

# Optimization of Process Parameters of Turning Operation of EN 24 Steel using Taguchi Design of Experiment Method

Rahul Davis, *Member, IAENG*, Vikrant Singh, Shaluza Priyanka

**Abstract**— Surface finish is one of the vital concerns during machining of various materials in the machining operations. Therefore it is very essential for controlling the required surface quality to have the choice of optimized cutting parameters. The present experimental study is concerned with the optimization of cutting parameters (depth of cut, feed rate, spindle speed) in wet turning of EN24 steel (0.4% C) with hardness 40±2 HRC. In the present work, turning operations were carried out on EN24 steel by carbide P-30 cutting tool in wet condition and the combination of the optimal levels of the parameters was obtained. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Signal-to-Noise ratio were used to study the performance characteristics in turning operation. The results of the analysis show that none of the factors was found to be significant. Taguchi method showed that feed rate followed by depth of cut and spindle speed was the combination of the optimal levels of factors while turning EN24 steel by carbide cutting tool. The results obtained by this research will be useful to other similar type of study and can be helpful for further research on tool vibrations, cutting forces etc.

**Index Terms**— ANOVA, SN Ratio, surface roughness, taguchi methodology

## I. INTRODUCTION

The machinability of the materials is determined by surface finish. Surface roughness is an important measure of product quality since it greatly influences the performance of mechanical parts as well as production cost. Optimization of machining parameters not only increases the utility for machining economics, but also the product quality increases to a great extent. EN24 is a high quality, high tensile, alloy steel and combines high tensile strength, shock. EN24 is most suitable for the manufacture of parts such as heavy-duty axles and shafts, gears, bolts and studs. EN24 is

This work was done as a self sponsored project in Sparko Engineering Workshop, Allahabad, India.

Rahul Davis is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shepherd School of Engineering & Technology, SHIATS, Allahabad, India.

Mobile No.: +91-9935828268  
e-mail: rahuldavis2012@gmail.com

Vikrant Singh is a Student of B.Tech Mechanical Engg. in Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shepherd School of Engineering & Technology, SHIATS, Allahabad, India.

Mobile No.:+91-8934978969  
e-mail: vikrantallahabad@gmail.com

Shaluza Priyanka Singh is a Student of B.Tech Mechanical Engg. in Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shepherd School of Engineering & Technology, SHIATS, Allahabad, India.

Mobile No.:+91-9718226462  
Shaluzapriyanka1990@gmail.com

capable of retaining good impact values at low temperatures<sup>2</sup>. Since Turning is the primary operation in most of the production process in the industry, surface finish of turned components has greater influence on the quality of the product<sup>3</sup>.

Surface finish in turning has been found to be influenced in varying amounts by a number of factors such as feed rate, work hardness, unstable built up edge, speed, depth of cut, cutting time, use of cutting fluids etc<sup>4</sup>. The three primary process parameters in any basic Turning operation are speed, feed, and depth of cut. Speed always refers to the spindle and the work piece. Feed is the rate at which the tool advances along its cutting path. Depth of cut is the thickness of the material that is removed by one pass of the cutting tool over the workpiece<sup>5</sup>.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present work, L9 Taguchi orthogonal design<sup>6</sup> was used in order to study the effect of three different parameters (Depth of cut, Feed & Spindle Speed) on the Surface Roughness of the turned specimens of EN24 using, the Turning Operations and measurements of surface roughness have been done 9 times on the workpieces. The turning of workpieces was done by Carbide cutting tool in wet cutting condition. For this purpose a coolant was used.

In proposed work, EN24 steel with carbon (0.4%), Nickel (1.5 %), Chromium (1 %) and Molybdenum (0.23 %) was selected for specimen material.

The values of the input process parameters for the Turning Operation are as under:

TABLE I  
DETAILS OF THE TURNING OPERATION

| Factors            | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Depth of Cut (mm)  | 0.5     | 1.0     | 1.5     |
| Feed Rate (mm/rev) | 1.21    | 1.81    | 3.63    |
| Spindle Speed      | 780     | 1560    | 2340    |

