Aerodynamic Analysis of Blended Winglet for Low Speed Aircraft

Pooja Pragati, Sudarsan Baskar

Abstract—This paper provides a practical design of a new concept of massive Induced Drag reduction of blended winglets. The designed winglet provides an optimum reduction in drag created by the trailing vortices at the tip of the aircraft wing. To satisfy the no slip boundary condition a velocity gradient was formed in the cross stream wise direction resulting in a virtual shape and dimension for the winglet to yield a huge amount of reduction in fuel consumption. Owing to its simplicity of application and effectiveness we believe that it will enable us to consider this enhanced version for the grid effect of the blended winglet on the deflected mass flow of the wing system. The objective of the analysis was to compare the aerodynamic characteristics of single, two and three winglet configuration and to investigate the performance of the winglets.

Index Terms—Blended Winglet, Induced drag reduction, Outboard wing, Staggered winglet.

I. INTRODUCTION

D_{RAG} is generated by the interaction and contact of a solid body with a fluid [1]. The aim of the project is primarily to reduce drag in an airplane or a car that will lead to more velocity and fuel efficiency. This is done primarily to reduce the Induced drag created due to high pressure underneath the wing that causes the airflow at the tips of the wings to curl around from bottom to top in a circular motion, resulting in a trailing vortex which in turn increases the angle of attack that results in an increase in induced drag [2]. An Ideal way to decrease the lift-induced drag is to increase the aspect ratio of the wing, but it is not a great possibility to do the same [3]. An alternative being to develop wing tip devices acting on the vortex, which is the origin of the lift, induced drag. An effective Drag reduction technique involves modification of the wing structure and the angle of attack [4]. Passive techniques include wingtip turbine, wing tip sails, wing grid, winglets etc. Analysis has shown that the passive control technique reduces the viscous flow. Research has indicated that winglets could reduce induced drag [5]. The airfoil was placed in a subsonic wind tunnel with pressure taps along its surface and a pitot probe downstream to measure the flow characteristics. The wind tunnel was operated at a nominal 17 m/s during the coefficient measurements, a Reynold's number of about 232,940 and was analyzed at 0, 5, 10 and 15 degree angles of attack and drag was reduced by twenty percent .In short the reduction of induced drag with an improved C_L without

increasing the span is achievable using the technique of blended winglet at low angle of attack.

II. METHODOLOGY

In an existing model for the reduction of induced drag with single winglet results in minimal drag to lift ratio. The blended winglet reduces the induced drag without increasing the span of aircraft [6]. The blended winglet is expected to be more efficient than the conventional one to reduce the flow acceleration that occurs in the cross-flow curvature and to decrease the vortex intensity as important chord variation is avoided. The usage of blended winglet for the reduction of induced drag without increasing the span of aircraft wings was tested in a subsonic wind tunnel using a rectangular, untwisted wing built from NACA 0015 airfoil with a 15% thickness to chord ratio constituted of three winglets, at flow speed 20m/s and placing the wing at angle of attack ranging from -5 to +15 deg. Reynolds numbers from 161,000 to 300,000 range were tested. Wind tunnel balances provided lift and drag measurements, and laser flow visualization obtained wingtip vortex information. And also the wing with no winglet (bare wing) and with single winglet was also tested in the same condition as in the case of blended winglets. The results show that blended winglet system reduced induced drag by 27.9% and improved C_L by 26.5% compared to bare wing. Dihedral spread of the winglets improves lift by taking some of the winglets away from the wing plane, and redistributing the tip vortex into multiple vortices that do not merge in the near wake, thereby reducing the effective downwash at the wing plane. Combining the force measurement results with the flow visualization we observe that negative incidence and geometrically twisted winglets improves L/D by reorienting the winglet lift vector forward and thus canceling part of the drag.

A. Lift, Drag and moment of a NACA 0015Airfoil

To measure the flow characteristics the wind tunnel was operated at a nominal 17 m/s during the coefficient measurements, a Reynold's number of about 232,940 and was analyzed at 0, 5, 10 and 15-degree angles of attack. The phenomenon known as hysteresis with regards to stall conditions was also observed by varying the angle of attack and wind tunnel velocity. The observation indicates that as a result of the control, higher momentum was drawn towards the wall, which was responsible for delaying separation.

Manuscript received April 03, 2015; revised April 16, 2015

Pooja Pragati is a student with the SRM University, Chennai-603 203 (Phone: +91-7639125999; e-mail: oxford_pooja@yahoo.com).

Sudarsan Baskar is a student with the SRM University, Chennai-603 203 (Phone: +91-8754561964; e-mail: sudarsanbaskar93@gmail.com).

