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Abstract—Asynchronous system is widely used in real time systems. It operates under the concurrent controls of the hardware components. The hardware components would be implemented using asynchronous circuits. In this paper, the behavioral specification of an asynchronous system is defined firstly using valid and live signal transition graph (STG). Our goal is to verify the implementation of the asynchronous system, drawn in the forms of the gate level circuit diagram. The gate level diagram is difficult to be verified against the expected behavioral specification given in STG. We propose an alternative scheme of the signal persistence checking of asynchronous system implementation. The formal verification model of the asynchronous system is constructed using Promela code. The simulation of the formal model is done by SPIN. We propose the 2-phase signal persistence checking which performs the liveness and lock relation checking of the circuit implementation.

Index Terms—Asynchronous System, Persistence Checking, Promela, Lock Relation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Design of asynchronous system is widely used in various real time systems. It operates under the concurrent controls of the hardware components. The asynchronous system, much like object-oriented software, is typically constructed of modular hardware objects. The hardware object would be designed and implemented using asynchronous circuits. Therefore, the design of an asynchronous circuit is clock-less, difficult and error-prone which is due to the unpredictable behavior of the asynchronous circuit itself [1]. The designer typically agrees on the high level behavior of the asynchronous circuit beforehand. That is why the behavioral specification of the asynchronous circuit would be defined firstly. After that the structural specification of the expected asynchronous circuit would be then implemented. Several tools and languages are proposed to capture the behavioral design such as Petri net [2] and Signal Transition Graph (STG) [3]. While VHDL, Verilog, SystemVerilog [4] are among the tools used to capture the behavioral design.

In this paper, we focus on the given high level behavioral specification of the asynchronous system drawn in STG, as our expected asynchronous flows. Our goal is to verify the implementation of the asynchronous system, drawn in form of the gate level circuit diagram, against the expected STG. The gate level circuit diagram may be complicatedly drawn with the huge numbers of AND, OR, NOT gates, even C-element, and theirs connections. Some output signals may be fed loopback as the inputs to the same circuit, so that it would possibly lead to the violation of the persistence and completion of the asynchronous circuit design. It is obvious that the checking of the persistence and completion of the gate level circuit diagram is still difficult and tedious task.

We propose an alternative scheme of the signal persistence checking to ensure that the implementation of the asynchronous system, shown in the gate level circuit diagram, is live and persistent conforming to the expected behavioral specification, shown in a given STG. In our scheme, we formalize the asynchronous system and have it simulated using Promela and SPIN. The result of the simulation generates the possible long sequence of signal values and transitions, called Signal Simulation (SS). The SS consists of the nearly exhaustive states of the probed signals of the inputs and outputs of the gates or elements in the diagram. We propose the 2-phase signal persistence checking to indicate the liveness and persistence of the gate level circuit diagram. The 2-phase signal persistence checking would be described later in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. The introduction is described in section 1. The fundamental background is reviewed in section 2 and section 3 discusses our scheme of signal persistence checking of the asynchronous system implementation. Section 4 is our conclusion.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Signal Transition Graph

A Signal Transition Graph (STG) is an interpreted Petri Net and it is used to specify the behavior of an asynchronous circuit. The vertices of such graph represent the rising and falling transitions of the signals of the circuit. The edges of such graph represent the flow relations which indicate the sequences of the transitions.

