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Abstract—The paper presents detection and classification of rotor bar faults at steady state operation in squirrel cage induction motors by using power factor. One phase current and voltage of the stator coils were used to calculate the power factor. To investigate effects of rotor faults on the power factor, its frequency spectrum was obtained by fast Fourier Transform (FFT). Significant picks in the spectrum were used to discern “healthy” and “faulty” motor conditions. The motor conditions were classified by Artificial Neural Network (ANN). In experiments three different rotor faults and healthy motor conditions were investigated by 30 HP, 8", with 18 bars, 380 V, 2 poles and 50 Hz squirrel cage submersible induction motor. The proposed decision structure detects and classifies rotor bar faults with 100% accuracy.

Index Terms—Broken rotor bar, fault diagnosis, induction motors, Fast Fourier Transform, Artificial Neural Network.

I. INTRODUCTION

Induction motors are critical component of many industrial processes and are widely used as main drive for most rotating mechanical loads. Most electric motor faults interrupt a process, and may damage other related machinery. During recent years, there has been a substantial amount of research to provide condition monitoring techniques for ac induction motors based on motor current signature analysis (MCSA) [3-5] as current signals can easily be monitored for condition monitoring [5]. The problem is how to extract different features from the current signal and discriminate among various motor conditions. Most of the works on MCSA use second order based techniques like FFT analysis. Some work particularly uses the sidebands around the supply line frequency at twice the slip frequency and its multiples 

\[ f_b = (1 \pm 2ks)f_s, \quad k = 1,2,3,... \]  

Where \( f \) is main frequency and \( s \) is slip. The effects cause fluctuations on the current. Zero-crossing points of current are changing because of the fluctuations. Therefore, power factor of motor oscillates depend on the slip frequency. The frequency, it occurred by rotor bar faults, is available in multiple of \( 2sf_s \) in frequency spectrum of the power factor. The frequency spectrum region was used to detection and classification of rotor bar faults in the present study.

One phase current and voltage of the stator coils were measured to calculate the power factor. The zero-crossing points of the current and voltage and their periods are determined and power factor is calculated by these zero-crossing points and periods according to Equation 1.

\[ \text{Power factor} = \frac{T_d}{T} \]  

Where \( T_d \) is distance between the current zero-crossing point and the voltage zero-crossing point, \( T \) is period of voltage. These values are shown more clearly in Fig. 1.
The power factors are analyzed for each fault size and they are compared with other fault sizes and healthy rotor in time domain as shown Fig. 2. The power factor oscillations in time domain may occur due to changes in motor load. Discerning is needed that these oscillations result from the fault or load change. So, DC components are extracted and then the power factor data in time domain are transformed to frequency domain by FFT. The frequency component corresponding to $2sf$ in the spectrum is useful to feature extraction for detection of rotor bar faults. Fig. 3 shows the frequency spectrum of power factor for faulty and healthy conditions.

Finally, the frequency spectrum of power factor is used to be inputs of ANN. So, the faulty motor conditions are detected and classified by using ANN outputs.

III. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY AND RESULTS

The experiments are made by 30 HP, 8”, with 18 bars, 380V, 2 poles and 50 Hz squirrel cage submersible induction motor. The purposed three different rotor faults were created in the factory at the production phase. The analyzed rotor faults are shown as follows:
- A rotor with one broken rotor bar,
- A rotor with two adjacent broken rotor bars,
- A rotor with three adjacent broken rotor bars.

In order to ensure accurate measurements, each rotor fault was created separately and passed through each assembly phase. To obtain broken rotor bar faults, a small part (5 mm length) is cut from the mid side of rotor bar and the two parts of bar are stacked from both sides. So conductivity of the bar is decreased to 0, as shown in Fig. 4. Broken rotor bar photograph is given in Fig. 5.

The motors were tested in the motor factory by using experiment system. The tested motor was loaded by generator. Load is leveled by using resistors which conducted to the generator. The photographs of the used experiment system are given in Fig. 6. One phase current and voltage of the stator coils were obtained to calculate the power factor in steady state operation for each fault.
The current and voltage were sampled by using Hall-effect sensors from the tested motor coils. Sampling was made at 7.5 kHz. The data were transformed to frequency domain by using FFT.

A. ANN Training, Test and Results

A feed-forward network trained by back-propagation algorithm was used for classification. Inputs propagate from input layer to output layer via one hidden layer. The frequency spectrums are used as input vector for training the ANN which defines the target as healthy or faulty. After the training, the NN used to detect the faulty motor conditions.

Input matrixes of ANN are the frequency spectrum of power factor (as shown Fig. 3) between 0 and 25 Hz.

Output matrixes of ANN are column matrixes and they consist of 4 components. Every line represents 4 different motor conditions.

Each fault representing output matrixes:
- Healthy : $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$
- A broken bar : $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$
- Two broken bars : $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$
- Three broken bars : $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$

The choosing of output matrix having four components increased accuracy of the diagnosis.

There were eighteen data for every condition, the eight of the data were used for training and other eight data were used for test.

Training and test were implemented at different number of hidden layers and iterations to find optimal number of hidden layers and iterations. Following criteria were used for optimization:

- Training Error : Per unit of ratio of difference between real results and training results to number of total samples.
- Test Error : Per unit of ratio of difference between real results and test results to number of total samples.
- Diagnosis Error : Per unit of ratio of number of mistaken diagnoses to number of total diagnosis.
- Healthy Error : Per unit of ratio of number of mistaken diagnoses in healthy motor conditions to number of total diagnoses.

Because of that goal is to detection fault, “Diagnosis Error” was used to be the most significant indicator in the optimization.

### Table I. The Errors for 500 Iterations at Different Number of Hidden Layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hidden Layer</th>
<th>Healthy Error [%]</th>
<th>Training Error [%]</th>
<th>Test Error [%]</th>
<th>Diagnosis Error [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.77E-05</td>
<td>1.74E+00</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.28E-07</td>
<td>4.30E-01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.08E-04</td>
<td>2.11E+00</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.15E-05</td>
<td>6.00E-01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01E-10</td>
<td>1.36E+00</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.49E-10</td>
<td>8.50E-01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.42E-08</td>
<td>2.00E+00</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.90E-12</td>
<td>3.30E-01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.45E-08</td>
<td>1.16E+00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.86E-12</td>
<td>2.79E+00</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. The Errors for 100 Hidden Layers at Different Number of Iterations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration Number</th>
<th>Healthy Error [%]</th>
<th>Training Error [%]</th>
<th>Test Error [%]</th>
<th>Diagnosis Error [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.00E-05</td>
<td>4.70E-01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.90E-12</td>
<td>3.30E-01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.95E-12</td>
<td>4.30E-01</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.84E-12</td>
<td>4.40E-01</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By investigating the results, the optimal values have been reached. The most efficient number of hidden layer is 500 and the most efficient number of iteration is 500 as shown in Table I and Table II. At the end of the process the error of training is calculated as 3.90E-12%, the error of test is 3.30E-01% and the error of diagnosis is 0%.

### IV. Conclusion

In this study, the rotor fault effects on signals of power factor were analyzed by using FFT at steady state operation. For the analysis, experiments were performed for 3 different rotor faults and healthy motor conditions. The experimental results illustrate that the significant frequency components in frequency spectrum of power factor can be successfully applied for the broken bar diagnosis. The significant change depending on the rotor faults in frequency spectrum is used to be input matrix of supervised ANN. So, the classification was made. Consequently, the present study shows that the fault detection and classification from the power factor are quite feasible. The proposed method makes it possible to diagnose the faults, and discernment of them from each other with 100% accuracy.
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