B. Induced drag Reduction using Blended Winglet

The drag reduction provided by blended winglets improves fuel efficiency and thereby reduces emissions. The paper shows, how taking into account grid effect of blended winglets on the deflected mass flow of a wing system within linear models exhibit much smaller induced drag. The blended winglet is expected to be more efficient than a narrow one to reduce the flow acceleration that occurs in the cross-flow curvature and to decrease the vortex intensity as important chord variation is avoided [7]. The results for the Split-Wing configuration tested in parallel experimentally were obtained by calculating the true force free vortex sheets leaving the wing, including partial rollup, in the near field a few chord lengths downstream using iterative wake relaxation. Induced drag was obtained using the classic Trefftz plane. Interpreting the fanned winglets as a true grid, the additional deflected mass flow delta using the Betz grid deflection coefficient κ with the grids t/c is calculated. The blended winglet provides a transition region between the outboard wing, which is typically designed for a plain tip, and the winglet. Without this transition region, the outer wing would require aerodynamic redesign to allow for the interference between the wing and winglet surfaces [8].

C. Aerodynamic Analysis

A CFD 3-dimensional winglet analysis that was performed on a rectangular wing of NACA653218 cross sectional airfoil is shown. The wing is of 660 mm span and 121 mm chord and was analyzed for two shape configurations, semicircle and elliptical. The objectives were to compare the aerodynamic characteristics of the twowinglet configurations and to investigate the performance of the two winglets shape simulated at selected angles of 0, 45 and 60 degrees. The computational simulation was carried out by FLUENT solver using finite volume approach. The simulation was done at low subsonic flow and at various angles of attack using Spalart-Allmaras couple implicit solver. A comparison of aerodynamics characteristics of lift coefficient C_L, drag coefficient C_D and lift to drag ratio L/D was made and it was found that the addition of the elliptical and semi circular winglet gave a larger lift curve slope and higher lift-to-drag ratio in comparison to the baseline wing alone. Elliptical winglet with an angle of 45 degree was the best overall design giving about 8 percent increase in lift curve slope and the best lift-to-drag ratio.

III. DESIGN

Research based on the materials of winglet manufacturing led us to the use of Medium density fiber board (MDF) for its cost efficiency on comparison with other materials and the ease of fabrication.



Fig 1: NACA0015 wing

Table I: Parameters of Bare Wing

Parameter	Value
Wing Span	400mm
Wing Chord	200mm
Aspect Ratio	2



Fig 2: NACA0015 wing with blended winglet

Table II: Parameters of blended wing

Parameter	Value
Winglet Chord	60 mm
Winglet Span	100 mm



Fig3: NACA0015 wing with multi winglets

Table III: Parameters of multi winglet

Parameter	Value
First winglet	-75°
deflection	
Second winglet	0°
deflection	
Third winglet	75°
deflection	
Winglet chord	40 mm
Winglet span	100 mm

IV. OBSERVATION



Fig 4: Contours of wing without winglet



Fig 5: Contours of wing with blended winglet



Fig 6: Contours of wing with blended winglet

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Experimental and Theoretical value of wing without winglet

Table IV: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 0°

Velocity (m/s)	α =0°	Theoretical Value	Experimental Value
	Cl	0.000205	0.00048
15m/s	Cd	0.00425	0.004
	Cdi	9.5554*10 ⁻⁹	5.23*10-8
	Cl	0.0023	0.000205
20m/s	Cd	0.004	0.0065
	Cdi	1.2028*10-8	9.539*10 ⁻⁹

Table V: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 5°

Velocity	α	Theoretical	Experimental
(m/s)	=5°	Value	Value
	Cl	0.00704	0.00105
15m/s	Cd	0.004603	0.00325
	Cdi	1.1269*10-5	2.5*10-7
	Cl	0.00703	0.00816
20m/s	Cd	0.00497	0.00586
	Cdi	1.1237*10-5	1.511*10-5

Table VI: Comparison	of	experimental	and	theoretical
value at 10°				

Velocity (m/s)	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ = 10^{\circ} \end{array}$	Theoretical value	Experimental Value
(/-)	Cl	0.01288	0.0095
15	Cd	0.0044	0.0025
m/s Cdi	Cdi	3.77204*10 ⁻ 5	2.048*10-51
	Cl	0.01324	1.0154
20m/s	Cd	0.00478	0.0054
	Cdi	3.9858*10 ⁻⁵	5.383*10-5

B. Experimental and Theoretical value of wing with Blended winglet

Table	VII:	Comparison	of	experimental	and	theoretical
value a	t 0°					

Velocity	α	Theoretical	Experimental
(m/s)	=0°	Value	Value
	Cl	0.0001264	0.00126
15m/s	Cd	0.004676	0.00468
	Cdi	3.6327*10-7	3.603*10-7
20m/s	Cl	0.00081	0.0095
	Cd	0.00502	0.00311
	Cdi	1.498*10-7	2.0048*10-5

Table VIII: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 5°

incoretieur	vuiue	ut 5	
Velocity (m/s)	α =5°	Theoretical Value	Experimental Value
	Cl	0.00889	0.0089
15 m/s	Cd	0.00414	0.0041
	Cdi	1.797*10-5	1.789*10-5
	Cl	0.0086	0.0091
20m/s	Cd	0.00447	0.0057
	Cdi	1.6816*10-5	1.879*10-5