TABLE II  
RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTAL TRIAL RUNS

| Depth of Cut (mm) | Feed Rate (mm/rev) | Spindle Speed (rpm) | Surface Roughness ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) | S/N Ratio |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 0.5               | 3.63               | 780                 | 20.8                                | -26.36    |
| 0.5               | 1.81               | 1560                | 25.00                               | -27.95    |
| 0.5               | 1.21               | 2340                | 4.16                                | -12.38    |
| 1.0               | 3.63               | 1560                | 24.167                              | -27.66    |
| 1.0               | 1.81               | 2340                | 20.83                               | -26.37    |
| 1.0               | 1.21               | 780                 | 24.16                               | -27.66    |
| 1.5               | 3.63               | 2340                | 21.58                               | -26.68    |
| 1.5               | 1.81               | 780                 | 6.7                                 | -16.52    |
| 1.5               | 1.21               | 1560                | 14.16                               | -23.02    |

The workpieces were turned in accordance with the experimental design and surface roughness was measured around the part with the workpiece fixture and the measurements were taken across the lay, while the setup is a three-jaw chuck. The total length of the workpiece (250 mm) was divided into 6 parts and the surface roughness measurements were taken of each 41.6 mm around each workpiece.

TABLE III  
ANOVA TABLE FOR MEANS

| Parameter     | DF | SS     | MS    | F    | P     |
|---------------|----|--------|-------|------|-------|
| Depth of Cut  | 2  | 69.12  | 34.56 | 0.35 | 0.420 |
| Feed Rate     | 2  | 170.92 | 56.79 | 0.57 | 0.636 |
| Spindle Speed | 2  | 49.16  | 24.58 | 0.25 | 0.801 |
| Error         | 2  | 198.31 | 99.16 |      |       |
| Total         | 8  | 487.52 |       |      |       |

TABLE IV  
ANOVA TABLE FOR SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO

| Parameter     | DF | SS     | MS    | F    | P     |
|---------------|----|--------|-------|------|-------|
| Depth of Cut  | 2  | 14.84  | 7.42  | 0.15 | 0.869 |
| Feed Rate     | 2  | 109.95 | 36.59 | 0.75 | 0.573 |
| Spindle Speed | 2  | 29.56  | 14.78 | 0.30 | 0.769 |
| Error         | 2  | 98.19  | 49.10 |      |       |
| Total         | 8  | 252.5  |       |      |       |

In this experiment, the assignment of factors was carried out using MINITAB-15 Software. Using the L9 orthogonal array the trial runs have been the conducted on Lathe Machine for turning operations.

TABLE V  
ANOVA TABLE FOR MEANS

| Level           | Depth of Cut | Feed Rate | Spindle Speed |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| 1               | -22.32       | -25.69    | -23.51        |
| 2               | -27.23       | -18.95    | -26.21        |
| 3               | -22.07       | -26.90    | -21.81        |
| Delta (max-min) | 5.16         | 7.95      | 4.40          |
| Rank            | 2            | 1         | 3             |

The predicted value of S/N ratio using the optimal level of the design parameters can be calculated:

$$\eta_{opt} = \eta_m + \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} (\eta_i - \eta_m) \quad (1)$$

Where  $\eta_m$  is the total mean S/N ratio,  $\eta_i$  is the mean S/N ratio at optimum level and ' $\sigma$ ' is the number of main design parameter that effect quality characteristic.

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_p (\text{Surface Roughness}) \\ = -23.87 + [-18.95 - (-23.87)] + [-21.81 - (-23.87)] + [-22.07 - (-23.87)] = -15.09 \end{aligned}$$

### III. RESULTS

Comparing the F values of ANOVA Table IV of Surface Roughness with the suitable F values of the Factors ( $F_{0.05;2;2} = 19.0$ ) respectively shows that the all these factors are insignificant.




Fig. 1: Main Effects Plot for Means




Fig. 2: Main Effects Plot for S/ N ratios

Table No. V shows the results of Signal-to-Noise ratio for Surface Roughness.

From Table V, Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, optimal parameters for Surface Roughness were third level of Depth of Cut ( $A_3$ ), second level of Feed ( $B_2$ ) and third level of Spindle Speed ( $C_3$ ).

Therefore the combination of the factors is not found in any given trial in Table II gives the optimum result.

Three confirmation tests were conducted to validate the

result using the Combination of the optimal levels of the factors.

Table VI shows the result of the three confirmation tests.

