

An Efficient Algorithm for Hamilton Cycle Based on the Enlarged Rotation-Extension Technique

Lizhi Du

Abstract—Algorithm studies on the Hamilton cycle are mainly based on the Rotation-Extension method developed by Posa. However, due to the deficiency of Posa's method, all these products are only efficient for much denser graphs or sparse but regular graphs. By many years' study, we developed the "Enlarged Rotation-Extension" technique which utterly changed and expanded the Posa's original one and can surmount its deficiency. Based on this technique, our algorithm can quickly calculate randomly produced un-directed graphs with up to ten thousand vertices on personal computer, no matter dense or sparse, the correctness is one hundred percent. We also calculated the data of hamilton cycles on a famous web site and we still got one hundred percent correctness.

Index Terms—Computer Algorithm, Computational Complexity, Hamilton Cycle, Hamilton Path, Polynomial Time

I. INTRODUCTION

A Hamilton path is a path between two vertices of a graph that visits each vertex exactly once. A Hamilton path that is also a cycle is called a Hamilton cycle.

Finding Hamilton cycles(paths) in simple undirected graphs is a classical NP Complete problem, known to be difficult both theoretically and computationally, so we can not expect to find polynomial time algorithms that always succeed, unless $P=NP$.^{[1][2]}

For this algorithm, the challenging job still is: to develop an efficient random algorithm for all general graphs(in this paper, we only concern undirected graphs), i.e., this random algorithm can work for all kinds of graphs successfully with high probability. For this purpose, the main problem is: can this be possible?

For finding Hamilton cycles(paths), we would mention the famous rotation-extension technique, developed by Posa^[8]. In fact, most of random algorithms are based on the rotation-extension technique. Due to this technique's immanent deficiency, all these random algorithms can only work for dense graphs. So if we can overcome the rotation-extension technique's immanent deficiency, it is possible for us to get an efficient random algorithm for all general graphs.

We develop a method which we call the "enlarged rotation-extension" technique. This technique can overcome

Posa's deficiency. Our method contains all advantages of the rotation-extension technique but utterly enlarges its functions. By a lot of test, we confirm that our method is useful for both dense graphs and sparse graphs.

Based on this technique, we get an efficient algorithm for finding a Hamilton cycle(path) in an undirected graph. This algorithm works very well for all kinds of undirected graphs. A program on this algorithm has been tested over a hundred million times for graphs whose vertex number is between 100 to 10000, no fails.

II. WHAT IS THE ENLARGED ROTATION-EXTENSION TECHNIQUE?

Suppose we have a path $P=x_0x_1\dots x_k$ in a graph G and we wish to find a path of length $k+1$. If x_0 or x_k has a neighbor not in P , then we can extend P by adding the neighbor. If not, suppose x_k has a neighbor x_i , where $0 \leq i \leq k-2$. If $i=0$ and G is connected, then there is an edge $e=(x_j, w)$ joining the cycle $x_0x_1\dots x_kx_0$ to the rest of the graph, and so the path $wx_jx_{j+1}\dots x_kx_0\dots x_{i-1}$ has length $k+1$. This is called a cycle extension. If $i \neq 0$, then we construct the path $x_0x_1\dots x_ix_kx_{k-1}\dots x_{i+1}$ of length k with a different endpoint x_{i+1} and look for further extensions. This is called a rotation, or a simple transform. This is Posa's Rotation-Extension technique.

This method's main deficiency is: it does the rotation or extension at the fixed place, in order to always fulfil the rotation or extension condition, the graph must have dense edges. So this technique is not useful for sparse graphs. We change it as following:

First, let the n vertices sit side by side to form a cyclic sequence, we call this "broad cycle". In this cycle, some two consecutive vertices may not be adjacent, we call this point "break point". Apparently, a break point is constituted by two vertices.

If a broad cycle has only one break point, we call it "one break point cycle"; If a broad cycle has two break points, we call it "two break points cycle". And so on.

Each time, cut a segment(we call a subsequence of a broad cycle a segment) from a break point, insert the segment in some place of the broad cycle. How to cut and insert? The rule is: make the number of new break points the least. Also we must design some way to prevent circulation or repeating job, and to limit the calculating times.

We can see that our technique contains all advantages of the rotation-extension technique and the rotation-extension is only one special case of ours. So, we call ours the "enlarged rotation-extension" technique. We will describe our algorithms later which are mainly based on this technique.

