# Performance Evaluation of 3.5 kWp Rooftop Solar PV Plant in Thailand

A. Chaita, J. Kluabwang, Member, IAENG

Abstract—This article presents an evaluation of the performance of the 3.5kWp rooftop solar PV plant (latitude 17.07°N and longitude 99.05°E) in Thailand's solar rooftop program. Performance parameters, including final yield  $(Y_F)$  and performance ratio (PR) are evaluated via Sunny Portal application. The Y<sub>F</sub> of this PV Plant was monitored between December 2014 to November 2015 but the PR and physical parameters, solar radiation, module and ambient temperatures and wind speed, have been measured in December  $10^{th} - 23^{rd}$ , 2015 due to additional sensor box has just been installed. Among those recorded data, the annual final yield was 3.81 kWh/kWp/day with the annual total energy generated was 4,869 kWh and its maximum monthly generated energy, 505 kWh on May. The average daily PR was between 59% to 76.4%. The maximum hourly average solar radiation, ambient temperature, module temperature and wind speed recorded were 1,149.1 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 37.79 °C, 60.9 °C and 1.48 m/s, respectively. In additionally, economic analysis for this project was elaborated by net present value, the result showed that the investment will be returned in the 9<sup>th</sup> year later.

Index Terms—solar PV rooftop, final yield, performance ratio

#### I. INTRODUCTION

LUANCHED in 2013, the first round of the PV rooftop in Thailand [1] with feed in tariff 6.96 THB (Thai Baht) per unit (kWh) for 25 years contract. All power generation from solar PV rooftop system is supplied to network of two distribution utilities; Metropolitan Electricity Authority (MEA) and Provincial Electricity Authority (PEA). The commercial operating date (COD) is also defined within the end of December 2014. Accurate and consistent evaluations of photovoltaic (PV) system performance are critical for the continuing development of the PV industry. This study is aim to evaluate performance of the PV small site (3.5 kWp) both technical and economic base. The study solar PV rooftop system is under PEA responsibility and its COD was on November 7<sup>th</sup> 2014.

This paper consists of seven parts, introduction, PV plant description, performance parameters, data acquisition system, monitoring results, economical analysis and conclusion.

A. Chaita, and J. Kluabwang are with Electrical Engineering Department, Rajamangala University of Technology Lanna, Muang, Tak 63000 THAILAND (e-mail: anirut\_chaita@hotmail.com and jukkrit\_k@rmutl.ac.th).

## II. PV PLANT DESCRIPTION

The 3.5 kWp grid-connected solar power plant is in Thailand's solar rooftop program [1]. It consists of 14 modules, each module rated at 250 Wp (Solar World AG) [2]. All modules were divided into two strings and connected them in series for each string. Two strings have been wired to an inverter rated 3.6 kWp (SMA Solar Technology) [3]. The PV plant is located in Bantak district, Tak province, Thailand with latitude 17.07°N and longitude 99.05°E. All modules were tilted equal to their site's latitude (17°) toward the equator, as shown in Fig. 1. More specifications of the PV module and the inverter are illustrated in Table I and Table II, respectively.

#### **III. PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS**

## A. Final Yield $(Y_F)$

Final Yield is defined as the ratio of the annual, monthly, or daily net AC output energy of the plant to the rated dc power of the installed PV plant [6], as in

$$Y_F = \frac{AC \text{ output energy}}{Rated PV Plant Power}$$
(1)

The rated PV plant power of this study is 3.5 kWp calculated from 14 PV modules (250Wp per module). The  $Y_F$  is widely used to compare the energy produced from PV plants differing size.

### B. Reference Yield $(Y_R)$

Reference Yield [4] is the ratio of total in-plane solar insulation to the PV's reference irradiance  $(1000W/m^2 \text{ at standard test condition, STC})$ , as in

$$Y_{R} = \frac{Total \ In - Plane \ Solar \ Insolation}{reference \ Irradiance}$$
(2)

#### C. Performance Ratio (PR)

Performance Ratio [4] is the ratio of Final Yield to the Reference Yield, as in

$$PR = \frac{Final Yield (Y_F)}{reference Yield (Y_R)}$$
(3)

PR is one of the most important measures for evaluating of a PV plant and also be used to compare PV plants supplying the grid at different locations all over the world.

Manuscript received December 23, 2015

This work was supported in part by the Rajamangala University of Technology Lanna Tak.

Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2016 Vol II, IMECS 2016, March 16 - 18, 2016, Hong Kong



Fig. 1. View of the 3.5kWp rooftop PV plant.

