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Abstract—In this paper, we analyze a linear time invariant (LTI) descriptor system of large dimension. Since these systems are difficult to simulate, compute and store, we attempt to reduce this large system using Low Rank Cholesky Factorized Alternating Directions Implicit (LRCF-ADI) iteration followed by Square Root Balanced Truncation. LRCF-ADI solves the dual Lyapunov equations of the large system and gives low-rank Cholesky factors of the gramians as the solution. Using these cholesky factors, we compute the Hankel singular values via singular value decomposition. Later, implementing square root balanced truncation, the reduced system is obtained. The bode plots of original and lower order systems are used to show that the magnitude and phase responses are same for both the systems.

Keywords—LTI descriptor system, suboptimal shift parameter, Lyapunov equation, low rank Cholesky factor alternating direction implicit (LRCF-ADI), square root balanced truncation.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the advancement of technology, our lives are getting simpler but systems around us are getting compact and complex. Often, these complex systems, such as multi body dynamics with constrains, electrical circuit simulation, fluid flow dynamics, VLSI chip design are modeled as descriptor systems or generalized state space systems of very large dimension. Even though, the computational speed and performance of the contemporary computers are enhanced, simulation and optimization of such large-scale systems still remain difficult due to storage limitations and expensive computations [1]. In order to eliminate this problem, reduction of such large systems is mandatory. Large systems are generally comprised of sparse matrices [2]. The system was reduced after implementing several numerical methods namely Arnoldi iteration for calculating the Ritz values, ADI min-max problem to get the shift parameters heuristically, then low-rank Cholesky factor alternating directions implicit iteration for low rank approximations of the cholesky factors of the controllability and observability Gramians of the system. Finally, by using the Hankel Singular Values, calculated from singular value decomposition of the product of the approximated cholesky factors, were able to discard the states of the system that are both difficult to observe and control. Only the Hankel Singular Values of large magnitude determine the dimension of the reduced system, since these values describe the dominant dynamics of the large system. Thus using projection matrices, the original system matrices are transformed into reduced dimension, same as the quantity of the Hankel Singular Values retained. However, the major difficulty while conducting this research was in calculating the optimal shift parameters.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Our system of concern is a continuous Linear Time Invariant (LTI) system of Descriptor form and can be represented as follows:

\[
E \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),
\]

\[
y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t).
\]

Where, \(E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}.\)

Here, \(E\) is sparse, non-singular (i.e. invertible) and is a symmetric positive definite matrix, like most other large systems, indicating that all the variables are state variables and cannot be neglected [3]. If \(E = I\), then the LTI continuous system is a standard state space system, else, it is a descriptor or generalized state space system. \(A\) is a complex, sparse Hurwitz matrix (i.e. all the real parts of the eigenvalues of \(A\) are negative). Both \(A\) and \(E\) are triagonal for this system. \(B\) is the \(m\)-dimensional input vector, \(C\) is the \(p\)-dimensional output vector, As this is not a closed loop system, so \(D\) is null, \(x(t)\) is the \(n\)-dimensional descriptor/state vector of the system and \(u(t)\) and \(y(t)\) are the system input and output respectively. This is a single-input-single-output (SISO) system so, \(m = p = 1\). As, \(E, A, B, C\) are constant matrices and independent of time, hence this system is linear and time invariant. As rank \([\alpha E - \beta A, B] = \text{rank} [\alpha E^T - \beta A^T, C^T] = n\), for \((\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0,0\}\) for this system, hence it is completely controllable and observable [1] and is an important criterion for executing balanced truncation [4]. For generalized state space models like this, the eigenvalues of the matrix pair \((E, A)\), i.e. pencil \((AE - A)\), determines the stability of the
system. This pencil must be regular, i.e. \( \det(\lambda E - A) \neq 0 \) and all the eigenvalues must lie on the left half plane to ensure that the LRCF-ADI iteration converges.

After undergoing the model order reduction process, this descriptor system will be approximated by a reduced-order system as:

\[
E_r \dot{x}_r(t) = A_r x_r(t) + B_r u(t), \quad y = \tilde{C}_r x(t).
\]  
\tag{2}

Here, \( E_r \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}, A_r \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}, B_r \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times m}, C_r \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times l} \) and \( l \ll n \). These are the system matrices of the reduced system where \( l \) is the dimension of the reduced system.

