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Abstract: In this paper, we propose a P2P file sharing architecture in TWDMA-PON with software-defined network (SDN) system to reduce inter- and intra-traffic to improve the quality of service (QoS). In addition, the proposed scheme employs colorless ONUs (tunable transceivers) to simplify the network operation, reduce installation cost, and enable easier maintenance. Moreover, we implement an integrated SDN with OpenFlow protocol to separate the control and data planes, enabling flexible and centralized control of the P2P intra-traffic. Simulation results demonstrate that our proposed scheme can realize QoS improvements up to 21% in the in terms of the packet delay, 23.9% in jitter, 13% in throughput, and reduce traffic-dropping up to 58% in scenario 6 (5:40:44:11) for the 1.5 ms cycle time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing is a distribution application for sharing large files between nearby users [1], and its objective is to save bandwidth and support less delay [2]. According to [3] in 2016, the total consumer internet traffic for fixed networks was 52,678 petabytes (PB) per month, with P2P file sharing occupying 6,628 PB (12.5%) of the total fixed network traffic; and it is forecasted that from 2017–2021, the P2P file sharing will continue to occupy more than 6,500 PB traffic each month. As a result, ISPs are facing challenges in transporting the increasing volume of P2P traffic, with short-term and quality-of-service (QoS) requirements, by expanding the existing access network Infrastructure [4].

The standard passive optical network (PON) architecture consists of a centralized optical line terminal (OLT), multiples of optical network units (ONUs), and an optical splitter [5,6]. In addition, optical networks based on point-to-multipoint fiber and PONs use an optical splitter for facilitating a single fiber to serve multiple premises. There are two standardized PON systems, Ethernet PON (EPON) [7] and Gigabit PON (GPON) [8], that were standardized by the IEEE in 2004 and ITU-T in 2003, respectively. With the increase in multimedia traffic, the ITU-T defined the second next generation PON (NG-PON2) with 40-Gbit/s capacity PON system, which adopts time and wavelength division multiple access (TWDMA) technology [9].

TWDMA-PON multiplies the available transmission channels utilizing multiple wavelengths, i.e., wavelength division multiple access (WDM) is used for different wavelength deployments, and time division multiple access (TDMA) is used for sharing the upstream transmission time, when multiple ONUs are configured in the same wavelength. The colorless ONU (tunable transceiver) is adopted by the NG-PON2 for reducing the computational effort of ONU digital hardware, supporting the wavelength channels in simplifying the network operation, reducing installation cost and maintenance effort [10].

Of late, software-defined networking (SDN) [11] promises increase the agility, enhanced security, automation, and lower capital (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX). The SDN focuses on the separation of control and data plane functions of the network, where the control plane decides the packet flow through the network, maintains, controls, and programs the data plane. Moreover, the SDN aims to follow the centralized programmable network model in which the OpenFlow protocol is used for adapting the SDN mechanism into network. In this paper, by taking advantage of the SDN with OpenFlow protocol in the TWDMA-PON, ISPs are rendered more flexible with centralized control over the P2P intratraffic file sharing application.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II discusses our proposed scheme and its operation. Section III describes the simulation and evaluates the system performance. Section IV presents the conclusion and future work.

II. PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE AND OPERATION

Figure 1 shows the SDN peer-to-peer file sharing architecture on TWDMA-PON, and the system architecture and operation are described as follows.

A. System Architecture

1) P2P-OLT: includes the network-to-network interface (NNI), several line OLTs (L-OLTs), SD-agent, flow tables, and MAC control client which involves the discovery and registration process, REPORT processing, dynamic wavelength bandwidth allocation (DWBA), and GATE generation. The NNI is a physical interface that connects two or more networks using signaling internet protocol (IP). The L-OLT is a basic logical entity in OLT device structure, defined in IEEE Std. 802, and is responsible for the physical layer connectivity in EPON. In the discovery and registration process defined in multipoint control protocol (MPCP) for the OLT as well as ONUs, the OLT detects the newly connected ONUs, learns the round-trip delay, and it is forecasted that from 2017...
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P2P Application Manager: (PAM): a software-based application for managing P2P file sharing application protocols.

