The Hamiltonicity and Hamiltonian Connectivity of *L*-shaped Supergrid Graphs

Ruo-Wei Hung^{1,*}, Jun-Lin Li¹, and Chih-Han Lin¹

Abstract-Supergrid graphs include grid graphs and triangular grid graphs as their subgraphs. The Hamiltonian path problem for general supergrid graphs is a well-known NPcomplete problem. A graph is called Hamiltonian connected if there exists a Hamiltonian path between any two distinct vertices. In the past, we verified the Hamiltonian connectivity of some special supergrid graphs, including rectangular, triangular, parallelogram, trapezoid, and alphabet supergrid graphs, except few trivial conditions. In this paper, we will prove that every L-shaped supergrid graph always contains a Hamiltonian cycle except one trivial condition. We also present necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Hamiltonian path between two given vertices in L-shaped supergrid graphs. The Hamiltonian connectivity of L-shaped supergrid graphs can be applied to compute the optimal stitching trace of computer embroidering machines while a varied-sized letter L is sewed into an object.

Index Terms—Hamiltonicity, Hamiltonian connectivity, longest path, supergrid graphs, computer embroidering machines.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hamiltonian path (resp., cycle) in a graph is a simple path (resp., cycle) in which each vertex of the graph appears exactly once. The Hamiltonian path (resp., cycle) problem involves deciding whether or not a graph contains a Hamiltonian path (resp., cycle). A graph is called Hamilto*nian* if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle. A graph G is said to be Hamiltonian connected if for every pair of distinct vertices u and v of G, there is a Hamiltonian path from u to v in G. If (u, v) is an edge of a Hamiltonian connected graph, then there exists a Hamiltonian cycle containing edge (u, v). Thus, a Hamiltonian connected graph contains many Hamiltonian cycles, and, hence, the sufficient conditions of Hamiltonian connectivity are stronger than those of Hamiltonicity. The longest path problem is to find a simple path with the maximum number of vertices in a graph. The Hamiltonian path problem is clearly a special case of the longest path problem.

The Hamiltonian path and cycle problems have numerous applications in different areas, including establishing transport routes, production launching, the on-line optimization of flexible manufacturing systems [1], computing the perceptual boundaries of dot patterns [37], pattern recognition [2], [39], [42], DNA physical mapping [14], and fault-tolerant routing for 3D network-on-chip architectures [9]. It is well

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (R.O.C.) under grant no. MOST 105-2221-E-324-010-MY3.

¹Ruo-Wei Hung, Jun-Lin Li, and Chin-Han Lin are with the Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Chaoyang University of Technology, Wufeng, Taichung 41349, Taiwan.

*Corresponding author e-mail: rwhung@cyut.edu.tw.

known that the Hamiltonian path and cycle problems are NPcomplete for general graphs [11], [26]. The same holds true for bipartite graphs [32], split graphs [12], circle graphs [8], undirected path graphs [3], grid graphs [25], triangular grid graphs [13], and supergrid graphs [20].

In the literature, there are many studies for the Hamiltonian connectivity of interconnection networks, including WK-recursive network [10], recursive dual-net [34], hypercomplete network [5], alternating group graph [27], arrangement graph [36]. The popular hypercubes are Hamiltonian but are not Hamiltonian connected. However, many variants of hypercubes, including augmented hypercubes [19], generalized base-*b* hypercube [18], hypercube-like networks [38], twisted cubes [17], crossed cubes [16], Möbius cubes [7], folded hypercubes [15], and enhanced hypercubes [35], have been known to be Hamiltonian connected.

A supergrid graph is a graph in which vertices lie on integer coordinates and two vertices are adjacent if and only if the difference of their x or y coordinates is not greater than 1. Let $v = (v_x, v_y)$ be a vertex in a supergrid graph, where v_x and v_y represent the x and y coordinates of v, respectively. Then, the possible adjacent vertices of vinclude $(v_x, v_y - 1), (v_x - 1, v_y), (v_x + 1, v_y), (v_x, v_y + 1),$ $(v_x - 1, v_y - 1), (v_x + 1, v_y + 1), (v_x + 1, v_y - 1),$ and $(v_x - 1, v_y + 1)$. Let R(m, n) be the supergrid graph whose vertex set $V(R(m,n)) = \{v = (v_x, v_y) | 1 \leq v_x \leq m \text{ and } v_y \in V(R(m,n)) \}$ $1 \leq v_y \leq n$. A rectangular supergrid graph is a supergrid graph which is isomorphic to R(m, n). Let L(m, n; k, l) be a supergrid graph obtained from a rectangular supergrid graph R(m,n) by removing its subgraph R(k,l) from the upper right corner. A L-shaped supergrid graph is isomorphic to L(m, n; k, l). In this paper, we only consider L(m, n; k, l).

