Extended Monotone Methods for Hyperbolic Problems in Three Variables

J. O. Adeyeye¹, R. Okojie², and S. G. Pandit³ *

Abstract—We consider Initial-Boundary value problems associated with nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equation in three independent variables, in a general setting wherein the forcing function is a sum of two monotonic functions. Employing natural lower-upper and coupled lower-upper solutions, we develop iterative schemes which converge uniformly and monotonically to the minimal-maximal and coupled minimal-maximal solutions of the problem.

Keywords: lower-upper solutions; coupled lowerupper solutions; minimal-maximal solutions, monotonic functions

1 Introduction

Monotone iterative techniques, which yield sequences of linear monotone iterates converging uniformly to the maximal and minimal solutions of nonlinear problems have been successfully developed in [6] for problems including, but not limited to

$$u' = f(t, u), \quad u = u(t)$$
 (1.1)

and its two dimensional analog

$$u_{xy} = f(x, y, u, u_x, u_y), \quad u = u(x, y).$$
 (1.2)

The techniques initiated in [6, 8, 9] for the two dimensional initial-boundary value problems (IBVPs, for short) and the periodic-boundary value problems (PBVPs, for short) have recently been extended in [1, 11] using the unified approach in [7]. Similar problems for higher hyperbolic partial differential equations are treated in [2, 12]. Conlan [5] was the first to develop a numerical technique (Euler-Cauchy polygon method) for the nonlinear hyperbolic problem

$$u_{xyz} = F(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_z, u_{xy}, u_{xz}, u_{yz}),$$

$$u = u(x, y, z).$$
(1.3)

Monotone iterative techniques for the IBVPs and PB-VPs associated with (1.3) were later derived in [4] by employing the variation of parameters formula and the comparison theorems developed in [3]. The important special case, when F is non-increasing in the last seven variables, is not covered by any of the results obtained in [4]. To this end, it is apt to note [10] that the successive approximations are especially useful, even for numerical computations, when the nonlinearities are nonincreasing.

In this paper we consider the IBVP associated with (1.3) when F admits a decomposition F = f + g, where f is nondecreasing and g is nonincreasing in the last seven variables. Utilizing the natural lower-upper solutions and the coupled lower-upper solutions, we derive monotone iterative schemes in a unified setting. Not only the existing results become very special cases of our unified approach, but we also obtain some new ones.

2 Notations and Definitions

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, a > 0, b > 0 and $c > 0, I_a, I_b$ and I_c , respectively denote the intervals [0, a], [0, b] and [0, c]; R_{ab} , R_{ac} and R_{bc} respectively denote the rectangles $I_a \times I_b$, $I_a \times I_c$ and $I_b \times I_c$; and, $P = P_{abc}$ denotes the rectangular parallelopiped $I_a \times I_b \times I_c$. The three variables x, y, and z are the independent variables and we always assume, without explicit mention, that $x \in I_a, y \in I_b$, $z \in I_c, (x,y) \in R_{ab}, (x,z) \in R_{ac}, (y,z) \in R_{bc}$ and $(x, y, z) \in P$. When there is no danger of ambiguity, we shall suppress the independent variable(s) and/or its (their) domain(s). The hypercylinder \mathbb{C} in \mathbb{R}^{10} is defined by $\mathbb{C} = \{(x, y, z, x_4, ..., x_{10}); (x, y, z) \in P, \text{ and } x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \}$ $4 \leq i \leq 10$. For $u \in C^3[P,\mathbb{R}], \langle u \rangle$ denotes the 7-tuple $(u, u_x, u_y, u_z, u_{xy}, u_{xz}, u_{yz})$ on P. We assume throughout that $[M] = (M_1, -M_2, -M_3, -M_4, M_5, M_6, M_7) \in \mathbb{R}^7$ denotes the constant vector. The expression $[M] \cdot \langle u \rangle =$ $M_1u - M_2u_x - M_3u_y - M_4u_z + M_5u_{xy} + M_6u_{xz} + M_7u_{yz}$ is the usual inner product. All the inequalities among vectors are componentwise. To shorten the otherwise lengthy expressions, we shall frequently denote expressions such as

 $(u(x,y,0), u_x(x,y,0), u_y(x,y,0), u_{xy}(x,y,0))$

by $(u, u_x, u_y, u_{xy})(x, y, 0)$.

