# An Approach to Deal with Asymmetry in the Optimal Hedge Ratio

## Youssef El-Khatib and Abdulnasser Hatemi-J

*Abstract*— The optimal hedge ratio (OHR) has important implications for investors in order to hedge against the price risk. Several different approaches have been suggested in the literature in order to estimate the OHR, among others, constant parameter and time-varying approaches. One pertinent issue in this regard that has not been investigated, to our best knowledge, is whether the OHR has an asymmetric character or not. This issue is addressed in the current paper by mathematically proving that the OHR is asymmetry. In addition, we provide a method to deal with this asymmetry in the estimation of the underlying OHR. This method is applied to the US equity market using weekly spot and future share prices during the period January 5, 2006 to September 29, 2009. We find empirical support for an asymmetric OHR.

*Index Terms*— asymmetry, futures, optimal hedge ratio, US equity market.

### I. INTRODUCTION

F INANCIAL risk management and hedging against risk has become more important now due to the recent occurrence of the financial crisis worldwide and the consequent turmoil in financial markets. The optimal hedge ratio (OHR) has therefore important implication for investors in order to hedge against the price risk. Several different approaches have been suggested in the literature in order to estimate the OHR, among others, constant parameter and time-varying approaches have been applied.

The interested reader can refer to the following literature on the optimal hedge ratio: Kroner and Sultan (1993), Kenourgios, Samitas and Drosos (2008), Ghosh and Clayton (1996), Hatemi-J and Roca (2006), Yang and Allen (2004), Baillie and Myers (1991), Sephton (1993), Ahmed (2007).

But, one pertinent issue in this regard, which has not been investigated to our best knowledge, is whether the OHR has an asymmetric character or not. In another word, does a negative price change have the same impact as a positive price change of the same magnitude? This issue is addressed in the current paper by mathematically proving that the OHR is asymmetric. In addition, we provide a method to deal with this asymmetry in the estimation of the underlying OHR. The asymmetric behaviour of returns and correlations

Manuscript received March 06, 2011; revised April 04, 2011.

Youssef El-Khatib is with the UAE University, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Al-Ain, P.O. Box 17551, United Arab Emirates (e-mail: Youssef Elkhatib@uaeu.ac.ae).

Abdulnasser Hatemi-J is with the UAE University, The Department of Economics and Finance, Al-Ain, P.O. Box 17555, United Arab Emirates (phone: +971.3.713.3213; fax: +971.3.762.4384; e-mail: Ahatemi@uaeu.ac.ae).

among financial assets have been investigated by among others Longin and Solnik (2001), Ang and Chen (2002), Hong and Zhou (2008), as well as Alvarez-Ramirez, Rodriguez and Echeverria (2009). According to these publications investors seem to respond more to negative shocks than the positive ones. Thus, the question is whether the issue of asymmetry matters in the estimation of the OHR or not. This method suggested in this paper is applied to the US equity market using weekly spot and future share prices during the period January 5, 2006 to September 29, 2009. We find empirical support for an asymmetric OHR.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 makes a brief discussion of the optimal hedge ratio. Section 3 describes the underlying methodology for estimating the asymmetric OHR and it also proves mathematically the asymmetric property of the OHR. Section 4 provides the empirical findings and the last section concludes the paper.

#### II. OPTIMAL HEDGE RATIO

The function of the OHR is to make sure that total value of the hedged portfolio remains unaltered. The hedged portfolio includes the quantities of the spot instrument as well as the hedging instrument and it can be expressed mathematically as the following:

$$V_h = Q_s S - Q_f F \tag{1}$$

Where  $V_h$  represents the value of the hedged portfolio,  $Q_s$ and  $Q_f$  signify the quantity of spot and futures instrument respectively. S and F are the prices of the underlying variables. Equation (1) can be transformed into changes because the only source of uncertainty is the price. Thus, we can express equation (1) as the following:

$$\Delta V_h = Q_s \Delta S - Q_f \Delta F \tag{2}$$

Where  $\Delta S = S_2$ -  $S_1$  and  $\Delta F = F_2$ -  $F_1$ . The ultimate goal of the hedging strategy is to achieve  $\Delta V_h = 0$ , which results in

having 
$$\frac{Q_f}{Q_s} = \frac{\Delta S}{\Delta F}$$
. Now let  
 $h = \frac{Q_f}{Q_s}$ 
(3)

then we must have

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2011 Vol I WCE 2011, July 6 - 8, 2011, London, U.K.

