Abstract— This paper presents a novelty model for solving a routing problem for delivery service using EV trucks called electric vehicle routing problem (EVRP). We also present a meta-heuristic algorithm by combining genetic algorithm and Tabu Search algorithm, to solve the EVRP. The difficulty of using a EV truck for a good delivery service is finding out an optimal route in a huge number of routes. Additionally, it also need to consider the short driving distance problem because of battery limited of EV. The formulation present was based on a mixed integer programming formulation, objective to minimum the total electrical consumption and multiple constraints, which considered the electric recovery in a gradient road and arrange the loading assignment, to improve the electrical consumption efficiency. Additionally, the electrical consumption balance of every trucks was considered. It good to reduce the charging operation time and improve the operations efficiency for this problem.

We designed and executed five experiments to evaluate our formulation and algorithm, verified the effectiveness of our idea in EVRP problem. Experiments show that, the total electrical consumption calculated by proposed EVRP model could be improved 2.3% than a conventional VRP model, and the operations time balance objective made 48.3%’s reducing of charging operation time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

TRUCKS were used for more than 90%’s freight transportation in Japan[1]. The large numbers of trucks, made emissions caused air pollution. it was also affected by the oil crisis. Nowadays, there was a demand for replacing traditional diesel vehicles with clean energy vehicles. how to find an optimal route, which could let EV truck deliver all customers with a minimum cost. Additional, if we want to help the delivery companies to use EV truck for delivery service actively, we need to face another problem of short travel distance.

In this problem, how to make a route plan, which could let the EV trucks reduce distance, loading weigh was a problem. because of huge numbers of routes combines, if the customer numbers grow up, the routes combine will have a series of growth. The Different routes combines will have a different result, it’s hard to find out an optimal solving in this. This kind of problem was called NP-Hard problem. Additionally for this, because of using EV truck, we also need to search the good features of EV, to improve the delivery ability, that’s electric recovery system, it’s effective when EV truck are travelling on descending road, so the gradient road was also needed to be considered in this problem, and it became more difficulty because of there were more routes combines with considering gradient road.

Conventional researches related to VRP, it’s almost focus on minimum travel distance of fuel vehicle. There were also some various of VRP, like CVRP, VRPTW, MDVRP, Green-VRP etc. [2]. It’s very fewer research related to EV truck’s problem. We defined the electric vehicle truck routing problem(EVRP). We purpose to create a novel method, which could make an optimal routing for letting EV trucks has the minimum electrical consumption, with considering full use the EV trucks electrical recovery system, let our method fit the bottleneck of electric capacity of EV.

Fig.1. Global electric-vehicle sales, 2010-2017. [3]

II. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

A. Electric Vehicle Routing Problem

We define an Electric Vehicle Routing Problem (EVRP) in this research, it’s objective to

1. Minimum the electric consumption for delivery services by considering energy recovery function of EV truck.
2. Battery charging time balance.

With a novel energy consumption model of EV by considering speed mode, road gradient and load assignment.
B. Electric consumption model

There are four kinds of resistance on a traveling truck, air resistance, rolling resistance, gradient resistance, and accelerate resistance.

![Image of a running truck](image1)

**Fig.2. Resistance of a running truck.**

The product of the resistance and distance is the energy consumption of the EV truck.

\[
EC = \left( \rho SV^2 / 2 + \mu mg + mg \sin \theta + ma \right) \times D
\]

\( \rho \): air density  
\( m \): weight of vehicle and cargo  
\( \lambda \): air resistance coefficient  
\( S \): projected area of front of vehicle  
\( V \): Speed of vehicle  
\( \mu \): rolling resistance coefficient  
\( g \): gravitational acceleration  
\( \theta \): slope degree of road  
\( a \): acceleration  
\( D \): travel distance

1) Load assignment effect to electric consumption

The mass of cargo affects to rolling resistance, gradient resistance, and accelerate resistance, it means affect to the electric consumption. Making an optimal load dispatching plan, will help truck to reduce the energy consumption.

![Image of a calculation method of gradient resistance](image2)

**Fig. 3. Calculation method of gradient resistance.**

C. Electric recovery model

For an EV truck, it could recover the electric energy when it is descending a hill.

\[
EO = \left( mg \sin \theta - \left( \rho SV^2 / 2 + \mu mg \right) \right) \times D
\]

II. FORMULATION AND SOLVE APPROACH

A. Formulation of problem

G.B. Dantzing and J.H. Ramser proposed an optimal formulation for a vehicle routing problem (VRP) in 1959, it objective to make traveled distance minimum[4]. Teruji Sekozawa et al, propose a formulation, objective to maximum the customer number service by one EV truck[5]. In this research, we propose an optimization problem formulation, which objective as minimum the electric energy consumption for this EVRP.