Manuscript received November 18, 2013; revised December 23, 2013.

Lizhi Du. Author is with the College of Computer Science and Technology, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Hubei Province Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information Processing and Real-time Industrial System, Wuhan 430065, China (phone: 86-13554171855; e-mail: edw95@yahoo.com).

III. ALGORITHM

Definition 1

For an undirected graph G with n vertices, let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n denote the n vertices. A broad cycle is defined as a cyclic sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1$ of the vertices of G where every pair x_i, x_{i+1} may or may not be adjacent in G . We call a pair (x_i, x_{i+1}) (including (x_n, x_1)) of non-adjacent vertices a break point. So the number of break points is between 0 and n for a broad cycle. Apparently, a break point is constituted by two vertices (say vertex a and b). We use $a*b$ to denote this break point. If two consecutive vertices a and b are adjacent, we call them "connecting point", we use ab to denote this connecting point. We use $a\dots b$ to denote that there are some vertices between a and b . For an undirected graph with n vertices, the number of all possible different break points and connecting points is $n(n-1)/2$. A connecting point or a break point is also called "a general point". A general point (a break point or a connecting point) is constituted by two vertices, we say that the general point contains these two vertices.

A segment: in a broad cycle, we call any subsequence of this broad cycle a "segment". So, for a broad cycle, any part between two general points is a segment, and there are $n(n-1)$ different segments for this broad cycle.

For a broad cycle, cut a segment at some place of this broad cycle, insert the segment between two consecutive vertices in some other place of the broad cycle. Before inserting, we may do the rotation and extension on the segment and then insert it. Note: for this rotation or extension, it is not necessary that the two end vertices are adjacent. We call this operation a "cut and insert". The main operation in our algorithm is the "cut and insert", now we explain it: Let x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1 denote a broad cycle, let $s = x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+r}$ be a subsequence of this broad cycle (i.e., a segment), and let j be an index such that x_j and x_{j+1} are not in s . A broad cycle C is obtained by "cutting s and inserting it into x_j and x_{j+1} " if either $C = x_j, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+r}, x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j$, or $C = x_j, x_{i+r}, x_{i+r-1}, \dots, x_i, x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j$ (addition is meant modulo n). Also, before inserting, we may do the rotation and extension on the segment and then insert it.

At each step of the algorithm, we need to choose a break point as the "main break point" as explained later in the algorithm.

Algorithm 1 FindHTCycle

Input: An adjacency matrix A to denote an undirected graph. A matrix B to record all main break points. An array C to record the broad cycle. An integer n , the number of vertices.

Output: A Hamilton cycle(path)(if exists), or "No Hamilton cycle(path)" message.

```
Void FindHTCycle(matrix A, matrix B, int[] C, int n)
// if an edge between vertex i and j, then A[i,j]=1,
//else A[i,j]=0
1. int[] C=new int[n];
2. for(int i=0;i<n;i++)
3. C[i]=i; //0~n-1 denote the n vertices, the C[0]
//and C[n-1] are consecutive, so, C[] denotes the
//broad cycle.
4. For each break point in C, add an edge between
the two vertices(say k, l) of the break point, set
```

$A[k,l]=A[l,k]=2$ to remember all the added edges. Now, no break point in C .

5. Check if there are some edges in C whose value in matrix A is 2, if no, output a message: "success get the hamilton cycle" and store C which is the Hamilton cycle then end the procedure. If yes, delete one of these edges to produce a break point, set its value in A to 0. we call this "main break point", initialize all values of matrix B to 0.
6. Set the value of the two vertices of the main break point in matrix B to 1. From the main break point in cycle C , cut a segment, insert the segment in some place of the cycle C . How to cut and insert? The rule is: make the number of new break points the least, and one new break point must be different from all former main break points (this new one as the new main break point, using matrix B to record all main break points, this guarantees our algorithm's polynomial). Note: "make the number of new break points the least" means: only for all the possible "cut and inserts" to choose the best one, not the "real least". Also, at least one of the two end vertices of the cut segment must be adjacent to one vertex of the inserting point. Notes: when calculating the number of new break points for getting the least, if more than one case have the same least number, choose any one of them. We must calculate and compare all possible cases. Then, if the number of new break points is 0, go to stage 7. Else if we get a new main break point which is different from all former main break points, go to the beginning of stage 6. Else if there is some fail in this step (i.e., the number of new break points is not 0 and we can not get a new main break point which is different from all former main break points), output the message "No Hamilton cycle" and end the procedure.
7. Check if there are some other break points in cycle C . If yes, choose any one of them as the new main break point, go to 6. if no, go to 5.