The possible application of the Hamiltonian connectivity of L-shaped supergrid graphs is presented as follows. Consider a computerized embroidery machine to embroider the object, e.g., clothes, with a L letter. First, we produce a set of lattices to represent the letter. Then, a path is computed to visit the lattices of the set such that each lattice is visited exactly once. Finally, the software transmits the stitching trace of the computed path to the computerized embroidering machine, and the machine then performs the stitching work along the trace on the object. Since each stitch position of an embroidering machine can be moved to its eight neighboring positions (left, right, up, down, up-left, up-right, down-left, and down-right), one set of neighboring lattices forms a L-shaped supergrid graph. Note that each lattice will be represented by a vertex of a supergrid graph. The desired stitching trace of the set of adjacent lattices is the Hamiltonian path of the corresponding L-shaped supergrid graph. The width and height of L-shaped supergrid graph L(m, n; k, l) can be adjusted according to the parameters m,

Manuscript received October 28, 2017; revised November 13, 2017.



Fig. 1. (a) The structure of L-shaped supergrid graph L(m,n;k,l), (b) L(10,11;6,8), (c) L(10,11;7,9), (d) L(7,10;3,7), and (e) a possible stitching trace for the sets of lattices in (b)–(d), where solid arrow lines indicate the computed trace and dashed arrow lines indicate the jump lines connecting two continuous letters.

n, k, and l. For example, Fig. 1(a) indicates the structure of L(m, n; k, l), and Figs. 1(b)–(d) depict L(10, 11; 6, 8), L(10, 11; 7, 9), and L(7, 10; 3, 7), respectively. Given a string with varied-sized L letters. By the Hamiltonian connectivity of L-shaped supergrid graphs, we can seek the end vertices of Hamiltonian paths in the corresponding L-shaped supergrid graphs so that the total length of jump lines connecting two L-shaped supergrid graphs is minimum. For instance, given three L-shaped supergrid graphs in Figs. 1(b)–(d), in which each L-shaped supergrid graph represents a set of lattices, Fig. 1(e) shows a such minimum stitching trace for the sets of lattices.

Previous related works are summarized as follows. Recently, Hamiltonian path (cycle) and Hamiltonian connected problems on grid, triangular grid, and supergrid graphs have received much attention. Itai et al. [25] showed that the Hamiltonian path problem on grid graphs is NP-complete. They also gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a rectangular grid graph having a Hamiltonian path between two given vertices. Note that rectangular grid graphs are not Hamiltonian connected. Zamfirescu et al. [43] gave sufficient conditions for a grid graph having a Hamiltonian cycle, and proved that all grid graphs of positive width have Hamiltonian line graphs. Later, Chen et al. [6] improved the Hamiltonian path algorithm of [25] on rectangular grid graphs and presented a parallel algorithm for the Hamiltonian path problem with two given endpoints in rectangular grid graphs. Also there is a polynomial-time algorithm for finding Hamiltonian cycles in solid grid graphs [33]. In [41], Salman introduced alphabet grid graphs and determined classes of alphabet grid graphs which contain Hamiltonian cycles. Keshavarz-Kohjerdi and Bagheri gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Hamiltonian paths in alphabet grid graphs, and presented linear-time algorithms for finding Hamiltonian paths with two given endpoints in these graphs [28]. They also presented a linear-time algorithm for computing the longest path between two given vertices in rectangular grid graphs [29], gave a parallel algorithm to solve the longest path problem in rectangular grid graphs [30], and solved the Hamiltonian connected problem in L-shaped grid graphs [31]. Reay and Zamfirescu [40] proved that all 2-connected, linear-convex triangular grid graphs except one special case contain Hamiltonian cycles. The Hamiltonian cycle (path) on triangular grid graphs has been shown to be NP-complete [13]. They also proved that all connected, locally connected triangular grid graphs (with one exception) contain Hamiltonian cycles. Recently, we prove that the Hamiltonian cycle and path problems on supergrid graphs are NP-complete [20]. We also showed that every rectangular supergrid graph always contains a Hamiltonian cycle. In [21], we prove linear-convex supergrid graphs, which form a subclass of supergrid graphs, to be Hamiltonian. Very recently, we verify the Hamiltonian connectivity of rectangular, shaped, and alphabet supergrid graphs [24], [22], [23].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, some notations and observations are given. Previous results are also introduced. Section III shows that *L*-shaped supergrid graphs are Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian connected. Finally, we make some concluding remarks in Section IV.

II. TERMINOLOGIES AND BACKGROUND RESULTS

In this section, we will introduce some terminologies and symbols. Some observations and previously established results for the Hamiltonicity and Hamiltonian connectivity of rectangular supergrid graphs are also presented. For graphtheoretic terminology not defined in this paper, the reader is referred to [4].