In our scheme, we consider only the live STG with single-cycle and no free-choice. Each place has only one
fan-in transition and one fan-out transition so that the places are eliminated. Our simplified STG is formally defined as a 3-tuple $\Sigma = \langle T,F,M \rangle$. $T$ is a finite set of transitions (or “events”), and $F$ is a set of flow relations where $F \subset (T \times T)$, and $M$ is a set of marking (or “tokens”). Each transition $t \in T$ is represented by signal name $s$ and transition direction (rising or falling). A transition $s^+$ is a rising transition of signal $s$, while $s^-$ is a falling transition of signal $s$. The rising transition $s^+$ means that the signal value of $s$ changes from 0 to 1. While, the falling transition $s^-$ indicates the change of the signal value from 1 to 0. The transition $s^*$ means either $s^+$ or $s^-$. and the $s^*$ means the complementary transition of $s^*$. $M$ is a set of marking $m_i$ where $m_i$ is a ordered pair $(t_i, t_2)$ and $m_i \subset F$. For $m_i = (t_i, t_2)$, $t_i$ is called “before transition to $m_i$” and $t_2$ is called “next transition to $m_i$.”

![Fig. 1. A Simplified STG](image)

In Fig. 1, A simplified STG is shown and the components of STG is defined as $T = \{s^+, a^-, b^+, b^-, c^+, c^-\}$, $F = \{(a^+, c^+), (c^+, a^-), (a^-, c^-), (b^+, c^+), (c^+, b^-), (b^-, c^-), (c^-, b^+}\}$ and there are two marking or tokens, $M = \{c^+, a^-\}$. B. Promela and SPIN

Promela (Process or Protocol Meta Language) [5] is one of the well-known verification modeling languages. The language provides the mechanisms to represent the concurrent processes. It is also convenient for Promela to model the asynchronous system. Promela is C-like language so that it is common to almost developers and easier to understand. A sample of Promela code is shown in Fig. 2. A process is declared by the word “proctype” following the process body. The assertion would be easily inserted to probe a particular condition needed. The Promela is supported by SPIN which is a verification system [6]. The SPIN [7] is one of the popular tools to do the simulation or exhaustive state exploration of a formal model. In our approach, we would formalize the asynchronous system and its circuit implementation using Promela and SPIN is exploited to do the simulation.

```promela
proctype Sample(int x, y) {
  l: if (x > y) goto L;
  if (x < y) goto L;
  if (x == y) {
    assert(x == y);
    printf("Sample = %d\n", x);
  }
  init ( run Sample(1,2); )
}
```

![Fig. 2. A Sample of Promela Code](image)

III. OUR SIGNAL PERSISTENCE CHECKING SCHEME

In this paper, we propose an alternative checking scheme of the signal persistence of asynchronous circuit. In the beginning, the asynchronous flows of asynchronous system would be specified using a STG which is live, persistent, single-cycle and no free-choice. Our goal is to check whether the circuit implementation in form of gate level diagram would perform the similar behaviors as specified in the given STG. The formal verification model is prepared according to the given STG and the circuit implementation diagram. We also provide the guidelines of the constructing such formal verification model in terms of Promela code. The formal model is now the representation of the implementation of the asynchronous system (the circuit gate level diagram).

Meanwhile, we introduce the Signal Transition Sequence (STS) and the Lock Relation Sequence (LRS) which are used in our scheme. The STS represents all of the possible unfolding sequences of signal transitions of each simple cycle in live STG. The STS includes all nodes of the STG, called transitions and they are enabled/fired eventually. The STS is used to test the liveness of the circuit implementation. Moreover, The LRS represents the sequences of signal transitions that show the patterns of semi-lock and full-lock relations in live STG. The LRS is used to test the signal persistence of the circuit implementation.

We propose the 2-phase signal persistence checking to test both STS coverage and LRS coverage on the circuit implementation. The SPIN is exploited to simulate the possible sequences of signal transitions of the circuit’s input and output signals, called Simulation Sequence (SS) as mentioned earlier. The SS would be checked by using our 2-phase checking scheme and the result is reported. The overview of our signal persistence checking scheme is shown in Fig. 3.

A. Generate Signal Transition Driver from STG

Firstly, the target behavioral specification of the asynchronous system would be given in the form of valid live STG. We provide a guideline to construct the Promela code to drive the transition next to the trigger transition as written in STG. For example, a sequence of transitions $<t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4>$, $t_1$ is the trigger transition of $t_2$, and $t_2$ is the trigger transition of $t_3$, etc. In our approach, we intend to fire the transitions of the input signals immediately next to each output signals. A Sample of the target specification in STG is shown in Fig. 4.