$$h = \frac{\Delta S}{\Delta F} \tag{4}$$

Thus, h is the hedge ratio, which can be obtained as the slope parameter in a regression of the price of the spot instrument on the price of the future (hedging) instrument. This can be demonstrated mathematically. Let us substitute equation (3) into equation (2) that results in the following equation:

$$\Delta V_h = Q_s \left[ \Delta S - h \Delta F \right] \tag{5}$$

The OHR is the one that minimises the risk of the change of the value of the portfolio that is hedged. This risk is measured by the variance of equation (5), which is given by the following equation:

$$Var[\Delta V_h] = Q_s^2 \left[\sigma_s^2 + h^2 \sigma_F^2 - 2h\rho \sigma_s \sigma_F\right]$$
(6)

In this case  $\sigma_S^2$  represents the variance of  $\Delta S$ ,  $\sigma_F^2$  signifies the variance of  $\Delta F$ , and the correlation coefficient between  $\Delta S$  and  $\Delta F$  is denoted by  $\rho$ . In order to obtain the OHR we need to minimize equation (6) with regard to *h*. That is,

$$\frac{\partial [Var[\Delta V_h]]}{\partial h} = Q_s^2 [2h\sigma_F^2 - 2\rho\sigma_S\sigma_F] = 0, \tag{7}$$

this gives

$$h^* = \rho \frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_F}.$$
(8)

The OHR can also be obtained by estimating the following regression model:

$$\Delta S_t = \alpha + h \Delta F_t + u_t \quad , \tag{9}$$

#### III. METHODOLOGY FOR ESTIMATING ASYMMETRIC OHR

Assume that we are interested in investigating the relationship between the changes of the spot and future prices when each price index is random walk process. Thus, the changes  $\Delta S_t$  and  $\Delta F_t$  can be defined as the following:

and

Z

$$\Delta S_t = \mathcal{E}_{1t} \tag{10}$$

$$\Delta F_t = \mathcal{E}_{2t} \tag{11}$$

where t = l, 2, ..., T, and the variables  $\varepsilon_{li}$  and  $\varepsilon_{2i}$  signify white noise disturbance terms. We define the positive and the negative shocks as the following respectively:  $\varepsilon_{1i}^+ = \max(\varepsilon_{1i}, 0), \qquad \varepsilon_{2i}^+ = \max(\varepsilon_{2i}, 0), \qquad \varepsilon_{1i}^- = \min(\varepsilon_{1i}, 0) \text{ and } \varepsilon_{2i}^- = \min(\varepsilon_{2i}, 0).$  It follows that the changes can be defined as  $\Delta S_t^+ = \varepsilon_{1t}^+, \qquad \Delta S_t^- = \varepsilon_{1t}^-, \quad \Delta F_t^+ = \varepsilon_{2t}^+ \text{ and } \Delta F_t^- = \varepsilon_{2t}^-.$  Thus, by

ISBN: 978-988-18210-6-5 ISSN: 2078-0958 (Print); ISSN: 2078-0966 (Online) using these results we can estimate the following regression models:

$$\Delta S_t^+ = \alpha_1 + h_1 \Delta F_t^+ + u_t^+, \qquad (12)$$

$$\Delta S_t^- = \alpha_2 + h_2 \Delta F_t^- + u_t^-, \qquad (13)$$

Consequently,  $h_1$  is the OHR for positive price changes and  $h_2$  is the OHR for negative price changes. Per definition we have the following:

$$\begin{split} h_1 &= \rho^+ \frac{\sigma_{S^+}}{\sigma_{F^+}} = \frac{\cos(\Delta S_t^+, \Delta F_t^+)}{\sigma_{F^+}^2} \text{ and } \\ h_2 &= \rho^- \frac{\sigma_{S^-}}{\sigma_{F^-}} = \frac{\cos(\Delta S_t^-, \Delta F_t^-)}{\sigma_{F^-}^2}. \end{split}$$

Given that there are positive as well as negative price changes then h is different from  $h_1$  as well as  $h_2$ . The following proposition shows the relationship between h,  $h_1$ and  $h_2$  and proves that h is indeed different from  $h_1$  as well as  $h_2$ .

