Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis over Co/y-Al₂O₃ Catalyst: Activation by Synthesis Gas

Ditlhobolo Seanokeng, Achtar Iloy, Kalala Jalama

Abstract—This study aimed at investigating the effect of activating Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst by syngas on its behavior during Fisher Tropsch Synthesis (FTS). The catalyst was prepared by incipient wetness impregnation (IWP) method and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and temperature programmed reduction (TPR) analyses. The catalytic evaluation was performed at 215 °C and 20 bar in a fixed-bed reactor. The data have revealed that syngas-reduced catalyst has a different structure compared to H₂-reduced catalyst. Although TPR data suggest that the presence of CO causes the catalyst to be reduced at lower temperatures, CoO species were detected in the syngas-reduced catalyst. This was due to the reoxidation of cobalt species by water vapor as CO methanation took place during the reduction process in presence of the synthesis gas. These cobalt oxides catalyze the water-gas-shift reaction that favored methane formation and led to low C₅₊ selectivity.

Keywords—Activation, Cobalt catalyst, Fischer-Tropsch, Synthesis gas.

I. INTRODUCTION

F ICHER-TROPSCH (FT) process converts synthesis gas (a mixture of CO and H₂) into liquid fuels. The synthesis gas is obtained from carbon-containing feedstock such as biomass, coal and natural gas through gasification or reforming processes. Hence, biomass-, coal- and gas-to-liquid (B/C/GTL) technologies are alternative routes for liquid fuels production which have received significant research interest as global energy demand increases while environmental regulations are becoming more stringent.

For industrial applications, the FT process usually requires a cobalt- or iron-based catalyst which must be activated before the reaction. For cobalt-based catalysts, the activation usually consists of reducing the catalyst using hydrogen at temperatures ranging from 250 to 400 °C [1]. However, some studies [2, 3] have reported that the presence of CO in the activation gas improves the performance of cobalt catalysts. Our previous results [3] have shown that activating a Co/TiO₂

This work was supported in part by the National Research Foundation (NRF) (90737) and the University of Johannesburg (05-15-265590).

D. Seanokeng is with the Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Johannesburg (e-mail: <u>dseanokeng@ymail.com</u>).

A. Iloy is with the Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Johannesburg (e-mail: achtar2006@yahoo.fr).

K. Jalama is with the Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Johannesburg (corresponding author: +27(0)11-559-6157; e-mail: kjalama@uj.ac.za).

catalyst using synthesis gas (with H₂/CO ratio of 2/1) produces a better catalyst with more stability, activity and better product selectivity. Therefore, this study aims at extending these findings to an alumina-supported catalyst by evaluating the effect of reducing Co/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst with synthesis gas compared to H₂.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Catalyst Preparation

The catalyst support was prepared by mixing 20g of gamma alumina with 15g of distilled water and drying in air at 120 °C for 17 hours followed by calcination at 400 °C for 6 hours. The catalyst was prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of the calcined support with cobalt nitrate (Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O) solution to achieve a metal loading for 25% (reduced cobalt weight-basis). The sample was subsequently dried and 120 °C for 17 hours and then calcined in air at 400 °C for 12 hours to transform and decompose cobalt nitrate into cobalt oxide.

B. Catalyst Characterization

The structure of the catalyst was analyzed by x-ray diffraction (XRD) technique using a Rigaku Ultima diffractometer using the following settings: 40 kV, 30 mA and a scan speed of 2° /min.

Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) was used to attempt and study the catalyst behaviour during activation in presence of 5%CO in He and 5%H₂ in Ar respectively. Firstly, ca. 100 mg of calcined catalyst sample were placed in a tube reactor and degassed at 150 °C in Ar for 30 min. After cooling to 60 °C, Ar was replaced with either 5% H₂ in Ar or 5% CO in He and the analysis was started after the signal of the thermal conductivity detector (TCD), downstream the tube reactor, had stabilized. A heating rate of 10 °C was used to reach a temperature of 700 °C that was maintained constant for a ca. 30 - 40 min before stopping the analysis.