 $^{^{*1,3}}$ Department of Mathematics, Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem, NC 27110, USA. ²Department of Mathematics, Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville, NC 28401, USA. Email: ¹adeyeyej@wssu.edu, ²rokojie@uncfsu.edu, ³pandits@wssu.edu.

Under these notations, consider the IBVP

$$u_{xyz} = f(x, y, z, \langle u \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle u \rangle); \qquad (2.1)$$

$$u(x, y, 0) = \alpha(x, y),$$

$$u(x, 0, z) = \beta(x, z),$$

$$u(0, y, z) = \gamma(y, z),$$

$$\alpha(x, 0) = \beta(x, 0),$$

$$\alpha(0, y) = \gamma(y, 0),$$

$$\beta(0, z) = \gamma(0, z),$$

(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.2)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)
(2.3)

$$\alpha(0,0) = \beta(0,0) = \gamma(0,0) = u_0, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $f, g \in C[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}], f$ is nondecreasing in $\langle u \rangle, g$ is nonincreasing in $\langle u \rangle, \alpha \in C^2[R_{ab}, \mathbb{R}], \beta \in C^2[R_{ac}, \mathbb{R}]$ and $\gamma \in C^2[R_{bc}, \mathbb{R}].$

Definition 2.1. Functions v^0 , $w^0 \in C^3[P,\mathbb{R}]$, $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$, are said to be natural lower-upper solutions relative to (2.1)–(2.4) if

$$\begin{aligned} v_{xyz}^0 &\leq f(x, y, z, \langle v^0 \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle v^0 \rangle); \\ w_{xyz}^0 &\geq f(x, y, z, \langle w^0 \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle w^0 \rangle); \\ (v^0, v_x^0, v_y^0, v_{xy}^0)(x, y, 0) &\leq (\alpha, \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_{xy})(x, y) \\ &\leq (w^0, w_x^0, w_y^0, w_{xy}^0)(x, y, 0); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} (v^0, v^0_x, v^0_z, v^0_{xz})(x, 0, z) &\leq (\beta, \beta_x, \beta_z, \beta_{xz})(x, z) \\ &\leq (w^0, w^0_x, w^0_z, w^0_{xz})(x, 0, z); \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (v^0, v^0_y, v^0_z, v^0_{yz})(0, y, z) &\leq (\gamma, \gamma_y, \gamma_z, \gamma_{yz})(y, z) \\ &\leq (w^0, w^0_y, w^0_z, w^0_{yz})(0, y, z). \end{split}$$

Definition 2.2. Functions v^0 , $w^0 \in C^3[P, \mathbb{R}]$, $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$, are said to be coupled lower-upper solutions of Type I relative to (2.1)–(2.4) if

$$\begin{split} v^0_{xyz} &\leq f(x,y,z,\langle v^0\rangle) + g(x,y,z,\langle w^0\rangle);\\ w^0_{xyz} &\geq f(x,y,z,\langle w^0\rangle) + g(x,y,z,\langle v^0\rangle);\\ (v^0,v^0_x,v^0_y,v^0_{xy})(x,y,0) &\leq (\alpha,\alpha_x,\alpha_y,\alpha_{xy})(x,y)\\ &\leq (w^0,w^0_x,w^0_y,w^0_{xy})(x,y,0); \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (v^0, v^0_x, v^0_z, v^0_{xz})(x, 0, z) &\leq (\beta, \beta_x, \beta_z, \beta_{xz})(x, z) \\ &\leq (w^0, w^0_x, w^0_z, w^0_{xz})(x, 0, z); \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (v^0, v^0_y, v^0_z, v^0_{yz})(0, y, z) &\leq (\gamma, \gamma_y, \gamma_z, \gamma_{yz})(y, z) \\ &\leq (w^0, w^0_y, w^0_z, w^0_{yz})(0, y, z). \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.1 [4] Suppose that $m \in C^3[P, \mathbb{R}]$ and satisfies $m_{xyz} \geq [M] \cdot \langle m \rangle$ on P, where $M_i \geq 0$ for $5 \leq i \leq 7$, and $M_2 = M_5 M_6, M_3 = M_5 M_7,$ (2.5) $M_4 = M_6 M_7, \text{ and } M_1 = M_5 M_6 M_7.$ If

