# Control of Marangoni Convection in a Variable-Viscosity Fluid Layer with Deformable Surface

Seripah Awang Kechil<sup>1</sup> and Ishak Hashim<sup>2\*</sup>

Abstract—The effectiveness of a proportional feedback control to suppress the Marangoni instability in a variable-viscosity fluid layer with a deformable free upper surface is investigated. Viscosity variation and deformable free surface have destabilizing effects on the stability limit. The stability thresholds for the short-scale mode are strongly dependent on viscosity variation and controller gain while the stability thresholds for the long-scale mode are greatly influenced by gravity and surface deformation. The feedback control strategy through thermal perturbation in the boundary data is shown effective in suppressing the Marangoni convection and delaying the onset of instability.

Keywords: Marangoni convection, feedback control, variable viscosity, deformable surface, instability

## 1 Introduction

Surface-tension-driven and buoyancy-driven convective flows have long been studied since the pioneering works of Benard [1], Rayleigh [2] and Pearson [3]. Convective flows are of practical importance in material processing technology in industrial applications. The industrial need has motivated extensive theoretical, experimental and numerical investigations to clarify the onset mechanism of the instability. Since convective flows are undesirable, it is beneficial to have a means to control the convective motions and achieve the preferable flow characteristics. Tang and Bau [4, 5] successfully applied the feedback control strategy to suppress the Rayleigh-Bénard convection. Bau [6] demonstrated that a proportional feedback control was effective in delaying the onset of convection in Marangoni-Bénard problems of Pearson [3] and Takashima [13, 14]. Arifin et al. [7] investigated the effect of a feedback control on Marangoni-Bénard convection for a free-slip bottom.

The stabilising effects of magnetic field and rotation on

Marangoni convection have been analysed by Hashim and Arifin [8], Hashim and Sarma [9, 10] and Sarma and Hashim [11]. The aforementioned studies only dealt with fluids with invariant viscosity. However, viscosity of most fluids is known to decrease with temperature [15] and has a destabilising effect on convection [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Slavtchev and Ouzounov [19] studied the destabilising effect of temperature-dependent viscosity in the Marangoni problem in microgravity. The effects of viscosity variation, gravity waves and surface deformation on Marangoni instability has been analysed by Kalitzova-Kurteva et al. [20].

In this paper, we will demonstrate the possibility to alter the stability characteristics in Marangoni problem of a temperature-dependent-viscosity fluid layer. The thermal proportional feedback control is employed to suppress the intensity of Marangoni convection. We will show that the critical Marangoni number can be increased to delay the onset of convection and appreciably to stabilise the liquid layer.

# 2 Problem Formulation

## 2.1 Governing equations

Consider a horizontal layer of quiescent fluid of depth don a rigid heat-conducting wall with a free upper surface. Variations of the dynamic viscosity  $\mu$  and the surface tension  $\sigma$  of the fluid with temperature are assumed exponential and linear, respectively,

$$\mu = \mu_0 \exp\left[-\gamma \left(T - T_0\right)\right], \qquad (1)$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 - \varepsilon \left( T - T_0 \right), \tag{2}$$

where T is the temperature of the liquid,  $\mu_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  correspond to values at a reference temperature  $T_0$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$ , which are positive for most fluids, correspond to the rate of change of the dynamic viscosity and the surface tension with temperature, respectively. Other physical properties of the liquid are assumed constant. The surface of the horizontal wall coincides with the *xy*-plane and the *z*-coordinate measures the vertical distance from the wall.

In the reference state, the fluid is at rest with the pressure

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the Ministry of Sciences, Technology & Innovation (MOSTI) of Malaysia under grant no 06-01-02-SF0115 and the Universiti Teknologi MARA. <sup>1</sup>Centre of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam Selangor. Email: seripah@tmsk.uitm.edu.my <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi Selangor. Tel: +603 89215758 Fax: +603 89254519 Email: ishak\_h@ukm.my

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2008 Vol II WCE 2008, liquy 2 tean 2008, Eondon, U.K.