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). Let S be a subset of vertices in G, and let u and v be two vertices in G. We write G[S] for the subgraph of G induced by S, G - S for the subgraph G[V - S], i.e., the subgraph induced by V - S. In general, we write G - vinstead of $G - \{v\}$. If (u, v) is an edge in G, we say that u is adjacent to v, and u and v are incident to edge (u, v). The notation $u \sim v$ (resp., $u \nsim v$) means that vertices u and v are adjacent (resp., non-adjacent). Edge $e_1 = (u_1, v_1)$ is said to be *parallel* with edge $e_2 = (u_2, v_2)$ if $u_1 \sim u_2$ and $v_1 \sim v_2$. The notation $e_1 \approx e_2$ means that edges e_1 and e_2 are parallel. A *neighbor* of v in G is any vertex that is adjacent to v. We use $N_G(v)$ to denote the set of neighbors of v in G, and let $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. The number of vertices adjacent to vertex v in G is called the *degree* of v in G and is denoted by deg(v). A path P of length |P| in G, denoted by $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{|P|-1} \rightarrow v_{|P|}$, is a sequence $(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{|P|-1}, v_{|P|})$ of vertices such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ for $1 \leq i < |P|$, and all vertices except $v_1, v_{|P|}$ in it are distinct. By the *length* of path P we mean the number of vertices in P. The first and last vertices visited by P are called the *path-start* and *path-end* of P, denoted by start(P)and end(P), respectively. We will use $v_i \in P$ to denote "P visits vertex v_i " and use $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in P$ to denote "P visits edge (v_i, v_{i+1}) ". A path from v_1 to v_k is denoted by (v_1, v_k) path. In addition, we use P to refer to the set of vertices visited by path P if it is understood without ambiguity. A cycle is a path C with $|V(C)| \ge 4$ and start(C) = end(C). Two paths (or cycles) P_1 and P_2 of graph G are called *vertexdisjoint* if $V(P_1) \cap V(P_2) = \emptyset$. Two vertex-disjoint paths P_1 and P_2 can be concatenated into a path, denoted by $P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$, when $end(P_1) \sim start(P_2)$.

Let S^{∞} be the infinite graph whose vertex set consists of all points of the plane with integer coordinates and in which two vertices are adjacent if the difference of their x or y coordinates is not larger than 1. A supergrid graph is a finite, vertex-induced subgraph of S^{∞} . For a vertex v in a supergrid graph, let v_x and v_y denote x and y coordinates of its corresponding point, respectively. We color vertex v to be white if $v_x + v_y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$; otherwise, v is colored to be black. Then there are eight possible neighbors of vertex v including four white vertices and four black vertices. Obviously, all supergrid graphs are not bipartite. However, all grid graphs are bipartite [25].

Rectangular supergrid graphs first appeared in [20], in which the Hamiltonian cycle problem was solved. Let R(m,n) be the supergrid graph whose vertex set $V(R(m,n)) = \{v = (v_x, v_y) | 1 \le v_x \le m \text{ and } 1 \le v_y \le n\}$. That is, R(m,n) contains m columns and n rows of vertices in S^{∞} . A rectangular supergrid graph is a supergrid graph which is isomorphic to R(m,n) for some m and n. Then m and n, the dimensions, specify a rectangular supergrid graph up to isomorphism. The size of R(m,n)is defined to be mn, and R(m,n) is called n-rectangle. R(m,n) is called even-sized if mn is even, and it is called odd-sized otherwise. In this paper, without loss of generality we will assume that $m \ge n$.

Let $v = (v_x, v_y)$ be a vertex in R(m, n). The vertex v is called the upper-left (resp., upper-right, down-left, downright) corner of R(m,n) if for any vertex $w = (w_x, w_y) \in$ $R(m,n), w_x \geqslant v_x$ and $w_y \geqslant v_y$ (resp., $w_x \leqslant v_x$ and $w_y \ge v_y, w_x \ge v_x$ and $w_y \le v_y, w_x \le v_x$ and $w_y \le v_y$). The edge (u, v) is said to be *horizontal* (resp., *vertical*) if $u_y = v_y$ (resp., $u_x = v_x$), and is called *crossed* if it is neither a horizontal nor a vertical edge. In the figures we will assume that (1,1) are coordinates of the upper-left corner in a rectangular supergrid graph R(m, n). There are four boundaries in a rectangular supergrid graph R(m, n) with $m, n \ge 2$. The edge in the boundary of R(m, n) is called boundary edge. A path is called boundary of R(m, n) if it visits all vertices of the same boundary in R(m, n) and its length equals to the number of vertices in the visited boundary.

A *L*-shaped supergrid graph, denoted by L(m, n; k, l), is a supergrid graph obtained from a rectangular supergrid graph R(m, n) by removing its subgraph R(k, l) from the upper right corner, where $k, l \ge 1$ and m, n > 1. Then, $m - k \ge 1$ and $n - l \ge 1$. The structure of L(m, n; k, l) can be found in Fig. 1(a). The parameters m - k and n - l are used to adjust the width and height of L(m, n; k, l), respectively.

In [20], we have showed that rectangular supergrid graphs always contain Hamiltonian cycles except 1-rectangles. Let R(m, n) be a rectangular supergrid graph with $m \ge n, C$ be a cycle of R(m, n), and let H be a boundary of R(m, n), where H is a subgraph of R(m, n). The restriction of C to H is denoted by $C_{|H}$. If $|C_{|H}| = 1$, i.e., $C_{|H}$ is a boundary path on H, then $C_{|H}$ is called *flat face* on H. If $|C_{|H}| > 1$ and $C_{|H}$ contains at least one boundary edge of H, then $C_{|H}$ is called *concave face* on H. A Hamiltonian cycle of R(m, 3) is called *canonical* if it contains three flat faces on two shorter boundaries and one longer boundary, where the shorter boundary consists of three vertices. And, a Hamiltonian cycle of R(m, n) with n = 2 or $n \ge 4$ is said to be *canonical* if it contains three flat faces on



Fig. 2. A canonical Hamiltonian cycle containing three flat faces and one concave face for (a) R(8, 6) and (b)–(e) R(7, 5), where solid arrow lines indicate the edges in the cycles and R(7, 5) contains four distinct canonical Hamiltonian cycles in (b)–(e) such that their concave faces are placed on different boundaries.

three boundaries, and it contains one concave face on the other boundary. The following lemma states the result in [20] concerning the Hamiltonicity of rectangular supergrid graphs.

