# Real-Time Parameter Estimation of a MIMO System

Erkan Kaplanoğlu Member, IAENG, Koray K. Şafak, H. Selçuk Varol

Abstract— An experiment based method is proposed for parameter estimation of a class of linear multivariable systems. The method was applied to a pressure-level control process. Experimental time domain input/output data was utilized in a gray-box modeling approach. Continuous-time system transfer matrix parameters were estimated in real-time by the leastsquares method. Simulation results of experimentally determined system transfer function matrix compare very well with the experimental results. The proposed method can be implemented conveniently on a desktop PC equipped with a data acquisition board for parameter estimation of moderately complex linear multivariable systems.

*Index Terms*— least-squares method, MIMO systems, System identification,

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In control design for industrial processes an efficient realtime parameter estimation scheme is needed. These processes are usually in the form of multi-input multi-output (MIMO) systems with nonlinear dynamics. Prior knowledge of the dynamical relations between individual inputs and outputs often exists, or can be derived without much effort. The remaining part of the problem is to find out the correct parameters of these dynamical relations.

The system identification methods rely heavily on the method of least-squares [1]. The least-squares method was first used by Karl Gauss for calculating the planets' orbits at the end of 18<sup>th</sup> century. Afterwards, the method has been widely accepted as a means for parameter estimation from experimental results. Readily available parameter identification methods have been associated with this method. The method is implemented easily, and can provide convenient closed-form solutions [7].

Identification methods for certain multi-input multi-output dynamical systems are available in the literature [3,6]. In this paper, emphasis is given to derivation of a real-time scheme <sup>1</sup> for parameter estimation of a class of linear MIMO dynamic

Turkey (Tel: +90 216 578 04 65, e-mail: safak@yeditepe.edu.tr)

systems. The method is applied to a MIMO system where the process outputs are pressure in a tank and liquid level in a connected container. Least-squares method was utilized to determine the parameter estimates. The method is implemented on a desktop PC computer equipped with a data acquisition board. An interface tool was developed for capturing and processing the data. The method can be utilized for parameter estimation of a class of MIMO systems, where prior knowledge of the form of dynamical relations between the inputs and outputs exist.



Fig. 1 A Picture of the pressure-level system

Paper submitted for review January 2, 2008

Erkan Kaplanoglu is with the Marmara University, Technical Education Faculty, Department of Mechatronics Kadikoy, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey (Tel:+90 216 336 57 70 / 652, e-mail: ekaplanoglu@marmara.edu.tr)

Koray K. Şafak is with Yeditepe University, Department of Mechanical Engineering Kayisdagi, 34755 Istanbul,

H. Selçuk Varol is with the Marmara University, Technical Education Faculty, Department of Electronics and ComputerKadikoy, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey (Tel: +90 216 336 57 70 / 200, e-mail: hsvarol@marmara.edu.tr)

Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2008 Vol II IMECS 2008, 19-21 March, 2008, Hong Kong



Fig. 2 Block diagram of the pressure-level system

## II. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PRESSURE-LEVEL SYSTEM BY THE REAL-TIME PARAMETER ESTIMATION METHOD

An experimental method is proposed for modeling of the pressure-level system. A black-box model along with a curve fitting approach was used to identify the input-output behavior of the system [2,5]. The pressure-level system has two inputs and two outputs.

The inputs to the system are,

U<sub>1</sub>: control signal applied to the pump,

U<sub>2</sub>: control signal applied to proportional valve.

The outputs are

Y1: liquid level output signal,

Y<sub>2</sub>: pressure value output signal.

The following 2x2 system transfer function matrix is obtained

 $Y_{1}(s) = G_{11}(s).U_{1}(s) + G_{12}(s).U_{2}(s)$ (1)

$$Y_2(s) = G_{21}(s).U_1(s) + G_{22}(s).U_2(s)$$
(2)

The input-output relation of the system is shown in Fig.3.



Fig. 3 Input-output model of the system

 $G_{11}$  is a transfer function showing the relation between input 1 and output 1. Likewise,  $G_{12}(s)$  is the transfer function that shows the effect of input 2 to output 1,  $G_{21}(s)$  indicates the effect of input 1 to output 2, and  $G_{22}(s)$  indicates the effect of input 2 to output 2.