$$p_{st} = p_g + \rho g \left( d - z \right), \qquad (3)$$

$$T_{st} = T_w - \beta z, \tag{4}$$

where  $p_g$  is the gas pressure,  $\rho$  the density, g the acceleration due to gravity,  $T_w$  the temperature at the wall and  $\beta > 0$ . When motion sets in, the velocity  $\mathbf{v} = (u, v, w)$ , pressure p and temperature T fields obey the usual balance equations of mass, momentum and energy [20],

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{v} \right] = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (2\mu \mathbf{D} \mathbf{v}) + \rho \mathbf{g}, (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) T = \chi \nabla^2 T, \qquad (7)$$

where  $\chi$  is the thermal diffusivity,  $\mathbf{g}(0, 0, -g)$  the gravitational acceleration, and  $\mathbf{D}$  the deformation rate tensor.

#### 2.2 Linearised controlled problem

We wish to extend the work of Kalitzova-Kurteva et al. [20] by applying a simple control mechanism of Bau [6] to suppress the intensity of convection and subsequently delay the onset of convection. The stability of the liquid system under controlled environment will be studied by applying a very simple linear active control of proportional feedback. Thermal control strategy can be easily applied and thus simplify the problem of mathematical formulation to a large extent where a slight modification in the temperature field does not alter the internal mechanism in the system. The temperature perturbation field is measured by a continuous distribution of sensors embedded in a plane parallel to the xy-plane at a chosen level. Each sensor emits signals to a thermal actuator positioned directly beneath it on the heated surface. By the proportional feedback rule, the actuator modifies the heated surface temperature using a proportional relationship between the temperature at the upper surface, z=1, and the lower surface, z=0, [6]

$$T(x, y, 0, t) = T(x, y, 0) -K [T(x, y, 1, t) - T(x, y, 1)], \quad (8)$$

or equivalently

$$T'(x, y, 0, t) = -KT'(x, y, 1, t),$$
(9)

where K is the controller gain and T' denotes the deviation of the fluid's temperature from its conductive value.

The stability of the problem will be investigated by performing a linear stability analysis. In formulation of the dynamic conditions in the liquid system, the governing equations and boundary conditions are linearised. We consider a small disturbance,

$$(w', T', \zeta) = [-W(z), \Theta(z), Z] \exp[i(\alpha_x x + \alpha_y y) + \omega t],$$
(10)

where  $\zeta = \zeta(x, y, t)$  is the deviation from the flat free surface, W(z),  $\Theta(z)$  and Z the amplitudes,  $\alpha = (\alpha_x^2 + \alpha_y^2)^{1/2}$  the wave number, and  $\omega$  the time constant.

Substituting (10) into the linearised equations from (5)–(7) and introducing the quantities d,  $d^2/\chi$ ,  $\chi/d$ ,  $\mu_0\chi/d^2$ , and  $\beta d$  as the scales for distance, time, velocity, pressure, and temperature, respectively, yield [20]

$$f(z) [(D^{2} - \alpha^{2} + N^{2} + 2ND) (D^{2} - \alpha^{2}) + 2N^{2}\alpha^{2}]W = \Pr^{-1}\omega (D^{2} - \alpha^{2})W, \quad (11)$$

$$\omega - \left(D^2 - \alpha^2\right) \Theta = -W, \tag{12}$$

where D = d/dz.

The boundary conditions at both surface boundaries, z = 0 and z = 1, comprise of,

$$W(0) = DW(0) = 0, (13)$$

$$W(1) + \omega Z = 0,$$
(14)  

$$f(1) \left[ (D^2 - 3\alpha^2) DW(1) + N (D^2 + \alpha^2) W(1) \right]$$

$$+\frac{\alpha^2 \left(\mathrm{Bo} + \alpha^2\right) Z}{Cr} = \mathrm{Pr}^{-1} \omega DW(1), \qquad (15)$$

$$f(1) (D^{2} + \alpha^{2}) W(1) - \alpha^{2} M a [\theta(1) - Z] = 0,$$
(16)  
$$D\Theta(1) + \text{Bi} [\Theta(1) - Z] = 0,$$
(17)

while the uniform temperature boundary at the wall surface, z = 0, is reinstated to include a controller rule with gain K,

