# No Classic Boundary Conditions

Francesco A. Costabile and Annarosa Serpe \*& Antonio Bruzio<sup>†</sup>

*Abstract*-We consider the boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(m)}(t) = f(t, \overline{x}(t)), & a \le t \le b, \quad m > 1\\ x(a) = \beta_0\\ \Delta x^{(k)} \equiv x^{(k)}(b) - x^{(k)}(a) = \beta_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, ..., m - 2 \end{cases}$$

where  $\overline{x}(t) = (x(t), x'(t), ..., x^{(m-1)}(t)), \ \beta_i \in \mathbf{R},$ 

i=0,...,m-1, and f is continuous at least in the interior of the domain of interest. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution under certain conditions.

Keywords: Bernoulli polynomials, Green's function, Differential Equation.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the following boundary problem:

$$\begin{cases} (1a) \quad x^{(m)}(t) = f(t, \overline{x}(t)), \quad a \le t \le b, \quad m > 1\\ (1b) \quad x(a) = \beta_0, \quad \Delta x_a^{(k)} \equiv x^{(k)}(b) - x^{(k)}(a) = \beta_{k+1}\\ k = 0, \dots, m-2 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\overline{x}(t) = (x(t), x'(t), ..., x^{(m-1)}(t))$ , f is defined and continuous at least in the domain of interest included in  $[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}^m$ ;  $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ , and  $\beta_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , i = 0, ..., m - 1.

The equation (1a) is very frequent in mathematical applications, as example for m=3,4 it is related to beam's analysis. The boundary conditions in (1b) aren't classic and we don't find them in literature because it is easy to give them physical interpretations; this is the motivation of our investigation. The outline of the paper is the following: in section 2 we give the preliminaries, in section 3 we investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solution.

#### 2 Definition and preliminaries

If  $B_n(x)$  is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree *n* defined by [3]

$$\begin{cases} B_0(x) = 1\\ B'_n(x) = nB_{n-1}(x) & n \ge 1\\ \int_0^1 B_n(x)dx = 0 & n \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
(2)

in a recent paper Costabile [2] proved the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in \mathbf{C}^{(\nu)}[a, b]$  we have

$$f(x) = f(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} S_k\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) \frac{h^{(k-1)}}{k!} \Delta f_a^{(k-1)} - R_{\nu}[f](x)$$
(3)

where

$$h = b - a, \quad S_k(t) = B_k(t) - B_k(0),$$
  
$$f_a = f(a), \quad \Delta f_a^{(k)} = f^{(k)}(b) - f^{(k)}(a)$$

$$R_{\nu}[f](x) = \frac{h^{(\nu-1)}}{\nu!} \cdot \int_{a}^{b} \left( f^{(\nu)}(t) \left( B_{\nu}^{*}\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right) + (-1)^{\nu+1} B_{\nu}\left(\frac{t-a}{h}\right) \right) \right) dt$$
(4)

and

$$B_m^*(t) = B_m(t) \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \quad B_m^*(t+1) = B_m^*(t)$$
 (5)

Theorem 2. Putting

$$P_{\nu}[f](x) = f_a + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} S_k\left(\frac{x-a}{h}\right) \frac{h^{(k-1)}}{k!} \Delta f_a^{(k-1)} \tag{6}$$

the following equalities are true

$$\begin{cases} P_{\nu}[f](a) = f_{a} = f(a) \\ P_{\nu}[f](b) = f_{b} = f(b) \\ \Delta P_{\nu}^{(k)} \equiv P_{\nu}^{(k)}(b) - P_{\nu}^{(k)}(a) = \Delta f_{a}^{(k)} \equiv f^{(k)}(b) - f^{(k)}(a), \\ k = 1, \dots, \nu - 1 \end{cases}$$
(7)

The conditions (7) in the previous equalities are called *Bernoulli interpolatory conditions* analogously to Lidstone interpolatory conditions [1].