The guideline to construct the Promela code from STG is as follows.

1) Create an active proctype in Promela for each output signal $S_{out}$ in STG
2) Within the active proctype in (1)
   Loop forever to do
   
   If (the rising transition of $S_{out}$ is found) and
   (the next transition is input signal $S_{in}$) Then
   fire the transition $S_{in}$
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If (the falling transition of $S_{out}$ is found) and (the next transition is input signal $S_{in}$) Then fire the transition $S_{in}$

Endloop

If (the falling transition of $S_{out}$ is found) and (the next transition is input signal $S_{in}$) Then fire the transition $S_{in}$

Endloop
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Fig. 3. Our Signal Persistence Checking Scheme

Fig. 4. A Sample Target Specification of Circuit in STG [8]

In the STG shown in Fig. 4, there are two output signals, called Ao and Ro, and two input signals, called Ai and Ri. The rising transition of Ao, labelled as Ao+, is followed by the transition Ri-. Also, the falling transition of Ao, labelled as Ao-, is followed by the transition Ri+. By using our guideline, the Promela code constructed from the STG in Fig. 4 is shown in Fig. 5. Two active proctype are created for the two output signals, called MonitorAo() and MonitorRo(). Within each proctype, the do loop is created and the input signals next to the output transitions are fired as shown in the If-statements. The printf statement would capture the actual signal values of inputs and outputs at the firing moment. In fact, the printf statement provides us the sequence of signal values, called Signal Sequence (SS), during the simulation in SPIN.

The initial values of the signals in STG would be set to zero. Therefore, the Promela global variables representing the signals – Ai, Ri, Ao, Ro, are initially set to zero.

active proctype MonitorAo(){
  do :: (Ao == 0) -> atomic{
    if :: (Ri == 0) -> { Ri = 1; i = i +1;
      printf("\n Output > %d, %d, %d\n", Ri, Ao, Ai, Ro, Ao);
      i: skip
      fi;
    }
  }

  if :: (Ai == 1) -> { Ai = 0; i = i +1;
    printf("\n Output > %d, %d, %d\n", Ai, Ao, Ai, Ro, Ao, Ai);
    i: skip
    fi;
  }

  od;
}

active proctype MonitorRo(){
  do :: (Ro == 0) -> atomic{
    if :: (Ai == 1) -> { Ai = 0; i = i +1;
      printf("\n Output > %d, %d, %d\n", Ai, Ao, Ai, Ro, Ao, Ai);
      i: skip
      fi;
    }
  }

  if :: (Ai == 0) -> { Ai = 1; i = i +1;
    printf("\n Output > %d, %d, %d\n", Ai, Ao, Ai, Ro, Ao, Ai);
    i: skip
    fi;
  }

  od;
}

B. Generate Asynchronous Circuit Implementation

B. Generate Asynchronous Circuit Implementation

Fig. 5. The Promela Code of the Transition Driver

Fig. 6. A Sample of the Circuit Implementation [9]

The asynchronous circuit implementation is drawn in the form of gate level diagram, which includes AND, OR, NOT, NOR, NAND, C-element, etc. Fig. 6 shows a sample of the circuit implementation of the STG shown in Fig. 4. The diagram would be converted into Promela code.

The guideline describing how to construct the Promela code is shown as follows.