$$\Theta(0) + K\Theta(1) = 0. \tag{18}$$

The dimensionless parameters are  $Ma = \varepsilon \beta d^2 / \chi \mu_0$  the Marangoni number,  $Cr = \mu_0 \chi / \sigma d$  the Crispation number, Bo =  $\rho g d^2 / \sigma_0$  the Bond number, Bi =  $h d / \lambda$  the Biot number, Pr =  $\mu_0 / \rho \chi$  the Prandtl number and  $N = \gamma \beta d$ the viscosity parameter where  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity of the fluid and h is the heat transfer coefficient between the liquid and the gas phase at the upper free surface. The function f(z) is given by

$$f(z) = \exp\left[N\left(z - 1 + \frac{T_0 - T_s}{\beta d}\right)\right].$$
 (19)

In relation with some previous works of controlled and uncontrolled systems, when N = 0, the system (11)– (18) reduces to a system of a constant-viscosity liquid with the application of a feedback control considered by Bau [6]. For K = 0 and N = 0 the system coincides with a constant-viscosity liquid of Marangoni problem of Takashima [13]. When K = 0 and Cr = 0 we recover the variable-viscosity Marangoni problem of Slavtchev and Ouzounov [19] with the nondeformable free surface and setting K = 0, N = 0 and Cr = 0, we recover the classical Marangoni problem of Pearson [3]. Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2008 Vol II WEIP2008, Gufy2Pa412008, QShtonf, Utke fluid varies with temperature, the reference temperature for a variableviscosity fluid can be taken as temperature at the bottom boundary  $\mu_w$ , temperature at the upper free surface  $\mu_s$  or mean value of viscosities at both boundaries  $\overline{\mu} = (\mu_w + \mu_s)/2$  [19, 20]. The system (11)–(18) will be solved for  $Ma_s$ , the Marangoni number corresponds to  $\mu_s$ . Then,  $Ma_s$  will be used to determine the mean Marangoni number  $\overline{Ma}$  given by

$$\overline{Ma} = \frac{2\varepsilon\beta d^2}{\chi\left(\mu_s + \mu_w\right)} = \frac{2Ma_s}{1 - \exp(-N)},\tag{20}$$

in relation to the modified Crispation number for the mean value of viscosities,

$$\overline{Cr} = \frac{\chi \left(\mu_s + \mu_w\right)}{2\sigma d} = \frac{\left[1 - \exp(-N)\right]Cr}{2}.$$
(21)

Thus, our conclusions of the Marangoni instability will be based on the marginal stability curves  $\overline{Ma}$ .

We restrict to the case of a deformable surface  $\overline{Cr} \neq 0$ and consider the influences of no gravity Bo = 0, gravity waves Bo = 0.1 and the heat transfer mechanism at the free upper surface Bi = 0 and Bi = 0.1. Bo = 0.1 is representative for thin layers of some oils used in experiments on earth [20]. Bi = 0 represents a thermally perfectly insulated free surface and is considered as the most unstable situation since the whole thermal energy communicated in the system remains inside the liquid layer. We also include Bi = 0.1 since the Biot number Bi is at most 0.1 for a thin layer of liquid.

## 3 Results and discussion

We seek a closed form solution for the marginal stability curves of the steady ( $\omega = 0$ ) Marangoni convection and by setting  $\omega = 0$  in (11), the solution for W(z) which satisfies the boundary conditions (13) and (14) is,

$$W(z) = A_1 \{ [\exp(k_1 z) - \exp(k_2 z)] \cos(k_3 z) - [A_2 \exp(k_1 z) - A_3 \exp(k_2 z)] \sin(k_3 z) \},$$
(22)

where  $A_1$  is an arbitrary constant and  $k_1, k_2, k_3, k, A_2$  and  $A_3$  are given by,

$$k_1 = -\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(k^2 + \alpha^2 + \frac{N^2}{4}\right)^{1/2},$$
 (23)

$$k_2 = -\frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(k^2 + \alpha^2 + \frac{N^2}{4}\right)^{1/2},$$
 (24)

$$k_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ k^2 - \left( \alpha^2 + \frac{N^2}{4} \right) \right]^{1/2}, \qquad (25)$$

$$k = \left[ \left( \alpha^2 + \frac{N^2}{4} \right)^2 + \alpha^2 N^2 \right]^{1/4}, \quad (26)$$

$$A_2 = \cot k_3 + \frac{(k_2 - k_1) \exp(k_2 - k_1)}{k_3 \left[1 - \exp(k_2 - k_1)\right]}, \quad (27)$$

$$A_3 = \cot k_3 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_3 \left[1 - \exp\left(k_2 - k_1\right)\right]}.$$
 (28)