Notes: in the algorithm, if we always keep any two vertices as neighbors, we can get a Hamilton path between these two vertices.

Now, we describe our Algorithm 2.

As stated above, if a broad cycle has only one break point, we call it "one break point cycle"; if a broad cycle has two break points, we call it "two break points cycle". And so on. Our algorithm 2 only handles these two kinds of broad cycles.

At first, a broad cycle may have k break points ($0 \leq k < n$), we add k edges so that no break point in the path, so this cycle is a Hamilton cycle. We remember all the added k edges, each time, we delete one such edge, to get an "one break point cycle", our algorithm only leads this one break point cycle to a Hamilton cycle (if exists). If our algorithm is polynomial, after deleting all the added k edges, the algorithm still is polynomial (i.e., we only need to repeat our algorithm at most k times). So, now, our algorithm's job only is to transform an one break point cycle to a Hamilton cycle (if exists).

Algorithm 2 FindHCycle2

Input: An adjacency matrix A to denote an undirected graph. A matrix B to record all main break points. An one break point cycle. An broad cycle array C to record all the new broad cycles at current step. An integer n, the number of vertices.

Output: A Hamilton cycle(if exists), or “No Hamilton cycle” message.

Our algorithm’s main job is: cut and insert. Now we use an example to discuss it again.

$$0 \dots x y \dots a b \dots c^* d \dots n-1 \quad (1)$$

(1) is a broad cycle from vertex 0 to n-1, also vertex 0 is adjacent to n-1. Vertex x and vertex y are consecutive, so are vertex a and b, vertex c and d. “...” denotes many other vertices. Vertex c is not adjacent to d, let c*d is the main break point, we cut “b...c” from the broad cycle, insert it between x and y, then we can get a new broad cycle. This is the “cut and insert”.

Our algorithm includes three functions: Do0(), Do1() and Do2().

When we cut “b...c”, insert it between x and y, if vertex a adjacent to d, also, vertex b adjacent to x and vertex c adjacent to y, or, vertex b adjacent to y and vertex c adjacent to x, the result is

$$0 \dots x b \dots c y \dots a d \dots n-1 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \dots x c \dots b y \dots a d \dots n-1.$$

Function Do0() does the above job.

When we cut “b...c”, insert it between x and y, if vertex a adjacent to d, also, vertex b adjacent to x and vertex c is not adjacent to y and c*y was not as the main break point before(then c*y as the new main break point now), or, vertex c adjacent to y and vertex b is not adjacent to x and b*x was not as the main break point before(then b*x as the new main break point), or, vertex b adjacent to y and vertex c is not adjacent to x and c*x was not as the main break point before(then c*x as the new main break point), or, vertex c adjacent to x and vertex b is not adjacent to y and b*y was not as the main break point before(then b*y as the new main break point).

Or, if vertex a is not adjacent to d and a*d was not as the main break point before(then a*d as the new main break point), also, vertex b adjacent to x and vertex c adjacent to y, or, vertex c adjacent to x and vertex b adjacent to y.

Function Do1() does the above job.

When we cut “b...c”, insert it between x and y, if vertex a is not adjacent to d, also, vertex b adjacent to x and vertex c is not adjacent to y and c*y was not as the main break point before(then c*y as the new main break point), or, vertex c adjacent to y and vertex b is not adjacent to x and b*x was not as the main break point before(then b*x as the new main break point), or, vertex b adjacent to y and vertex c is not adjacent to x and c*x was not as the main break point before(then c*x as the new main break point), or, vertex c adjacent to x and vertex b is not adjacent to y and b*y was not as the main break point before(then b*y as the new main break point).

Or, if vertex a is not adjacent to d and a*d was not as the main break point before(then a*d as the new main break point), also, vertex b adjacent to x and vertex c is not adjacent to y, or, vertex c adjacent to y and vertex b is not adjacent to

x, or, vertex b adjacent to y and vertex c is not adjacent to x, or, vertex c adjacent to x and vertex b is not adjacent to y.

Function Do2() does this job.

