On Certain Coupled Fixed Point Theorems Via C Star Class Functions in C^* -Algebra Valued Fuzzy Soft Metric Spaces With Applications

C.Ushabhavani, G.Upender Reddy, and B.Srinuvasa Rao

Abstract—The discussion of this paper is to aim to examine application of the notion of C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric to homotopy theory using common coupled fixed point results from C_* -class functions. We also tried to provide an illustration of our major findings. The results attained expand upon and apply to many of the findings in the literature.

Index Terms— C_* -class function, ω -compatible mapping, C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric and coupled fixed points.

I. INTRODUCTION

N UMEROUS real-world issues deal with ambiguous data and cannot be adequately described in classical mathematics. Fuzzy set theory, developed by Zadeh [1], and the theory of soft sets, developed by Molodstov [2], are two types of mathematical tools that can be used to deal with uncertainties and help with difficulties in a variety of fields. Thangaraj Beaula et al. defined fuzzy soft metric space in terms of fuzzy soft points in the cited work [3], and they supported various claims. However, numerous authors have established a great deal of findings regarding fuzzy soft sets and fuzzy soft metric spaces (see [4] -[6]).

A concept of C^* - algebra valued metric space was presented in 2006 by Ma et al. in [7], and certain fixed and coupled fixed point solutions for mapping under contraction conditions in these spaces were established. This line of inquiry was pursued in (see [8]-[14]). Recently, R.P.Agarwal et al. introduced the idea of C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces and demonstrated some associated fixed point solutions on this space (see. [15]-[19]).

The purpose of this article is to establish two pairs of ω -compatible mappings meeting generalised contractive requirements as unique common coupled fixed point theorems using C_* -class functions in the context of C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces. Additionally, we may provide pertinent examples and applications for homotopy.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review several fundamental notations and definitions.

Definition II.1:([15]) Assume that $C \subseteq \Theta$ and $\tilde{\Theta}$ are the absolute fuzzy soft set and $\psi_{\Theta}(\alpha) = \tilde{1}$ for all $\alpha \in \Theta$, respectively. Let the C^* -algebra be represented by \tilde{C} . The mapping $\tilde{d_{c^*}}: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{C}$ satisfying the given constraints is known as the C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric utilising fuzzy soft points.

- (i) $\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \leq \tilde{d}_{c^*}(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2})$ for all $\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2} \in \tilde{\Theta}$,
- (*ii*) $\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\psi_{\alpha_1},\psi_{\alpha_2}) = \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \Leftrightarrow \psi_{\alpha_1} = \psi_{\alpha_2},$
- $\begin{array}{ll} (iii) & \tilde{d}_{c^{*}}^{(i)}(\psi_{\alpha_{1}},\psi_{\alpha_{2}}) = d_{c^{*}}^{(i)}(\psi_{\alpha_{2}},\psi_{\alpha_{1}}), \\ (iv) & \tilde{d}_{c^{*}}^{(i)}(\psi_{\alpha_{1}},\psi_{\alpha_{3}}) \preceq d_{c^{*}}^{(i)}(\psi_{\alpha_{1}},\psi_{\alpha_{2}}) + d_{c^{*}}^{(i)}(\psi_{\alpha_{2}},\psi_{\alpha_{3}}) \\ & \forall \ \psi_{\alpha_{1}},\psi_{\alpha_{2}},\psi_{\alpha_{3}} \in \tilde{\Theta}. \end{array}$

The C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space is made up of the fuzzy soft set $\tilde{\Theta}$ and the fuzzy soft metric d_{c^*} . It is represented by the symbol $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$.

Remark II.1: ([15]) It is clear that fuzzy soft metric spaces with C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metrics generalise the idea of fuzzy soft metric spaces by substituting the set of fuzzy soft real numbers with \tilde{C}_+ . The idea of a fuzzy soft metric space with C^* -algebra values is similar to the definition of real metric spaces if we assume that $\hat{C}_{+} = \mathcal{R}$.

Example II.1:([15]) If C and Θ are subsets of \mathcal{R} , then Θ is an absolute fuzzy soft set, where $\tilde{\Theta}(\alpha) = \tilde{1}$ for every α in Θ , and \tilde{C} is defined as $M_2(\mathcal{R}(C)^*)$. 0 1

Define
$$\tilde{d_{c^*}}: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{C}$$
 by $\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}) = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa \end{bmatrix}$,
where $\kappa = \inf\{|\mu_{v_t}^a(t) - \mu_{v_t}^a(t)|/t \in C\}$ and

where $\kappa = \inf\{|\mu_{\psi_{\alpha_1}}^u(t) - \mu_{\psi_{\alpha_2}}^u(t)|/t \in C\}$ and $\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2} \in \tilde{\Theta}$. Then, by the completeness of $\mathcal{R}(C)^*$, $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d}_{c^*})$ is a complete C^* algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space and $\tilde{d_{c^*}}$ is a C^* - algebra valued fuzzy soft metric. **Definition II.2:**([15]) Assume that (Θ, C, d_{c^*}) is a

 C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space. According to \hat{C} a sequence $\{\psi_{\alpha_k}\}$ in Θ is defined as:

- (1) C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft Cauchy sequence if, for each $\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \prec \tilde{\epsilon}$, there exist $\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \prec \tilde{\delta}$ and a positive integer $N = N(\tilde{\epsilon})$ such that $||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\psi_{\alpha_k}, \psi_{\alpha_l})|| < \tilde{\delta}$ implies that $||\mu^a_{\psi_{\alpha_k}}(t) - \mu^a_{\psi_{\alpha_l}}(s)|| < \tilde{\epsilon}$ whenever $k, l \ge N$. That is $||\tilde{d}_{c^*}(\psi_{\alpha_k},\psi_{\alpha_l})||_{\tilde{C}} \to \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$ as $k,l \to \infty$.
- (2) C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft convergent to a point $\psi_{\alpha'} \in \Theta$ if, for each $0_{\tilde{C}} \prec \tilde{\epsilon}$, there exist $\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \prec \tilde{\delta}$ and a positive integer $N = N(\tilde{\epsilon})$ such that
 $$\begin{split} ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\psi_{\alpha_k},\psi_{\alpha'})|| &< \tilde{\delta} \Rightarrow ||\mu_{\psi_{\alpha_k}}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(t) - \mu_{\psi_{\alpha'}}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(t)|| < \tilde{\epsilon} \\ \text{whenever } k \geq N. \text{ It is usually denoted as} \end{split}$$
 $\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi_{\alpha_k} = \psi_{\alpha'}.$
- (3) It is referred to as being complete when a C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space (Θ, C, d_{c^*}) is present. If each Cauchy sequence in $\tilde{\Theta}$ converges to a fuzzy soft point in Θ .