$$(m, m_x, m_y, m_{xy})(x, y, 0) \ge (0, 0, 0, 0);$$

$$(m, m_x, m_z, m_{xz})(x, 0, z) \ge (0, 0, 0, 0);$$

$$(m, m_y, m_z, m_{yz})(0, y, z) \ge (0, 0, 0, 0); \text{ and}$$

$$m(x, 0, 0) = m(0, y, 0) = m(0, 0, z) = 0,$$

then

$$(m, m_x, m_y, m_z, m_{xy}, m_{xz}, m_{yz})(x, y, z)$$

 $\geq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ everywhere in P.

3 Main Results

We employ the following two iterative schemes in the development of monotone iterative schemes for the IBVP (2.1)-(2.4).

$$\begin{split} v_{xyz}^{n}(x,y,z) &= f(x,y,z,\langle v^{n-1}(x,y,z)\rangle) + \\ & g(x,y,z,\langle w^{n-1}(x,y,z)\rangle), \end{split} \text{(S}_{1}) \\ v^{n}(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ v^{n}(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z), \\ v^{n}(0,y,z) &= \gamma(y,z), \\ \alpha(x,0) &= \beta(x,0), \ \alpha(0,y) = \gamma(y,0), \ \beta(0,z) = \gamma(0,z), \\ \alpha(0,0) &= \beta(0,0) = \gamma(0,0) = u_{0}, \\ w_{xyz}^{n}(x,y,z) &= f(x,y,z,\langle w^{n-1}(x,y,z)\rangle) + \\ & g(x,y,z), \langle v^{n-1}(x,y,z)\rangle) \\ w^{n}(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ w^{n}(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z), \\ w^{n}(0,y,z) &= \gamma(y,z), \\ \alpha(x,0) &= \beta(x,0), \ \alpha(0,y) = \gamma(y,0), \ \beta(0,z) = \gamma(0,z), \\ \alpha(0,0) &= \beta(0,0) = \gamma(0,0) = u_{0}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} v_{xyz}^{n}(x,y,z) &= f(x,y,z), \langle w^{n-1}(x,y,z) \rangle) + \\ & g(x,y,z), \langle v^{n-1}(x,y,z) \rangle \rangle \\ v^{n}(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ v^{n}(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z), \\ & v^{n}(0,y,z) = \gamma(y,z), \\ \alpha(x,0) &= \beta(x,0), \ \alpha(0,y) = \gamma(y,0), \ \beta(0,z) = \gamma(0,z), \\ & \alpha(0,0) = \beta(0,0) = \gamma(0,0) = u_{0}, \\ w_{xyz}^{n}(x,y,z) &= f(x,y,z), \langle v^{n-1}(x,y,z) \rangle) + \\ & g(x,y,z), \langle w^{n-1}(x,y,z) \rangle) \\ w^{n}(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ w^{n}(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z), \\ & w^{n}(0,y,z) = \gamma(y,z), \\ \alpha(x,0) &= \beta(x,0), \ \alpha(0,y) = \gamma(y,0), \ \beta(0,z) = \gamma(0,z), \\ & \alpha(0,0) = \beta(0,0) = \gamma(0,0) = u_{0}. \end{split}$$

In the space $C^3[P,\mathbb{R}]$, we introduce the following norm:

$$\begin{split} \big| \big| u \big| \big| = \\ \max_{i,j,k} \Big\{ \sup \big| \partial^{i+j+k} u(x,y,z) / \partial x^i y^j x^k \big|, \, (x,y,z) \in P \Big\}, \end{split}$$

where $0 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$, and i + j + k < 3. For $v^0, w^0 \in C^3[P, \mathbb{R}]$, such that $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on P, denote the closed set $\{(x, y, z, u) : (x, y, z) \in P, \text{ and } \langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle u \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on P}, by Ω .