1) Create an active proctype in Promela for each element or gate G in the diagram
2) Within the active proctype in (1)
   Loop forever to do
   Change the output signals according to the truth
   table of the type of the element or gate.
   End loop

active proctype Celement1(){
  do:
    (Ao = 1 && Al = 0) -> atomic;
    if:
      (Ro = 0) -> { Ro = 1; i = i + 1;
        printf("\nOutput > |d| Ri=|d|, Ro=|d|, Al=|d|, Ao=|d| \n", i,Ri,Ro,Al,Ao);
        } skip fi;
    } od;

  (Ao = 0 && Al = 1) -> atomic;
  if:
    (Ro = 1) -> { Ro = 0; i = i + 1;
      printf("\nOutput > |d| Ri=|d|, Ro=|d|, Al=|d|, Ao=|d| \n", i,Ri,Ro,Al,Ao);
      } skip fi;
  } od;

active proctype Celement2(){
  do:
    (Ri = 0 && Ro = 1) -> atomic;
    if:
      (Ao = 1) -> { Ao = 0; i = i + 1;
        printf("\nOutput > |d| Ri=|d|, Ro=|d|, Al=|d|, Ao=|d| \n", i,Ri,Ro,Al,Ao);
        } skip fi;
    } od;

Fig. 7. The Promela Code of the Circuit Implementation

In the Fig. 6, two C-elements are drawn. The output
signals, Ao and Ro are fed loopback as the inputs to each
other C-element. By using the guideline, Two active
proctypes are created, called Celement1() and Celement2().
The proctype simply perform the changing of the outputs
according to the C-element’s truth table. Fig. 7 shows the
Promela code of the circuit implementation of the diagram
in Fig. 6. The printf statement also captures and provides us
the Simulation Sequence (SS) during the simulation in
SPIN.

C. Generate Simulation Sequence

We consolidate the Promela code from both the transition
driver part (in Fig. 5) and the circuit implementation part
(in Fig. 7) to construct our formal verification model. Then,
the SPIN is used to simulate the behaviors of this system
model. The printf statements are used as our instrument
probing and generate the sequence of signal values, called
Simulation Sequence (SS).

The SS is formally defined as a n-tuple \( \alpha = <s_1, s_2, ..., s_i, s_{i+1}, ..., s_n> \) where \( s_i \) is a snapshot of the observable signal
values, and \( n \) is the number of snapshot where \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).
A snapshot is a k-tuple \( s = v_1, v_2, ..., v_i, v_{i+1}, ..., v_k > \) where
\( v_i \) is the ordered signal value (0,1) of the k numbers of
inputs and outputs observed at a particular moment. For
example, \( s_1 = <1, 0, 0, 1> \) and \( s_2=<1, 1, 0, 1> \) are the two
snapshots of the signals Ri, Ro, Ai, Ao of the Fig. 4 and
Fig. 5. The SS = <s1, 0, 0, 1>, <s1, 1, 0, 1>. Practically, the
number of snapshots should be huge when the exhaustive checking is conducted. The SS is expected to
demonstrate the snapshots of the formal model and this
sequence is our key ingredient in our signal persistence
checking scheme at last.

D. Generate Signal Transition Sequences

The Signal Transition Sequence (STS) is introduced to
represent all of the possible unfolding sequences of signal
transitions of each simple cycle in live STG.

The STS is formally defined as a set \( \beta = \{ L \} \) where \( L \) is
the sequence of transitions. A sequence of transitions is n-
tuple \( L = <t_1, t_2, ..., t_i, t_{i+1}, ..., t_n> \), where \( t_i \) is either rising
transition \( r+ \) or falling transition \( r- \), and \( r \) is the signal
name. For example, a \( STS = \{ <Ri+, Ao+, Ri-, Ro+, Ai+, Ro-, Ai->, <Ri+, Ao+, Ri-, Ro+, Ai+, Ao-, Ro-, Ai-> \} \). The STS includes all nodes of the STG, called
transitions, and they are enabled/fired eventually.
Therefore, the STS is used to test the liveness of the circuit
implementation.

The guideline of the extracting of the STS from the given
STG (2 fan-in/2 fan-out) is shown in Fig. 8.