Lemma II.1:([15]) Let \tilde{C} be a C^* -algebra with the identity

Manuscript received April 21, 2023; revised December 19, 2023.

C.Ushabhavani is assistant professor at Department of Humanities & Basic Science of Sreechaitanya College of Engineering, Thimmapur-505001, Telangana, India. E-mail: n.ushabhavani@gmail.com

G.Upender Reddy is assistant professor at Department of Mathematics of Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda-508254, Telangana, India. E-mail: upendermathsmgu@gmail.com

B.Srinuvasa Rao is assistant professor at Department of Mathematics of Dr.B.R.Ambedkar University, Srikakulam-532410, Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: srinivasabagathi@gmail.com

element $\tilde{I}_{\tilde{C}_{\sim}}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ be a positive element of \tilde{C} . If $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{C}$ is such that $||\tilde{\lambda}|| < 1$ then for p < q, we have

(a) $\lim_{q \to \infty} \sum_{k=p}^{q} (\tilde{\lambda}^*)^k \tilde{\theta}(\tilde{\lambda})^k = \tilde{I}_{\tilde{C}} ||(\tilde{\theta})^{\frac{1}{2}}||^2 \left(\frac{||\tilde{\lambda}||^p}{1-||\tilde{\lambda}||}\right).$ (b) $\sum_{k=n}^{q} (\tilde{\lambda}^*)^k \tilde{\theta}(\tilde{\lambda})^k \to \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$ as $q \to \infty$.

Definition II.2: ([15]) Suppose that \hat{C} is a unital C^* -algebra with unit 1.

- (i) If $\tilde{\kappa} \in C_+$ with $||\tilde{\kappa}|| < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\tilde{I} \tilde{\kappa}$ is invertible and $\|\tilde{\kappa}(\tilde{I}-\tilde{\kappa})^{-1}\| < 1,$
- (*ii*) Suppose that $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{C}$ with $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\lambda} \succeq \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\kappa}$ then $\tilde{\kappa}\lambda \succeq \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$,
- (*iii*) Let $\tilde{C}' = \{\tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}/\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\kappa} \,\forall \,\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{C}\}$. Let $\tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}'$, if $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{C}$ with $\tilde{\lambda} \succeq \tilde{\theta} \succeq \tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{I} \tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}'_+$ is an invertible operator, then $(\tilde{I} - \tilde{\kappa})^{-1} \tilde{\lambda} \succeq (\tilde{I} - \tilde{\kappa})^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$, where $\tilde{C_+}' = \tilde{C_+} \cap \tilde{C}'$

Notice that in c^* -algebra , if $\tilde{0} \preceq \tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\lambda}$, one can't conclude that $\tilde{0} \preceq \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{\lambda}$. Indeed, consider the c^* -algebra $M_2(\mathcal{R}(C)^*)$ and set

 $\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\alpha_1}(a) & \psi_{\alpha_2}(a) \\ \psi_{\alpha_2}(a) & \psi_{\alpha_1}(b) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\tilde{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\alpha_1}(c) & \psi_{\alpha_2}(c) \\ \psi_{\alpha_2}(c) & \psi_{\alpha_1}(d) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$ clearly $\tilde{\kappa} \succeq \tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \succeq \tilde{0}$ but $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\lambda} \in M_2(\mathcal{R}(C)^*)_+$ $\tilde{\kappa} =$ and

then while $\tilde{\kappa}\lambda$ is not.

For more properties of a C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric and C^* -algebra we refer the reader to ([15], [20]).

III. MAIN RESULTS

For C_* -class functions in C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces, we will demonstrate various coupled fixed point theorems in this section.

Definition III.1: Let $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$ be a C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space. Let $S: \Theta \times \Theta \to \Theta$ be a mapping. Then an element $(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1}) \in \Theta \times \Theta$ is called coupled fixed point of S if $S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1}) = \psi_{\alpha_1}$ and $S(\phi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_1}) = \phi_{\alpha_1}$ **Definition III.2:** Let Θ be absolute fuzzy soft set and $S: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$ and $f: \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$ be two mappings. An element $(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1}) \in \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta}$ is called

- (i) a coupled coincidence point of S and f if $f\psi_{\alpha_1} = S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1})$ and $f\phi_{\alpha_1} = S(\phi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_1})$ (ii) a common coupled fixed point of S and f
 - if $\psi_{\alpha_1} = f\psi_{\alpha_1} = S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1})$ and $\phi_{\alpha_1} = f\phi_{\alpha_1} = S(\phi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_1}).$

Definition III.3: Let $\tilde{\Theta}$ be absolute fuzzy soft set and $S: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$ and $f: \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$. Then $\{S, f\}$ is said to be ω -compatible pairs if $f(S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1})) = S(f\psi_{\alpha_1}, f\phi_{\alpha_1})$ and $f(S(\phi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_1})) = S(f\phi_{\alpha_1}, f\psi_{\alpha_1})$

Definition III.4: Let \tilde{C} is a unital C^* -algebra. Then a continuous function $\Gamma: \tilde{C_+} \times \tilde{C_+} \to \tilde{C_+}$ is called a C_* -class function if for all $A, B \in C_+$,

- (a) $\Gamma(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \preceq \hat{A};$
- (b) $\Gamma(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = \tilde{A} \Rightarrow \tilde{A} = \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$ or $\tilde{B} = \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$.