TABLE VI  
RESULTS OF CONFIRMATION TESTS OF THE OPTIMAL LEVELS OF THE  
PARAMETERS

| Depth of Cut<br>(mm) | Feed<br>Rate<br>(mm/rev) | Spindle<br>Speed<br>(rpm) | Surface<br>Roughness<br>( $\mu\text{m}$ ) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1.5                  | 1.81                     | 2340                      | 20.22                                     |
| 1.5                  | 1.81                     | 2340                      | 20.17                                     |
| 1.5                  | 1.81                     | 2340                      | 20.06                                     |

The Continuous reduction in the value of the Surface roughness implies that the above trial run will always give the optimum result.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

- A parameter designs yielded the optimum condition of the controlled parameters, as well as a predictive equation was used. A confirmation tests was then performed which indicated that the selected parameters and predictive equation were accurate to within the limits of the measurement instrument.
- Therefore the above results can be recommended to get the lowest surface roughness for further studies.
- In this research work, the material used is EN24 with 0.4% carbon. The experimentation can also be done for other materials having more hardness to see the effect of parameters on Surface Roughness.
- In each case interactions of the different levels of the factors can also be included and study can be extended.
- The research can be extended by using tool nose radius etc. as factors.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly I would like to thank and glorify **Lord JESUS CHRIST** who is before everything. My special thanks to our Honourable Vice chancellor **Prof. (Dr). R. B. Lal** for providing me with an elite academic platform. I am deeply obliged to Er. **James Peter** (Associate Professor & Head, Dept. of Mechanical Engg., SHIATS) for his timely sustenance favours and encouraging words for the research. I express my sincere gratitude to Mr. **Vikrant Singh** and Ms. **Shaluza Priyanka** for their valuable and constant work with me. I am deeply indebted to my father **Mr. Peter Lal** and mother **Mrs. Shashi Lata Lal** and my siblings for their constant Prayer support and inspirational encouragements

#### References

- [1] Applications and Properties of EN 24 Steel  
Website: <http://www.westyorksteel.com/en24.html>
- [2] Chemical and Mechanical Properties of EN 24 Steel  
Website: [www.kvsteel.co.uk/steel/EN24T.html](http://www.kvsteel.co.uk/steel/EN24T.html)
- [3] Diwakar Reddy.V, Krishnaiah.G. et al2, "ANN Based Prediction of Surface Roughness in Turning", presented in 2011 at International Conference on Trends in Mechanical and Industrial Engineering (ICTMIE'2011) Bangkok.
- [4] Mahapatra, S.S. et al, "Parametric Analysis and Optimization of

- Cutting Parameters for Turning Operations based on Taguchi Method,"Proceedings of the International Conference on Global Manufacturing and Innovation-July 27-29, 2006.
- [5] Raghuwanshi, B. S., "A course in Workshop Technology Vol.II (Machine Tools)", Dhanpat Rai & Company Pvt. Ltd., 2009
- [6] Ross, Philip J., "Taguchi Techniques for Quality Engineering", 2005, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd.
- [7] Suhail, Adeel H. et al, "Optimization of Cutting Parameters Based on Surface Roughness and Assistance of Workpiece Surface Temperature in Turning Process", American J. of Engineering and Applied Sciences 3 (1): 102-108, 2010
- [8] Van Luttervelt, C. A. et al, "Present situation and future trends in modelling of machining operations", CIRP Ann, 1998
- [9] Selvaraj, D. Philip et al, "Optimization of surface roughness of aisi 304 austenitic stainless steel in dry turning operation using Taguchi design method Journal of Engineering Science and Technology", Vol. 5, no. 3 293 – 301, © school of engineering, Taylor's university college, 2010
- [10] Singh, Hari, "Optimizing Tool Life of Carbide Inserts for Turned Parts using Taguchi's design of Experiments Approach", Proceedings of the International Multi Conference of Engineers and Computer Scientists, Vol II IMECS 2008, 19-21 March, Hong Kong.
- [11] Hasegawa. M, et al, "Surface roughness model for turning", Tribology International December, pp 285-289, 1976
- [12] Kandananond, Karin, "Characterization of FDB Sleeve Surface Roughness Using the Taguchi Approach", European Journal of Scientific Research ISSN 1450-216X Vol.33 No.2 , pp.330-337 © EuroJournals Publishing, Inc., 2009
- [13] Phadke, Madhav. S., "Quality Engineering using Robust Design", Prentice Hall, Eaglewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1989
- [14] Thamizhmanii, S., et al, "Analyses of surface roughness by turning process using Taguchi method", journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering, 2006.