<Notation>

\( N \): number of customers  
\( K \): number of vehicles. (Decision variable)  
\( C_{ij} \): the electric consumption between node i and node j.  
\( EC_{ij} \): electric consumption from node i to node j.  
\( EO_{ij} \): recovery electric from node i to node j.  
\( A_0 \): the load level of vehicle k when leaving from node i.  
\( x_{ijk} \): the binary variable of vehicle k, to run in road between node i and node j. (Decision variable)  
\( B \): the capacity of vehicle battery.  
\( W \): the load capacity of one vehicle.  
\( a_i \): the demand of node i.  
\( y_{ijk} \): the binary variable of vehicle k assignment to node I or not.

The formulation of the EVRP is as follow,

Objective

\[
\min E(K, X_{jk}) = \min \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{ij} A_{ij} X_{jk}
\]

Subject to

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{N} C_{ij} A_{ij} \leq R^i \leq B \quad k = 1,..,N \quad j = 0,....,N
\]

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{N} x_{ik} = 1 \quad k = 1,...K \quad j = 0,....,N
\]

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{N} x_{ij} = 1 \quad k = 1,..,N \quad i = 0,....,N
\]

\[
A_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{N} x_{ij} = 1 \quad k = 1,...K
\]

\[
A_j = A_j - a_j x_{ijk} \quad k = 1,..,N \quad j = 0,....,N
\]

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{N} x_{ijk} = 1 \quad k = 1,...K \quad j = 0,....,N
\]

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{N} x_{ijk} = y_{ijk} \quad k = 1,...K \quad i = 0,....,N
\]

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} C_{ij} - 0.8B^2 \leq 0.04 \leq B \quad i = 1,...,N \quad j = 0,....,N
\]

\[
x_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0,...,N \quad j = 0,...,N \quad k = 1,...K \quad \text{if} \quad i < j \quad \text{or} \quad i = j \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
C_{ij} = EC_{ij} - EO_{ij}
\]
The formulation is a mixed integer programming, calculate the product of electric consumption \(C_{ij}\), load level \(A_{ij}\) and routing option of each truck \(X_{ij}\). (2) is to ensure the traveling truck limited in battery capacity. (3), (4) keep each customer destinations were visited once only. (5)~(10) were load constraint, make sure the cargo weight limited in truck loading capacity and find out an optimal load assignment for minimum electric consumption as well. (11) is a constraint for keeping each truck has a similar electric consumption, to balance the working time of drivers.

\[
\min \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{ij} A_{ij} X_{ijk} - E(K, X_{ij}) \right)^2
\]

We add an additional objective for this formulation, purpose to keep balance of every trucks’ operations time. We consider the truck need to be charging when they back depot or charging station. The time of this charging operation is \(MAX(t(v1), t(v2), t(vk))\) \((t(vk)\) is the charging operation time of vehicle \(k\)), the max time of charging time of a vehicle decided the charging operation time. If we reduce the max charging operations time of a vehicle, it’s good to improve the economics of EV application in business. (16) could let the all of vehicles have the similar electric consumption in work. It means the charging time will be the similar because of the battery size is same as well.

**B. Solving algorithm**

EVRP is a kind of combinatorial optimization problem, as a NP-hard problem, in this research we consider choosing Genetic algorithm and Tabu Search of two kind of meta-heuristic to solve it.

In this research, we combine the GA and Tabu Search (TS). Apply the TS as a local search help GA improve the local searchability, control prematurity and to avoid converging to local optimum. Let GA provide a better feasible solution for TS as the initial solution, considering this will help TS reduce the searching time and improve the quality of the result.

1) *Genetic algorithm (GA)*

Base on GA, a very popular heuristic algorithm to solve a combination problem, the advantage of GA was simple to do a coding for a VRP problem, and more suitable to solve a large size problem than a Mathematical programming method.

2) *Tabu Search (TS)*

To help pure GA, climb up local search to find the optimal solution, we combined Tabu search into GA, used a Tabu List to store the feasible solution, to avoid it was a local optimal solution. Tabu Search as a kind of local search, search the optimal solution in the neighborhood, and avoid the local optimal solution with a Tabu list.

**IV. EXPERIMENTS**

Prepared two experiments to evaluate the solution’s optimal calculated with HGATS algorithm and the effeftness of proposed EVRP model.

A. *Common condition and data for the experiment*

Base on the Wakamatsu-ku, Kitakyusyu-city, Japan area(71.31km2), some destination will be take randomly in this area in experiment1 and experiment2.

