\alpha>0$, for any $x, y \in D, x \neq y$, there is a subscript $k=k(x, y), 1 \leq k \leq n$ satisfying the condition of:

$$
\left(x_{k}-y_{k}\right)\left(g_{k}(x)-g_{k}(y)\right) \geq \alpha\|x-y\|^{2},
$$

then, $g$ is called a uniformly P-mapping on $D$.
Lemma 1: [20] If $H: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then the following statements hold:

1) $\partial H(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a convex compact subset;
2) A set-valued mapping $x \rightarrow \partial H(x)$ is upper continuous, that is, for any $\omega>0$, there is $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\partial H(y) \subset \partial H(x)+\omega B_{n \times n}, \quad \forall y \in x+\kappa B_{n \times n}(0,1)
$$

where $B_{n \times n}(0,1)$ is the unit ball in space $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$;
3) Let $l_{H}$ denote the Lipschitz constant of $H(x)$ in the neighborhood of $x$; then, $\partial H(x) \subset l_{H} B_{n \times n}(0,1)$.
Lemma 2: [8] Suppose $H: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous; then, the following statements hold:

1) $H$ is semismooth at $x$;
2) For any $V \in \partial H(x+h), h \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\left\|V h-H^{\prime}(x ; h)\right\|=o(\|h\|) ;
$$

3) For any $V \in \partial H(x+h), h \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\|H(x+h)-H(x)-V h\|=o(\|h\|) .
$$

## III. NSLM METHOD

In this section, an NSLM method is proposed. For convenience, the proposed method is denoted as the NSLM algorithm.

Assumption 1: The following statement holds:

1) The nonlinear mapping $F$ is a uniformly continuous P-mapping;
2) Suppose $x^{*}$ is a stationary point of $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|H(x)\|^{2}$. If $z^{*}=\left|x^{*}\right|+x^{*}$, then the Jaccobian matrix of $F$ at $z^{*}, F^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right)$, is a P-matrix.

## Algorithm NSLM

Input The starting point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $\mu>0, \lambda>0, \xi_{0}, \xi_{1}>0, \rho, v \in(0,1), \delta \in[1,2]$;
Step 1 Compute $H_{k}=H\left(x_{k}\right)$ and select $V_{k} \in \partial H_{k}$; set $k=0$;
Step 2 If $\left\|V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} F_{k}\right\|=0$, stop; otherwise, set $x_{k, 0}=$ $x_{k}, d_{k, 0}=d_{k}$ and compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k, i}=-\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} H_{k, i}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{k, i}=x_{k, i-1}+d_{k, i-1}, t_{k}=\mu\left\|F_{k}\right\|^{\delta}$ to obtain $d_{k, i}$, where $i=1,2, \cdots, r-1, H_{k, i}=H\left(x_{k, i}\right)$.
Set,

$$
s_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k, i}
$$

Step 3 If it holds that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H\left(x_{k, i}\right)\right\| \leq \rho\left\|H\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, set $\alpha_{k}=1$ and go to Step 5;
Step 4 Set

$$
s_{k}= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k, i} & H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k, i} \leq-\lambda,  \tag{9}\\ d_{k, 0}, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Solve $\alpha_{k}=\max \left\{1, v, v^{2}, \cdots\right\}$ with $\alpha_{k}=v^{j}, j \in N$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\alpha_{k} s_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1+\omega_{k}\right)\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}-\xi_{0} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\xi_{1} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{k}>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k}<\infty, \omega_{k}>0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5 Let $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} s_{k}, k=k+1$, go to step 2.

## IV. NSLM method and Global Convergence

This section explains the global convergence of the proposed NSLM algorithm. First, the definitions and lemmas are introduced.