Our first result, which yields natural sequences by utilizing natural lower-upper solutions in conjunction with the scheme (S_1) , includes and improves the earlier results in [4].

Theorem 3.1. Assume that

(A₁) v^0 , $w^0 \in C^3[P, \mathbb{R}]$ are natural lower-upper solutions of (2.1)–(2.4) such that $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on P;

(A₂) $f, g \in C[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}], f$ is nondecreasing in $\langle u \rangle$ and g is nonincreasing in $\langle u \rangle$.

Then there exist sequences $\{v^n\}, \{w^n\}$ in Ω such that $\{[v^n]\}$ is nonincreasing, $\{w^n\}$ is nonincreasing and satisfies $\langle v^n \rangle \rightarrow \langle v \rangle, \langle w^n \rangle \rightarrow \langle w \rangle$, where v and w are coupled minimal and maximal solutions respectively of (2.1) - (2.4) on P, that is v and w satisfy

$$v_{xy} = f(x, y, z, \langle v \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle w \rangle), (x, y) \in P,$$

$$w_{xy} = f(x, y, z, \langle w \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle v \rangle), (x, y) \in P,$$

provided $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle$ and $\langle w^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on P. Also, $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v \rangle \leq \langle w \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on P.

Proof. For n = 1, 2, 3, ..., define the iterates as given by scheme (S₁). It is easy to see that the solutions of these IBVPs exist and are unique for each n = 1, 2, 3, ...We prove that the sequences $\{v^n\}$ and $\{w^n\}$ satisfy the (natural) monotone behavior

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v^0 \rangle &\leq \langle v^1 \rangle \leq \langle v^2 \rangle \leq \dots \leq \langle v^n \rangle \leq \langle w^n \rangle \leq \dots \\ &\leq \langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle \text{ on } P. \end{aligned}$$
(3.1)

By assumption, we already have $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle$ and $\langle w^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on P. We assert that

$$\langle v^1 \rangle \le \langle w^1 \rangle$$
 on $R.$ (3.2)

To this end, setting $p = w^1 - v^1$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} (p, p_x, p_y, p_{xy})(x, y, 0) &= (0, 0, 0, 0); \\ (p, p_x, p_y, p_{xz})(x, 0, z) &= (0, 0, 0, 0); \\ (p, p_x, p_y, p_{yz})(0, y, z) &= (0, 0, 0, 0); \\ p(x, 0, 0) &= 0; \ p(0, y, 0) &= 0; \ p(0, 0, z) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $p_{xy} = f(\langle v^0 \rangle) + g(\langle w^0 \rangle) - f(\langle w^0 \rangle) + g(\langle v^0 \rangle) \ge 0$, in view of the monotone character of $f(\langle u \rangle)$, $g(\langle u \rangle)$ and the assumption $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$. Hence, Lemma 2.1 yields $\langle p \rangle \geq \langle 0 \rangle$, which, in turn establishes (3.2). Thus, we have $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on *P*. Assume that, for some n > 1,

$$\langle v^{n-1} \rangle \le \langle v^n \rangle \le \langle w^n \rangle \le \langle w^{n-1} \rangle$$
 on *R*. (3.3)

We shall prove that

$$\langle v^n \rangle \le \langle v^{n+1} \rangle \le \langle w^{n+1} \rangle \le \langle w^n \rangle$$
 on $R.$ (3.4)

To do this, let $p = v^{n+1} - v^n$. As before, by (3.3) and Lemma 2.1 we have $\langle v^n \rangle \leq \langle v^{n+1} \rangle$ on P. A similar argument yields $\langle w^{n+1} \rangle \leq \langle w^n \rangle$ on P. Inequalities in (3.4) now follow in view of (3.3), Lemma 2.1 and the monotone nature of $f(\langle u \rangle), g(\langle u \rangle)$. Hence, by induction, (3.1) is established. using the monotone character of the sequences $\{v^n\}, \{w^n\}$ in Ω , together with the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, it follows by using standard arguments that $\langle v^n \rangle \to \langle v \rangle, \langle w^n \rangle \to \langle w \rangle$, where $v, w \in C^3[R, R]$ are coupled solutions of the IBVP (2.1)–(2.4). To show that v, w are in fact the coupled extremal solutions of (2.1)– (2.4), let $u \in \Omega$ be any solution of (2.1)–(2.4). Assume for some n > 1, that we have

$$\langle v^n \rangle \le \langle u \rangle \le \langle w^n \rangle$$
 on $R.$ (3.5)

