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Abstract—This paper focuses histogram in grayscale image for a face recognition. We propose an easy and effective classification method for a face grayscale image based on histogram in grayscale of face images. We find histogram of image pixels as an input, then we modify histogram and classify by Euclidean distance. The proposed method tests on the Grimace, ORL and Jaffe databases. The recognition rate is compared with Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD). The proposed algorithms show high performance and they have recognition rate over 98%, 95% and 100% for ORL, Jaffe and Grimace database, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There are several methods to extract feature extraction for face human such as Principle Component Analysis (PCA) [1], Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) [2], Independent Component Analysis (ICA) [3]. Although there are several methods for face recognition, there is some publications on application of histogram or the probability distribution functions based methods in face recognition. For example: Yoo and Oh [4] presented a method which used chromatic histograms of faces. Rodriguez and Marcel [5] proposed a method that divided a face into several blocks and extracted the local binary pattern (LBP) feature histograms from each block and concatenated into a single global feature histogram to represent the face image; the face was recognized by a simple distance-based grey-level histogram matching. Moreover, Demirel and Anbarjafari [6] proposed face recognition based on the probability distribution functions (pdf) of pixels in different color channels by using the Kullback-Leibler distance (KLD) between the probability distribution functions of the input face and the PDFs of the faces in the training set for face recognition. There is one researcher presents a classification method which histogram is done by the feature extraction and is classified by KLD.

In this paper, we proposed a classification method which is classified by Euclidean distance. We used histogram as input before face classification. Moreover, the histogram which used for an input for this paper, was applied to modify the histogram of value which is mean among of j−1, j and j+1 value.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Kullback-Leibler divergence

Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD), which is a measuring the difference between two probability distributions over the same variable x, is closely related to relative entropy, information for divergence and information for discrimination. Let \( P(x) \) and \( Q(x) \) be two probability distribution of discrete random variable x. That is both \( P(x) \) and \( Q(x) \) sum up to 1, and \( P(x) > 0 \) and for any \( x \) in \( X \).

\[
D_{KLD}(P(x)||Q(x)) = -\sum_{x \in X} P(x) \ln \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} \tag{1}
\]

and (1) is equivalent to

\[
D_{KLD}(P(x)||Q(x)) = -\sum_{x \in X} P(x) \ln \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \tag{2}
\]

The test image is classified into class \( C \),

\[
C = \arg \min \{D_{KLD}(P(x)||Q(x))\} \tag{3}
\]

where \( P(x) \) is the training image and \( Q(x) \) is the tested image.

B. Euclidean distance

Let \( X, Y \) be vectors of length \( n \) such that \( X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n], Y = [y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n] \). The Euclidean distance is defined

\[
d_2(X, Y) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4}
\]

III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

The training database contains \( M \) images and \( N \) class. Each image matrix is normalized by converting to the equivalent image vector (column matrix) \( x_i \). The training matrix \( X \) contains the image vectors as \( X = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M] \). The extracted features using histogram are used as input then we classify by Euclidean distance. Before start, we convert the entire color face image to the grayscale image.

Step 1. Input the training image and the extracted features using histogram are used, that is the input, sample \( k \) class \( i \), is defined by

\[
Y_k^i = [q_0, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{255}] \tag{5}
\]

where \( q_j = \sum_{i=0}^{M} h(j) \) and \( h(j) \) is the represented the number of pixels having grayscale \( j \). We adjust histogram by defining a new histogram as follow

\[
X_k^i = [p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{255}] \tag{6}
\]
where \( p_0 = q_0, p_{255} = q_{255} \) and
\[
p_j = \frac{q_{j-1} + q_j + q_{j+1}}{3},
\]
j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 254, and let \( X \) be the tested image, which is defined by
\[
X = [p_0, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{255}].
\]

\textbf{Step 2.} Classify the tested image by Euclidean distance. We calculate Euclidean distance for each image as follow:
\[
g_i(X) = ||X - X_k^i||,
\]
where \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n_t \) and \( n_t \) is the total number of samples in the \( i^{th} \) class.

\textbf{Step 3.} The tested image \( X \) is in class \( C(X) \):
\[
C(X) = \arg \min \{g_i(X), i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\}.
\]

\section{IV. Experiments and Results}

We evaluated the proposed algorithms based on three datasets from Grimace [8], Jaffe [9] and Olivetti Research Laboratory (ORL) database [10] which are shown in Table I.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Face Recognition Databases}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
DATABASE & IMAGE & # CLASS & # SAMPLES PER CLASS \\
\hline
GRIMACE & 180 x 200 & 18 & 20 \\
JAFFE & 256 x 256 & 10 & 20 \\
ORL & 112 x 92 & 10 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

The criterions of the experiments are as follows: Euclidean distance and Kullback- Leibler divergence (KLD). The databases are used by Grimace, Jaffe and ORL. Before we verify class of face image by proposed algorithm, we transform the training image and test image as column vector and find a new histogram then classify by using Euclidean distance. We divide the experiment into four parts. Firstly, the training set is 60\% of all images in the class and the remaining 40\% of all images was the test set. Secondly, the training set is 70\% of all images in the class and the remaining 30\% of all images was the test set. Thirdly, the training set is 80\% of all images in the class and the remaining 20\% of all images was the test set. Finally, the training set is 90\% of all images in the class per class and the remaining 10\% of all images was the test set; we chose the test image from the test set. The recognition rate is shown in Table II-IV. Moreover, we find a new histogram for Jaffe database. That is \( p_0 = q_0, p_1 = q_1, p_{254} = q_{254}, p_{255} = q_{255} \) and
\[
p_j = \frac{q_{j-2} + q_{j-1} + q_j + q_{j+1} + q_{j+2}}{5},
\]
where \( j = 2, 3, \ldots, 253 \).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{The recognition rate of Grime database}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Proportion & Proposed algorithm & KLD \\
\hline
60-40 & 100 & 100 \\
70-30 & 100 & 100 \\
80-20 & 100 & 100 \\
90-10 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{The recognition rate of Jaffe database}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Proportion & Proposed algorithm & KLD \\
\hline
60-40 & 95 & 95 \\
70-30 & 95 & 93.33 \\
80-20 & 95 & 95 \\
90-10 & 95 & 95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{The recognition rate of ORL database}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Proportion & Proposed algorithm & KLD \\
\hline
60-40 & 98.12 & 97.3 \\
70-30 & 98.33 & 98.33 \\
80-20 & 98.75 & 98.75 \\
90-10 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\section{V. Conclusion}

In this letter, we introduced an algorithm for face recognition system based on adjusted histogram and Euclidean distance. Euclidean distance is used to perform face classification. Minimum Euclidean distance between histogram of a tested face and adjusted histogram of the faces in the database was used to perform histogram matching. The performance of proposed algorithm was compared using the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD). Meanwhile, the experiment results on Grimace, Jaffe and ORL face database.

Mostly, it is evident that the recognition rate of proposed algorithm is as same as KLD; besides, the recognition rate of proposed algorithm is better than KLD in case of Jaffe database (70-30 proportion) and ORL database (60-40 proportion). Moreover, if proposed algorithm is applied for color image, the recognition is more effective classification.
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