### III. PRELIMINARIES

#### A. Block Arnoldi Iteration

As mentioned in [5], Arnoldi method creates an orthogonal basis of a Krylov subspace represented by the orthonormal matrix, \( V = (v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \) such that \( V^* V = I \), where \( V^* \) is the conjugate transpose of \( V \). Here \( \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_k) = K_k(F, b) \) means \( \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_k) = \text{span}(b, Fb, F^2b, \ldots, F^{k-1}b) \)

Where, \( F = E^{-1}A \), and \( b \) is a random initial vector, required to start the Arnoldi iteration. The generalized eigenvalues can be approximated from the eigenvalues of the Hessenberg matrix \( H \) (i.e. Ritz values) provided that the same eigenvector is present in the subspace, where \( H = V^* F V \) and \( H \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \) and is generated as a by-product of the Arnoldi iteration. The algorithm of the Arnoldi Iteration up to \( k \)-th step is depicted in [6]. Solving the equations (3) and (4) at each step, is the main job till the \( k \)-th step is reached.

\[
FV = VH + f e_k, \quad H = V^* F V, \quad V^* TV = I_k, \quad V^* f = 0.
\]  
\tag{3}

\[
H = V^* F V \quad \text{and} \quad f \quad \text{is the residual at each iteration and} \quad e_k \quad \text{is the last column of} \quad I_k. \quad \text{Arnoldi algorithm generates a factorization of the form} \quad FV_k = V_k H_k. \quad \text{Initially,} \quad V_1 \quad \text{is set as the unit vector of the initial vector,} \quad b. \quad \text{Then it computes} \quad H_1 = V_1^* F V_1, \quad \text{followed by the residual} \quad f_1 = F V_1 - V_1 H_1 \quad \text{which in turn is orthogonal to} \quad V_1. \quad \text{Thus} \quad V_2 = [V_1, f_1]. \quad \text{Then again} \quad H_2 = V_2^* F V_2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = F V_2 - V_2 H_2, \quad \text{resulting in} \quad R_2 \approx 0, \quad \text{sequentially,} \quad V_3, \quad H_3, \quad R_3 \quad \text{are calculated and so are the respective matrices until the last Arnoldi iteration step is reached to give} \quad V_k \quad \text{and} \quad H_k.

We will execute the Arnoldi iteration one more time, using \( F^{-1} \) instead of \( F \), this process is referred to as the inverse Arnoldi iteration. From each of the Hessenberg matrices, which are always upper triangular matrices, obtained from the iterations, would help us in better approximation of the large as well as the small generalized eigenvalues of the system. In other words, smaller and the largest eigenvalues of the pencil are approximated from the eigenvalues of each of the Hessenberg matrices. The process of how the eigenvalues of the system is approximated is mentioned elaborately in [5]. At the end, we get the Ritz values that are pretty good approximation of the significant eigenvalues (i.e. smallest and largest ones).

#### B. Low-Rank Cholesky Factor ADI

The system is a model of continuous generalized Lyapunov equation that helps to determine the asymptotic stability of the system [8]. The generalized Lyapunov equation is shown in (4).

\[
AXE^T + EXA^T = -GG^T.
\]  
\tag{4}

We consider the solutions of the dual Lyapunov equations in (5)

\[
APE^T + EPA^T = -BB^T, \quad A^T QE + E^T QA = -C^T C.
\]  
\tag{5}

The solutions of the equations in (5) are matrices \( P \), the controllability Gramian, and \( Q \), the observability Gramian [9]. There are several methods for solving Lyapunov equations, namely Bartel-Stewart method, alternating direction implicit (ADI) method, Smith method, Krylov subspace method [10]. But, LRCF-ADI gives the low-rank approximations \( \hat{P}, \hat{Q} \) instead of the full rank solutions from the methods mentioned earlier, especially when the right hand side of the equation has low rank. This is a major improvement suggested in [11] and is a great advantage for storage space and computational speed.