1) *Area,*

Base on the Wakamatsu-ku, Kitakyusyu-city, Fukuoka, Japan area(71.31km2), some destination will be take randomly in this area in experiment1 and experiment2.

2) *EV,*

We choose the Minicab of Mitsubishi Motors Japan, as a source EV in these 2 experiments. The main measures of the vehicle were written in table 1.

B. *The evaluation of electric saving with EVRP model*

In first experiment, there were 2 trucks need to service for 10 customers in 5 cases with different gradient road rates (10% to 50%). we compared the electrical consumption and...
distance respectively of we proposed EVRP model and conventional VRP model.

![Image](47x589 to 290x755)

Fig. 7. The map of Wakamatsu-Ku, Kitakyusyu-city, Fukuoka, Japan area.

### TABLE I

PARAMETERS OF EV-MINICAB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load capacity</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery capacity</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 8 shows the electrical consumption difference calculated by EVRP model and conventional VRP model. proposed EVRP has less electrical consumption than conventional VRP model, the EVRP model helps electrical saving in these cases, the electrical saving rates from 0.469%–6.598%. the saving rates is growing up with gradient road rates. We consider that the electrical recovery systems help trucks recovery more electric in higher gradient road rates.

![Image](305x214 to 548x374)

Fig. 8. Electrical consumption comparison between EVRP and VRP.

![Image](305x397 to 548x557)

Node 7 is higher than node 6 and node 6 is higher than node 1, we consider that the route of 7 to 6 and route of 6 to 1 both help to recovery the electric in EVRP, but VRP model could not find those routes.

![Image](306x593 to 547x730)

Fig. 9. Distance comparison between EVRP and VRP.

![Image](48x156 to 290x296)

C. Optimal solution calculated by HGATS’s evaluation

In the second experiment, we want to evaluate the optimal solution calculated by proposed HGATS algorithm.

We calculated the 50% gradient road rates case at last experiment (B) with IBM ILOG Complex solver. In this small size problem, the best solution calculated by proposed HGATS algorithm was the same as calculated by ILOG solver. The results as follows,

- Total electrical consumption: 7.423 kWh
- Total distance: 56.87 km
Numbers of vehicle: 2
The route details of 2 vehicles,
Vehicle 1, depot→0→4→8→9→10→7→6→1→depot
Vehicle 2, depot→3→5→2→depot

Fig. 12. Distribution of the fitness of HGATS.

D. Effectives of EVRP proposed evaluation
In the third experiment, we selected 10 destinations, with 4 vehicles. The demand of 10 destinations shown below,

Fig 14 shows the route result with 4 vehicles and 10 customers. Fig 15 shows comparison results of the model with load assignment and not. The left bar shows the result from the model without load assignment consideration, use the average load weight for a vehicle. The right bar shows the results from the model with load assignment consideration, to calculate the electric consumption with different demand of 10 locations, limited load capacity for a vehicle. Fig 16 shows the difference between the EVRP model with load assignment and without load assignment. The load assignment helped EV trucks’ total electrical consumption reduce 8.84%. it’s also increased 8.03’s distance. The EVRP model will be useful if the delivery company prefers to low electrical consumption.

E. Evaluate additional objective of operation time balance
In the fourth experiment, we evaluated the operation time balance objective additionally, with a two-trucks and 10-customers’ case.
We considered the charging operations for multiple trucks. Assume that, there were enough charging sets in a charging station. The operation time of charging, will determined by the longest charging time of a truck.

![Distance consumption comparison](image1)

Fig. 16. The difference of distance between within load assignment and without.

Fig 17 shows the mean value of total electrical consumption from 4.2 kWh to 4.6 kWh, but we could find that the total operation time (*) will reduce 48.3% shown in Fig 18. The operation time calculated based charging set (7 hours/AC200V/15A) from on Mitsubishi Motor cars, assumption of [6]

![Mean of Total electrical consumption](image2)

Fig. 17. Electrical consumption of EVRP model with operation time balance and without.

![Total operation time](image3)

Fig. 18. Operation time of EVRP model with operation time balance and without.

V. CONCLUSION

(1) Proposed a novel optimization model for EVRP, which improved the EV Truck's efficiency with considering recovery electric and rationalize the load to reduce the electric consumption. It's verified effective to solve the EVRP.

(2) Proposed algorithm for solving the EVRP was effective. The solutions were close to an optimal solution. especially, it's fit to a real-world problem, with a big size.

(3) Designed and executed four experiments, to evaluate the model proposed.
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