Lemma 1. (See [20].) Let R(m, n) be a rectangular supergrid graph with $m \ge n \ge 2$. Then, the following statements hold true:

(1) if n = 3, then R(m, 3) contains a canonical Hamiltonian cycle;

(2) if n = 2 or $n \ge 4$, then R(m, n) contains four canonical Hamiltonian cycles with concave faces being on different boundaries.

Fig. 2 shows canonical Hamiltonian cycles for even-sized and odd-sized rectangular supergrid graphs found in Lemma 1. Each Hamiltonian cycle found by this lemma contains all the boundary edges on any three sides of the rectangular supergrid graph. This shows that for any rectangular supergrid graph R(m, n) with $m \ge n \ge 4$, we can always construct four canonical Hamiltonian cycles such that their concave faces are placed on different boundaries. For instance, the four distinct canonical Hamiltonian cycles of R(7, 5) are shown in Fig. 2(b)–(e), where the concave faces of these four canonical Hamiltonian cycles are arranged on different boundaries.

Let (G, s, t) denote the supergrid graph G with two specified distinct vertices s and t. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $s_x \leq t_x$. We denote a Hamiltonian path between s and t in G by HP(G, s, t). We say that HP(G, s, t) does exist if there is a Hamiltonian (s, t)-path in G. From Lemma 1, we know that HP(R(m, n), s, t) does exist if $m, n \geq 2$ and (s, t) is an edge in the constructed Hamiltonian cycle of R(m, n).

Recently, we verify the Hamiltonian connectivity of rectangular supergrid graphs except one condition [24]. The forbidden condition for HP(R(m, n), s, t) holds only for 1rectangle or 2-rectangle. To describe the exception condition, we define the vertex cut and cut vertex of a graph as follows.

Definition 1. Let G be a connected graph and let V_1 be a subset of the vertex set V(G). V_1 is a vertex cut of G if $G - V_1$ is disconnected. A vertex v of G is a cut vertex of G if $\{v\}$ is a vertex cut of G. For an example, in Fig. 3(b) $\{s,t\}$ is a vertex cut and in Fig. 3(a) t is a cut vertex.

Then, the following condition implies HP(R(m, 1), s, t)and HP(R(m, 2), s, t) do not exist.

(F1) s or t is a cut vertex of R(m, 1), or $\{s, t\}$ is a vertex cut of R(m, 2) (see Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b)). Notice that, here, s or t is a cut vertex of R(m, 1) if either s or t is

Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2018 Vol I IMECS 2018, March 14-16, 2018, Hong Kong



Fig. 3. Rectangular supergrid graph in which there is no Hamiltonian (s, t)-path for (a) R(m, 1), and (b) R(m, 2), where solid lines indicate the longest path between s and t.



Fig. 4. A schematic diagram for (a) Proposition 1, (b) Proposition 2, (c) Proposition 3, and (d) Proposition 4, where \otimes represents the destruction of an edge while constructing a combined cycle or a path.

not a corner vertex, and $\{s,t\}$ is a vertex cut of R(m,2) if $2 \leq s_x (=t_x) \leq m-1$.

The following lemma showing that HP(R(m, n), s, t) does not exist if (R(m, n), s, t) satisfies condition (F1) can be verified by the same arguments in [31].

Lemma 2. (See [31].) Let R(m,n) be a rectangular supergrid graph with two distinct vertices s and t. If (R(m,n), s, t) satisfies condition (F1), then (R(m,n), s, t) contains no Hamiltonian (s,t)-path.

In [24], we obtain the following lemma to show the Hamiltonian connectivity of rectangular supergrid graphs.

Lemma 3. Let R(m,n) be a rectangular supergrid graph with $m, n \ge 1$, and let s and t be its two distinct vertices. If (R(m,n), s, t) does not satisfy forbidden condition (F1), then HP(R(m,n), s, t) does exist.

The Hamiltonian (s, t)-path P of R(m, n) constructed in [24] satisfies that P contains at least one boundary edge of each boundary, and is called *canonical*.

We next give some observations on the relations among cycle, path, and vertex. These propositions will be used in proving our results and are given in [20], [21], [24]. Let C_1 and C_2 be two vertex-disjoint cycles of a graph G. If there exist two edges $e_1 = (u_1, v_1) \in C_1$ and $e_2 = (u_2, v_2) \in C_2$ such that $e_1 \approx e_2$, then C_1 and C_2 can be merged into a cycle of G. Thus the following proposition holds true.