**Theorem 3.** If  $f \in C^{(\nu+1)}[a, b]$  we have

$$R_{\nu}[f](x) = \int_{a}^{b} G(x,t) f^{(\nu+1)}(t) dt$$
 (8)

where

$$G(x,t) = \frac{1}{\nu!} \left[ (x-t)_{+}^{\nu} - \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} S_k \left( \frac{x-a}{h} \right) \cdot \frac{h^{(k-1)}}{k!} {\nu \choose k-1} (b-t)^{\nu-k+1} \right]$$
(9)

with

$$(x)_{+}^{k} = \begin{cases} x^{k} & if \quad x \ge 0\\ 0 & if \quad x < 0 \end{cases}$$
(10)

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Calabria, Cubo 30/A, Ponte P. Bucci, 87036 Rende (CS) - Italy - Email: costabil@unical.it, annarosa.serpe@unical.it

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ S.I.S.S., University of Calabria, Edificio Polifunzionale, 87036 Rende (CS) - Italy - Email: antonio.bruzio@unical.it

**Theorem 4.** For  $f \in C^{(\nu)}[a, b]$  we have

$$|R_{\nu}[f](x)| \le \frac{h^{\nu-1}}{6(2\pi)^{\nu-2}} \int_{a}^{b} \left| f^{(\nu)}(t) \right| dt \qquad (11)$$

For the following, we need

**Lemma 1.** If  $f \in C^{(\nu)}[a, b]$  and satisfies the homogeneous Bernoulli interpolatory conditions i.e:

$$\begin{cases} f(a) = 0\\ f^{(k)}(b) - f^{(k)}(a) = 0 \qquad k = 0, ..., \nu - 2 \end{cases}$$
(12)

putting

 $M_{\nu} = \max_{a \le t \le b} \left| f^{(\nu)}(t) \right| \tag{13}$ 

the following inequalities hold

$$\left| f^{(k)}(t) \right| \le C_{\nu,k} \cdot M_{\nu} \cdot (b-a)^{\nu-k} \quad 0 \le k \le \nu - 1 \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
C_{\nu,0} = \frac{1}{3(2\pi)^{\nu-2}} \\
C_{\nu,k} = \frac{1}{6(2\pi)^{\nu-k-2}} \quad k = 1, 2, .., \nu - 1
\end{cases}$$
(15)

Proof. From (12) the expansion (3) becomes

$$f(t) = \frac{h^{\nu-1}}{\nu!} \left[ B_{\nu} \left( \frac{t-a}{h} \right) - B_{\nu} \right] \Delta f_a^{(\nu-1)} - R_{\nu}[f](t) \quad (16)$$

We also have

$$f^{(\nu-1)}(t) = f^{(\nu-1)}(a) + \int_{a}^{t} f^{(\nu)}(s) ds$$

from which

$$\left|\Delta f_{a}^{(\nu-1)}\right| \equiv \left|f^{(\nu-1)}(b) - f^{(\nu-1)}(a)\right| \le M_{\nu}(b-a) \quad (17)$$

Using the known inequalities in [3]

$$|B_l(x)| \le \frac{l!}{12(2\pi)^{l-2}}$$
  $l \in N, \quad l \ge 0, \quad 0 \le x \le 1$ 

and (11), (17) we have from (16)

$$|f(t)| \le \frac{h^{\nu} \cdot M_{\nu}}{3(2\pi)^{\nu-2}} \tag{18}$$

that is (14) for k = 0.

With a successive derivation of (16) and by applying (12) we have

$$f^{(k)}(t) = \frac{h^{\nu - (k+1)}}{(\nu - k)!} \Delta f_a^{(\nu - 1)} B_{\nu - k} \left(\frac{t - a}{h}\right) - \frac{h^{\nu - (k+1)}}{(\nu - k)!} \cdot \int_a^b f^{(\nu)}(t) B_{\nu - k}^* \left(\frac{t - s}{h}\right) ds \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., \nu - 1$$
(19)

and applying the previous inequalities we give

$$\left| f^{(k)}(t) \right| \le \frac{h^{\nu-k} \cdot M_{\nu}}{6(2\pi)^{\nu-k-2}} \qquad k = 1, 2, .., \nu - 1$$

that is (14). Furthermore,

**Lemma 2.** If  $f, g \in C^{(\nu)}[a, b]$  which satisfies (12) then

$$\left(f^{(\nu)}(t) = g^{(\nu)}(t) \quad \forall t \in [a, b]\right) \Rightarrow f(t) = g(t) \quad \forall t \in [a, b]$$

*Proof.* The result follows by the application of (3) and (12).