Initially, a first order transfer function  $\frac{K}{\tau s + 1}$  is chosen for each input-output relation  $G_{ij}(s)$ . To find  $G_{11}(s)$ , an input  $U_2=0$  is applied and the relation between input1 ( $U_1$ ) and output1 ( $Y_1$ ) is found.

$$Y_1(s) = \frac{K}{\tau s + 1} U_1(s)$$
(3)

In this equation, for calculating K and  $\tau$  constants denominators are equalized and constants are left.

$$Y_{1}(s).\tau s+Y_{1}(s)=K.U_{1}(s)$$
 (4)

$$\frac{\tau}{K}Y_{1}(s) + \frac{1}{K}\frac{Y_{1}(s)}{s} = \frac{U_{1}(s)}{S}$$
(5)

Transforming the expression from s-domain to t-domain, the 1/s term is converted to an integrator. Equation for  $Y_1$ 

$$\frac{\tau}{K}y_{1}(t) + \frac{1}{K}\int y_{1}(t)dt = \int u_{1}(t)dt$$
(6)

$$\frac{\tau}{K} y_1(t) = -\frac{1}{K} \int y_1(t) dt + \int y_1(t) dt$$
(7)

$$y_{1}(t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} \int y_{1}(t)dt + \frac{K}{\tau} \int u_{1}(t)dt$$
(8)

Let's define the regressors

$$\int y_1(t)dt = \phi_1(t) \tag{9}$$

$$\int u_1(t)dt = \phi_2(t) \tag{10}$$

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\tau} & \frac{K}{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\tau} & \frac{K}{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \theta \tag{12}$$

The output equation can be obtained as below  $Y = \theta.\phi$ 

The regression vector is

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}^T \boldsymbol{Y} \tag{14}$$

(13)

Same calculations are also used for  $G_{12}(s)$ ,  $G_{21}(s)$ , and  $G_{22}(s)$ . Calculation of system transfer function parameters are not done in real-time. A MATLAB program is used for this operation.

#### III. INTERFACED USED FOR REAL-TIME SYSTEM MODELING

An interface is designed for calculating the transfer function parameters of the experimental system by the least-squares (LS) method. MATLAB Simulink Real-Time Windows Target Toolbox (RTW) is used for the interface program (Fig.4). Besides, a data acquisition board (NI-DAQ PCI-6024E) is utilized for recording and processing the data. When the interface software runs, signals are applied to system inputs. System outputs are recorded and calculations to determine system parameters are done during run-time.



Fig. 4 MATLAB interface for real-time system modeling

The procedure to identify the transfer functions' are described as follows:

To find  $G_{11}(s)$ :

- U<sub>2</sub> control input is initialized (zero volt is applied to the proportional valve input),
- U<sub>1</sub> control signal is set to several different values (several different voltages are applied to the pump),
- Y<sub>1</sub> output (liquid level in the tank) is measured.

## To find G<sub>22</sub>(s):

- U<sub>1</sub> control input is initialized (zero volt is applied to the pump),
- U<sub>2</sub> control signal is set to several different values (several different voltages are applied to proportional valve),
- Y<sub>2</sub> output (pressure in the tank) is measured.

## To find G<sub>12</sub>(s):

- U<sub>1</sub> control input is initialized (zero volt is applied to the pump),
- U<sub>2</sub> control signal is set to several different values (several different voltages are applied to proportional valve),
- Y<sub>1</sub> output (liquid level in the tank) is measured.

To find  $G_{21}(s)$ :

- U<sub>2</sub> control input is initialized (zero volt is applied to the proportional valve input),
- U<sub>1</sub> control signal is set to several different values (several different voltages are applied to the pump),
- Y<sub>2</sub> output (pressure in the tank) is measured.

Here  $\phi$  and Y values are recorded.  $\theta$  is found as

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\tau} & \frac{K}{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \theta \tag{15}$$

By using this equation, parameters of  $G_{ij}$  transfer function are calculated. At the end of the experiments, elements of system's transfer function matrix are found as below.