Proposition 1. Let C_1 and C_2 be two vertex-disjoint cycles of a graph G. If there exist two edges $e_1 \in C_1$ and $e_2 \in C_2$ such that $e_1 \approx e_2$, then C_1 and C_2 can be combined into a cycle of G. (see Fig. 4(a))

Let C_1 be a cycle and let P_1 be a path in a graph G such that $V(C_1) \cap V(P_1) = \emptyset$. If there exist two edges $e_1 \in C_1$ and $e_2 \in P_1$ such that $e_1 \approx e_2$, then C_1 and P_1 can be combined into a path P of G with $start(P) = start(P_1)$ and $end(P) = end(P_1)$. Fig. 4(b) depicts such a construction, and hence the following proposition holds true.

Proposition 2. (See [21].) Let C_1 and P_1 be a cycle and a path, respectively, of a graph G such that $V(C_1) \cap V(P_1) = \emptyset$. If there exist two edges $e_1 \in C_1$ and $e_2 \in P_1$ such that $e_1 \approx e_2$, then C_1 and P_1 can be combined into a path of G. (see Fig. 4(b))

ISBN: 978-988-14047-8-7 ISSN: 2078-0958 (Print); ISSN: 2078-0966 (Online) The above observation can be extended to a vertex x, where $P_1 = x$, as shown in Fig. 4(c), and we then have the following proposition.

Proposition 3. (See [21].) Let C_1 be a cycle (path) of a graph G and let x be a vertex in $G - V(C_1)$. If there exists an edge (u_1, v_1) in C_1 such that $u_1 \sim x$ and $v_1 \sim x$, then C_1 and x can be combined into a cycle (path) of G. (see Fig. 4(c))

Let P_1 and P_2 be two vertex-disjoint paths of a graph G. If there exists one edges $(u_1, v_1) \in P_1$ such that $u_1 \sim start(P_2)$ and $v_1 \sim end(P_2)$, then P_1 and P_2 can be combined into a path P of G with $start(P) = start(P_1)$ and $end(P) = end(P_1)$. Hence, the following observation is immediately true.

Proposition 4. Let P_1 and P_2 be two vertex-disjoint paths of a graph G. If there exists one edge $(u_1, v_1) \in P_1$ such that $u_1 \sim start(P_2)$ and $v_1 \sim end(P_2)$, then P_1 and P_2 can be combined into a path of G. (see Fig. 4(d))

III. THE HAMILTONIAN AND HAMILTONIAN CONNECTED PROPERTIES OF *L*-SHAPED SUPERGRID GRAPHS

In this section, we will verify the Hamiltonicity and Hamiltonian connectivity of *L*-shaped supergrid graphs. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{L} be a L-shaped supergrid graph L(m, n; k, l) or a rectangular supergrid graph R(m, n). A *separation operation* of \mathcal{L} is a partition of \mathcal{L} into two vertex disjoint rectangular supergrid subgraphs \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 , i.e., $V(\mathcal{L}) = V(\mathcal{L}_1) \cup V(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $V(\mathcal{L}_1) \cap V(\mathcal{L}_2) = \emptyset$. A separation is called *vertical* if it consists of a set of horizontal edges, and is called *horizontal* if it contains a set of vertical edges. For an example, the bold dashed vertical (resp., horizontal) line in Fig. 5(a) indicates a vertical (resp., horizontal) separation of L(10, 11; 9, 7) which partitions it into R(3, 11) and R(7, 2) (resp., R(3, 9) and R(10, 2)).

A. The Hamiltonian Property of L-shaped Supergrid Graphs

In this subsection, we will verify the Hamiltonicity of L-shaped supergrid graphs. Obviously, L(m, n; k, l)contains no Hamiltonian cycle if there exists a vertex w in L(m, n; k, l) such that deg(w) = 1. Thus, L(m, n; k, l) is not Hamiltonian when the following condition is satisfied.

(F2) there exists a vertex w in L(m,n;k,l) such that deg(w) = 1.

When the above condition is satisfied, m-k = 1 or n-l = 1. We then show the Hamiltonicity of L-shaped supergrid graphs as follows.

Theorem 1. Let L(m,n;k,l) be a L-shaped supergrid graph. Then, L(m,n;k,l) contains a Hamiltonian cycle if it does not satisfy condition (F2).

Proof: We first make a vertical separation on L(m, n; k, l) to obtain two disjoint rectangular supergrid subgraphs $L_1 = R(m - k, n)$ and $L_2 = R(k, n - l)$, as depicted in Fig. 5(b). We prove this theorem by constructing



Fig. 5. (a) Separations of L(10, 11; 9, 7), (b) a vertical separation for L(m, n; k, l) to obtain $L_1 = R(m - k, n)$ and $L_2 = R(k, l)$, (c) a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l) when m - k = 1, and (d) a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l) when $m - k \ge 2$, $n - l \ge 2$, and $k \ge 2$, where the bold dashed vertical (resp., horizontal) line in (a) indicates a vertical (resp., horizontal) separation of L(10, 11; 9, 7) which partitions it into R(3, 11) and R(7, 2) (resp., R(3, 9) and R(10, 2)), and \otimes represents the destruction of an edge while constructing a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l).