We denote C_* as the family of all C_* -class functions. **Definition III.5:** A function $\eta : \tilde{C}_+ \to \tilde{C}_+$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

- (a) η is nondecreasing and continuous,
- (b) $\eta(A) = \hat{0}_{\tilde{C}}$ if and only if $A = \hat{0}_{\tilde{C}}$.

The family of all altering distance functions is denoted by Ω .

Theorem III.1: Assume that C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$ and suppose two mappings $S: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$ and $f: \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$ be satisfying

$$\eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1}), S(\psi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_2}) \right) \right) \preceq \Gamma \left(\eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(f\psi_{\alpha_1}, f\psi_{\alpha_2} \right) \tilde{\kappa} \right), \theta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(f\phi_{\alpha_1}, f\phi_{\alpha_2} \right) \tilde{\kappa} \right) \right)$$
(1)

for all $\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2} \in \tilde{\Theta}$, where $\tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}$ with $||\tilde{\kappa}|| < 1$ and $\eta, \theta \in \Omega$ and $\Gamma \in C_*$.

- (i) $S(\tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta}) \subseteq f(\tilde{\Theta}),$
- (*ii*) $\{S, f\}$ is ω -compatible pairs,
- (*iii*) $f(\Theta)$ is complete C^{*}-algebra valued fuzzy soft metrics of Θ .

Then, in Θ , S and f have a unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof: Let $\psi_{\alpha_0}, \phi_{\alpha_0} \in \tilde{\Theta}$. From (i) we can construct the sequences $\{\psi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\varphi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\xi_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\zeta_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$S(\psi_{\alpha_n}, \phi_{\alpha_n}) = f\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \xi_{\alpha_n}, S(\phi_{\alpha_n}, \psi_{\alpha_n}) = f\phi_{\alpha_{n+1}} = \zeta_{\alpha_n}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Observes that in C^* -algebra, if $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{C}_+$ and $\tilde{\kappa} \leq \tilde{b}$, then for any $\tilde{x} \in C_+$ both $\tilde{x}^* \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{x}^* b \tilde{x}$ are positive. We conveniently refer to the element $d_{c^*}(\xi_{\alpha_0}, \xi_{\alpha_1})$ in C as Q. From (1), we get

$$\eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\xi_{\alpha_n},\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}}\right)\right)$$

$$= \eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(S(\psi_{\alpha_n},\phi_{\alpha_n}),S(\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}},\phi_{\alpha_{n+1}})\right)\right)$$

$$\preceq \Gamma\left(\begin{array}{c}\eta\left(\tilde{\kappa}^*\tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\psi_{\alpha_n},f\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}})\tilde{\kappa}\right),\\\theta\left(\tilde{\kappa}^*\tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\phi_{\alpha_n},f\phi_{\alpha_{n+1}})\tilde{\kappa}\right)\end{array}\right)$$

$$\preceq \eta\left(\tilde{\kappa}^*\tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\psi_{\alpha_n},f\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}})\tilde{\kappa}\right)$$

$$\preceq \eta\left(\tilde{\kappa}^*\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha_{n-1}},\xi_{\alpha_n})\tilde{\kappa}\right).$$

By the definition of η , we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\xi_{\alpha_n}, \xi_{\alpha_{n+1}} \right) & \preceq \quad \tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\xi_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \xi_{\alpha_n}) \tilde{\kappa} \\ & \preceq \quad (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^2 \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\xi_{\alpha_{n-2}}, \xi_{\alpha_{n-1}}) \tilde{\kappa}^2 \\ & \preceq \quad \cdots \\ & \preceq \quad (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^n \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\xi_{\alpha_0}, \xi_{\alpha_1}) \tilde{\kappa}^n \preceq (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^n Q \tilde{\kappa}^n. \end{split}$$

So for n+1 > m

$$\begin{aligned} d_{c^*} \left(\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}}, \xi_{\alpha_m} \right) \\ &\preceq \quad d_{c^*} \left(\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}}, \xi_{\alpha_n} \right) + d_{c^*} \left(\xi_{\alpha_n}, \xi_{\alpha_{n-1}} \right) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d_{c^*} \left(\xi_{\alpha_{m+1}}, \xi_{\alpha_m} \right) \\ &\preceq \quad (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^n Q \tilde{\kappa}^n + (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^{n-1} Q \tilde{\kappa}^{n-1} + \cdots + (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^m Q \tilde{\kappa}^m \\ &\preceq \quad \sum_{k=m}^n (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^k Q \tilde{\kappa}^k = \sum_{k=m}^n (\tilde{\kappa}^*)^k Q^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\kappa}^k \\ &\preceq \quad \sum_{k=m}^n (\tilde{\kappa}^k Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* (Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\kappa}^k) = \sum_{k=m}^n |Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\kappa}^k|^2 \\ &\preceq \quad \| \sum_{k=m}^n |Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\kappa}^k|^2 \| \tilde{I}_{\tilde{C}} \preceq \sum_{k=m}^n \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \| \tilde{\kappa} \|^{2k} \tilde{I}_{\tilde{C}} \\ &\preceq \quad \| Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \sum_{k=m}^n \| \tilde{\kappa} \|^{2k} \tilde{I}_{\tilde{C}} \preceq \| Q \| \frac{||\tilde{\kappa}||^{2m}}{1-||\tilde{\kappa}||} \tilde{I}_{\tilde{C}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$$
 as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

As a result, $\{\xi_{\alpha_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\tilde{\Theta}$ with regard to \tilde{C} . We can also demonstrate that $\{\zeta_{\alpha_n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence with regard to \tilde{C} . Let's say $f(\tilde{\Theta})$ the complete subspace of $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$. Then the sequences $\{\xi_{\alpha_n}\}$ and $\{\zeta_{\alpha_n}\}$ are converge to $\xi_{\alpha'}, \zeta_{\alpha'}$ respectively in $f(\tilde{\Theta})$. Thus there exist $\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}$ in $f(\tilde{\Theta})$ Such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_{\alpha_n} = \xi_{\alpha'} = f \psi_{\alpha'} \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_{\alpha_n} = \zeta_{\alpha'} = f \phi_{\alpha'}.$$
(2)