LRCF-ADI gives low rank approximated Cholesky factors \( \hat{Z}_C \) and \( \hat{Z}_O \) of the controllability and observability gramians respectively, such that

\[
\hat{P} = \hat{Z}_C \hat{Z}_C^T, \quad \hat{Q} = \hat{Z}_O \hat{Z}_O^T.
\]  
\tag{7}

Initial task is to compute optimal shift parameters, \( \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_j\} \), LRCF-ADI only converges if the shift parameters are negative, retrieved if the eigenvalues of the pencil \( \lambda E - A \) are negative. These shift parameters are the solution of rational discrete min-max problem as depicted in [2].

\[
\min \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \prod_{j=1}^l \left( \frac{p_j - x}{p_j + x} \right)
\]  
\tag{8}

In (8), \( x \) represents the set of ritz values obtained from the Arnoldi iteration. Though, they should be a set of real values, in this case these are complex. The process (8) decides whether the parameters selected are optimal, suboptimal or near-optimal. If the eigenvalues of the pencil \( \lambda E - A \) are strictly real and is bounded, then it is possible to retrieve optimal parameters. As the Ritz values are complex, so the parameters are sub-optimal.

Once the parameter selection is complete, then the ADI iteration is carried out, that will give \( \hat{Z}_C \) and \( \hat{Z}_O \). ADI solves the following iterations using \( (5), (6) \)

\[
(A + p_i E)X_{j-1}^+ = -GG^T - X_{j-1}^+(A^T - p_i E), \\
\]  
\tag{9}

These two steps are required to ensure the symmetry of the solution, \( X_j \), where \( j \) is the number of iteration steps. Combining these two steps in (9), by substituting the first
iteration of $X_j$ in the second one for $X_i$, we get one-step iteration

$$X_j = -2p_j(A + p_iE)^{-1}G\tilde{G}^T(A + p_iE)^{-T} + (A + p_iE)^{-1}$$

(10)

$$(A - p_iE)X_{j-1} + (A - p_iE)^T(A + p_iE)^{-T}.$$ 

Now, writing $X_j$ as the product of Cholesky factors, it turns out to be $X_j = Z_jZ_j^T$, now relating this factorization to the one-step iteration above

$$Z_j = \sqrt{-2p_j}((A + p_iE)^{-1}G) \cdot (A + p_iE)^{-1}(A - p_iE)\tilde{Z}_{j-1}. $$

Where the result of very first iteration

$$Z_1 = \sqrt{-2p_1}((A + p_1E)^{-1}G).$$

At every iteration step, a new column is added to the Cholesky factors in the following process i.e.

$$Z_j = \left[ Z_{j-1}, p_{j-1}Z_j, p_{j-2}(p_{j-1}Z_j), \ldots, p_1(p_2 \ldots p_{j-1}Z_j) \right],$$

Where

$$P_i = \frac{\sqrt{-2Re(p_i)}}{\sqrt{-2Re(p_{i+1})}}(A + p_iE)^{-1}(A - p_{i-1}E)$$

$P_i$ is the iteration step operator and the product $Z_jZ_j^T$ is calculated to get $X_j$, which after substitution in the equation (4), the residual norm is checked after each iteration step.

$$\left|\left|AX_jE^T + EX_jA^T + GG^T\right|\right| < \varepsilon.$$  

Until, a desired residual tolerance, $\varepsilon$, is overcome, the steps 3 to 5 of the algorithm in [12] are repeated.

$$\tilde{P} = Z_j^C\tilde{P}_C^T,$$ (11)

$$\tilde{Q} = Z_j^C\tilde{Q}_C^T.$$ (12)

LRCF-ADI solves (5) and (6) to give $\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}$ in (11) and (12). Which are the low-rank approximations to the Controllability and Observability Gramians. Column compression computes a compressed form of the Cholesky factor using rank revealing QR decomposition [12]. Column compression process is required in case of failure to compute the optimal shift parameters resulting in slow convergence with many iteration steps. This will gradually increase the dimension of the subspace spanned by the columns of the low-rank Cholesky factors. As a new column is added in every iteration step to the Cholesky factor $Z_j$. These new columns occupy the memory and increases the computational cost of the iteration. It is therefore required to keep the factors as small in rank as possible.