#### 3 Existence and uniqueness

To the boundary value problem (1a)-(1b), which after (7) is called the *Bernoulli boundary value problem*, we associate the homogeneous boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x^{(m)}(t) = f(t, \overline{x}(t)), & a \le t \le b, \quad m > 1\\ x(a) = x(b) = 0 & \\ \Delta x^{(k)} \equiv x^{(k)}(b) - x^{(k)}(a) = 0 & k = 1, ..., m - 2 \end{cases}$$
(20)

From Theorem 3, the solution of the boundary value problem (20) is

$$x(t) = \int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)f(s,\overline{x}(s)) \, ds \tag{21}$$

where G(t,s) is the Green function [4] defined by (9), with  $\nu = m - 1$ .

The polynomial  $P_{m-1}[x](t)$  defined by (6) with  $x(a)=\beta_0,$   $x^{(k)}(b)-x^{(k)}(a)=\beta_{k+1},\quad k=0,...,m-2$ , satisfies the boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} P_{m-1}^{(m)}[x](t) = 0\\ P_{m-1}[x](a) = \beta_0\\ \Delta P_{m-1}^{(k)} \equiv P_{m-1}^{(k)}(b) - P_{m-1}^{(k)}(a) = \beta_{k+1}, \ k = 0, ..., m-2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the boundary value problem (1a)-(1b) is equivalent to the following nonlinear *Fredholm* integral equation:

$$x(t) = P_{m-1}[x](t) + \int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)f(s,\overline{x}(s)) \, ds \qquad (21a)$$

Now we use a well-known tecnique to prove the existence of a solution for problem (1a)-(1b), [1], but different proofs are also possible.

Theorem 5. Let us suppose that

- (i)  $k_i > 0$   $0 \le i \le m-1$  are given real numbers and let Q be the maximum of  $|f(t, x_0, ..., x_{m-1})|$  on the compact set  $[a, b] \times D_0$ , where  $D_0 = \{(x_0, ..., x_{m-1}) : |x_i| \le 2k_i, 0 \le i \le m-1\};$
- (ii)  $\max \left| P_{m-1}^{(i)}[x](t) \right| \leq k_i \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , where  $P_{m-1}[x](t)$  is the polynomial relative to x as in (6);

(iii) 
$$(b-a) \leq \left(\frac{k_i}{Q \cdot C_{m,i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(m-i)}} \qquad 0 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2007 Vol II WCE 2007, July 2 - 4, 2007, London, U.K.

Then, the Bernoulli boundary value problem has a solution in  $D_0$ .

*Proof.* The set

$$B[a,b] = \left\{ x(t) \in C^{(m-1)}[a,b] : \left\| x^{(i)} \right\|_{\infty} \le 2k_i, \ 0 \le i \le m-1 \right\}$$

is a closed convex subset of the Banach space  $C^{(m-1)}[a, b]$ . Now we define an operator  $T: C^{(m-1)}[a, b] \to C^{(m)}[a, b]$  as follows:

$$(T[x](t)) = P_{m-1}[x](t) + \int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)f(s,\overline{x}(s))ds \quad (22)$$

It is clear, after (21a), that any fixed point of (22) is a solution of the boundary value problem (1a) and (1b). Let  $x(t) \in B[a, b]$ , then from (22), lemma 1, hypothesis (i),(ii),(iii) we find:

- (a)  $TB[a,b] \subseteq B[a,b];$
- (b) the sets  $\{T[x]^{(i)}(t) : x(t) \in B[a,b]\}, 0 \le i \le m-1$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous in [a,b];
- (c) TB[a, b] is compact from the Ascoli Arzela theorem;
- (d) from the Schauder fixed point theorem a fixed point of T exists in  $D_0$ .

**Corollary 1.** Suppose that the function  $f(t, x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1})$  on  $[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}^m$  satisfies the following condition

$$|f(t, x_0, x_1..., x_{m-1})| \le L + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} L_i |x_i|^{\alpha_i}$$

where  $L, L_i \quad 0 \le i \le m-1$  are non negative constants, and  $0 \le \alpha_i \le 1$ .

Then the boundary value problem (1a) and (1b) has a solution.

**Lemma 3.** For the Green function defined by (9), for  $\nu = m - 1$  the following inequalities hold:

$$|G(t,s)| \le g \tag{23}$$

with

$$g = \frac{1}{\nu!} (b-a)^m \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi^2 m!}{3(2\pi - 1)} \right).$$

Proof.

The proof follows from the known inequalities of Bernoulli polynomials and from simple calculations.

