

# Common Fixed Point Theorems in TVS-Valued Cone Metric Spaces

Akbar Azam and B.E. Rhoades

**Abstract**— We obtain common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a generalized contractive type condition in TVS valued cone metric spaces. Our results generalize some well-known recent results in the literature.

**Index Terms**— contractive type mapping, cone metric space fixed point ,non-normal cones

## I. INTRODUCTION

Huang and Zhang [8] generalized the notion of metric space by replacing the set of real numbers by ordered Banach space and defined cone metric space and extended Banach type fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings. Subsequently, some other authors [1,4,5,7,10,12,13,14,15,17] studied properties of cone metric spaces and fixed points results of mappings satisfying contractive type condition in cone metric spaces. Recently Beg, Azam and Arshad [6], introduced and studied topological vector space(TVS) valued cone metric spaces which is bigger than that of introduced by Huang and Zhang [8]. TVS valued cone metric spaces were further considered by some other authors in [3,9,11,16,18]. In this paper we obtain common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a generalized contractive type condition without the assumption of normality in TVS-valued cone metric spaces. Our results improve and generalize some significant recent results.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

We need the following definitions and results, consistent with [3,6].

Let  $(E, \tau)$  be always a topological vector space (TVS) and  $P$  a subset of  $E$ . Then,  $P$  is called a cone whenever :

- (i)  $P$  is closed, non-empty and  $P \neq \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $ax + by \in P$  for all  $x, y \in P$  and non-negative real numbers  $a, b$ ,

Manuscript received March 10, 2012, accepted April 01, 2012. This work was supported by Higher Education Commission (HEC), Pakistan. A. Azam is with Department of Mathematics COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Chak Shahzad, Islamabad, Pakistan (phone: 0923335196973; fax: 0922286552; e-mail: akbarazam@yahoo.com). B.E. Rhoades is with Department Mathematics Indiana University, Bloomington, IN47405-71106, USA (e-mail: rhoades@indiana.edu).

(iii) If  $x$  belongs to both  $P$  and  $-P$ , then  $x=0$

We shall always assume that the cone  $P$  has a nonempty interior  $\text{int } P$  (such cones are called solid). Each cone  $P$  induces a partial ordering  $\leq$  on  $E$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$ ,  $x < y$  will stand for  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , while  $x \ll y$  will stand for  $y - x \in \text{int } P$ , where  $\text{int } P$  denotes the interior of  $P$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  satisfies:

- ( $\mathbf{d}_1$ )  $0 \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- ( $\mathbf{d}_2$ )  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- ( $\mathbf{d}_3$ )  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then  $d$  is called a TVS-valued cone metric on  $X$  and  $(X, d)$  is called a TVS-valued cone metric space. If  $E$  is a real Banach space then  $(X, d)$  is called cone metric space [8].

**Definition 2** Let  $(X, d)$  be a TVS-valued cone metric space,  $x \in X$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  a sequence in  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $x$  whenever for every  $c \in E$  with  $0 \ll c$  there is a natural number  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, x) \ll c$  for all  $n \geq N$ . We denote this by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .
- (ii)  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence whenever for every  $c \in E$  with  $0 \ll c$  there is a natural number  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ .
- (iii)  $(X, d)$  is a complete cone metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Note that the results concerning fixed points and other results, in the case of cone metric spaces with non-normal solid cones, cannot be proved by reducing to metric spaces, because in this case neither of the conditions from Lemma 2.6 [9] holds. Further, the vector valued function cone metric is not continuous in the general case, i.e., from

$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$  it need not follow that  
 $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$  (see [9]).

### III. MAIN RESULTS

The following theorem improves/generalizes the results in [2, 8, and 14]

**Theorem 3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete TVS-valued cone metric space,  $P$  be a solid cone and  $0 < h < 1$ . If the mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy:

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq hu(x, y) \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$u(x, y) \in \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(x, Ty), \frac{d(y, Tx) + d(x, Sy)}{2} \right\} \text{ case III.}$$

then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof** We shall first show that fixed point of one map is a fixed point of the other. Suppose that  $p = Tp$  and that  $p \neq Sp$ . Then from (1)

$$d(Sp, p) = d(Sp, Tp) \leq hu(p, p).$$

Case I.

$$d(Sp, p) \leq hd(p, p) = 0, \text{ and } p = Sp.$$

Case II.

$$d(Sp, p) \leq hd(p, Sp),$$

which, since we have assumed that

$$p \neq Sp \text{ yields } p = Sp,$$

Case III.

$$d(Sp, p) \leq hd(p, Tp) = 0, \text{ and } p = Sp$$

Case IV.

$$d(Sp, p) \leq h \left[ \frac{d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)}{2} \right],$$

which implies that  $d(Sp, p) \leq \frac{h}{2} d(p, Sp)$ , and hence

$p = Sp$ . In a similar manner it can be shown that any

fixed point of  $S$  is also the fixed point of  $T$ .

Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= Tx_{2n} \\ x_{2n+2} &= Sx_{2n+1}, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ . For, suppose there exists an  $n$  such that  $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ . Then  $x_{2n} = Tx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n}$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , hence a fixed point of  $S$ . Similarly, if  $x_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$  for some  $n$ , then  $x_{2n+1}$  is common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . From (1)

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hud(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

Case I.

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

Case II.

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hd(x_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}) \leq hd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

which implies that

$$x_{2n} = x_{2n+1}, \text{ a contradiction to our assumption.}$$

Case IV.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq \frac{h}{2} [d(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1})] \\ &= \frac{h}{2} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + \frac{1}{2} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

Thus in all cases, we have

$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq hd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$ . Similarly, one can show that  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$ . Thus, for all  $n$ ,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq hd(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq h^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \dots \leq h^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

Now for any  $m > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq [\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-1}] d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \right] d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 << c$  be given, choose a symmetric neighborhood  $V$  of  $0$  such that  $c + V \subseteq \text{int}P$ . Also, choose a natural number  $N_1$  such that  $\left[ \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \right] d(x_0, x_1) \in V$ , for all  $n \geq N_1$ . Then,  $\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d(x_1, x_0) << c$ , for all  $n \geq N_1$ .

Thus,

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \right] d(x_0, x_1) \ll c,$$

for all  $m > n$ . Therefore,  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$x_n \rightarrow u$ . In case I, choose a natural number  $N_2$  such that

$$d(x_n, u) \ll \frac{c}{2} \text{ for all } n \geq N_2.$$

Then for all  $n \geq N_2$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + hd(x_{2n+1}, u) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, u) \ll \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c. \end{aligned}$$

For case II choose a natural number  $N_3$  such that for all  $n \geq N_3$

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ll \frac{c}{2} \text{ and } d(x_{n+1}, u) \ll \frac{c}{2}.$$

Then for all  $n \geq N_3$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + hd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \ll \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c. \end{aligned}$$

In case III choose a natural number  $N_4$  such that

$$d(x_{n+1}, u) \ll c(1-h) \text{ for all } n \geq N_4.$$

Hence, for all  $n \geq N_4$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + hd(u, Tu) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-h} d(u, x_{2n+2}) \ll c. \end{aligned}$$

In case IV choose a natural number  $N_5$  such that

$$d(x_{n+1}, u) \ll \frac{c(1-h)}{3} \text{ for all } n \geq N_5.$$

Then for all  $n \geq N_5$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq d(u, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+1}) + d(Sx_{2n+1}, Tu) \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+1}) + h[d(u, Sx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Tu)] \\ &\leq d(u, x_{2n+1}) + h[d(x_{2n+2}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u) + d(u, Tu)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-h} [d(u, x_{2n+1}) + h(d(x_{2n+2}, u) + d(x_{2n+1}, u))] \\ &\ll \frac{c}{3} + \frac{c}{3} + \frac{c}{3} = c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$d(u, Tu) \ll \frac{c}{m}, \text{ for all } m \geq 1,$$

so  $\frac{c}{m} - d(u, Tu) \in P$ , for all  $m \geq 1$ . Since

$\frac{c}{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  (as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $P$  is closed

,  $-d(u, Su) \in P$ . But  $d(u, Tu) \in P$ . Therefore,

$d(u, Tu) = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $u = Tu$ . Similarly, by using

$$d(u, Tu) \leq d(u, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Tu),$$

we can show that  $u = Tu$ , which implies that  $u$  is a common point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Example 4** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $E$  be the set of all real valued functions on  $X$  which also have continuous derivatives on  $X$ . Then  $E$  is a vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$  under the following operations:

$$(x+y)(t) = x(t) + y(t), \quad (\alpha x)(t) = \alpha x(t),$$

for all  $x, y \in E, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the strongest vector (locally convex) topology on  $E$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological vector space which is not normable and is not even metrizable. Define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  as follows:

$$(d(x, y))(t) = |x - y| 3^t,$$

$$P = \{(x \in E : x \geq 0)\}.$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a TVS-valued cone metric space. Let

$0 < h < 1$  and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be such that

$$|Sx - Ty| \leq hu|x - y|$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$u|x - y| \in \left\{ |x - y|, |x - Sx|, |x - Ty|, \frac{|y - Tx| + |x - Sy|}{2} \right\}.$$

Then  $S, T$  satisfies all conditions of the above theorem.