Now we claim that $S(\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}) = \xi_{\alpha'}$ and $S(\phi_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha'}) = \zeta_{\alpha'}$. From (1) and using the triangular inequality

$$\begin{split} &\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \preceq d_{c^*}(S(\psi_{\alpha'},\phi_{\alpha'}),\xi_{\alpha'}) \\ & \preceq \tilde{d}_{c^*}(S(\psi_{\alpha'},\phi_{\alpha'}),\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}}) + \tilde{d}_{c^*}(\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}},\xi_{\alpha'}) \\ & \preceq \tilde{d}_{c^*}(S(\psi_{\alpha'},\phi_{\alpha'}),S(\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}},\phi_{\alpha_{n+1}})) + \tilde{d}_{c^*}(\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}},\xi_{\alpha'}). \end{split}$$

If we assume that the relation's limit is $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{\tilde{C}} & \preceq \quad d_{c^*}(S(\psi_{\alpha'},\phi_{\alpha'}),\xi_{\alpha'}) \\ & \preceq \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{d_{c^*}}(S(\psi_{\alpha'},\phi_{\alpha'}),S(\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}},\phi_{\alpha_{n+1}})). \end{split}$$

By the definition of η , we have

$$\eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(S(\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}), \xi_{\alpha'}) \right)$$

$$\preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(S(\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}), S(\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}}, \phi_{\alpha_{n+1}})) \right)$$

$$\preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa^*} \tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\psi_{\alpha'}, f\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}}) \tilde{\kappa} \right)$$

$$\preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa^*} \tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\psi_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha_n}) \tilde{\kappa} \right) = \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}.$$

Therefore, we have $\tilde{d_{c^*}}(S(\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}), \xi_{\alpha'}) = \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$ implies that $S(\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}) = \xi_{\alpha'}$.

Similarly, we prove $S(\phi_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha'}) = \zeta_{\alpha'}$. Therefore, it follows $S(\psi_{\alpha'}, \phi_{\alpha'}) = \xi_{\alpha'} = f\psi_{\alpha'}$ and $S(\phi_{\alpha'}, \psi_{\alpha'}) = \zeta_{\alpha'} = f\phi_{\alpha'}$. Since $\{S, f\}$ is ω -compatible pair, we have $S(\xi_{\alpha'}, \zeta_{\alpha'}) = f\xi_{\alpha'}$ and $S(\zeta_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha'}) = f\zeta_{\alpha'}$.

Now to prove that $f\xi_{\alpha'} = \xi_{\alpha'}$ and $f\zeta_{\alpha'} = \zeta_{\alpha'}$. We have

$$\begin{split} &\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \preceq \eta \left(d_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha_{n+1}}) \right) \\ & \preceq \eta \left(\tilde{d}_{c^*}(S(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'}),S(\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}},\phi_{\alpha_{n+1}})) \right) \\ & \preceq \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d}_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},f\psi_{\alpha_{n+1}})\tilde{\kappa} \right) \\ & \preceq \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d}_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha_n})\tilde{\kappa} \right). \end{split}$$

By the definition of η and taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ in the above relation, we obtain

$$\tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}} \preceq d_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha'}) \preceq \tilde{\kappa}^* d_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha'})\tilde{\kappa}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} & 0 \leq ||d_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'})|| \leq ||\tilde{\kappa}^*d_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'})\tilde{\kappa}|| \\ & \leq ||\tilde{\kappa}^*||||d_{\tilde{c}^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'})||||\tilde{\kappa}|| \\ & \leq ||\tilde{\kappa}||^2||d_{\tilde{c}^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'})|| < ||d_{\tilde{c}^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'})||. \end{split}$$

It is impossible. So $d_{c^*}(f\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'}) = 0$ implies that $f\xi_{\alpha'} = \xi_{\alpha'}$. Similarly, we show that $f\zeta_{\alpha'} = \zeta_{\alpha'}$. Therefore, $S(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'}) = f\xi_{\alpha'} = \xi_{\alpha'}$ and $S(\zeta_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha'}) = f\zeta_{\alpha'} = \zeta_{\alpha'}$. Thus $(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'})$ is common coupled fixed point of S and f. The following will demonstrate the distinctness of the common coupled fixed point in $\tilde{\Theta}$. Take into account that

there is a second coupled fixed point $(\xi_{\alpha''},\zeta_{\alpha''})$ for S and f. Then

$$\begin{split} &\eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha''})\right) \\ &= \eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(S(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'}),S(\xi_{\alpha''},\zeta_{\alpha''}))\right) \\ &\preceq \Gamma\left(\eta\left(\tilde{\kappa^*}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\xi_{\alpha'},f\xi_{\alpha''})\tilde{\kappa}\right),\theta\left(\tilde{\kappa^*}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\zeta_{\alpha'},f\zeta_{\alpha''})\tilde{\kappa}\right)\right) \\ &\preceq \eta\left(\tilde{\kappa^*}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\xi_{\alpha'},f\xi_{\alpha''})\tilde{\kappa}\right) \preceq \eta\left(\tilde{\kappa^*}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha''})\tilde{\kappa}\right). \end{split}$$

By the definition of η , which further induces that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha''})|| &\leq ||\tilde{\kappa}^*\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha''})\tilde{\kappa}|| \\ &\leq ||\tilde{\kappa}||^2||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha''})|| \\ &< ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\xi_{\alpha''})||. \end{aligned}$$

It is impossible. So $d_{c^*}(\xi_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha''}) = 0$ implies $\xi_{\alpha'} = \xi_{\alpha''}$. Similarly, we show that