Substituting W(z) in (12), the complete solution for the temperature is

$$\Theta(z) = F_1 \sinh(\alpha z) + F_2 \cosh(\alpha z) + \Theta_p(z), \quad (29)$$

where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are to be determined from the boundary conditions (17) and (18).  $\Theta_p(z)$  denotes the particular solution corresponding to the nonhomogeneous equation involving W(z). Thus,

$$\Theta_{p}(z) = A_{1} \Big\{ [C_{1} \exp(k_{1}z) + C_{2} \exp(k_{2}z)] \cos(k_{3}z) \\ + [C_{3} \exp(k_{1}z) + C_{4} \exp(k_{2}z)] \sin(k_{3}z) \Big\},$$
(30)

where

$$C_1 = \frac{2A_2k_1k_3 + (k_1^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2)}{4k_1^2k_3^2 + (k_1^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2)^2},$$
 (31)

$$C_2 = -\frac{2A_3k_2k_3 + (k_2^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2)}{4k_2^2k_3^2 + (k_2^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2)^2}, \qquad (32)$$

$$C_3 = \frac{2k_1k_3 - A_2\left(k_1^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2\right)}{4k_1^2k_3^2 + \left(k_1^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2\right)^2},$$
 (33)

$$C_4 = -\frac{2k_2k_3 - A_3\left(k_2^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2\right)}{4k_2^2k_3^2 + \left(k_2^2 - k_3^2 - \alpha^2\right)^2}.$$
 (34)

The expressions for W(z) and the derivatives of W(z) and  $\Theta_p(z)$  at z = 1 for the determination of the remaining unknown quantities are listed as follows,

$$W(1) = A_1 \Big[ (\exp k_1 - \exp k_2) \cos k_3 \\ - (A_2 \exp k_1 - A_3 \exp k_2) \sin k_3 \Big], \quad (35)$$

$$D^{2}W(1) = A_{1} \left\{ \left[ \left(k_{1}^{2} - k_{3}^{2} - 2A_{2}k_{1}k_{3}\right)\exp k_{1} + \left(2A_{3}k_{2}k_{3} - k_{2}^{2} + k_{3}^{2}\right)\exp k_{2} \right]\cos k_{3} + \left[ \left(A_{2}k_{3}^{2} - A_{2}k_{1}^{2} - 2k_{1}k_{3}\right)\exp k_{1} + \left(2k_{2}k_{3} + A_{3}k_{2}^{2} - A_{3}k_{3}^{2}\right)\exp k_{2} \right]\sin k_{3} \right\},$$
(36)

$$D^{3}W(1) = A_{1} \left\{ \left[ \left(k_{1}^{3} - 3k_{3}^{2}k_{1} - 3A_{2}k_{3}k_{1}^{2} + A_{2}k_{3}^{3}\right)\exp k_{1} + \left(3k_{3}^{2}k_{2} + 3A_{3}k_{3}k_{2}^{2} - k_{2}^{3} - A_{3}k_{3}^{3}\right)\exp k_{2} \right]\cos k_{3} + \left[ \left(k_{3}^{3} + 3A_{2}k_{3}^{2}k_{1} - 3k_{3}k_{1}^{2} - A_{2}k_{1}^{3}\right)\exp k_{1} + \left(3k_{3}k_{2}^{2} - k_{3}^{3} + A_{3}k_{2}^{3} - 3A_{3}k_{3}^{2}k_{2}\right)\exp k_{2} \right]\sin k_{3} \right\}$$
(37)