TABLE I	
PV MODULES AND ARRAY SPECIFICATIONS	

Characteristics	Value
Module type	Poly-crystalline Si
Manufacturer	Solar World AG
Module model	Sun module plus sw 250 poly
Module max. power	250 W (STC)
Open circuit voltage	37.6 V
Short circuit current	8.81 A
Max. system voltage	1000 V
Max. reverse current	16 A
NOTC	46 °C
No. of modules	14
Module area	$1.67 \text{ m}^2$
Weight	21.2 kg

TABLE II INVERTER SPECIFICATIONS

Characteristics	Value
Manufacturer	SMA Solar Technology AG
Model	Sunny Boy 3600TL-21
Input	
Max. dc power	3880 W
Max. dc voltage	550 V
No. of input port	2 (A and B)
Max. input current	15 A per string
PV- voltage range at MPPT	175 - 440 V
Output	
Max. ac power	3680 W
Rated frequency and voltage	50 Hz and 230 V
Max. output current	16 A
Efficiency	
Max.efficiency	97%
European efficiency	96.3%
Operating temperature range	-25 °C to +60 °C
Weight	25 kg

## IV. DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM

The data acquisition system for the 3.5 kWp PV plant consists of a Sunny Boy 3600TL-21 inverter, a Sunny SensorBox, and a Sunny WebBox. To operate the SensorBox, an ambient and an module temperature sensors are required. Wind sensor is optional part but this study has included it. RS485 protocol is used to communicate among, the Sunny Boy inverter, SensorBox, and WebBox. Measured data has been recorded and uploaded via Sunny WebBox though public internet network to SunnyPortal server. Sunny Portal analyzes the recorded data and also generate an important parameter, PR.

## V. MONITORING RESULTS

## A. Measured $Y_F$

The measured Final Yield's data were recorded and averaged monthly for one year from December 2014 to November 2015 as shown in Fig. 2. Maximum  $Y_F$  occurred on May 2015 with 4.65 kWh/kWp/day. The minimum and the averaged Final Yields are 3.34 and 3.81 kWh/kWp/day, respectively. To learn what happen in the peak month, Fig. 3 is illustrated energy produced in daily. Total monthly production is 505 units (kWh) or about 3,515 THB in cash.

In addition, a day in May 2015 is also be the day, which the highest daily yield is recorded at 18.947 unit, if we calculate the daily Final Yield, it is 5.41 kWh/kWp/day. There are seven days, which the PV plant can produce energy to grid more than 18 units.



Fig. 2. Monthly average daily PV plant's final yield from December 2014 to November 2015.



Fig. 3. The 3.5kWp PV plant's daily yields in May 2015(peak of the year).

## B. Measured Radiation, Temperatures. and Wind speeds

Example measured solar radiation in hourly average of December  $23^{rd}$ ,2015 are depicted in Fig.4. The maximum solar radiation of the day is 1,035 W/m<sup>2</sup> between 12am to1 pm while average power fed to grid is2.301 kW or 2.301 kWh energy produced this period and all day energy production is 14.687 units.

Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2016 Vol II, IMECS 2016, March 16 - 18, 2016, Hong Kong



Fig. 4. Hourly average measured radiation on December 23rd, 2015



Fig. 5. Ambient and module temperatures on December 23<sup>rd</sup> 2015.

Example measured ambient and module temperatures (selected December 23<sup>rd</sup> 2015) are shown in Fig.5. In the clear sky day, peak module temperature can reach 60°C differed from ambient temperature nearly 25 °C at 1pm. Average wind speed of the day is 0.3 but of 1 pm is 0.5 m/s.

## C. Performance Ratio

The example measured PRs on December  $10^{\text{th}}$  to  $23^{\text{rd}}$ , 2015 are investigated. Those PRs are shown in Fig.6 with maximum value at 76.4% on December  $17^{\text{th}}$  and the lowest PR at 59.7% on December  $22^{\text{nd}}$ .



Fig. 6. Performance ratio of the PV plant between 10<sup>th</sup> to 23<sup>rd</sup> Dec.2015

I ABLE III PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS OF DIFFERENT ROOFTOP PV PLANTS					
Location	PV type	Y <sub>F</sub> (kWh/kWp)	PR (%)	Reference	
Crete, Greece Germany France Malaga, Spain Calabria, Italy	Poly- Poly-	2.0-5.1 1.9 3.1 3.7 3.4	67.4 66.5 75.8 64.5	[5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	
Ireland	Mono-	2.4	81.5	[10]	
Poland	Amor.	2.3	60-80	[11]	
USA		2.5-5.0	65-80	[12]	
Pha Bong,Thaila	nd	2.9-4.0	70-90	[13]	
Tak, Thailand	Poly-	3.8	59.7-7	6.4 Present Study	

Table III are summarized some important parameters, PV type,  $Y_F$  and PR, different rooftop PV plants around the world for comparison approach. Of the study plant with 3.5kWp can obtain higher Final Yield, 3.8 kWh/kWp/day, than of many countrys in Euro zone but it PR is fair.