B. Dynamic Wavelength Bandwidth Allocation (P2P-DWBA)

A new DWBA algorithm is proposed for our scheme, called P2P-DWBA, which is designed to handle traffic allocation. Our P2P-DWBA scheme supports intratraffic with four priority queues at each ONU, namely the EF, AF, P2P, and BE queues. When the OLT receives the REPORT messages, it initially defines the packet and calculates the timeslots required, according to each traffic type (EF, AF, P2P, and BE). The P2P-DWBA first checks the available and required timeslots for allotting all the requested timeslots for EF traffic. The P2P-DWBA then checks the remaining timeslots and allot the timeslot to AF traffic. After the EF and AF traffic timeslots have been allotted, the P2P-DWBA checks the remaining timeslots and if they are still available, it allot a timeslot for intratraffic (P2P); finally, the remaining timeslot will be allotted to BE traffic. After the P2P-DWBA calculates the timeslots for all the traffic, the OLT sends a GATE message {start_time, length, wavelength} for each traffic to all ONUs.

C. Signaling Operation

In signaling control operation, the connection between the ONUs and OLT is based on the multipoint control protocol (MPCP). The auto-discovery mode is used for detecting newly connected ONUs, and for learning the round-trip delay and the MAC address of the ONU. The OLT sends a discovery GATE to ONUs to create a transmission opportunity for undiscovered ONUs, which respond to the OLT by sending a REGISTER_REQ. The OLT then replies to the messages from the ONUs by sending a REGISTER to them. Finally, the ONUs send a REGISTER_ACK to complete the discovery mode. The OpenFlow connection is established between the SD-controller and SD-agents by sending OFPT_HELLO messages each side. If the connection fails, an OFPT_ERROR message is sent. Flow tables are used to classify and separate traffic into EF, AF, P2P, and BE, and are managed by the SD-controller through the SD-agent in ONUs. The SD-controller cooperates with the PAM to determine, whether the request packet is P2P traffic. If it is P2P traffic, the SD-controller sends an OFPT_FLOW_MOD message to modify and update the flow table in the source and destination ONU. Henceforth, the source ONU places the packet into the P2P queue and waits for sending a REPORT message to the OLT. After the OLT receives the REPORT message, the P2P-DWBA starts to
calculate the timeslots for all the ONU traffic in a cycle. Then, the OLT sends a GATE message with the starting time, time length, and wavelength to all ONUs. We use the $\lambda_{EF}$ wavelengths for upstream transmission and the $\lambda_{P2P}$ wavelength for P2P transmission.

D. System Operation

The user network interface (UNI) in the colorless ONU receives a request from the user. The flow table separates the packet, based on the source/destination address, ToS, and TCP/UDP into the EF/AF/BE/P2P queues controlled by the P2P SD-controller. The ONUs generate REPORT messages for transmitting their local condition to OLT, in the previously assigned timeslots. The received REPORT message at the OLT is parsed and demultiplexed to the OLT REPORT processing, and is then passed to the DWBA for the bandwidth and timeslot calculation for the next cycle. The OLT generates the GATE message with the timeslot identified by granting values, such as starting time, time length, and wavelength, calculated by the DWBA; the granting wavelength for P2P traffic uses $\lambda_{P2P}$ for P2P transmission. Further, the OLT broadcasts the GATE message to all ONUs, and the received GATE message at the ONU is parsed and demultiplexed to the ONU GATE processing, which is responsible for permitting the transmission within the timeslot assigned by the OLT.