## **Proposition:**

We have

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \sigma_{F^+}^2 + h_2 \sigma_{F^-}^2 + cov(\Delta S_c^+, \Delta F_c^-) \\ + cov(\Delta S_c^-, \Delta F_c^+) \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_F^2}$$
(14)

Thus, we deal with cases that are characterised by both price increases and price decreases during the underlying period.

#### **Proof**

The OHR is given by  

$$h = \rho \frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_F} = \frac{cov(\Delta s_{tr} \Delta F_t)}{\sigma_F^2}$$
(15)

One can observe that

$$\Delta S_c = \Delta S_c^+ + \Delta S_c^-$$

$$\Delta S_c^+ \Delta S_c^- = 0$$

$$\Delta F_c = \Delta F_c^+ + \Delta F_c^-$$
(16) and (17)

$$\Delta F_{c}^{+} \Delta F_{c}^{-} = 0 \qquad (27)$$

Using equations (16) and (17), the following is obtained:

$$cov(\Delta S_{tr}\Delta F_{t}) = E[(\Delta S_{t}^{+} + \Delta S_{t}^{-})(\Delta F_{t}^{+} + \Delta F_{t}^{-})]$$
$$-E[\Delta S_{t}^{+} + \Delta S_{t}^{-}]E[\Delta F_{t}^{+} + \Delta F_{t}^{-}]$$
$$= (E[\Delta S_{t}^{+}\Delta F_{t}^{+}] - E[\Delta S_{t}^{+}]E[\Delta F_{t}^{+}])$$
$$+ (E[\Delta S_{t}^{+}\Delta F_{t}^{-}] - E[\Delta S_{t}^{+}]E[\Delta F_{t}^{-}])$$
$$+ (E[\Delta S_{t}^{-}\Delta F_{t}^{+}] - E[\Delta S_{t}^{-}]E[\Delta F_{t}^{+}])$$
$$+ (E[\Delta S_{t}^{-}\Delta F_{t}^{+}] - E[\Delta S_{t}^{-}]E[\Delta F_{t}^{+}])$$

This can be expressed as follows:

$$cov(\Delta S_t, \Delta F_t) = cov(\Delta S_t^+, \Delta F_t^+) + cov(\Delta S_t^+, \Delta F_t^-) + cov(\Delta S_t^-, \Delta F_t^+) + cov(\Delta S_t^-, \Delta F_t^-)$$
(18)

By replacing equation (18) into equation (15) we obtain the following:

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2011 Vol I WCE 2011, July 6 - 8, 2011, London, U.K.

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} cov(\Delta S_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \Delta F_{\varepsilon}^{+}) + cov(\Delta S_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \Delta F_{\varepsilon}^{-}) \\ + cov(\Delta S_{\varepsilon}^{-}, \Delta F_{\varepsilon}^{+}) + cov(\Delta S_{\varepsilon}^{-}, \Delta F_{\varepsilon}^{-}) \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_{F}^{2}}$$

In order to derive equation (14), we use  $cov(\Delta S_{t}^{+}, \Delta F_{t}^{+}) = h_{1}\sigma_{F^{+}}^{2}$  and  $cov(\Delta S_{t}^{-}, \Delta F_{t}^{-}) = h_{2}\sigma_{F}^{2}$ .