Then letting $p = u - v^{n+1} + 1$, we again note that

$$(p, p_x, p_y, p_{xy})(x, y, 0) \equiv (0, 0, 0, 0);$$

$$(p, p_x, p_z, p_{xz})(x, 0, z) \equiv (0, 0, 0, 0);$$

$$(p, p_y, p_z, p_{yz})(0, y, z) \equiv (0, 0, 0, 0);$$

$$p(x, 0, 0) = p(0, y, 0) = p(0, 0, z) = 0,$$

and $p_{xy} = f(\langle u \rangle) - f(\langle v^n \rangle) + g(\langle u \rangle) - g(\langle w^n \rangle) \ge 0$, because of the monotonicity of $f(\langle u \rangle)$ and $g(\langle u \rangle)$ and (3.5). Lemma 2.1 therefore implies that $\langle v^n \rangle \le \langle u \rangle$ on P. Similarly, $\langle u \rangle \le \langle w^n \rangle$ on P. It therefore follows by induction that $\langle v^n \rangle \le \langle u \rangle \le \langle w^n \rangle$ on P for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ and this implies, in turn, that $\langle v \rangle \le \langle u \rangle \le \langle w \rangle$ on P, proving thereby that v and w are coupled minimal and maximal solutions respectively of the IBVP (2.1)–(2.4). This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1. In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, suppose that f, g satisfy Lipschitz conditions, then $v \equiv u \equiv w$ is the unique solution of (2.1)–(2.4) in Ω .

In the next result, we employ scheme (S_2) and obtain sequences which are alternatively monotone, under the same hypotheses (A_1) and (A_2) as in Theorem 3.1, but by dropping the assumptions $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle$, $\langle w^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ and adding the new ones $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^2 \rangle$, $\langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$.

Theorem 3.2 Let the assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then, for any solution u of (2.1)– (2.4), the sequences $\{v^n\}, \{w^n\}$ in the iterative scheme (S_2) satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{split} \langle v^0 \rangle &\leq \langle v^2 \rangle \leq \cdots \leq \langle v^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \{u\} \leq \\ \langle v^{2n-1} \rangle \leq \cdots \leq \langle v^3 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle \\ \langle w^1 \rangle &\leq \langle w^3 \rangle \leq \cdots \leq \langle w^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \{u\} \leq \\ \langle w^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \cdots \leq \langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle \end{split}$$

on P, provided $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^2 \rangle$ and $\langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on R. Moreover, the monotone sequences $\{v^{2n}\}, \{v^{2n-1}\}, \{w^{2n}\}, \{w^{2n-1}\}$ converge uniformly to v, w, v^{*}, and w^{*} respectively on P and satisfy the equations

$$\begin{split} w_{xyz} &= f(x, y, z, \langle v^* \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle v \rangle);\\ w(x, y, 0) &= \alpha(x, y), \ w(x, 0, z) = \beta(x, z),\\ w(0, y, z) &= \gamma(y, z); \ w(0, 0, 0) = u_0,\\ v_{xyz} &= f(x, y, z, \langle w^* \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle w \rangle);\\ v(x, y, 0) &= \alpha(x, y), \ v(x, 0, z) = \beta(x, z),\\ v(0, y, z) &= \gamma(y, z); \ v(0, 0, 0) = u_0,\\ w^*_{xyz} &= f(x, y, z, \langle v \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle v^* \rangle);\\ w^*(x, y, 0) &= \alpha(x, y), \ w^*(x, 0, z) = \beta(x, z),\\ w^*(0, y, z) &= \gamma(y, z); \ w^*(0, 0, 0) = u_0,\\ v^*_{xyz} &= f(x, y, z, \langle w \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle w^* \rangle). \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.1. In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, suppose that f, g satisfy Lipschitz conditions, then $v \equiv u \equiv w$ is the unique solution of (2.1)–(2.4) in Ω .