a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l). Depending on the sizes of L_1 and L_2 , we consider the following cases:

Case 1: m - k = 1 or n - l = 1. Suppose that m-k=1. Since there exists no vertex w in L(m,n;k,l)such that deg(w) = 1, we get that l = 1. Consider that n-l = 1. Then, k = 1. Thus, L(m, n; k, l) consists of only three vertices which forms a cycle. On the other hand, consider that $n - l \ge 2$. Let u be a vertex of L_1 with $deg(u) = 2, L_1^* = L_1 - \{u\}$, and let $L^* = L_1^* \cup L_2$. Then, $L^* = R(k+1, n-l)$, where $k+1 \ge 2$ and $n-l \ge 2$. By Lemma 1, L^* contains a canonical Hamiltonian cycle HC^* . Then, there exists a flat face of HC^* that is placed to face u. Thus, there exists an edge (x, y) in HC^* such that $u \sim x$ and $u \sim y$. By Proposition 3, u and HC^* can be combined into a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l). For example, Fig. 5(c) depicts such a construction of Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l), where m - k = 1 and $n - l \ge 2$. The case of n-l = 1 can be proved by the same arguments. Thus, L(m, n; k, l) is Hamiltonian when m - k = 1 or n - l = 1.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Case 2: } m-k \geqslant 2 \mbox{ and } n-l \geqslant 2. \mbox{ In this case, } L_1 = R(m-k,n) \mbox{ and } L_2 = R(k,n-l) \mbox{ satisfy that } m-k \geqslant 2 \mbox{ and } n-l \geqslant 2. \mbox{ Since } n-l > 1 \mbox{ and } l \geqslant 1, \ n>l+1 \geqslant 2. \mbox{ Thus, } L_1 = R(m-k,n) \mbox{ satisfies that } m-k \geqslant 2 \mbox{ and } n \geqslant 3. \mbox{ By Lemma 1, } L_1 \mbox{ contains a canonical Hamiltonian cycle } HC_1 \mbox{ whose one flat face is placed to face } L_2. \mbox{ Consider that } k = 1. \mbox{ Then, } L_2 = R(k,n-l) \mbox{ is a 1-rectangle. Let } V(L_2) = \{v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_{n-l}\}, \mbox{ where } v_{i_y} \mbox{ is the } y\mbox{-coordinate of } v_i \mbox{ and } v_{i+1_y} = v_{i_y} + 1 \mbox{ for } n-l-1 \geqslant i \geqslant 1. \mbox{ Since } HC_1 \mbox{ contains a flat face that is placed to face } L_2, \mbox{ there exists an edge } (u,v) \mbox{ in } HC_1 \mbox{ such that } u \sim v_1 \mbox{ and } v \sim v_1. \mbox{ By Proposition } 3, v_1 \mbox{ and } HC_1 \mbox{ combined into a cycle } HC_1^*. \mbox{ By the } \mbox{ and } HC_1 \mbox{ such that } u \sim v_1 \mbox{ and } v_2 \mbox{ and } HC_1^*. \mbox{ By the } \mbox{ and } HC_1^*. \mbox{ By the } \mbox{ and } HC_1^*. \mbox{ and } HC_1^*. \mbox{ By the } \mbox{ and } HC_1^*. \mbox{ and } HC$



Fig. 6. L-shaped supergrid graph in which there is no Hamiltonian (s, t)-path for (a) s is a cut vertex, (b) $\{s, t\}$ is a vertex cut, and (c) there exists a vertex w such that deg(w) = 1, $s \neq w$, and $t \neq w$.

same arguments, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-l} can be merged into the cycle to form a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l). On the other hand, consider that $k \ge 2$. Then, $L_2 = R(k, n - l)$ satisfies that $k \ge 2$ and $n - l \ge 2$. By Lemma 1, L_2 contains a canonical Hamiltonian cycle HC_2 such that one flat face of HC_2 is placed to face L_1 . Then, there exist two edges $e_1 = (u_1, v_1) \in HC_1$ and $e_2 = (u_2, v_2) \in HC_2$ such that $e_1 \approx e_2$. By Proposition 1, HC_1 and HC_2 can be combined into a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l). For instance, Fig. 5(d) shows a Hamiltonian cycle of L(m, n; k, l) when $m - k \ge 2$, $n - l \ge 2$, and $k \ge 2$. Thus, L(m, n; k, l) contains a Hamiltonian cycle in this case.

We have proved that L(m, n; k, l) is Hamiltonian in any case. Thus, the lemma holds true.

B. The Hamiltonian Connected Property of L-shaped Supergrid Graphs

In this subsection, we will verify the Hamiltonian connectivity of L-shaped supergrid graphs. By the same forbidden condition (F1) for HP(R(m,n),s,t), the following condition implies HP(L(m,n;k,l),s,t) does not exist.

(F3) s or t is a cut vertex of L(m, n; k, l), or $\{s, t\}$ is a vertex cut of L(m, n; k, l) (see Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b)).

The following lemma showing that HP(L(m, n; k, l), s, t) does not exist if (L(m, n; k, l), s, t) satisfies condition (F3) can be verified by the same arguments in [31].