 $\zeta_{\alpha'} = \zeta_{\alpha''}$ and hence $(\xi_{\alpha'}, \zeta_{\alpha'}) = (\xi_{\alpha''}, \zeta_{\alpha''})$ which means the coupled fixed point is unique. In order to prove that Sand f have a unique fixed point, we only have to prove $\xi_{\alpha'} = \zeta_{\alpha'}$. We have

$$\begin{split} \eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'}, \zeta_{\alpha'}) \right) \\ &= \eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(S(\xi_{\alpha'}, \zeta_{\alpha'}), S(\zeta_{\alpha'}, \xi_{\alpha'})) \right) \\ &\preceq \Gamma \left(\eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\xi_{\alpha'}, f\zeta_{\alpha'}) \tilde{\kappa} \right), \theta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\zeta_{\alpha'}, f\xi_{\alpha'}) \tilde{\kappa} \right) \right) \\ &\preceq \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}}(f\xi_{\alpha'}, f\zeta_{\alpha'}) \tilde{\kappa} \right) \preceq \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'}, \zeta_{\alpha'}) \tilde{\kappa} \right). \end{split}$$

By the definition of η , which further induces that

$$\begin{split} ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'})|| &\leq ||\tilde{\kappa}^*\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'})\tilde{\kappa}|| \leq ||\tilde{\kappa}||^2 ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'})||.\\ \text{It follows from the fact } ||\tilde{\kappa}|| < 1 \text{ that } ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\xi_{\alpha'},\zeta_{\alpha'})|| = 0,\\ \text{thus } \xi_{\alpha'} &= \zeta_{\alpha'}. \text{ Which means that } S \text{ and } f \text{ have a unique fixed point in } \tilde{\Theta}. \end{split}$$

Corollary III.1: Let $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$ be a complete C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space. Suppose $S: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \rightarrow \tilde{\Theta}$ satisfies

$$\eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1}), S(\psi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_2}) \right) \right) \\ \preceq \Gamma \left(\eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}) \tilde{\kappa} \right), \theta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2}) \tilde{\kappa} \right) \right)$$
(3)

for all $\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2} \in \Theta$, where $\tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}$ with $||\tilde{\kappa}|| < 1$ and $\eta, \theta \in \Omega$ and $\Gamma \in C_*$. Then S has a unique fixed point in $\tilde{\Theta}$.

Example III.1: Let $\Theta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}, U = \{x, y, z, w\}$ and C and D are two subset of Θ where $C = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}, D = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \}$. Define fuzzy soft set as,

$$(\psi_{\Theta}, C) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_{1} = \{x_{0.7}, y_{0.6}, z_{0.6}, w_{0.5}\}, \\ \alpha_{2} = \{x_{0.8}, y_{0.7}, z_{0.8}, w_{0.6}\}, \\ \alpha_{3} = \{x_{0.9}, y_{0.7}, z_{0.9}, w_{0.8}\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\phi_{\Theta}, D) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_{1} = \{x_{0.5}, y_{0.6}, z_{0.5}, w_{0.3}\}, \\ \alpha_{2} = \{x_{0.7}, y_{0.7}, z_{0.8}, w_{0.5}\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\psi_{\alpha_{1}} = \mu_{\psi_{\alpha_{1}}} = \{x_{0.7}, y_{0.6}, z_{0.6}, w_{0.5}\} \\ \psi_{\alpha_{2}} = \mu_{\psi_{\alpha_{2}}} = \{x_{0.8}, y_{0.7}, z_{0.8}, w_{0.6}\}$$

$$\psi_{\alpha_{3}} = \mu_{\psi_{\alpha_{3}}} = \{x_{0.9}, y_{0.7}, z_{0.9}, w_{0.8}\}$$

$$\phi_{\alpha_{1}} = \mu_{\phi_{\alpha_{1}}} = \{x_{0.5}, y_{0.6}, z_{0.5}, w_{0.3}\} \\ \phi_{\alpha_{2}} = \mu_{\phi_{\alpha_{2}}} = \{x_{0.7}, y_{0.7}, z_{0.8}, w_{0.5}\}$$

and $FSC(F_{\Theta}) = \{\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}, \psi_{\alpha_3}, \phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2}\}, \text{ let } \Theta \text{ be}$ a absolute fuzzy soft set, that is $\tilde{\Theta}(\alpha) = \tilde{1}$ for all $\alpha \in \Theta$, and $\tilde{C} = M_2(\mathcal{R}(C)^*)$, be a C^* -algebra. Define $\tilde{d}_{c^*} \colon \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{C}$ by $\tilde{d}_{c^*}(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}) = \left(\inf_{x \in U} \{ |\psi_{\alpha_1}(x) - \psi_{\alpha_2}(x)| / x \in U \} \quad 0 \right),$ then obviously $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d}_{c^*})$ is a complete C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space. We define $S: \tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta} \to \Theta$ by $S(\psi_{\alpha_1},\phi_{\alpha_1})(x) = \frac{\psi_{\alpha_1}+2\phi_{\alpha_1}+3}{12}, f:\tilde{\Theta} \to \tilde{\Theta}$ by $f\psi_{\alpha_1} = \frac{\psi_{2\alpha_1}+1}{5}$ for all $x \in U$ and $\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1} \in \tilde{\Theta}$. Let two continuous functions $\eta, \theta : \tilde{C}_+ \to \tilde{C}_+$ as $\eta(\tilde{\kappa}) = \tilde{\kappa}$ and $\theta(\tilde{\kappa}) = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{5}$ for all $\tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}_+$ and $\Gamma : \tilde{C}_+ \times \tilde{C}_+ \to \tilde{C}_+$ by $\Gamma(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{b}) = \tilde{\kappa} - \theta(\tilde{\kappa})$ for all $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{C}_+$. Then obviously, $S(\tilde{\Theta} \times \tilde{\Theta}) \subseteq f(\tilde{\Theta})$ and $\{S, f\}$ is ω -compatible pair. Observe that $f\psi_{\alpha_1} = \frac{2\psi_{\alpha_1}+1}{5} = \{0.48, 0.44, 0.44, 0.4\}$ and $f\psi_{\alpha_2} = \frac{2\psi_{\alpha_2}+1}{5} = \{0.52, 0.48, 0.52, 0.44\}$. Thus, $\inf\{|\mu_{f\psi_{\alpha_{1}}}^{x}(s) - \mu_{f\psi_{\alpha_{2}}}^{x}(s)|/s \in C\}$ $= \inf\{0.04, 0.04, 0.08, 0.04\} = 0.04.$ Therefore, $\tilde{d}_{c^*}(f\psi_{\alpha_1}, f\psi_{\alpha_2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0\\ 0 & 0.04 \end{bmatrix}$ also, $f\phi_{\alpha_1} = \frac{2\phi_{\alpha_1}+1}{5} = \{0.4, 0.44, 0.4, 0.32\}$ and $f\phi_{\alpha_2} = \frac{2\phi_{\alpha_2}+1}{5} = \{0.48, 0.48, 0.52, 0.4\}$. Thus, $\inf\{|\mu_{f\phi_{\alpha_{1}}}^{x}(s) - \mu_{f\phi_{\alpha_{2}}}^{x}(s)|/s \in C\}\$ = $\inf\{0.08, 0.04, 0.12, 0.08\}\$ = 0.04 and $\tilde{d}_{c^{*}}(f\phi_{\alpha_{1}}, f\phi_{\alpha_{2}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0\\ 0 & 0.04 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, Moreover, $S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1})(x) = \frac{\psi_{\alpha_1} + 2\phi_{\alpha_1} + 3}{12} = \{0.391, 0.4, 0.383, 0.341\}$ and $S(\psi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_2})(x) = \frac{\psi_{\alpha_2} + 2\phi_{\alpha_2} + 3}{12}$ $= \{0.433, 0.425, 0.45, 0.383\}.$