In the next result, we employ scheme (S₂) and obtain sequences which are alternatively monotone, under the same hypotheses (A₁) and (A₂) as in Theorem 3.1, but by dropping the assumptions $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle$, $\langle w^1 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ and adding the new ones $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^2 \rangle$, $\langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$.

Theorem 3.2 Let the assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then, for any solution u of (2.1)-(2.4), the sequences $\{v^n\}, \{w^n\}$ in the iterative scheme (S_2) satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{split} \langle v^0 \rangle &\leq \langle v^2 \rangle \leq \dots \leq \langle v^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \{u\} \leq \\ & \langle v^{2n-1} \rangle \leq \dots \leq \langle v^3 \rangle \leq \langle v^1 \rangle \\ \langle w^1 \rangle &\leq \langle w^3 \rangle \leq \dots \leq \langle w^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \{u\} \leq \\ & \langle w^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \dots \leq \langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle \end{split}$$

on P, provided $\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle v^2 \rangle$ and $\langle w^2 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$ on R. Moreover, the monotone sequences $\{v^{2n}\}, \{v^{2n-1}\}, \{w^{2n}\}, \{w^{2n-1}\}$ converge uniformly to v, w, v^{*}, and w^{*} respectively on P and satisfy the equations

$$\begin{split} w_{xyz} &= f(x,y,z,\langle v^*\rangle) + g(x,y,z,\langle v\rangle);\\ w(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ w(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z),\\ w(0,y,z) &= \gamma(y,z); \ w(0,0,0) = u_0,\\ v_{xyz} &= f(x,y,z,\langle w^*\rangle) + g(x,y,z,\langle w\rangle);\\ v(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ v(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z),\\ v(0,y,z) &= \gamma(y,z); \ v(0,0,0) = u_0,\\ w^*_{xyz} &= f(x,y,z,\langle v\rangle) + g(x,y,z,\langle v^*\rangle);\\ w^*(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ w^*(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z),\\ w^*(0,y,z) &= \gamma(y,z); \ w^*(0,0,0) = u_0,\\ v^*_{xyz} &= f(x,y,z,\langle w\rangle) + g(x,y,z,\langle w^*\rangle);\\ v^*(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ v^*(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z),\\ v^*(x,y,0) &= \alpha(x,y), \ v^*(x,0,z) = \beta(x,z),\\ v^*(0,y,z) &= \gamma(y,z); v^*(0,0,0) = u_0. \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.2 In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, suppose that f and g satisfy Lipschitz conditions as in Corollary 3.1, then $v \equiv w \equiv v^* \equiv w^* \equiv u$ is the unique solution of (2.1)–(2.4) in Ω .

Our third and fourth result employs coupled lower and upper solutions of Type I together with the scheme and yields intertwined monotone sequences, without any additional requirements (S_2) .

Theorem 3.3 Assume that

(B₁) $v^0, w^0 \in C^3[P, \mathbb{R}]$ are coupled lower-upper solutions of Type I of (2.1)–(2.4) such that

$$\langle v^0 \rangle \leq \langle w^0 \rangle$$
 on P;

(B₂) $f, g \in C[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}], f$ is nondecreasing in $\langle u \rangle$ and g is nonincreasing in $\langle u \rangle$.

Then the sequences $\{v^n\}, \{w^n\}$ generated by the scheme satisfy the intertwined property

$$\begin{split} \langle v^0 \rangle &\leq \langle w^1 \rangle \leq \ldots \leq \langle v^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \langle w^{2n-1} \rangle \leq \{u\} \leq \\ \langle v^{2n-1} \rangle &\leq \langle w^{2n-2} \rangle \leq \ldots \leq \langle v^1 \rangle \leq \langle v^0 \rangle \end{split}$$

on P, where u is any solution of (2.1)–(2.4) in Ω . The sequences $\{\langle v^{2n} \rangle, \langle w^{2n-1} \rangle\} \to \langle v \rangle$

and

$$\{\langle w^{2n} \rangle, \langle v^{2n-1} \rangle\} \to \langle w \rangle$$

uniformly where v and w are coupled minimal and maximal solutions respectively of (2.1)–(2.4) on P,that is v and w satisfy

$$v_{xy} = f(x, y, z, \langle v \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle w \rangle),$$

$$w_{xy} = f(x, y, z, \langle w \rangle) + g(x, y, z, \langle v \rangle).$$

Also, $\langle v \rangle \leq \langle u \rangle \leq \langle w \rangle$ on *P*. Furthermore, if *f* and *g* satisfy the Lipschitz conditions, then $v \equiv w \equiv u$ is the unique solution of (2.1)–(2.4) in Ω .