Lemma 4. (See [31].) Let L(m,n;k,l) be a L-shaped supergrid graph with two distinct vertices s and t. If (L(m,n;k,l),s,t) satisfies condition (F3), then L(m,n;k,l) contains no Hamiltonian (s,t)-path.

We can easily see that HP(L(m, n; k, l), s, t) does not exist if (L(m, n; k, l), s, t) satisfies the following condition.

(F4) there exists a vertex w in L(m, n; k, l) such that deg(w) = 1, $s \neq w$, and $t \neq w$ (see Fig. 6(c)).

We will prove that HP(L(m, n; k, l), s, t) does exist when (L(m, n; k, l), s, t) does not satisfy conditions (F3) and (F4) in Theorem 2. Due to the space limitation, we omit its proof.

Theorem 2. Let L(m, n; k, l) be a L-shaped supergrid graph with distinct vertices s and t. Then, L(m, n; k, l) contains a Hamiltonian (s, t)-path, i.e., HP(L(m, n; k, l), s, t) does exist, if it does not satisfy conditions (F3) and (F4). Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2018 Vol I IMECS 2018, March 14-16, 2018, Hong Kong

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Based on the Hamiltonicity and Hamiltonian connectivity of rectangular supergrid graphs, we prove L-shaped supergrid graphs to be Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian connected except one or two conditions. The result can be applied to C-shaped supergrid graphs. We leave it to interesting readers. On the other hand, the Hamiltonian cycle problem on solid grid graphs was known to be polynomial solvable. However, it remains open for solid supergrid graphs in which there exists no hole.

REFERENCES

- N. Ascheuer, Hamiltonian Path Problems in the On-line Optimization of Flexible Manufacturing Systems. Technique Report TR 96-3, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik, Berlin, 1996.
- [2] J.C. Bermond, "Hamiltonian graphs," in *Selected Topics in Graph Theory* ed. by L.W. Beinke and R.J. Wilson, New York: Academic Press, 1978.
- [3] A.A. Bertossi and M.A. Bonuccelli, "Hamiltonian Circuits in Interval Graph Generalizations," *Inform. Process. Lett.*, vol. 23, pp. 195–200, 1986.
- [4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*. New York: Elsevier, 1976.
- [5] G.H. Chen, J.S. Fu, and J.F. Fang, "Hypercomplete: a Pancyclic Recursive Topology for Large Scale Distributed Multicomputer Systems," *Networks*, vol. 35, pp. 56–69, 2000.
- [6] S.D. Chen, H. Shen, and R. Topor, "An Efficient Algorithm for Constructing Hamiltonian Paths in Meshes," *Parallel Comput.*, vol. 28, pp. 1293–1305, 2002.
- [7] Y.C. Chen, C.H. Tsai, L.H. Hsu, and J.J.M. Tan, "On Some Super Fault-tolerant Hamiltonian Graphs," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 148, pp. 729–741, 2004.
- [8] P. Damaschke, "The Hamiltonian Circuit Problem for Circle Graphs is NP-complete," *Inform. Process. Lett.*, vol. 32, pp. 1–2, 1989.
- [9] M. Ebrahimi, M. Daneshtalab, and J. Plosila, "Fault-tolerant routing algorithm for 3D NoC using Hamiltonian path strategy," in *Proceed*ings of the Conference on Design, Automation and Test in Europe (DATE'13), 2013, pp. 1601–1604.
- [10] J.S. Fu, "Hamiltonian Connectivity of the WK-recursive with Faulty Nodes," *Inform. Sci.*, vol. 178, pp. 2573–2584, 2008.
- [11] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. San Francisco, CA: Freeman, 1979.
- [12] M.C. Golumbic, Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs, Second edition. New York: Elsevier, Annals of Discrete Mathematics 57, 2004.
- [13] V.S. Gordon, Y.L. Orlovich, and F. Werner, "Hamiltonin Properties of Triangular Grid Graphs," *Discrete Math.*, vol. 308, pp. 6166–6188, 2008.
- [14] V. Grebinski and G. Kucherov, "Reconstructing a Hamiltonian Cycle by Querying the Graph: Application to DNA Physical Mapping," *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 88, pp. 147–165, 1998.
- [15] S.Y. Hsieh and C.N. Kuo, "Hamiltonian-connectivity and Strongly Hamiltonian-laceability of Folded Hypercubes," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 53, pp. 1040–1044, 2007.
- [16] W.T. Huang, M.Y. Lin, J.M. Tan, and L.H. Hsu, "Fault-tolerant ring embedding in faulty crossed cubes," in *Proceedings of World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics, and Informatics (SCI'2000)*, 2000, pp. 97–102.
- [17] W.T. Huang, J.J.M. Tan, C.N. Huang, and L.H. Hsu, "Fault-tolerant Hamiltonicity of Twisted Cubes," J. Parallel Distrib. Comput., vol. 62, pp. 591–604, 2002.
- [18] C.H Huang and J.F. Fang, "The Pancyclicity and the Hamiltonianconnectivity of the Generalized Base-b Hypercube," *Comput. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 34, pp. 263–269, 2008.
- [19] R.W. Hung, "Constructing Two Edge-disjoint Hamiltonian Cycles and Two-equal Path Cover in Augmented Cubes," *IAENG Intern. J. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 39, pp. 42–49, 2012.
- [20] R.W. Hung, C.C. Yao, and S.J. Chan," The Hamiltonian Properties of Supergrid Graphs," *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 602, pp. 132–148, 2015.
- [21] R.W. Hung, "Hamiltonian Cycles in Linear-convex Supergrid Graphs," Discrete Appl. Math., vol. 211, pp. 99–112, 2016.
- [22] R.W. Hung, J.S. Chen, J.L. Li, and C.H. Lin, "The Hamiltonian connected property of some shaped supergrid graphs," in *Lecture Notes in Engineering and Computer Science: Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists* 2017 (IMECS'2017), Hong Kong, vol. I, 2017, pp. 63–68.