At first, we set $B[i][j]=0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Also at first we have one broad cycle which has one break point(say c*d), let it as the main break point, the main break point cannot repeat later, so, we set $B[c][d]=B[d][c]=1$ to remember that it has been used. We try to do the function Do0(), if after this, we can get a Hamilton cycle, output it and stop the program. If not, then we try to do the function Do1(), we should do all possible “cut and insert” for function Do1() in one step(Note: only in one step, also note the words “all possible”), use broad cycle array to record all the new broad cycles, use array B to remember each new main break point(depth-first search). Then, do the function Do2(), also, we should do all possible “cut and insert” for function Do2() in one step, use broad cycle array to record all the new broad cycles, use array B to remember each new main break point(depth-first search).

Note, for the new broad cycles, we only try to get one break point cycles and two break point cycles, first try to get one break point cycles. We donot need the broad cycles with more than two break points.

For each new broad cycle in the broad cycle array, do the same job as above, until we get a Hamilton cycle or we can not get any new broad cycle using the three functions(this means no Hamilton cycle in the graph).

Apparently, this is a broad cycles tree, our algorithm uses **depth-first search** to travel this tree.

Because the number of main break points is polynomial and it cannot repeat, the algorithm is polynomial.

By the way, we also can use $B[i][j]$ to remember the main break points in one break point cycles, use $B1[i][j]$ to do so for two break point cycles, sometimes this way is much quicker.

IV. EXPERIMENT DATA

We have three kinds of undirected graphs to test our algorithms. Programs on these algorithms have been designed in VC++.

First, we use the random graphs. To discuss random graphs, we must first introduce the probability spaces(or models) of random graphs. All graphs are undirected. The model we focus on is $G(n,p)$. The model $G(n,p)$ (sometimes called the independently model) consists of all graphs with vertex set $[n]=\{1,2,\dots,n\}$ in which the edges are chosen independently with probability p, where $0 < p < 1$. We consider a random graph G composed of a Hamilton path on n labeled vertices and some random edges that “hide” the path, the random edges are produced as above^{[7][8]}. We carefully choice the probability p to make the graph is hard to calculate.

Without loss of generality, for an undirected graph with N nodes, node number is 0,1,2...N-1, the algorithm calculates Hamilton path from node 0 to node N-1. The input data is randomly produced un-directed graphs. In order to test the program, each graph includes a randomly produced Hamilton path which the program does not know. We have tested the program of Algorithm 1 over one hundred million inputs, no one fails. The data is as Table I (computer: HP PC, CPU: Intel 1G, Memory:1G):

TABLE I
EXPERIMENT DATA FOR THE FIRST KIND OF GRAPHS

Number of Nodes	Calculation number of times on different inputs	Success number of times	Average run time	Longest run time
100	100000000	100000000	0.0014second	0.01 second
1000	10000000	10000000	0.07second	0.1 second
10000	10000	10000	48seconds	192 seconds

When randomly producing the un-directed graphs, we try to make the graphs as hard as possible to calculate. A lot of tests show that when its average vertex degree is about between 2.5 to 4, the graph is hardest to calculate (even its biggest vertex degree is 3, this problem still is NP-Complete^[21]). With the vertex number much greater, the hardest average vertex degree may increase very slowly. So, our random graphs are mainly with 2.5 to 4 average degree.

Secondly, we get the test data from the famous web site, the famous standard test bed on <http://comopt.ifi.uni-heidelberg.de/software/TSPLIB95/>. On this web, there are 9 files for hamilton cycles. Our program of Algorithm 1 can calculate all the 9 files, very easy, very fast. The calculating time for each is just like the time on the above table 1. For each file, we can quickly get a hamilton cycle which is different from the web owner's, because each one has more than one hamilton cycle.

Now, we discuss what kinds of graphs are hard to calculate. By a lot of test, we find that when the graph's average vertex degree is between 2.5 to 4, it is hard to get the Hamilton cycle. When the average vertex degree is over 4, our Algorithm 1 can always get the result quickly. But, we only need to make a little change to the Algorithm 1, it still can always get the result quickly even when the graph's average vertex degree is between 2.5 to 4. The change is: each time when we cut a segment, before we insert the segment in some point, do the cycle extension for the segment, then try to insert it.

