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Abstract—Wireless communications using microwaves are increasingly important, e.g. Wi-Fi. Performance is a crucial issue, leading to more reliable and efficient communications. Security is equally very important. Laboratory measurements are made about several performance aspects of Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11b, g) WPA2 point-to-point links. A contribution is given to performance evaluation of this technology under WPA2 encryption, using available equipments (WRT54GL wireless routers from Linksys). Detailed results are presented and discussed, namely at OSI levels 4 and 7, from TCP, UDP and FTP experiments: TCP throughput, jitter, percentage datagram loss and FTP transfer rate. Comparisons are made to corresponding results obtained for open links. Conclusions are drawn about the comparative performance of the links.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Contactless communication techniques have been developed using mainly electromagnetic waves in several frequency ranges, propagating in the air. Examples of wireless communications technologies are Wi-Fi and FSO, whose importance and utilization have been growing.

Wi-Fi is a microwave based technology providing for versatility, mobility and favourable prices. The importance and utilization of Wi-Fi have been growing for complementing traditional wired networks. It has been used both in ad hoc mode and in infrastructure mode. In this case an access point, AP, permits communications of Wi-Fi devices with a wired based LAN through a switch/Router. In this way a WLAN, based on the AP, is formed. Wi-Fi has reached the personal home, forming a WPAN, allowing personal devices to communicate. Point-to-point and point-to-multipoint configurations are used both indoors and outdoors, requiring specific directional and omnidirectional antennas. Wi-Fi uses microwaves in the 2.4 and 5 GHz frequency bands and IEEE 802.11a, 802.11b, 802.11g and 802.11n standards [1]. As the 2.4 GHz band becomes increasingly used and interferences increase, the 5 GHz band has received considerable attention, although absorption increases and ranges are shorter.

Nominal transfer rates up to 11 (802.11b), 54 Mbps (802.11 a, g) and 600 Mbps (802.11n) are specified. CSMA/CA is the medium access control. Wireless communications, wave propagation [2,3] and practical implementations of WLANs [4] have been studied. Detailed information has been given about the 802.11 architecture, including performance analysis of the effective transfer rate. An optimum factor of 0.42 was presented for 11 Mbps point-to-point links [5]. Wi-Fi (802.11b) performance measurements are available for crowded indoor environments [6].

Performance has been a fundamentally important issue, giving more reliable and efficient communications. In comparison to traditional applications, new telematic applications are specially sensitive to performances. Requirements have been pointed out, such as: 1-10 ms jitter and 1-10 Mbps throughput for video on demand/moving images; jitter less than 1 ms and 0.1-1 Mbps throughputs for Hi Fi stereo audio [7].

Wi-Fi security is very important. Microwave radio signals travel through the air and can be easily captured by virtually everyone. Therefore, several security methods have been developed to provide authentication such as, by increasing order of security, WEP, WPA and WPA2. WEP was initially intended to provide confidentiality comparable to that of a traditional wired network. A shared key for data encryption is involved. In WEP, the communicating devices use the same key to encrypt and decrypt radio signals. The CRC32 checksum used in WEP does not provide a great protection. However, in spite of its weaknesses, WEP is still widely used in Wi-Fi communications for security reasons. WPA implements the majority of the IEEE 802.11i standard [1]. It includes a MIC, message integrity check, replacing the CRC used in WEP. WPA2 is compliant with the full IEEE 802.11i standard. It includes CCMP, a new AES-based encryption mode with enhanced security. WPA and WPA2 can be used in either personal or enterprise modes. In this latter case an 802.1x server is required. Both TKIP and AES cipher types are usable and a group key update time interval is specified.

Several performance measurements have been made for 2.4 and 5 GHz Wi-Fi open [8-10] and WEP links [11], as well as very high speed FSO [12]. In the present work new Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11 b,g) results arise, using personal mode WPA2,
through OSI levels 4 and 7. Performance is evaluated in laboratory measurements of WPA2 point-to-point links using available equipments. Comparisons are made to corresponding results obtained for open links.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Chapter II presents the experimental details i.e. the measurement setup and procedure. Results and discussion are presented in Chapter III. Conclusions are drawn in Chapter IV.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The measurements used (Fig.1) Linksys WRT54GL wireless routers [13], with a Broadcom BCM5352 chip rev0, internal diversity antennas, firmware DD-WRT v24-sp1-10011 [14] and a 100-Base-TX/10-Base-T Allied Telesis AT-8000S/16 level 2 switch [15]. The wireless mode was set to bridged access point. In every type of experiment, interference free communication channels were used. This was checked through a portable computer, equipped with a Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/g adapter, running NetStumbler software [16]. WPA2 personal encryption was activated in the APs, Wi-Fi 802.11 b, g with typical nominal transfer rates (1, 2, 5.5 and 11 Mbps for IEEE 802.11 b; 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 54 Mbps for IEEE 802.11 g). For each standard, measurements were made for every fixed transfer rate. In this way, data were obtained for comparison of the laboratory performance of the links, measured namely at OSI levels 1 (physical layer), 4 (transport layer) and 7 (application layer) using the setup of Fig. 2. In each experiment type, for each standard and every nominal fixed transfer rate, an average TCP throughput was determined from several experiments. This value was used as the bandwidth parameter for every corresponding UDP test, giving average jitter and average percentage datagram loss.