## VI. EONOMICAL ANALYSIS

The 3.5kWp residential rooftop PV plant had been built and set up completely by a specialized company. The investment total cost included 7% tax is 307,090 THB (Thai Baht), including 14 PV modules, 3.6 kW inverter, accessories and labor cost to build the plant. Table IV collects important data to calculate the net present value (NPV) of the PV project.

 TABLE IV

 ECONOMIC DATA OF THE 3.5KWP PV ROOFTOP PLANT

Value	Amount
Plant total cost	307,090 THB
Feed in tariff rate	6.96 THB
Initial annual yield	4,788 unit (kWh)
Yield reduction rate	0.05% per year
Project period	25 years

To generate NPV curve by

$$NPV = -C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{T} \frac{C_i}{(1+r)^i}$$
(4)

Where  $C_0$  is plant total cost (investment),  $C_i$  are incoming cashflow, r is discount rate, i is time step (year) and T is time period (25 years for this project).

 $C_i$  can be generated by

$$C_i = C_{i-1} \times (1 - yield \ reduction \ rate) \tag{5}$$

For example,  $C_1$  is 33,324 THB from 4,788 multiplying by 6.96. Another one,  $C_2$  is 33,157 from  $C_1$  multiplying by 0.995. Complete generated NPV curve is shown in Fig. 7. From the NPV curve, the cash flow of this 3.5 kWp rooftop PV plant project will positive at 9<sup>th</sup> year with 6,991 THB until 25<sup>th</sup> year with 497,990 THB. It is a reliable investment. Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2016 Vol II, IMECS 2016, March 16 - 18, 2016, Hong Kong



Fig. 7. The 3.5kWp rooftop PV plant NPV calculation.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Performace evaluation of the 3.5kWp rooftop in Thailand is done in the first year. The annual Final Yield is average 3.8 kWh/kWp/day. The Performance Ratio in December 2015 is between 59% to 76.4%. The economic analysis confirm that this project is reliable investment. Further work is planned to record more data for improving the performance of the 3.5 kWp PV Plant.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Official website of department of alternative energy development and efficiency. Available: http://weben.dede.go.th/
- [2] Official website of Solar World AG. Available: http://www.solarworld.de/en/
- [3] Official website of SMA Technology AG. Available: http://www.sma.de/en/
- [4] N. Aste, F. Groppi and C. Del Pero, "The first installation under the Italian PV Rooftop Programme: a performance analysis referred to five years of operation," in *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Clean Electrical Power*, Capri, 2007, pp. 360–365.
- [5] E. Kymakis, S Kalykakis and TM. Papazoglou, "Performance analysis of a grid connected photovoltaic park on the island of crete," *Energy Convers Manage*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp.433-438, Mar. 2009.
- [6] B. Decker, and U. Jahn, "Performance of 170 grid connected PV plants in Northern Germany-Analysis of yields and optimization potentials," *Solar Energy*, vol. 59, no.4-6, pp.127-133, 1997.
- [7] J. Leloux, L. Narvarte and D. Trebosc, "Review of the performance of residential PV systems in France," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 16, no.4-6, pp.1369-1376, 2012.
- [8] M. Sidrach-de-Cardona, and L. Mora Lopez, "Performance analysis of a grid-connected photovoltaic system," *Energy*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp.93-102, 1999.
- [9] M. Cucumo et al., "Performance analysis of a 3 kW grid-connected photovoltaic plant," *Renew Energy*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp.1129-1138, Jul. 2006.
- [10] L. Ayome, A. Duffy, S. McCormack and M. Conlonand, "Measured performance of a 1.72kW rooftop grid connected photovoltaic system in Ireland," *Energy Convers Manage*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp.816-825, Feb. 2011.
- [11] SM. Pietruszko, and M. Gradzki, "Performance of a grid connected small PV system in Poland," *Appl Energy*, vol. 74, no. 1-2, pp.177-184, Jan. 2003.
- [12] B. Marion, J. Adelstein and K. Boyle, "Performance parameters for grid-connected PV systems," in *Proc. 31st Int. Conf. Photovoltaic Specialists*, Florida, 2005, pp. 1601–1606.
- [13] S. Chokmaviroj, R. Wattanapong, and Y. Suchart, "Performance of a 500kWp grid connected photovoltaic system at Mae Hong Son Province, Thailand" *Renewable Energy*. vol. 31, pp.19-28, 2006.