Lemma 3: [21] If $F\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is a uniformly continuous P-mapping, then the level set

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(x) \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded.
Assumption 2: Suppose the level set $L\left(x_{0}\right)$ is bounded, and $H(x)$ is semismooth. For a given $x \in \partial H(x+d), h \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}, W \in \partial H(x+d)$, define

$$
\Phi(x, h, W)=H(x)+W h-H(x+d) .
$$

Then, let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=\max \|H(x)\|, x \in L\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \beta_{2}=\max \|V\|, V \in \partial H(x), x \in L\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \beta_{3}=\max \left\|V^{\mathrm{T}} V\right\|, V \in \partial H(x), x \in L\left(x_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4: Suppose Assumption 1 is satisfied. For any $\omega>0$, if there exists a constant $\delta_{0}>0$ such that for all $x \in L\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\|h\| \leq \delta_{0}$ satisfying $x+h \in L\left(x_{0}\right)$, it holds
that:
$\max _{W \in \partial H(x+h)}\|\Phi(x, h, W)\| \leq \min \left[\frac{\sqrt{\omega\|h\|}}{4 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\omega}{32 \eta(\omega)}\|h\|\right]$,
where $\eta(\omega)=\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}^{-1} \beta_{2} \omega$.
Proof. See Lemma 3.2 in [8].
Lemma 5: Suppose Assumption 1 is satisfied. For any $\omega>0$, there exists a constant $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for all $x, x+h \in L\left(x_{0}\right),\|h\| \leq \delta_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|W-V\| \leq \min \left[\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{4 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\omega}{32 \eta(\omega)}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W \in \partial H(x+h), V \in \partial H(x)$, and $\eta(\omega)=\beta_{1}+$ $\beta_{3}^{-1} \beta_{2} \omega$.
Proof. See Lemma 1 (2) in this paper.
Lemma 6: [20] Let $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ be two positive sequences, where $\alpha_{k+1} \leq\left(1+v_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}+v_{k}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{k}<$ $\infty$; then, $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$ is convergent.

Lemma 7: Suppose $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is updated by the NSLM algorithm.

1) For any $x_{k} \in L\left(x_{0}\right), k \geq 0,\left\{\left\|H_{k}\right\|\right\}$ is convergent;
2) For any $x_{k} \in L\left(x_{0}\right) \mid,\left\{\left\|H_{k}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, that is, there is a positive constant $M>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{k}\right\| \leq M, \forall k \geq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) If $\left\|H\left(x_{k}+s_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \rho\left\|H_{k}\right\|$ is satisfied for all $k>0$; then, $\left\{\left\|H_{k}\right\|\right\}$ will converge to zero.
Proof. See Lemma 3.2 in [22] for the proof of (1) and Lemma 3.3 in [23] for the proof of (2).

Theorem 2: Suppose Assumption 2 is satisfied and $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is obtained by the NSLM algorithm; then, the NSLM algorithm terminates after a finite number of iteration steps, or there is $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} H_{k}\right\|=0, \forall V_{k} \in \partial H_{k}$.
Proof. ${ }^{k} \rightarrow_{\text {Proceed with the proof by contradiction. Suppose }}$ that there exists $\tau>0$ and a larger integer $k^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} H_{k}\right\| \geq \tau, \quad \forall k>k^{\prime} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $K=\left\{k \in N_{+}:\left\|H\left(x_{k}+s_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \rho\left\|H_{k}\right\|\right\}$. If $K$ is an infinite set of integers, then $\left\|H_{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts (16). Therefore, $K$ is a finite set of integers. Based on (7), (12), and (15), it can be obtained that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}<\infty$; then, it holds that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let $\bar{\alpha}_{k}=\alpha_{k} / v$. If $H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k}^{i} \leq-\lambda$, according to (7), it holds that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& >-\bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left(\xi_{0}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)+\omega_{k}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq-\bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left(\xi_{0}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which means,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left(\xi_{0}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq-\left(\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq-2 H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)-H_{k}\right) \\
& \quad-\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)-H_{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the right side of the above equation; one element is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} & \left(H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)-H_{k}\right) \\
= & H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(W_{k} \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}-\Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}, W\right)\right) \\
= & H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k} \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}+H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(W_{k}-V_{k}\right) \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k} \\
& -H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}, W\right) \\
\leq & -\bar{\alpha}_{k} \lambda+\frac{\omega}{16 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{k}\left\|s_{k}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and another element is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)-H_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|W \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}-\Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}, W\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|V \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|(W-V) \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}, W\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+2\left\|V \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\|\left\|(W-V) \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\| \\
& \quad+2\left\|V \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\|\left\|\Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}, W\right)\right\| \\
& \quad+2\left\|(W-V) \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\|\left\|\Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}, W\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \\
& = \\
& \left.=C \beta_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{\omega}{16 \eta(\omega)}\right)^{2}+\frac{\beta_{2} \omega}{8 \eta(\omega)}\right)\left\|\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \\
& \\
& \quad s_{k} \|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=\beta_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{\omega}{16 \eta(\omega)}\right)^{2}+\frac{\beta_{2} \omega}{8 \eta(\omega)}$.
Combining the above two elements yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left(\xi_{0}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq-\left(\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq-2 H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)-H_{k}\right) \\
& \quad-\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} s_{k}\right)-H_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq 2 \bar{\alpha}_{k} \lambda-\frac{\omega}{8 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{k}\left\|s_{k}\right\|-C \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 \lambda-\frac{\omega}{8 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1}\left\|s_{k}\right\|\right) \bar{\alpha}_{k} \\
& \leq\left(C\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{0}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, it holds that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}_{k} \geq \frac{\left(C\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{0}\left\|s_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}}{\left(2 \lambda-\frac{\omega}{8 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1}\left\|s_{k}\right\|\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} d_{k, i}>-\lambda$, there exists