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2008 Vol II WCE 2008, Juty 2 A4, 2008; Tohdon, GJ. Kp  $k_2$ ) cos  $k_3$ 

$$+(C_3 \exp k_1 + C_4 \exp k_2) \sin k_3 |, \qquad (38)$$

$$D\Theta_{p}(1) = A_{1} \Big\{ \Big[ (C_{1}k_{1} + C_{3}k_{3}) \exp k_{1} \\ + (C_{2}k_{2} + C_{4}k_{3}) \exp k_{2} \Big] \cos k_{3} \\ + \Big[ (C_{3}k_{1} - C_{1}k_{3}) \exp k_{1} \\ + (C_{4}k_{2} - C_{2}k_{3}) \exp k_{2} \Big] \sin k_{3} \Big\}.$$
(39)

Therefore, we obtain the coefficients  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ ,

$$F_{1} = \frac{1}{R_{1}} \left\{ \alpha \sinh \alpha \left[ A_{1} \left( C_{1} + C_{2} \right) + K \Theta_{p}(1) \right] \right.$$
  
$$\left. - D \Theta_{p}(1) - \operatorname{Bi}\Theta_{p}(1) \right.$$
  
$$\left. + \cosh \alpha \left[ \operatorname{Bi}A_{1} \left( C_{1} + C_{2} \right) - K D \Theta_{p}(1) \right] \right\}$$
  
$$\left. + \frac{\operatorname{Bi}CrR_{2}(1 + K \cosh \alpha)}{R_{1}\alpha^{2} \left( \operatorname{Bo} + \alpha^{2} \right)},$$
(40)

$$F_2 = -\frac{A(C_1 + C_2) + KF_1 \sinh \alpha + K\Theta_p(1)}{1 + K \cosh \alpha}, (41)$$

where

$$R_1 = \alpha \cosh \alpha + \operatorname{Bi} \sinh \alpha + \alpha K, \qquad (42)$$
  

$$R_2 = -D^3 W(1) + 3\alpha^2 D W(1) - N D^2 W(1)$$
  

$$-N \alpha^2 W(1). \qquad (43)$$

The magnitude of the surface deflection Z can be calculated from (15). From boundary condition (16), we obtain an expression for  $Ma_s$  in terms of  $\alpha, K, N, Cr$ , Bi and Bo which can be conveniently written in the form

$$Ma_{s} = -\frac{R_{1} \left(\mathrm{Bo} + \alpha^{2}\right) \left[\alpha^{2} W(1) + D^{2} W(1)\right]}{R_{3}},(44)$$

where

$$R_{3} = \alpha \cosh \alpha \left\{ \alpha^{2} \Theta_{p}(1) \left( \text{Bo} + \alpha^{2} \right) \right.$$
$$\left. - \left[ 3\alpha^{2} DW(1) - D^{3} W(1) - ND^{2} W(1) \right] \right.$$
$$\left. - N\alpha^{2} W(1) \right] Cr \right\} - \alpha^{2} \sinh \alpha D\Theta_{p}(1) \left( \text{Bo} + \alpha^{2} \right)$$
$$\left. - \alpha^{3} A_{1} \left( \text{Bo} + \alpha^{2} \right) \left( C_{1} + C_{2} \right) \right.$$
$$\left. + \alpha KCr \left[ D^{3} W(1) - 3\alpha^{2} DW(1) \right.$$
$$\left. + ND^{2} W(1) + N\alpha^{2} W(1) \right].$$
(45)

Fig. 1 shows the marginal stability curves for a case of a deformable surface  $\overline{Cr} = 0.001$  and  $\operatorname{Bi} = 0$  for some parameters values of Bo, N and K. Each curve has two local minima, one at  $\alpha = 0$  of long-scale mode and the other one at  $\alpha > 0$  of the short-scale mode. In Fig. 1(a), when Bo = 0 the global minima are at  $\alpha = 0$  indicating that only the long-scale mode dominates where the controller gain K is not effective. When the gravity waves are considered Bo = 0.1, as shown in Fig. 1(b), the local minimum at  $\alpha = 0$  has a nonzero mean Marangoni number  $\overline{Ma}$ . As the value of the controller gain K increases, the marginal stability profile increases but as the viscosity parameter increases, the marginal profile decreases. The global minima for constant-viscosity fluid are at  $\alpha = 0$ while the global minima for a variable-viscosity fluid of N = 8 are at  $\alpha > 0$ .