### C. Balanced Truncation

Balanced Truncation is an important projection method which delivers high quality reduced models by choosing the projection subspaces based on the Controllability and Observability of a control system [13], by truncating low energy states of the system corresponding to the small Hankel singular values. [14]

Among couple of methods, Square Root Balanced Truncation (SRBT) is used here for truncating the system. SRBT algorithms often provide more accurate reduced-order models in the presence of rounding errors [15]. This method needs the Hankel singular values, and low-rank Chebyshev factors in (11) and (12), obtained from LR CF-ADI iteration. These are considered as a measure of energy for each state in the system. They are the basis of balanced model reduction, in which low energy states are identified from the high energy states and are truncated.

Hankel singular values are calculated as the square roots of the eigenvalues for the product of the low-rank controllability and the observability Gramians. These Hankel singular values $\sigma_i$, are the diagonal entries of the Hankel matrix $\Sigma$.

$$\sigma_i(\Sigma) = \sqrt{\lambda_i(P\tilde{Q})},$$

The Hankel singular values calculated from singular value decomposition of the product of the low-rank Chebyshev factors and the matrix $E$ shown in (13), truncates the states of the large system that are both difficult to observe and control. This factorization is known as full singular value decomposition [14].

$$U\Sigma V^T = svd(Z_j^CE^TZ_j^C)$$ (13)

Where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a unitary matrix, and $UU^T = VV^T = I$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a diagonal matrix with positive real numbers, and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is another unitary matrix. $V^T$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $V$. This factorization is called a singular value decomposition and the diagonal entries of $\Sigma$ are known as the singular values.

A tolerance level is necessary so that the Hankel singular values less than that in magnitude will be truncated. Now, for instance if the number of Hankel singular values above the tolerance level is $q$, then the first $q$ columns from $U$ and $V$ will be retained and can be denoted as $U_C$ and $V_C$ respectively ($U_C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times q}$ and $V_C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$). After truncating the lower Hankel values and retaining the first $q$ values from the diagonal singular matrix, $\Sigma$ denoting the reduced matrix as $\Sigma_C$, where $$\Sigma_C = diag(\sigma_1, \ldots, \ldots, \sigma_q, \ldots, \ldots, \sigma_n)$$ $e \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ then we can write this SVD as thin SVD or economic SVD [14], [16].

The square root balanced truncation is successful if the error between the transfer function of both the systems is less than twice the sum of the truncated Hankel values $\sigma_{q+1}, \ldots, \ldots, \sigma_n$ and $q < n$ [14].

$$\left\|H(s) - \tilde{H}(s)\right\|_{H_{\infty}} \leq 2(\sigma_{q+1} + \cdots + \sigma_n).$$

These reduced Hankel singular values are used to get the non-singular transformation/projection matrices $T_L$ and $T_R$ such that

$$T_L = Z_0U_C\Sigma_C^{-1/2}$$ and $T_R = Z_CV_C\Sigma_C^{-1/2}.$

Using these projection matrices (i.e. transformation matrices), the original system matrices are transformed into reduced order as shown in (14)
\[ E_r = T_e^T E T_r, \]
\[ A_r = T_e^T A T_r, \]
\[ B_r = T_e^T B, \]
\[ C_r = C T_r. \]  
\[ (14) \]

After undergoing the model order reduction process, this descriptor system will be approximated by a reduced-order system as

\[ E_r \dot{x}_r(t) = A_r x_r(t) + B_r u(t), \]
\[ y(t) = C_r x(t). \]  
\[ (15) \]

IV. NUMERICAL RESULT

To compute these Hessenberg matrices \( H \), we used the Block Arnoldi method and inverse Arnoldi method. Here the Arnoldi process returns larger eigenvalues and Inverse Arnoldi process returns the smaller eigenvalues of the Hessenberg matrices, \( H \), for the respective cases via QR algorithm.

Numbers of steps for Arnoldi process and inverse Arnoldi processes are determined as \( k_p=35 \) and \( k_m=35 \) respectively. Desired number of shift parameters for LR-CF-ADI are selected to be, \( l_p=25 \). The values selected are relatively high due to the complexity and large dimensionality of the system [11].

Using the Heuristic Algorithm, ADI min max rational problem computes the shift parameters heuristically from the Ritz values. These were sub-optimal due to the Ritz problem computes the shift parameters heuristically from relatively high due to the complexity and large ADI are selected to be , \( l_p=25 \). Desired number of shift parameters for LRCF-Arnoldi process es are determined as

The columns of the Cholesky factors of the controllability and observability gramians are 22 and 21 respectively; this is because the LR-CF-ADI iteration converged to a residual norm of \( 10^{-5} \) by 21 iteration steps. In addition, column compression frequency of 20 was used along with the column compression tolerance of \( 10^{-5} \).