Equation (14) clarifies the components that are normally used in estimating the OHR. However, if the investor has certain information that would indicate a price change in a given direction then it is better to use certain components of equation (14) not all parts. For example, if a price increase is expected at the maturity date then we expect to have  $\Delta F_t^{-\bullet} = \Delta F_t$ ,  $\Delta F_t^{-\bullet} = 0$  and  $\sigma_p^{-\bullet} = \sigma_{F^{-\bullet}}^{-\bullet}$ . Therefore, using equation (14) we suggest calculating the following OHR

$$\hat{h} = \left[h_1 \sigma_{p^+}^2 + cav(\Delta S_t^-, \Delta F_t^+)\right] \frac{1}{\sigma_p^2} = h_1 + \frac{cav(\Delta S_t^-, \Delta F_t^+)}{\sigma_s^2}.$$

## IV. EMPIRICAL FINDINGS

The dataset applied in this paper consists of weekly observations of spot and future prices for the US during the period January 5, 2006 to September 29, 2009. The data source is DataStream. The positive and negative shocks of each variable were constructed by the approach outlined in the previous section and by using a program procedure written in Gauss that is available on requested from the authors. The estimation results for the optimal hedge ratios are presented in Table 1. Each value is statistically significant at any conventional significance level. It should be pointed out that the difference between the optimal hedge ratios are not huge in this particular case because the mean values of positive shocks and negative shocks are very close as is indicated in the Table 2.

h	$h_{I}$	$h_2$
0.9830	0.9745	0.9865
(0.0003)	(0.0114)	(0.0088)

Notes: The standard errors are presented in the parentheses.

Table 2.	The Ca	lculated	Mean	Values.	

$E[\Delta F_{t}^{-}]$	$E[\Delta R_{t}^{+}]$	$E[\Delta S_{t}^{-}]$	$E[\Delta S_t^+]$
-0.010639	0.009668	-0.010451	0.009562

#### V. CONCLUSION

The optimal hedge ratio is widely used in financial markets to hedge against the price risk. Different approaches have been suggested for estimating the OHR. This paper is the first attempt, to our best knowledge, to take into account the potential asymmetric character of the underlying OHR. It proves mathematically that the OHR is indeed asymmetric. It also suggests a method to take this asymmetric property in the estimation. The approach is applied to estimating the OHR for the US equity market during the period January 5, 2006 to September 29, 2009. Weekly data are used. The OHR for positive shocks as well

ISBN: 978-988-18210-6-5 ISSN: 2078-0958 (Print); ISSN: 2078-0966 (Online) negative shocks are estimated separately. Our conjecture is that these separate hedge ratios could be useful to the investor in order to find optimal hedge strategies. This could be achieved by relying more on the OHR for positive cases,  $(h_1)$  if the investor expects a price increase at the maturity of the futures contract. On the other hand, it might be safer to rely on the OHR for negative chocks  $(h_2)$  in case the investor expects a price decrease at the maturity of the futures. However, if there are no expectations about the direction of any potential price change at the maturity the investor might just rely on the standard OHR (h). It should be mentioned that the *ex post* and *ex ante* problem prevails as for any other empirical calculation.

#### REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Ramirez J., Rodriguez E. and Echeverria J. C., "A DFA approach for assessing asymmetric correlations," *PhysicaA: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 388, 2009, pp. 2263– 2270.
- [2] Ang, A. and Chen J., Asymmetric correlations of equity portfolios. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1993, pp. 123–135.
- [3] H. Poor, An Introduction to Signal Detection and Estimation. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985, ch. 4.
- [4] B. Smith, "An approach to graphs of linear forms (Unpublished work style)," unpublished.
- [5] E. H. Miller, "A note on reflector arrays (Periodical style—Accepted for publication)," *Engineering Letters*, to be published.
- [6] J. Wang, "Fundamentals of erbium-doped fiber amplifiers arrays (Periodical style—Submitted for publication)," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, submitted for publication.
- [7] C. J. Kaufman, Rocky Mountain Research Lab., Boulder, CO, private communication, May 1995.
- [8] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, "Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interfaces (Translation Journals style)," *IEEE Transl. J. Magn.Jpn.*, vol. 2, Aug. 1987, pp. 740–741 [*Dig. 9<sup>th</sup> Annu. Conf. Magnetics* Japan, 1982, p. 301].
- [9] M. Young, *The Techincal Writers Handbook.* Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.
- [10] J. U. Duncombe, "Infrared navigation—Part I: An assessment of feasibility (Periodical style)," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-11, pp. 34–39, Jan. 1959.
- [11] N. Meghanathan and G. W. Skelton, "Risk Notification Message Dissemination Protocol for Energy Efficient Broadcast in Vehicular Ad hoc Networks," *IAENG International Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jul. 2010.
- [12] R. W. Lucky, "Automatic equalization for digital communication," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 547–588, Apr. 1965.
- [13] S. P. Bingulac, "On the compatibility of adaptive controllers (Published Conference Proceedings style)," in *Proc. 4th Annu. Allerton Conf. Circuits and Systems Theory*, New York, 1994, pp. 8– 16.
- [14] G. R. Faulhaber, "Design of service systems with priority reservation," in *Conf. Rec. 1995 IEEE Int. Conf. Communications*, pp. 3–8.
- [15] W. D. Doyle, "Magnetization reversal in films with biaxial anisotropy," in 1987 Proc. INTERMAG Conf., pp. 2.2-1–2.2-6.
- [16] G. W. Juette and L. E. Zeffanella, "Radio noise currents n short sections on bundle conductors (Presented Conference Paper style)," presented at the IEEE Summer power Meeting, Dallas, TX, Jun. 22– 27, 1990, Paper 90 SM 690-0 PWRS.
- [17] J. G. Kreifeldt, "An analysis of surface-detected EMG as an amplitude-modulated noise," presented at the 1989 Int. Conf. Medicine and Biological Engineering, Chicago, IL.
- [18] J. Williams, "Narrow-band analyzer (Thesis or Dissertation style)," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. Eng., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [19] N. Kawasaki, "Parametric study of thermal and chemical nonequilibrium nozzle flow," M.S. thesis, Dept. Electron. Eng., Osaka Univ., Osaka, Japan, 1993.
- [20] J. P. Wilkinson, "Nonlinear resonant circuit devices (Patent style)," U.S. Patent 3 624 12, July 16, 1990.

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2011 Vol I WCE 2011, July 6 - 8, 2011, London, U.K.

- [21] IEEE Criteria for Class IE Electric Systems (Standards style), IEEE Standard 308, 1969.
- [22] Letter Symbols for Quantities, ANSI Standard Y10.5-1968.
- [23] R. E. Haskell and C. T. Case, "Transient signal propagation in lossless isotropic plasmas (Report style)," USAF Cambridge Res. Lab., Cambridge, MA Rep. ARCRL-66-234 (II), 1994, vol. 2.
- [24] E. E. Reber, R. L. Michell, and C. J. Carter, "Oxygen absorption in the Earth's atmosphere," Aerospace Corp., Los Angeles, CA, Tech. Rep. TR-0200 (420-46)-3, Nov. 1988.
- [25] (Handbook style) Transmission Systems for Communications, 3rd ed., Western Electric Co., Winston-Salem, NC, 1985, pp. 44-60.
- [26] Motorola Semiconductor Data Manual, Motorola Semiconductor Products Inc., Phoenix, AZ, 1989.
- [27] (Basic Book/Monograph Online Sources) J. K. Author. (year, month, day). Title (edition) [Type of medium]. Volume (issue). Available: http://www.(URL)
- [28] J. Jones. (1991, May 10). Networks (2nd ed.) [Online]. Available: http://www.atm.com
- [29] (Journal Online Sources style) K. Author. (year, month). Title. Journal [Type of medium]. Volume(issue), paging if given. Available: http://www.(URL)
- [30] R. J. Vidmar. (1992, August). On the use of atmospheric plasmas as electromagnetic reflectors. IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. [Online]. 21(3). pp. 876-880. Available:

- http://www.halcyon.com/pub/journals/21ps03-vidmar

   [31] N. Sohaee and C. V. Rorst, "Bounded Diameter Clustering Scheme
   For Protein Interaction Networks," in Lecture Notes in Engineering and Computer Science: World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science 2009, pp. 1-7.
- [32] J. M. Merigo, "Using the Probabilistic Weight Average in Decision Making with Distsance Measures," in Lecture Notes in Engineering and Computer Science: World Congress on Engineering 2010, pp. 1-4.
- [33] T. Gonsalves and K. Itoh, "Multi-Objective Optimization for Software Development Projects," in *Lecture Notes in Engineering and* Computer Science: International Multiconference of Engineers and Computer Scientist 2010, pp. 1-6.