**Theorem 5** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete TVS-valued cone metric space,  $P$  be a solid cone and  $0 < h < 1$ . If a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq hu(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$u(x, y) \in \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(x, Ty), \frac{d(y, Tx) + d(x, Ty)}{2} \right\},$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 6** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone metric space,  $P$  be a solid cone and  $0 < h < 1$ . If a mapping  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies:

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq hu(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$u(x, y) \in \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(x, Ty), \frac{d(y, Tx) + d(x, Sy)}{2} \right\},$$

then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

The following example shows that the above corollary is an improvement and a real generalization of results [8, Theorems 1, 3, 4] and [5, Theorems 2.3, 2.6, 2.7].

**Example 7** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \geq 0\}$ . Define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  as follows:

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} (0, 0) & \text{if } x = y \\ (\frac{4}{7}, \frac{2}{7}) & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } x, y \in X - \{2\} \\ (1, \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } x, y \in X - \{3\} \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}) & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ and } x, y \in X - \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

Define a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } x \neq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$d(T(3), T(2)) = d(3, 1) = (\frac{4}{7}, \frac{2}{7})$$

(i). For  $\alpha < 1$ , we have

$$\alpha d(3, 2) < d(T(3), T(2))$$

(ii). For  $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\beta [d(3, T(3)) + d(2, T(2))] < d(T(3), T(2))$$

(iv). For  $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\gamma [d(3, T(2)) + d(2, T(3))] < d(T(3), T(2)).$$

Therefore the results in [8] and [14] are not applicable to obtain fixed point of  $T$ .

In order to apply the above corollary consider the mapping  $Sx = 3$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then,

$$d(Sx, Ty) = \begin{cases} (0, 0) & \text{if } y \neq 2 \\ (\frac{4}{7}, \frac{2}{7}) & \text{if } y = 2 \end{cases}$$

and for  $h = \frac{4}{7}$

$$hd(y, Ty) = \begin{cases} (\frac{4}{7}, \frac{2}{7}) & \text{if } y = 2. \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy all conditions of the above corollary and we obtain  $T(3) = 3 = S(3)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arshad, A. Azam and P. Vetro, "Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces", Fixed Point Theory Appl., Article ID 493965, vol. 2009, 11 pp., 2009.
- [2] M. Abbas and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces", Appl. Math. Lett. vol. 22, pp.511-515, 2009.
- [3] A. Azam, I. Beg and M. Arshad, "Fixed Point in Topological Vector Space Valued Cone Metric Spaces", Fixed Point Theory and Appl., Article ID 604084, vol. 2010, 9pp. 2010.
- [4] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, "Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces", J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 341, pp.416-420, 2008.
- [5] A. Azam, M. Arshad and I. Beg, "Common fixed points of two maps in cone metric spaces", Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, vol. 57, pp. 433-441, 2008.
- [6] I. Beg, A. Azam, M. Arshad, "Common fixed points for maps on topological vector space valued cone metric spaces", Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Article ID 560264 vol. 2009, 8 pages, 2009.
- [7] V. Berinde, "Common fixed points of non commuting almost contractions in cone metric spaces", Math. Commun., vol.15, no 1, pp.229-241, (2010).
- [8] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 332, pp.1468-1476, 2007.
- [9] S. Janković, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, "On cone metric spaces: A survey", Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 74, pp. 2591-2601, 2011.
- [10] D. Klim, D. Wardowski, "Dynamic processes and fixed points of set-valued nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces", Nonlinear Analysis doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.04.001, 2009.
- [11] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, V. Rakočević, "A note on the equivalence of some metric and cone metric fixed point results", Appl. Math. Lett., vol.24, pp. 370-374, 2011.
- [12] M. Khani, M. Pourmahdian, "On the metrizable of cone metric spaces", Topology Appl., vol.158, no 2, pp. 190-193, 2011.
- [13] D. Ilic and V. Rakocevic, "Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space", J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 341, pp. 876-882, 2008.
- [14] S.Rezapour and R.Hamlibarani, "Some notes on paper Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings.", J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol., 345, pp.719-724, 2008.
- [15] S. Radenovic and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed point theorem for two non-self mappings in cone metric spaces", Comp.Math. Appl., vol.57, pp. 1701-1707, 2009.

- [16] S. Simić, “A note on Stone’s, Baire’s, Ky Fan’s and Dugundji’s theorem in tvs-cone metric spaces”, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol.24, pp. 999–1002, 2011.
- [17] D. Turkoglua, M. Abulohab, T. Abdeljawad, “KKM mappings in cone metric spaces and some fixed point theorems”, *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 72 ,pp.348–353, 2010.
- [18] S. Wanga and B Guo, “Distance in cone metric spaces and common fixed point theorems”, *Appl. Math. Lett.* vol.24, pp. 1735–1739, 2011.
- [19] Du Wei-Shih, “A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence”, *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol.72, pp.2259-2261, 2010.