Table I. Traffic Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>BE</th>
<th>P2P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>5:60:35</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>5:50:45</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>5:40:55</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>5:60:35 (10%)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>5:50:45 (10%)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>5:40:55 (20%)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In this section, we compare the system performance of the proposed scheme with the IPACT scheme [12], in terms of the EF, AF, P2P, and BE packet delays, jitter, system throughput, and dropping. The system model is set up in the OPNET simulator with one OLT and 64 ONUs. The downstream and upstream channels are set to 4 Gbps, the distance from the ONUs to the OLT is assumed to be 10–20 km, and each ONU has a finite buffer of 10 Mb. In the extensively studied traffic model, most networks are characterized as self-similar and long-range dependent, and are utilized to generate high-burst BE and AF traffic classes with a Hurst parameter of 0.7; the AF and BE packet sizes are uniformly distributed between 512 and 12144 bytes, the P2P packet size, $i$, is uniformly distributed between 9600 and 12144 bytes, and the EF packet size is constantly distributed with 560 bytes. For the traffic profile shown in Table I.

A. Mean packet Delay

The simulation results for the EF delay demonstrate that our proposed scheme has better performance for the EF traffic, compared to the IPACT (without P2P traffic) for 1.0 ms and 1.5 ms cycle times, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3, the P2P traffic in scenarios S4–S6 perform marginally better than in scenarios S1–S3 for a 1.0 ms cycle time. For a 1.5 ms cycle time, it is clear that the P2P delay in scenarios S4–S6 outperforms that in S1–S3. In scenarios S1 and S4, for a 1.0 ms cycle time and traffic load of 70–100%, the P2P delay suddenly increases to more than 5 ms because the AF traffic (60%) is higher than those in the other scenarios.

B. Jitter

Figure 4 shows that for scenarios 1 and 4 at 1.0 ms cycle time and the traffic load of 70–100%, the P2P traffic jitter increases suddenly to more than 0.5 ms because the AF traffic (60%) is higher than those in other scenarios, causing the remaining AF, P2P and BE traffics be sent in the next cycle. When the cycle time is 1.5 ms, this problem will be alleviated because the timeslots provided by OLT is sufficient to send all the AF traffic; if there are remaining timeslots, they will be offered to P2P and BE traffic.

C. System Throughput

Figure 5 compares the system throughput between the proposed P2P-DWBA and the IPACT for different offered loads with different cycle times (1.0 ms and 1.5 ms, respectively). The results demonstrate that the system throughput of proposed P2P-DWBA is better than that of the original IPACT traffic for both cycle times; for the 1.0 ms cycle, improvement up to 4.7%, 6%, 7.4%, 9.4%, 12%, and 14.7% for S1–S6, respectively, can be achieved; whereas for the 1.5 ms cycle, improvement up to 4.2%, 5.5%, 6.7%, 8.5%, 11%, and 13.4% S1–S6, respectively, can be realized.

D. Traffic Dropping

Figure 6 shows the improved performance in the BE drop for both 1.0 ms and 1.5 ms cycle times. Simulation results demonstrate that BE traffic dropping can be reduced, when the P2P traffic ratio is higher (20% BE traffic), compared to that with a lower P2P traffic ratio (10% BE traffic). Comparing the 1.0 ms and 1.5 ms cycle times in S6 (5:40:44:11), it is obvious that the BE traffic dropping, at a cycle time of 1.0 ms, reduces up to 40%, and that at a cycle time of 1.5 ms reduces up to 58%. From our observation, we conclude that when the BE traffic ratio is smaller, BE traffic dropping will increase at all conditions (90–100%). This is because when the BE traffic ratio is smaller, the EF and AF traffic ratios are higher, causing the lower priority traffic (BE traffic) to be dropped to satisfy the higher priority traffic (EF and AF traffic).

IV. CONCLUSION

The proposed DWBA and SDN-controller can handle and enhance the required bandwidth for P2P service. Although our proposed scheme needs one extra wavelength for transmitting P2P traffic, it can guarantee the QoS by maintaining the traffic delay below 5 ms. It improved the BE packet delay up to 21%, throughput up to 13%, and dropping up to 58%, in scenario 6 (5:40:44:11) for a cycle time of 1.5 ms. We have enhanced the system performance of the P2P file-sharing application without consuming more resources; moreover, our proposed scheme can be further extended to be capable of handling other P2P applications, including P2P VoD, P2P IPTV, P2P live-streaming.
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