At OSI level 1, noise levels (N, in dBm) and signal to noise ratios (SNR, in dB) were monitored and typical values are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 for WPA2 and open links, respectively.

The main average TCP and UDP results are summarized in Table I, both for WPA2 and open links. In Fig. 5 polynomial fits were made to the 802.11b, g TCP throughput data for WPA2 links, where R² is the coefficient of determination. It was found that the best TCP throughputs are for 802.11 g. A fairly good agreement was found for the 802.11 b, g data both for WPA2 and open links. In Figs. 6-7, the data points representing jitter and percentage datagram loss were joined by smoothed lines. It was found that, on average, the best jitter performances are for 802.11 g both for WPA2 (2.4 + 0.1 ms) and open links (1.2 + 0.1 ms). On average, both for 802.11 b and 802.11g, the best jitter performances were found for open links. Concerning percentage datagram loss data (1.4 % on average) no significant sensitivities were found, within the experimental errors, either to standard or link type.

At OSI level 7 we measured FTP transfer rates versus nominal transfer rates configured in the access points for the IEEE 802.11b, g standards. Every measurement was the average for a single FTP transfer, using a binary file size of 100 Mbytes. The average results thus obtained are summarized in Table I, both for WPA2 and open links. In Fig. 8 polynomial fits are shown to 802.11 b, g data for WPA2 links. It was found that, for each link type, the best FTP performances were for 802.11g. A fairly good agreement was found for the 802.11 b, g data both for WPA2 and open links. These results show the same trends found for TCP throughput.

Generally, except for jitter, the results measured for WPA2 links were found to agree, within the experimental errors, with corresponding data obtained for open links.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The access points were configured for each standard IEEE 802.11 b, g with typical nominal transfer rates (1, 2, 5.5 and 11 Mbps for IEEE 802.11 b; 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 54 Mbps for IEEE 802.11 g). For each standard, measurements were

![Fig. 1- Wireless router (A) [13] and switch (B) [15].](image-url)
Table I

Average Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11 B, G) Results; WPA2 and Open Links

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link type</th>
<th>WPA2</th>
<th>Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter/IEEE standard</td>
<td>802.11b</td>
<td>802.11g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP throughput (Mbps)</td>
<td>2.9 +0.1</td>
<td>13.9 +0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDP-jitter (ms)</td>
<td>3.6 +0.2</td>
<td>2.4 +0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDP-% datagram loss</td>
<td>1.2 +0.2</td>
<td>1.3 +0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP transfer rate (kbyte/s)</td>
<td>349.7 +10.5</td>
<td>1504.1 +45.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 - Laboratory setup scheme.

Fig. 3 - Typical SNR (dB) and N (dBm).

Fig. 4 - Typical SNR (dB) and N (dBm); open links.
Fig. 5- TCP throughput versus technology and nominal transfer rate.

Fig. 6- UDP – jitter results versus technology and nominal transfer rate.

Fig. 7- UDP – percentage datagram loss results versus technology and nominal transfer rate.

Fig. 8- FTP transfer rate versus technology and nominal transfer rate.
IV. CONCLUSION

In the present work a laboratory setup arrangement was planned and implemented, that permitted systematic performance measurements of available wireless equipments (WRT54GL wireless routers from Linksys) for Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11 b, g) in WPA2 point-to-point links.

Through OSI layer 4, TCP throughput, jitter and percentage datagram loss were measured and compared for each standard. The best TCP throughputs were found for 802.11g. A fairly good agreement was found for the 802.11 b, g data both for WPA2 and open links. Concerning jitter, it was found that on average the best performance was for 802.11 g both for WPA2 and open links. On average, both for 802.11 b and 802.11g, the best jitter performances were found for open links. For percentage datagram loss, no significant sensitivities were found, within the experimental errors, either to standard or link type.

At OSI layer 7, it was found that, for each link type, the best FTP performances are for 802.11g. A fairly good agreement was found for the 802.11 b, g data both for WPA2 and open links. These results show the same trends found for TCP throughput.

Generally, except for jitter, the results measured for WPA2 links were found to agree, within the experimental errors, with corresponding data obtained for open links.

Additional performance measurements either started or are planned using several equipments and security settings, not only in laboratory but also in outdoor environments involving, mainly, medium range links.
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