Input
A valid and live STG called \( G=<T,F,M> \) where \( T \) is a
set of transitions \( t \) and \( M \) is a set of marking \( M \).

For each marking \( M \),
Create a null sequence \( L \)
Loop until the marking \( M \) traverses back the
start edge/position again
Locate marking \( M = (t_1,t_2) \) and \( t_1 \) has more than one fan-in transitions
then Append Extra of the other trigger
transitions x’s of \( t_1 \) to the sequence \( L \)
EndIf
Append \( t_1 \) to the sequence \( L \)
Fire the transition \( t_1 \) so that the marking \( M \)
moves forward to the unvisited edge
Endloop
Add sequence \( L \) to the set \( S \)
For each sequence \( L \) in the set \( S \)
For each Extra trigger transition \( x \)
Create a new sequence \( L \) similar to \( L \)
In \( L \), Swap order of the Extra trigger
transitions \( x \) and the previous one
Add sequence \( L \) to the set \( S \)
EndFor
EndFor

Remark: The STS \( S \) is the union all of the sequence
and \( L \), so that the duplicate sequences are
eliminated.

EndFor
EndFor

Fig. 8. The Guideline to Extract the STS

We develop a tool to extract the STS using C# and
the sample of the tool is shown in Fig. 9. In the tool, the rising
and falling transitions are labelled as Ai+ and Ai-.
E. Generate Signal Transition Sequences

The Lock Relation Sequences (LRS) is introduced based on the definition of Lock Relation in [2].

Similar to STS, the LRS is formally defined as a set $\lambda = \{R\}$ where $R$ is the sequence of transitions. A sequence of transitions is k-tuple $R = \langle t_1, t_2, ..., t_i, t_{i+1}, ..., t_k \rangle$, where $t_i$ is either rising transition $r+$ or falling transition $r-$, and $r$ is the signal name. However, each sequence of transitions $R$ is based on Semi-Lock and Full-Lock Relation definition in [2].

For example, a LRS = $\{<Ao-, Ro-, Ao+>, <Ao-, Ro-, Ao+, Ro+>\}$. Therefore, the LRS is used to test the signal persistence of the circuit implementation according to [Park]. In our approach, only semi-lock and full-lock relation patterns are considered.

In Fig. 10. The rising and falling transitions are labelled as Ai1 and Ai0 instead of Ai+ and Ai-.

F. 2-Phase Signal Persistence Checking

We propose a 2-phase signal persistence checking scheme to ensure the liveness and persistence of the circuit implementation written by gate level diagram. Firstly, the STS coverage checking is performed. As mentioned earlier, the STS represents all unfolding sequences of the transitions of the STG. If the SS, which represents the execution of the formal model, covers the STS, then the circuit implementation is also live. Every node of STG is reachable and fired eventually by the circuit implementation simulation found in the SS. Secondly, the LRS coverage checking is performed. If the circuit implementation, simulated by the SS, matches the patterns of the LRS, then it is also persistent.

In order to support this signal checking approach, we develop a tool to perform this 2-phase signal persistence checking using C#. The STS coverage checking and the LRS coverage checking are performed shown in Fig. 11. The result is shown in Fig. 12.

In Fig. 11, the first table shows the patterns matching of STS found in the SS. The transaction sequence SS splits into a set of subsequences of SS and the coverage testing is conducted by searching the sequences of STS in these subsequences of SS. In the sample table, STS3 is found firstly at the transition position 593 to 608, etc. While, the second table, in Fig. 11, shows the coverage of LRS in the SS. The semi-lock sequence: Ao0 > Ro0 > Ao1, is found during the simulation step 6-10. The semi-lock sequence: Ri0 > Ao0 > Ri1, is also found during the simulation step 5-9, etc. While the full-lock sequence: Ao0 > Ro0 > Ao1 > Ro1, is found at the step 6-11, etc. The result in Fig. 12 concludes the number of STS and LRS found in the simulation sequence SS.
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