Then

$$\begin{split} \eta \left(\tilde{d}_{c^*}(S(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1}), S(\psi_{\alpha_2}, \phi_{\alpha_2})) \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.025 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.025 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\preceq \frac{4}{5} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.04 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\preceq \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.04 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{5} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.04 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\preceq \Gamma \left(\eta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d}_{c^*}(\psi_{\alpha_1}, \psi_{\alpha_2}) \tilde{\kappa} \right), \theta \left(\tilde{\kappa}^* \tilde{d}_{c^*}(\phi_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_2}) \tilde{\kappa} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

Here $\tilde{\kappa} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{vmatrix}$ with $||\tilde{\kappa}|| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} < 1$. Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem III.1 satisfied and $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ is coupled fixed point of S and f.

IV. APPLICATION TO HOMOTOPY

In this part, we examine the possibility that homotopy theory has a unique solution.

Theorem IV.1: Let (Θ, C, d_{c^*}) be complete C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space, Δ and Δ be an open and closed subset of $\tilde{\Theta}$ such that $\Delta \subseteq \overline{\Delta}$. Suppose $\mathcal{H} : \overline{\Delta}^2 \times [0,1] \to \tilde{\Theta}$ be an operator with following conditions are satisfying, τ_0) $\wp_{\alpha} \neq \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha}, \varpi_{\alpha}, s), \ \varpi_{\alpha} \neq \mathcal{H}(\varpi_{\alpha}, \wp_{\alpha}, s), \ \text{for each}$

 $\wp_{\alpha}, \varpi_{\alpha} \in \partial \Delta \text{ and } s \in [0, 1];$

 au_1) for all $\wp_{lpha}, \varpi_{\underline{lpha}}, \imath_{lpha}, \jmath_{lpha} \in \overline{\Delta}, \ s \in [0,1]$ and $\eta, \theta \in \Omega$, $\Gamma \in C_*$ and $\tilde{\kappa} \in \tilde{C}$ with $||\tilde{\kappa}|| < 1$ such that

$$\eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha},\varpi_{\alpha},s),\mathcal{H}(\imath_{\alpha},\jmath_{\alpha},s)\right)\right) \\ \preceq \Gamma\left(\eta\left(\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha},\imath_{\alpha})\tilde{\kappa}^*\right),\theta\left(\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\varpi_{\alpha},\jmath_{\alpha})\tilde{\kappa}^*\right)\right).$$

 τ_2) $\exists \tilde{M} \in \tilde{C_+} \ni$ $\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\mathcal{H}(\wp_\alpha, \varpi_\alpha, s), \mathcal{H}(\wp_\alpha, \varpi_\alpha, t)) \preceq ||M|||s-t|$ for every $\wp_{\alpha}, \varpi_{\alpha} \in \overline{\Delta}$ and $s, t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\mathcal{H}(.,0)$ has a coupled fixed point $\iff \mathcal{H}(.,1)$ has a

coupled fixed point. \mathbf{p}_1 of Let th

$$\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Let the set} \\ s \in [0,1] : \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha}, \varpi_{\alpha}, s) = \wp_{\alpha}, \\ \mathcal{H}(\varpi_{\alpha}, \wp_{\alpha}, s) = \varpi_{\alpha}, \\ \text{for some } \wp_{\alpha}, \varpi_{\alpha} \in \Delta \end{array} \right\}$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{H}(.,0)$ has a coupled fixed point in Δ^2 , we have that $(0,0) \in \Theta^2$. So that Θ is non-empty set. Now we show that Θ is both closed and open in [0, 1] and hence by the connectedness $\Theta = [0, 1]$. As a result, $\mathcal{H}(., 1)$ has a coupled fixed point in Δ^2 . First we show that Θ closed in [0, 1]. To see this, Let $\{s_{\alpha_p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \Theta$ with $s_{\alpha_p} \to s_{\alpha'} \in [0, 1]$ as $p \to \infty$. We must show that $s_{\alpha'} \in \Theta$. Since $s_{\alpha_p} \in \Theta$ for $p = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, there exists sequences $\{\wp_{\alpha_p}\}, \{\varpi_{\alpha_p}\}$ with $\wp_{\alpha_p} = \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \varpi_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha_p}), \ \varpi_{\alpha_p} = \mathcal{H}(\varpi_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha_p}).$ Consider