- [23] R.W. Hung, J.L. Li, and C.H. Lin, "The Hamiltonian connectivity of some alphabet supergrid graphs," in 2017 IEEE 8th International Conference on Awareness Science and Technology (iCAST'2017), Taichung, Taiwan, 2017, pp. 27–34.
- [24] R.W. Hung, C.F. Li, J.S. Chen, and Q.S. Su, "The Hamiltonian Connectivity of Rectangular Supergrid Graphs," *Discrete Optim.*, vol. 26, pp. 41–65, 2017.
- [25] A. Itai, C.H. Papadimitriou, and J.L. Szwarcfiter, "Hamiltonian Paths in Grid Graphs," *SIAM J. Comput.*, vol. 11, pp. 676–686, 1982.
 [26] D.S. Johnson, "The NP-complete Column: An Ongoing Guide," *J.*
- [26] D.S. Johnson, "The NP-complete Column: An Ongoing Guide," J. Algorithms, vol. 6, pp. 434–451, 1985.
- [27] J. Jwo, S. Lakshmivarahan, and S.K. Dhall, "A New Class of Interconnection Networks Based on the Alternating Group," *Networks*, vol. 23, pp. 315–326, 1993.
- [28] F. Keshavarz-Kohjerdi and A. Bagheri, "Hamiltonian Paths in Some Classes of Grid Graphs," J. Appl. Math., vol. 2012, article no. 475087, 2012.
- [29] F. Keshavarz-Kohjerdi, A. Bagheri, and A. Asgharian-Sardroud, "A Linear-time Algorithm for the Longest Path Problem in Rectangular Grid Ggraphs," *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 160, pp. 210–217, 2012.
- [30] F. Keshavarz-Kohjerdi and A. Bagheri, "An Efficient Parallel Algorithm for the Longest Path Problem in Meshes," *The J. Supercomput.*, vol. 65, pp. 723–741, 2013.
- [31] F. Keshavarz-Kohjerdi and A. Bagheri, "Hamiltonian Paths in Lshaped Grid Graphs," *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 621, pp. 37–56, 2016.
- [32] M.S. Krishnamoorthy, "An NP-hard Problem in Bipartite Graphs," SIGACT News, vol. 7, p. 26, 1976.
- [33] W. Lenhart and C. Umans, "Hamiltonian cycles in solid grid Graphs," in Proceedings of the 38th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'97), 1997, pp. 496–505.
- [34] Y. Li, S. Peng, and W. Chu, "Hamiltonian connectedness of recursive dual-net," in *Proceedings of the 9th IEEE International Conference* on Computer and Information Technology (CIT'09), vol. 1, 2009, pp. 203–208.
- [35] M. Liu and H.M. Liu, "The Edge-fault-tolerant Hamiltonian connectivity of enhanced hypercube," in *International Conference on Network Computing and Information Security (NCIS'2011)*, vol. 2, 2011, pp. 103–107.
- [36] R.S. Lo and G.H. Chen, "Embedding Hamiltonian paths in faulty arrangement graphs with the backtracking method," *IEEE Transl. J. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 12, 2001, pp. 209–222.
- [37] J.F. O'Callaghan, "Computing the Perceptual Boundaries of Dot Patterns," *Comput. Graphics Image Process.*, vol. 3, pp. 141–162, 1974.
- [38] C.D. Park and K.Y. Chwa, "Hamiltonian Properties on the Class of Hypercube-like Networks," *Inform. Process. Lett.*, vol. 91, pp. 11–17, 2004.
- [39] F.P. Preperata and M.I. Shamos, Computational Geometry: An Introduction. New York: Springer, 1985.
- [40] J.R. Reay and T. Zamfirescu, "Hamiltonian Cycles in T-graphs," Discrete Comput. Geom., vol. 24, pp. 497–502, 2000.
- [41] A.N.M. Salman, *Contributions to Graph Theory*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Twente, 2005.
- [42] G.T. Toussaint, "Pattern recognition and geometrical complexity," in Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, Miami Beach, 1980, pp. 1324–1347.
- [43] C. Zamfirescu and T. Zamfirescu, "Hamiltonian Properties of Grid Graphs," SIAM J. Discrete Math., vol. 5, pp. 564–570, 1992.