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left(\xi_{0}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq-\left(\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq-2 H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0}\right)-H_{k}\right) \\
&-\left\|H\left(x_{k}+\bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0}\right)-H_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq-2 H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k} \bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0}-2 H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(W_{k}-V_{k}\right) \bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0} \\
&+2 H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \Phi\left(x, \bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0}, W\right)-C \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq-2 \bar{\alpha}_{k} H_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k} d_{k, 0}-\frac{\omega}{8 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{k}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|-C \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|^{2} \\
&= 2 \bar{\alpha}_{k} d_{k, 0}\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right) d_{k, 0}-\frac{\omega}{8 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{k}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\| \\
&-C \bar{\alpha}_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, it holds that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\alpha}_{k}\left(\xi_{0}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}+C\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq 2 d_{k, 0}\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right) d_{k, 0}-\frac{\omega}{8 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}_{k} \geq \frac{2 t_{k} d_{k, 0}^{2}-\frac{\omega}{16 \eta(\omega)} \beta_{1}\left\|d_{k}\right\|}{\left(C+\xi_{0}\right)\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}+\xi_{1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|^{2}} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, suppose the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $V_{k}$ is $V_{k}=P_{k} \Sigma_{k} Q_{k}$, where $P_{k}$ and $Q_{k}$ are orthogonal matrices, and $\Sigma_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}\right), \sigma_{i} \geq 0, i=$ $1,2, \cdots, n$ is the singular value of $v_{k}$. Then, it can be written that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1}\right\| & =\left\|Q_{k}\left(\Sigma_{k}^{2}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} Q_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(\Sigma_{k}^{2}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1}\right\| \\
& =\max _{i=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{2}+t_{k}\right)^{-1} \leq t_{k}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\| & =\left\|-\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k} H_{k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|V_{k}\right\|\left\|H_{k}\right\| \leq \frac{\beta_{2}}{\mu t_{k}^{\frac{\delta-1}{\delta}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|d_{k, i}\right\|=\left\|-\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k} H_{k, i}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i}\left\|\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k}\left(H_{k, j}-H_{k, j-1}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right)^{-1} V_{k} H_{k, 0}\right\| \\
& \leq \beta_{2} t_{k}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i}\left\|d_{k, j-1}\right\|+\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, r-1$.
Thus, for any $k$ large enough, it holds that:

$$
\left\|d_{k, i}\right\| \leq\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\| \sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(\beta_{2} t_{k}^{-1}\right)^{j} \leq C_{1}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|,
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a positive constant.
If $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\|=0$, then $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} H_{k}\right\|=$ $\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}^{k \rightarrow \infty}}\left\|\left(V_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} V_{k}+t_{k} I\right) d_{k, 0}\right\|=0$. This contradicts (16), so there exists a constant $\tau_{1}>0$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|d_{k, 0}\right\| \geq \tau_{1}$. Due to the arbitrariness of $\omega$, (18) and ${ }^{k \rightarrow \infty}(19), \alpha_{k}>0$ has a non-zero lower bound if an appropriate $\mu, \lambda$ value is selected, which contradicts (17). Thus, the assumption is false.