Table IX: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 10°

Velocity	α	Theoretical	Experimental
(m/s)	=10°	Value	Value
	Cl	0.0176	0.00176
15 m/s	Cd	0.00366	0.00366
	Cdi	7.0432*10-5	7.031*10-5
	Cl	0.0173	0.0165
20 m/s	Cd	0.004117	0.00542
	Cdi	6.7938*10-5	6.18*10-5

C. Experimental and Theoretical value of wing with Multi winglet

Table X: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 0°

Velocity	α	Theoretical	Experimental
(m/s)	=0°	Value	Value
	Cl	-0.002	-0.00154
15m/s	Cd	-0.0038	-0.00754
	Cdi	8.63*10-7	5.383*10 ⁻⁷
	Cl	-0.0024	-0.0095
20m/s	Cd	-0.0048	-0.00125
	Cdi	1.31*10-6	2.048*10-5

Table XI: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 5°

Velocity	α	Theoretical	Experimental
(m/s)	=5°	Value	Value
	Cl	0.0065	0.0063
15m/s	Cd	0.0089	0.00956
	Cdi	9.59*10 ⁻⁶	9.009*10 ⁻⁶
	Cl	0.0063	0.0054
20m/s	Cd	0.0098	0.0084
	Cdi	9.03*10-6	6.61*10-6

Table XII: Comparison of experimental and theoretical value at 10°

Velocity	α	Theoretical	Experimental
(m/s)	=10°	Value	Value
	Cl	0.0094	0.0094
15 m/s	Cd	0.0078	0.0087
	Cdi	2.005*10-5	2.005*10-5
	Cl	0.0098	0.00124
20m/s	Cd	0.0096	0.0091
	Cdi	2.18*10-5	3.49*10-7



Fig 7: Graphical comparison (theoretical) of wings L/D Vs α at 15m/s



Fig 8: Graphical comparison (theoretical) of wings L/D Vs α at 20m/s



Fig 9: Graphical comparison of wings L/D_I Vs α at 15m/s



Fig 10: Graphical comparison of wings L/D_{I} Vs α at 20m/s



Fig 11: Graphical comparison (experimental) of wings L/D Vs α at 20m/s



Fig 12: Graphical comparison (experimental) of wings $L/D Vs \alpha$ at 15m/s

VI. COMPARATIVE DATA

Table XII: Comparison of theoretical an	nd Experimental
values	

At 15 m/s

L/DI						
	0°		5°		10°	
	Theoritical	Experimental	Theoritical	Experimental	Theoritical	Experimental
WITHOUT						
WIGLET	21456.98	19767.67	677.7	534.45	468	358
BLENDED						
WINGLET	3469.17	3497.08	495.26	411.35	249.8	153.25
MULTI						
WINGLET	2259.56	2860.73	677.78	699.3	935	728.25

Table XIII: Comparison of theoretical and Experimental values

At 20 m/s L/Di

551						
	0°		5°		10°	
	Theoritical	Experimental	Theoritical	Experimental	Theoritical	Experimental
WITHOUT WIGLET	19153.4	21490.72	626	540.04	311.17	286.085
BLENDED WINGLET	5807.74	5344.98	511.4	484.323	254	245.65
MULTI WINGLET	1832.6	1423.6	6998	687	449	374.2

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work, theoretical and experimental calculations were done and the performance parameters were investigated to obtain the following results. Blended winglet configuration reduced the wing-induced drag and improved L/D by 15-30% compared with the baseline 0012 wing. Stall angle for blended winglet system is much higher than conventional system. At high angles of attack blended winglet system produces better C_L . Blended winglet can reduce the induced drag in more percentage compared to conventional winglet system at low angles of attack.

References

- [1] Bhushan, B. D. (n.d.). Bird Feathers For Induced-Drag Reduction In Turbulent Flow: A Review.
- [2] Cosin, R, (n.d.). Aerodynamic Analysis Of Multi-Winglets For Low Speed Aircraft.
- [3] M. J. Smith, N. K. (n.d.). Performance Analysis Of A Wing With Multiple Winglets. Aiaa-2001- 2407.
- [4] Miller, S. D. (28 May 2008). Lift, Drag And Moment Of A Naca 0015 Airfoil.
- [5] Srikanth G, S. B. (n.d.). Experimental Analysis Of Multi- Winglets.
- [6] U. La Roche And H.L. La Rocheü La Roche Consulting, Z. (n.d.). Induced Drag Reduction Using Multiple Winglets.
- [7] V. Kitsiosy, R. B. (2006). Numerical Simulation Of Lift Enhancement On A Naca 0015 Airfoil Using Znmf Jets. Center For Turbulence Research Proceedings Of The Summer Program.
- [8] Viswanath, P. (2002). Aircraft Viscous Drag Reduction Using Winglets. Progress In Aerospace Sciences 38
- [9] J.Johansen and N.N.Sorensen. "Aerodynamic investigation of winglets on wind turbine blades"