Figs. 2 and 3 show the effects of viscosity variation Nand controller gain K on  $\overline{Ma}_c$  and  $\alpha_c$  for Bo = 0.1 and Cr = 0.001. In Fig. 2, there exists a critical value of viscosity parameter, say  $N^*$  where when  $N < N^*$ ,  $\overline{Ma_c}$ increases and when  $N > N^*$ ,  $\overline{Ma}_c$  decreases.  $\overline{Ma}_c$  for Bi = 0.1 is slightly higher than  $\overline{Ma_c}$  for Bi = 0. The long-scale mode occurs when  $N < N^*$  while the shortscale dominates when  $N > N^*$  and  $\alpha_c$  decreases as N increases. When  $N = N^*$ , both modes co-exist marked by discontinuous jumps (vertical lines) of  $\alpha_c$  from zeros to nonzero values. As K increases, the effect of Bi on  $\alpha_c$ weakens. In Fig. 3, there exists a critical value of controller gain, say  $K^*$  where when  $K < K^*$ ,  $\overline{Ma}_c$  increases in a short-scale mode but when  $K > K^*$ ,  $\overline{Ma}_c$  is insensitive of K in a long-scale mode. When  $K = K^*$ , both modes occur with transitions from an increasing  $\overline{Ma_c}$  to a stable  $\overline{Ma}_c$  and from a short-scale mode to a long-scale mode.

The effect of deformable surface on the marginal curves,  $\overline{Ma_c}$  and  $\alpha_c$  are depicted in Fig. 4 and 5. The curve for a deformable surface  $\overline{Cr} \neq 0$  differs fundamentally from the curve for a nondeformable surface  $\overline{Cr} = 0$ . There exist two local minima for  $\overline{Cr} \neq 0$  instead of one minimum for the case  $\overline{Cr} = 0$ . When the surface is increasingly deformed, the minimum at  $\alpha > 0$  is invariant but the minimum at  $\alpha = 0$  decreases. There exists a value of  $\overline{Cr}^*$  to mark the transition from invariant  $\overline{Ma_c}$  to a decreasing  $\overline{Ma_c}$  as well as the transition from the short-scale mode to a long scale mode. When  $\overline{Cr} < \overline{Cr}^*$ , there is a weak effect of  $\overline{Cr}$  on  $\overline{Ma_c}$  but strong effects of K and N on  $\overline{Ma_c}$ . When  $\overline{Cr} > \overline{Cr}^*$  and increases,  $\overline{Ma_c}$  decreases, long-scale mode dominates and the effects of K and N weaken.

Viscosity variation and deformable surface inhibit convective motion and have destabilizing effects on the stability thresholds. The use of a proportional feedback control is effective in increasing the critical  $\overline{Ma_c}$  and stabilising the liquid layer.

## 4 Conclusions

Proportional feedback control has been used to investigate and suppress the Marangoni instability in a temperature-dependent-viscosity fluid layer with a deformable upper surface. Viscosity variation and deformable surface have destabilising effects on the stability thresholds and the use of feedback control is shown effective in suppressing the Marangoni convection in a



Figure 1: Marginal curves (a) Bo = 0 (b) Bo = 0.1 for Bi = 0,  $\overline{Cr} = 0.001$  and various N and K.

temperature-dependent-viscosity liquid layer.