Later, using these cholesky factors, we computed Hankel singular values by singular value decomposition method.

\[ U \Sigma \Psi^T = \text{svd}(Z_C^r E Z_C). \]  
\[ (17) \]

Here \( U \in \mathbb{R}^{21 \times 21}, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{21 \times 22}, \Psi \in \mathbb{R}^{22 \times 22}. \)

As shown in Fig. 2, a truncation tolerance of \( 10^{-1} \) is used, considering that the Hankel values above 0.1 are dominating and represent the high energy states. Thus the most controllable and most observable Hankel singular values of the high energy states were eight among twenty five of them, which were retained such that \( U_C \in \mathbb{R}^{21 \times 8}, \Sigma_C \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 8}, V_C \in \mathbb{R}^{22 \times 8}. \)

![Fig. 2: Original and reduced hankel singular values with a tolerance of 10^-1 indicated by the green line](image)

Then we computed the transformation matrices \( T_L \) and \( T_R \) using the dominating Hankel singular values. We got \( T_L \in \mathbb{C}^{645 \times 8} \) and \( T_R \in \mathbb{C}^{645 \times 8}. \)

After that, we used these transformation matrices to get our reduced system \((E_r, A_r, B_r, C_r)\) where \( E_r = I, A_r \in \mathbb{C}^{8 \times 8}, B_r \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 1}, C_r \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 8}. \)

V. DISCUSSION

Even though, we faced some hurdles in obtaining the optimal parameters from the ADI min-max rational discrete problem, the LR-CF-ADI iteration converged well, up to a residual of \( 10^{-5} \); we used a column compression frequency of 20 and a column compression tolerance level of \( 10^{-5} \). Taking higher column compression frequency would result in higher computational cost but would yield a very good approximation of the Cholesky factors of the system Gramians, while taking it larger, results in wrong approximation of the factors which in turn affects heavily on
the reduced model approximation. Thus 20, was chosen as the column compression frequency as a trade-off between the two ends. Moreover, the column compression frequency should not exceed the number of columns of the Cholesky factors. In that case, column compression would not be performed at all. Thus it is absolutely necessary to consider the column compression to be lower than 21.

Then by substitution, we get
\[ H(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = C(sE - A)^{-1}B, \]
where \( H(s) \) is the transfer function of the original system. Likewise, the transfer function derived from the reduced model is \( \tilde{H}(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = C_r(sE_r - A_r)^{-1}B_r. \)

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Fig. 3 shows clearly that, the stability of the original system is preserved by the reduced one i.e. the eigenvalues of both the systems lie on the open left half plane.

Fig. 4 clearly illustrates that both the original and reduced system of dimension 8, have identical magnitude and phase responses for a wide range of frequencies.

When we rewrite the system descriptor model in terms of frequency domain via Laplace Transformation; we get:
\[ sEx(s) = Ax(s) + BU(s), \]
\[ Y(s) = Cx(s) + DU(s). \]
Large scale descriptor systems can often be reduced significantly. We presented in this paper that several model order reduction techniques can be employed to attain a very low order system with very accurate responses. All the numerical methods mentioned in this paper are implemented via MATLAB. In our case, we could successfully approximate a large system of a dimension of 645 to a reduced-dimensional system of dimension 8. We actually obtained several reduced approximations of the large system. The reduced system approximations of dimensions 3 and 5 yielded mismatch or deviation for the low frequency response. Only the 8-dimensional reduced system could perfectly produce a response most identical to the large system for all the frequency range. A key step in model reduction is the solution of two Lyapunov functions of a large system which is computationally intensive and difficult to store in memory. We presented low rank cholesky factorization ADI (LRCF-ADI) method which suppresses the computational load and memory storage requirement. However, this method required the selection of a set of suboptimal shift parameters, which are pretty hectic being the fact that these are chosen heuristically. The properties of the original system such as sparsity, symmetry, regularity, passivity, and stability are preserved in the reduced model as well.
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