## V. Numerical Results

To assess the effectiveness of the improved algorithm, the proposed NSLM algorithm was evaluated on five numerical problems constructed based on [24] and compared with Algorithm 1 of [16].
Let $g(z)=0$ be a differentiable system of nonlinear
equations [24] and denote $z^{*}=(1,0,1,0, \cdots)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For all $i=1, \cdots, n$, set

$$
G_{i}(z)= \begin{cases}g_{i}(z)-g_{i}\left(z^{*}\right), & \text { if } i \text { odd or } i>\frac{1}{2} n \\ g_{i}(z)-g_{i}\left(z^{*}\right)+1, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $z^{*}$ is a solution to the corresponding nonlinear complementarity problems.
The proposed algorithm was developed in MatlabR2021a and ran on a PC with the 11th generation Intel(R)Core(TM)i5-11300H@3. 10GHz3. 11 GHz RAM16G). The stopping criterion was $\left\|H\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leq 10^{-5}$ or the number of iteration exceeded 100 . When the iteration number exceeded 100 , the test was regarded as "failed" and denoted by "F". It was set that: $\alpha=0, r=4$, and $\omega_{k}=0.01^{k} / 10$, and $V_{k}$ was computed by (2.3). The parameters of the NSLM algorithm were set as follows: $\mu_{0}=1 e-5, \delta=1.8, \lambda=1 e-5, \xi_{0}=\xi_{1}=0.05, \rho=$ $0.9, v=0.5 ; x_{0}$ is selected according to the initial point suggested in [24]. The parameters of Algorithm 1 were consistent with those used in [16]. The algorithms' numerical performances were tested in solving the problems with $600,1,500,5,000$, and 10,000 dimensions, in turn. Further details of the symbol descriptions are provided in Table I. The numerical results are presented in Table II.

TABLE I: Description of Symbols

| Symbol | Symbol description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dim | The dimension of a function |
| NI | The number of iterations |
| NF | The function calculations |
| NJ | The function's Jacobian calculations |
| Tcpu | The running time of the problem, expressed in seconds |
| Problem1 | Extended Rosenbrock function |
| Problem2 | Extended Powell singular function |
| Problem3 | Extended Cragg and Levy function |
| Problem4 | Broyden banded problem |
| Problem5 | Broyden tridiagonal problem |

Based on the numerical results presented in Table II, the NI, NF, and $\left\|H_{k}\right\|$ values of the proposed NSLM were overall lower than those of Algorithm 1. The NJ and Tcpu values
of the proposed NSLM were significantly lower than those of Algorithm 1. This difference was even more obvious for $n=5,000$ and $n=10,000$. Generally, the algorithm mainly spent the most time solving the Jacobian matrices. Therefore, although the proposed NSLM might require performing more function calculations and iterations to converge than Algorithm 1, its total computational time is shorter, especially for high-dimensional test problems.

## VI. Conclusions

Translating the considered problems into a system of nonlinear equations has been a common strategy for solving the NCPs. In this paper, modulus-based manipulation is used to complete the aforementioned conversion. A LevenbergMarquardt method with the standard $r$-order Amijio line search technique is developed to solve the resulting nonsmooth equations. Numerical results demonstrate that, in comparison to Algorithm 1, the proposed NSLM method has fewer calculations of Jacobian matrices and a shorter running time. The proposed NSLM method can be considered competitive in solving large-scale nonlinear complementarity problems with the existing methods. However, in practical applications, the efficiency of the proposed NSLM method depends on the parameters' values, which could be challenging to select appropriately.
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