## References

- Bénard, H., "Les tourbillons cellulaires dans une nappe liquide," *Rev Gén Sci Pures Appl*, 11, pp. 1261-1271, 1900.
- [2] Rayleigh, L., "On convection currents in a horizontal layer of fluid when the higher temperature is on the other side," *Philo Mag*, 32, pp. 529–543, 1916.
- [3] Pearson J.R.A., "On convection cells induced by surface tension," J Fluid Mech, 4, pp. 489–500, 1958.
- [4] Tang J., Bau H.H., "Feedback control stabilization of the no-motion state of a fluid confined in a horizontal, porous layer heated from below," J Fluid Mech, 257, pp. 485–505, 1993.
- [5] Tang J., Bau H.H., "Numerical investigation of the stabilization of the no-motion state of a fluid layer heated from below and cooled from above," *Phys Fluids*, 10, pp. 1597–1610, 1998.
- [6] Bau H.H., "Control of Marangoni-Bénard convection," Int J Heat Mass Transfer, 42, pp. 1327–1341, 1999.
- [7] Arifin N.M., Nazar R.M., Senu N., "Feedback control of the Marangoni-Bénard Instability in a fluid layer with a free-slip bottom," *J Phys Soc Japan*, 76 pp. 014401, 2007.
- [8] Hashim I., Arifin N.M., "Oscillatory Marangoni convection in a conducting fluid layer with a deformable free surface in the presence of a vertical magnetic field," Acta Mech, 164, pp. 199–215, 2003.
- [9] Hashim I., Sarma W., "On the onset of Marangoni convection in a rotating fluid layer," J Phys Soc Japan, 75, pp. 035001, 2006.
- [10] Hashim I., Sarma W., "On oscillatory Marangoni convection in a rotating fluid layer subject to a uniform heat flux from below," *Int Commun Heat Mass Transfer*, 34, pp. 225–230, 2007).

- [11] Sarma W., Hashim I., "On oscillatory Marangoni convection in rotating fluid layer with flat free surface subject to uniform heat flux from below," Int J Heat Mass Transfer, 50, pp. 4508–4511, 2007.
- [12] Schwabe D., "Marangoni effects in crystal growth melts," *Physico Hydrodyn*, 2, pp. 263281, 1981.
- [13] Takashima M., "Surface tension driven instability in a horizontal liquid layer with a deformable free surface, I. Stationary convection," J Phys Soc Japan, 50, pp. 2745–2750, 1981.
- [14] Takashima M., "Surface tension driven instability in a horizontal liquid layer with a deformable free surface, II. Overstability," J Phys Soc Japan, 50, pp. 2751–2756, 1981.
- [15] Griffiths R.W., "Thermals in extremely viscous fluids, including the effects of temperature-dependent viscosity," J Fluid Mech, 166, pp. 115–138, 1986.
- [16] Selak R., Lebon G., "Benard-Marangoni thermoconvective instability in presence of a temperaturedependent viscosity," *J Phys II France*, 3, pp. 1185-1199, 1993.
- [17] Hannaoui M., Lebon G., "Bénard-Marangoni instability in an electrically conducting fluid layer with temperature-dependent viscosity under a magnetic field," J Non-equilibrium Thermodyn, 20, pp. 350– 358, 1995.
- [18] Kozhoukharova Zh., Roze C., "Influence of the surface deformability and variable viscosity on buoyantthermocapillary instability in a liquid layer," *European Phys J*, B, pp. 125–135, 1999.
- [19] Slavtchev S., Ouzounov V., "Stationary Marangoni instability in a liquid layer with temperaturedependent viscosity in microgravity," *Microgravity* Q, 4, pp. 33–38, 1994.
- [20] Kalitzova-Kurteva P.G., Slavtchev S.G., Kurtev I.A., "Stationary Marangoni instability in a liquid layer with temperature-dependent viscosity and deformable free surface," *Microgravity Sci Technol, Int J Microgravity Res Appl*, 9, pp. 257–263, 1996.

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2008 Vol II WCE 2008, July 2 - 4, 2008, London, U.K.



Figure 2: Effect of N on (a)  $\overline{Ma_c}$  (b)  $\alpha_c$  for Bo = 0.1,  $\overline{Cr} = 0.001$ , Bi = 0, 0.1 and K = 0, 1, 5.



Figure 3: Effect of K on (a)  $\overline{Ma_c}$  (b)  $\alpha_c$  for Bo = 0.1,  $\overline{Cr} = 0.001$ , Bi = 0 and various N.



Figure 4: Marginal curves (a) K = 0 (b) K = 1 for Bi = 0, Bo = 0.1, N = 1.5 and various  $\overline{Cr}$ .



Figure 5: Effect of  $\overline{Cr}$  on (a)  $\overline{Ma_c}$  (b)  $\alpha_c$  for Bi = 0, Bo = 0.1 and various N and K.