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}) \\ = & \tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \varpi_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha_p}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}}, s_{\alpha_{p+1}})\right) \\ \preceq & \tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \varpi_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha_p}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}}, s_{\alpha_p})\right) \\ & + \tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p, m_{\alpha_p}}, s_{\alpha_p}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}}, s_{\alpha_{p+1}})\right) \\ \preceq & \tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \varpi_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha_p}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}}, s_{\alpha_p})\right) \\ & + ||\tilde{M}|||s_{\alpha_p} - s_{\alpha_{p+1}}|. \end{split}$$

Letting $p \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})$$

$$\preceq \lim_{p \to \infty} \tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \varpi_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha_p}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}}, s_{\alpha_p})\right).$$

Since η, θ are continuous and non-decreasing, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{p\to\infty}\eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p},\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})\right)\\ \preceq &\lim_{p\to\infty}\eta\left(\tilde{d_{c^*}}\left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p},\varpi_{\alpha_p},s_{\alpha_p}),\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}},\varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}},s_{\alpha_p})\right)\right)\\ \preceq &\lim_{p\to\infty}\Gamma\left(\begin{array}{c}\eta\left(\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p},\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})\tilde{\kappa}^*\right),\\ \theta\left(\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\varpi_{\alpha_p},\varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}})\tilde{\kappa}^*\right)\end{array}\right)\\ \preceq &\lim_{p\to\infty}\eta\left(\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p},\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})\tilde{\kappa}^*\right).\end{split}$$

By the definition of η , and $||\tilde{\kappa}|| < 1$ it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{p \to \infty} ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})|| &\leq \lim_{p \to \infty} ||\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})\tilde{\kappa}^*|| \\ &\leq ||\tilde{\kappa}||^2 \lim_{p \to \infty} ||\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}})||. \end{split}$$

So that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}) = \tilde{0}_{\tilde{C}}$$

Now for q > p, by use of triangular inequalilty , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{c^*} \left(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_q} \right) \\ \preceq & \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}} \right) + \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\wp_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+2}} \right) \\ & + \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\wp_{\alpha_{p+2}}, \wp_{\alpha_{p+3}} \right) + \dots + \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\wp_{\alpha_{q-2}}, \wp_{\alpha_{q-1}} \right) \\ & + \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\wp_{\alpha_{q-1}}, \wp_{\alpha_q} \right) \to 0 \text{ as } p, q \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\wp_{\alpha_p}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$. Similarly we can show that $\{\varpi_{\alpha_p}\}$, is Cauchy sequence in $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$ and by the completeness of $(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{d_{c^*}})$, there exist $u_{\alpha'}, v_{\alpha'} \in \Theta$ with

 $\lim_{p \to \infty} \wp_{\alpha_{p+1}} = u_{\alpha'} \lim_{p \to \infty} \wp_{\alpha_p} \quad \lim_{p \to \infty} \varpi_{\alpha_{p+1}} = v_{\alpha'} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \varpi_{\alpha_p}$

we have

$$\eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(u_{\alpha'}, \mathcal{H}(u_{\alpha'}, v_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}) \right) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{p \to \infty} \eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_p}, \varpi_{\alpha_p}, s_{\alpha'}), \mathcal{H}(u_{\alpha'}, v_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}) \right) \right)$$

$$\preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\wp_{\alpha_p}, u_{\alpha'}) \tilde{\kappa}^* \right) = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{H}(u_{\alpha'}, v_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}) = u_{\alpha'}$. Similarly, we can prove $\mathcal{H}(v_{\alpha'}, u_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}) = v_{\alpha'}$. Thus $s_{\alpha'} \in \Theta$. Hence Θ is closed in [0, 1]. Let $s_{\alpha_0} \in \Theta$, then there exist $\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0} \in \Delta$ with $\wp_{\alpha_0} = \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}), \ \varpi_{\alpha_0} = \mathcal{H}(\varpi_{\alpha_0}, \wp_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}).$ Since Δ is open, then there exist $\tilde{r} > 0$ such that $B_{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \tilde{r}) \subseteq \Delta$. Choose $s_{\alpha'} \in (s_{\alpha_0} - \epsilon, s_{\alpha_0} + \epsilon)$ such that $|s_{\alpha'} - s_{\alpha_0}| \leq \frac{1}{||\tilde{M}^p||} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then for

$$\begin{split} \wp_{\alpha'} &\in \overline{B_{\tilde{d_{c^*}}}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \tilde{r})} \\ &= \left\{ \wp_{\alpha'} \in \Theta/\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \wp_{\alpha_0}) \leq \tilde{r} + \tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \wp_{\alpha_0}) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}), \wp_{\alpha_0} \right) \\ = & \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}), \mathcal{H}_b(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right) \\ & \preceq & \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right) \\ & + \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha_0}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right) \\ & \preceq & ||\tilde{M}|||s_{\alpha'} - s_{\alpha_0}| \\ & + \tilde{d_{*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}) \right) \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}) \right) \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ d_{c^*} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha_0}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right) \\ &\preceq \quad \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha_0}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{||\tilde{M}^{p-1}||}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $p \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}), \wp_{\alpha_0} \right) \\ & \preceq \quad \tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha_0}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right). \end{split}$$

Since η, θ are continuous and non-decreasing, we obtain

$$\eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}), \wp_{\alpha_0} \right) \right) \\ \preceq \eta \left(\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha_0}), \mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0}) \right) \right) \\ \preceq \Gamma \left(\eta (\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\wp_{\alpha'}, \wp_{\alpha_0}) \tilde{\kappa}^*), \theta (\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\varpi_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha_0}) \tilde{\kappa}^*) \right) \\ \preceq \eta \left(\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{d_{c^*}} (\wp_{\alpha'}, \wp_{\alpha_0})) \tilde{\kappa}^* \right).$$