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2007 Vol II WCE 2007, July 2 - 4, 2007, London, U.K.

Finally, we provide a simple and illuminating example to illustrate one of our results above (Theorem 3.1).

Example 3.1. In the cube $P = [0,1] \times [0,1] \times I[0,1]$, consider the IBVP

$$u_{xyz} = f(x, y, z, u) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{u} & \text{if } 0 \le u \le 1\\ 0 & \text{if } u < 0\\ 1 & \text{if } u > 1 \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

$$u(x, y, 0) \equiv u(x, 0, z) \equiv u(0, y, z) \equiv 0$$
 (3.7)

Then f is monotonically nondecreasing in the last variable, but does not satisfy Lipschitz condition. Indeed, equation (3.6) has two solutions $v(x, y, z) \equiv 0$, and $w(x, y, z) \equiv 2^{-6}x^2y^2z^2$, satisfying the initial-boundary conditions (3.7). The functions $v^0(x, y, z) \equiv 0$ and $w^0(x, y, z) = xyz$ form a pair of natural lower-upper solutions of (3.6)–(3.7). Also, it is easy to see that the iterates v^1 and $w^1(x, y, z) \geq w^1(x, y, z)$ of Theorem 3.1. Consequently, the iterates in the scheme (S₁) satisfy the inequalities (3.1). In fact, the sequences $\{\langle v^n \rangle\}$ and $\{\langle w^n \rangle\}$ converge uniformly and monotonically to $\langle v \rangle$ and $\langle w \rangle$ respectively.

References

- Adeyeye, J. O., Dezern, D. H. and Pandit, S. G., A unified approach to monotone methods for nonlinear hyperbolic problems, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential & Difference Equations, Florida Institute of Technology (R. P. Agarwal, Ed.), (2006), to appear
- [2] Agarwal, R. P., The method of upper, lower solutions and monotone iterative scheme for higher order partial differential equations, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A), 47 (1989), 153-170
- [3] Carter, D. M. and Pandit, S. G., A linear variation of parameters formula and comparison theorems for three dimensional hyperbolic problems, Dynamic Systems and Applications, 5 (1996), 423-430
- [4] Carter, D. M., Pandit, S. G., and Valaulikar, Y. S., Monotone iterative schemes for initial-boundary and periodic-boundary value hyperbolic problems in three independent variables, Nonlinear World, 4 (1997), 19-30
- [5] Conlan, J., An existence theorem for the equation $u_{xyz} = f$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 1, No (19)
- [6] Ladde, G. S., Lakshmikantham, V. and Vatsala, A. S., Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations, Pitman, 1985

- [7] Lakshmikantham, V. and Köksal, S., Monotone Flows and Rapid Convergence for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Series in Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 7, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Switzerland, 2003
- [8] Lakshmikantham, V. and Pandit, S. G., The method of upper, lower solutions and hyperbolic partial differential equations, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl., 105 (1985), 466-477
- [9] Lakshmikantham, V. and Pandit, S. G., Periodic solutions of hyperbolic partial differential equations, Computers & Math. with Appl., 11: 1-3 (1985), 249-259
- [10] Langer, R. E. (Ed.), Boundary Value Problems in Differential Equations, The University of Winsconsin Press, Wisconsin, 1960
- [11] Pandit, S. G. and Adeyeye, J. O., Improved monotone iterative techniques for hyperbolic initialboundary value problems (communicated)
- [12] Sheng, Q. and Agarwal, R. P., Periodic solutions of higher order hyperbolic partial differential equations, Pan Amer. Math. Jour., 2, no. 3 (1992), 1-22