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

$$G_{11} = \frac{16.97638}{78.74016\,\mathrm{s} + 1} \tag{17}$$

$$G_{12} = \frac{4.30343}{24.09639\,\mathrm{s} + 1} \tag{18}$$

$$G_{21} = \frac{2.1395}{232.558\,\mathrm{s} + 1} \tag{19}$$

$$G_{22} = \frac{9.995875}{2.426595\,\mathrm{s} + 1} \tag{20}$$

For comparison, the simulated step responses of the transfer functions obtained by the real-time identification and experimental step-responses of the system are shown in Fig. 5.



Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2008 Vol II IMECS 2008, 19-21 March, 2008, Hong Kong



Fig.5 Comparison of experimental step-responses and transfer functions obtained by real-time identification

As shown in Fig. 5,  $G_{11}$ ,  $G_{12}$ , and  $G_{22}$  transfer functions that are found by parameter estimation are fairly close to real system attitude.  $G_{21}$  transfer function does not reflect the real system's attitude. Because, the real system dynamics is not of a first order dynamics and it is a nonminimum phase system. Thus,  $G_{21}$  transfer function is chosen as indicated.

$$G_{21} = \frac{-as+k}{bs^2 + cs + 1}$$
(21)

Then, parameters are re-calculated after adding an integrator to the interface in MATLAB. The new transfer function for  $G_{21}$ , which express the relation between the input  $U_1$  and the output  $Y_2$  is found.

$$G_{21} = \frac{-57.1756s + 0.98}{141.2236s^2 + 106.193s + 1}$$
(22)

Simulated step-response obtained from  $G_{21}$  is compared to experimental step-response. Results indicate that the simulation and experimental results follow very closely each other (Fig. 6).



Fig.6 Comparison of G<sub>21</sub> simulated step-response with the experimental result.

System's transfer function matrix is found as below

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{16.97638}{78.74016s+1} & \frac{4.30343}{24.09639s+1} \\ \frac{-57.1756s+0.98}{141.2236s^2+106.193s+1} & \frac{9.995875}{2.426595s+1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

For comparison of the identified model with the real system, a MATLAB/Simulink model is formed and tested under various inputs. Fig.7. shows the responses of the system to unit-step applied at both system inputs. Results indicate that the proposed real-time identification method can capture the dynamic behavior of the experimental pressure-liquid level control system successfully.



Fig.7. Comparison of simulated system models and experimental unit-step responses

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper a real-time parameter estimation method for a class of linear MIMO systems is developed. The method is applied to an experimental pressure-level process. The prior knowledge of the form of input/output dynamic relations exists. Results indicate that the method can capture the dynamics of the moderately complex dynamic processes with a good accuracy. Easy implementation and less computational burden required make the proposed method a viable alternative to more complicated multivariable system identification methods.

#### REFERENCES

- Åström, K.J., Wittenmark, B. (1997). Computer-Controlled Systems. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., pp. 509-514, Prentice Hall.
- [2] Kenné G., Ahmed-Ali T., Lamnabhi-Lagarrigue F. and Nkwawo H. (2006). Nonlinear Systems Parameters Estimation Using Radial Basis Function Network. Control Engineering Practice, Volume 14, Issue 7, pp. 819-832.
- [3] Mathew A, Athimoottil V., Fairman, Frederick W. (1974). Transfer Function Matrix Identification. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, v CAS-21, n 5, pp. 584-588.
- [4] Perry, R. J., Sun, H. H., Berger W. A. (1998). Determination of a Transfer Function Matrix in Multivariable Systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, v 33, n 3, pp. 305-307.
- [5] Sinha N. K. and Kwong Y. H. (1979). Recursive Estimation of the Parameters of Linear Multivariable Systems. Automatica, Volume 15, Issue 4, pp. 471-475.
- [6] Zheng, W.X. (1999). Least-Squares Identification of a Class of Multivariable Systems with Correlated Disturbances. Journal of the Franklin Institute, v 336, pp. 1309-1324.
- [7] Zhu Yucai. (2001). Multivariable System Identification for Process Control. Oxford: Pergamon Press.