Since η is non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{d_{c^*}} \left(\mathcal{H}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \varpi_{\alpha'}, s_{\alpha'}), \wp_{\alpha_0} \right) \| &\leq \|\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \wp_{\alpha_0}))\tilde{\kappa}^*\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\kappa}\|^2 \|\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha'}, \wp_{\alpha_0}))\| \\ &\leq r + \|\tilde{d_{c^*}}(\wp_{\alpha_0}, \wp_{\alpha_0})\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove,

$$||d_{c^*}\left(\mathcal{H}(\varpi_{\alpha'},\wp_{\alpha'},s_{\alpha'}),\varpi_{\alpha_0}\right)|| \le r + ||d_{c^*}(\varpi_{\alpha_0},\varpi_{\alpha_0})||.$$

Thus for each fixed
$$s_{\alpha'} \in (\underline{s_{\alpha_0} - \epsilon, s_{\alpha_0} + \epsilon})$$
,
 $\mathcal{H}(.., \underline{s_{\alpha'}}) : \overline{B_{z_1}(\underline{\varphi_{\alpha_1}, \tilde{r}})} \to \overline{B_{z_2}(\underline{\varphi_{\alpha_2}, \tilde{r}})}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{H}(.,s_{\alpha'}):B_{\tilde{d_{c^*}}}(\wp_{\alpha_0},\tilde{r}) \to B_{\tilde{d_{c^*}}}(\wp_{\alpha_0},\tilde{r}),\\ \mathcal{H}(.,s_{\alpha'}):B_{\tilde{d_{c^*}}}(\varpi_{\alpha_0},\tilde{r}) \to B_{\tilde{d_{c^*}}}(\varpi_{\alpha_0},\tilde{r}). \end{array} \\ \text{Then all conditions of Theorem IV are satisfied. Thus we conclude that } \mathcal{H}(.,s_{\alpha'}) \text{ has a coupled fixed point in } \overline{\Delta}^2. \\ \text{But this must be in } \Delta^2 \text{ since } (\tau_0) \text{ holds. Thus, } s_{\alpha'} \in \Theta \text{ for any } s_{\alpha'} \in (s_{\alpha_0} - \epsilon, s_{\alpha_0} + \epsilon). \\ \text{Clearly } \Theta \text{ is open in } [0, 1]. \\ \text{For the reverse implication, we use the same strategy.} \end{array}$

V. CONCLUSION

This paper finishes various applications to homotopy theory via coupled fixed point theorems for C_* -class functions in the setting up of C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces.

Significance Statement

This study proposed a framework for establishing fixed point results in C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces using generalised contractions of C^* -class functions. The findings of this study will help to broaden the generalisation of various contractions in C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces and other metric spaces, facilitating their use in Homotopy theory. As a result, a novel framework for fuzzy soft metric spaces with C^* -algebra values can be established.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy soft, Inf. Control, 1965, 8, 338353.
- [2] D.A.Molodstov, Fuzzy soft sets-first result, Comput. Math. Appl. 1999, 37, 1931.
- [3] Thangaraj Beaula and Christinal Gunaseeli, On fuzzy soft metric spaces, Malaya J. Mat.2(3)(2015), 438-442.
- [4] S.Roy, T.K.Samanta, A note on fuzzy soft topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 2011.
- [5] T.Beaula, R.Raja, Completeness in fuzzy soft metric space, Malaya J. Mat. 2015, S, 438442.
- [6] Tridiv Joti Neog, Dusmanta Kumar Sut and G.C.Hazarika, Fuzzy soft topological space, Int.J Latest Tend Math, Vol-2 No.1 March 2012.
- [7] Zhenhua Ma, Lining Jiang, and Hongkai Sun Ma, C*-algebra valued metric space and related fixed point theoerms, Fixed Point Theory Appl, 2014, 206(2014).
- [8] S.Batul, T.kamran, C*-valued contractive type mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl, 2015, 222(2015).
- [9] Chuanzhi Bai, Coupled fixed point theorems in C*-algebra valued bmetric spaces with application, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, (2016), 2016:70.
- [10] T.Cao, Some coupled fixed point theorems in C*-algebra valued metric spaces, (2016), arXiv:1601.07168v1.
- [11] Deepak Kumar, Dhariwal Rishi, Choonkil Park, Jung Rye Lee, On fixed point in C*-algebra valued metric spaces using C*-class function, Int.J.Nonlinear Anal. Appl, 12 (2021) No. 2, 1157-1161.
- [12] Rishi, Deepak Kumar, Unification of common fixed point in C*algebra valued metric spaces, Journal of Physics, Conference Series 2267(2022), 012108.
- [13] S.Omran, M.M.Salama, Common coupled fixed point in C*-algebra valued metric spaces, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Volume 13, Number 8(2018), pp. 5899-5903.
- [14] Z.Kadelburg, S.Radenović, Fixed point results in C*-algebra valued metric spaces are direct consequence of their standard counterparts, Fixed Point Theory Appl.2016, 2016:53.
- [15] R.P.Agarwal, G.N.V.Kishore, and B.Srinuvasa Rao, Convergence properties on C*-algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, Malaya Journal of Matematik, Vol. 6, No. 2, 310-320, 2018.
- [16] G.N.V.Kishore, B.Srinuvasa Rao, D.Ram Prasad, V.S.Bhagavan, Some fixed point results of C*-algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces with applications, Journal of Critical Reviews, Vol 7, Issue 2, 2020.

- [17] G.N.V.Kishore, G.Adilakshmi, V.S.Baghavan, Srinuvasa Rao Bagathi, C^* -algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space and related fixed Point results by using triangular α -admissible maps with application to nonlinear integral equations, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, Vol. 12, Issue-02, 2020.
- [18] D.Ram Prasad, G.N.V.Kishore, Huseyin Isik, B.Srinuvasa Rao and G.Adilakshmi, C*-algebra valued fuzzy soft metric spaces and results for hybrid pair of mappings, Axioms 2019, 8, 0099.
- [19] B.Srinuvasa Rao, G.N.V.Kishore, T.Vara Prasad, Fixed point theorems under Caristi's type map on C*-algebra valued fuzzy soft metric space, International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7 (3.31)(2017) 111-114.
- [20] G.J.Murphy, C*-algebras and operator theory, Academic press, London(1990).