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that the function  $f(t, x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1})$  on  $[a, b] \times D_1$  satisfies the following condition

$$|f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})| \le L + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} L_i |x_i|$$
(24a)

where

$$D_{1} = \{(x_{0}, x_{1}..., x_{m-1}) : |x_{i}| \leq \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \left| P_{m-1}^{(i)}[x](t) \right| + \\ + C_{m,i}(b-a)^{m}gh\left(\frac{L+C}{1-\theta}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}$$
$$C = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} L_{i} \left| P_{m-1}^{(i)}[x](t) \right|$$
$$\vartheta = h \cdot g \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} C_{m,i}L_{i}(b-a)^{m-i}\right) < 1, \quad h = b-a \quad (24b)$$

Then, the boundary value problem (1a) and (1b) has a solution in  $D_1$ . *Proof.* Let  $y(t) = x(t) - P_{m-1}[x](t)$ , so that (1a) and (1b) is the same as

$$\begin{cases} y^{(m)}(t) = f(t, \overline{y}(t)) \\ y(a) = y(b) = 0 \\ \Delta y_a^{(k)} = 0 \quad 1 \le k \le m - 2 \end{cases}$$
(25)

where

$$\overline{y}(t) = y(t) + P_{m-1}[x](t),$$
  
$$y'(t) + P'_{m-1}[x](t), \dots, y^{(m-1)}(t) + P^{(m-1)}_{m-1}[x](t).$$

Define M[a, b] as the space of m times continuously differentiable functions satisfying the boundary conditions of (25). If we introduce in M[a, b] the norm:

$$\left\|y(t)\right\|_{\infty} = \max_{a \le t \le b} \left|y^{(m)}(t)\right|$$

then it becomes a Banach space. As in theorem 5, it suffices to show that the operator  $T: M[a,b] \to M[a,b]$  defined by

$$T[y](t) = \int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)f(s,\overline{y}(s))ds$$

maps the set

$$S = \left\{ y(t) \in M[a, b] : \left\| y \right\|_{\infty} \le hg\left(\frac{L+C}{1-\theta}\right) \right\}$$

into itself. In order to demonstrate this, it is sufficient to utilise the conditions (24a), lemma 1 and lemma 3. The thesis follows from the application of the *Schauder* 

fixed point theorem to the operator T.

**Theorem 7** Suppose that  $(t, x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1})$ ,  $(t, y_0, y_1, ..., y_{m-1}) \in [a, b] \times D_1$ 

(i) the function  $f(t, x_0, x_1..., x_{m-1})$  satisfies the following Lipschitz condition  $|f(t, x_0, x_1..., x_{m-1}) - f(t, y_0, y_1..., y_{m-1})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} L_i |x_i - y_i|$ 

(ii) 
$$\theta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} C_{m,i} L_i (b-a)^{m-i} < 1$$

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2007 Vol II WCE 2007, July 2 - 4, 2007, London, U.K.

(iii)  $L = \max_{a \le t \le b} |f(t, 0, ..., 0)|$ 

Then the boundary value problem (1a) and (1b) has a unique solution in  $D_1$ .

Proof. The existence of a solution follows from theorem (6). Let, now,  $x_1(t), x_2(t)$  two solutions on  $D_1$  and  $y_1(t), y_2(t)$  defined as theorem 6, then after calculation, we have

$$\left|y_1^{(m)}(t) - y_2^{(m)}(t)\right| \le \theta_1 \max \left|y_1^{(m)}(t) - y_2^{(m)}(t)\right|$$

and because  $\theta_1 < 1$  follows  $y_1^{(m)}(t) = y_2^{(m)}(t) \quad \forall t \in [a, b];$ thus from lemma 2 we have  $y_1(t) = y_2(t)$ .

### References

- Agarwal, R.P., Boundary value Problems for Higher Order Differential equations, World Scientific, Singapore, 1986
- [2] Costabile, F.A., Expansions of real functions in Bernoulli polynomial and applications, *Conferences Seminars Mathematics University of Bari*, 273 pp. 1-13, 1999
- [3] Jordan, C., Calculus of Finite Differences, *Chelsea Pu.Co.*, New York, 1960
- [4] Stakgold, I., Green's Functions and boundary value problems, John Wiley & Sons, 1979
- [5] Timoshenko, S., Woinowsky-Kreiger, S., Theory of plates and shells, *McGraw-Hill*, New York, 1959