By a long time experiment and study, we find another kind of graphs which are very hard to calculate. Even using our changed Algorithm 1, we still can not calculate them quickly with high probability. This kind of graphs is: first we carefully design a hard 3SAT, then transform the 3SAT to Hamilton cycle problem (an undirected graph). For 3SAT, when its clauses is about 4 to 4.5 times of its variables, it is the hardest to calculate. So we design the 3SAT according to this rule. In this way, we get the graphs which are very hard to calculate for Hamilton cycle. Note: if only for hamilton path (not cycle, also not path between two vertices, only any hamilton path), our changed Algorithm 1 still can calculate this kind of graphs with high probability. We first explain how to transform 3SAT to Hamilton cycle of an undirected graph. We use two vertices to denote a variable, and use 13 vertices to denote a clause. We got this way after a long time research and we think this is the best way to transform 3SAT to Hamilton cycle directly. See M.R. GAREY^[21] for another way to do this job. We have the same principle and logic with GAREY, but our way is the cheapest one (because his way only for a special kind of graphs).

This is the third kind of graphs. Our Algorithm 2 can calculate these graphs very well. In order to guarantee the high correctness, we also make a little change to the

Algorithm 2: each time when we cut a segment, before we insert the segment in some point, try to do the cycle extension for the segment, then try to insert it. Note, all graphs which the Algorithm 1 can calculate, the Algorithm 2 still can calculate. The experiment data is as Table II (computer: HP PC, CPU: Intel 2G, Memory: 2G):

TABLE II
EXPERIMENT DATA FOR THE THIRD KIND OF GRAPHS

Number of Nodes	Calculation number of times on different inputs	Success number of times	Average run time	Longest run time
650	10000	10000	47seconds	1 minute 26 seconds
1250	1000	1000	9 minutes	21 minutes
2000	100	100	58 minutes	1 hour 52 minutes

REFERENCES

- [1] S.A.Cook, The complexity of theorem proving procedures, Proceedings of Third Annual ACM Symposium, on Theory of Computing, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 1971, 151-158
- [2] R.M.Karp, Reducibility among combinatorial problems, Complexity of Computer Computations, R.E.Miller and J.W.Thatcher, eds., Plenum Press, New York, 1972, 85-104
- [3] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London Math. Soc., 2(1952) 69-81
- [4] O. Ore., Note on Hamiltonian Circuits, Amer. Math. Monthly, 67(1960), 55
- [5] G. H. Fan, New Sufficient Conditions for Cycles in Graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 37(1984), 221-227
- [6] J. Christophides, Graph Theory, An Algorithmic Approach, Academic Press, New York, 1975
- [7] P. Erdos and A. Renyi, On the evolution of random graphs, Bull. Inst. Statist. Tokyo, 38 (1961), 343-347.
- [8] L. Posa, Hamiltonian circuits in random graphs, Discrete Math. 14(1976), 359-364
- [9] J. Komlos and E. Szemerédi, Limit distributions for the existence of Hamilton circuits in a random graph, Discrete Mathematics 43 (1983), 55-63.
- [10] M. Krivelevich, E. Lubetzky, and B. Sudakov, "Hamiltonicity thresholds in Achlioptas processes", presented at Random Struct. Algorithms, 2010, 1-24.
- [11] William Kocay, Pak-Ching Li, An Algorithm for Finding a Long Path in a Graph, Utilitas Mathematica 45(1994), 169-185
- [12] Christos H. Papadimitriou. Computational Complexity. New York: Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1994.
- [13] Sara Baase etc. Computer Algorithms: Introduction to Design and Analysis. New York: Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 2000.
- [14] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Springer, New York, 2000
- [15] M.R.Garey, D.S.Johnson, Computers and Intractability: A Guid to the Theory of NP-Completeness, Freeman, San Francisco, 1979
- [16] L.Lovasz, Combinatorial problems and exercises, Noth-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979
- [17] Yuri Gurevich and Saharon Shelah Expected computation time for Hamiltonian Path Problem SIAM J. on Computing 16:3, (1987) 486-502
- [18] Yuri Gurevich, Complete and Incomplete Randomized NP Problems 28th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, (1987), 111-117.
- [19] D.Johnson, The NP-completeness column-an ongoing guid, Journal of Algorithms 5, (1984), 284-299
- [20] William Kocay, "Groups & Graphs, a MacIntosh application for graph theory", Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 3 (1988), 195-206.
- [21] M.R. GAREY etc. The planar Hamiltonian Circuit problem is NP-Complete. SIAM J. COMPUT. Vol5, No. 4, 1976