C. Catalyst evaluation

The evaluation of hydrogen- and syngas-activated catalysts was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor. Before the reaction, 1g of catalyst was loaded in the reactor and activated at 390 $^{\circ}$ C and atmospheric pressure using either pure hydrogen or synthesis gas (ca. 10% N₂, 30% CO, balance H₂) at a fixed

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2017 Vol II WCE 2017, July 5-7, 2017, London, U.K.

flowrate of 73 ml/min for 14 hours. After the activation process, the reactor was cooled to a temperature below 100 °C under the flow of syngas before building the reactor pressure up to 20 bar and increasing the temperature to 215 °C to start the FT reaction. The gas flow rate was readjusted to ca. 40 ml/min. The analysis of the outlet gas product was done using a DANI Master gas chromatograph (GC) using a stainless steel general 60/80 Carboxen column connected to a TCD and a Supel-Q Plot fused silica capillary column 30m x 0.32mm connected to a flame ionization detector (FID). The TCD detected H₂, N₂, CO and CH₄ while the FID detected hydrocarbons (olefins and paraffins).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Catalyst Characterization

Fig. 1 shows XRD analysis data for unreduced (pattern a), syngas- and hydrogen-activated catalyst samples (patterns b and c). Diffraction peaks for cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) were observed for the unreduced catalyst sample. All of these peaks are not visible for both the catalyst reduced by hydrogen and that reduced by syngas suggesting that these species were converted into metallic cobalt and/or CoO. While the diffraction peaks for metallic cobalt were detected on both reduced catalysts, CoO was only detected on the syngasreduced catalyst due to two possible reasons: i) as the reduction of Co₃O₄ to metallic cobalt occurs in two steps, i.e. $Co_3O_4 \rightarrow CoO \rightarrow Co^0$, it is possible that the second step was limited in presence of syngas; ii) since methane and water formed during catalyst reduction by syngas, it is possible that a portion of Co⁰ in the syngas-reduced catalyst was reoxidized to CoO by the water vapour.

Fig. 2 shows TPR data for both H₂ - and CO-reduced catalyst samples. CO was used instead of syngas to avoid technical complications that are caused by the formation of FT products in the catalyst during the reduction process as explained in our earlier study [3]. When the catalyst was reduced with 5% H₂ in Ar (Fig. 2a), one peak was observed to start at ca. 260 °C and was followed by a large peak that extended up to 700 °C through its maximum value at ca. 500 ^oC. These peaks are attributed to the two-step reduction of Co₃O₄ species with various interaction levels with the alumina support to Co^0 . When the catalyst was reduced with CO (Fig. 2b), the first peak was observed at ca. 140 °C followed by a number of unresolved peaks below 500 °C. These peaks were also attributed to the two-step reduction of Co₃O₄ species in various interaction with the alumina support. The large peak that started above 500 °C was due to rapid carbon deposition on the catalyst surface. When compared to the hydrogenreduced catalyst, it can be seen that the reduction by CO occurred at lower reduction temperatures.

B. Catalyst evaluation

Fig. 3 shows the CO conversion versus time on stream (TOS) for the catalyst reduced by H_2 and syngas. The H_2 -

reduced catalyst stabilized within 20 hours on stream and maintained a CO conversion of ca. 26% without showing any deactivation up to 90 hours on stream. The syngas-reduced catalyst showed a lower conversion and a deactivation feature that started after ca. 29 hours on stream where a CO conversion of ca. 24% was measured. This value decreased with the TOS and reached ca. 18% after 90 hours.

Methane, C₂-C₄ and C₅₊ hydrocarbons selectivities for H₂and syngas-reduced catalysts as function of TOS are respectively reported in figures 4 to 6. Reducing the catalyst with syngas led to higher methane (fig. 4) and lower C_{5+} hydrocarbons (fig. 6) selectivities compared to the H2-reduced catalyst. The selectivities for C_2 - C_4 hydrocarbons were comparable for both catalysts (fig. 5). Similar findings were reported by Dai and Yu [4] who explained the high methane formation on syngas-reduced catalyst by the presence of cobalt carbide formed during the catalyst reduction process. Our XRD data in fig. 1 did not show any diffraction peak for cobalt carbide but rather a peak for CoO in syngas-reduced catalyst that can explain the low activity and higher methane formation measured on this catalyst. Previous studies have also related higher methane formation to the presence of cobalt oxides [5 - 7]. These species catalyse the water-gasshift reaction [5, 7] and increase the local H₂/CO ratio on active sites resulting in more hydrogenation of the adsorbed species.

Fig. 7 shows the CO_2 selectivity for the syngas-reduced catalyst as function of TOS and confirms that this catalyst was also active for the water-gas-shift reaction. No CO_2 formation was detected on the H₂-reduced catalyst.

Fig. 8 shows the light olefin-to-paraffin ratios as function of TOS. No light olefin was detected over the syngas-reduced catalyst confirming that the hydrogenation of adsorbed species was favoured on this catalyst. For the H₂-reduced catalyst, C₃-C₅ olefin-to-paraffin ratios were between 1.3 and 2 after 45 hours on stream. As expected for cobalt-based catalysts activated by H₂, the ethylene to ethane ratio was below 1.

IV. CONCLUSION

Activating Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst with synthesis gas has a negative effect on its performance for FT reaction compared to the case where pure hydrogen is used for activation. Syngas-activated catalyst showed low activity and undesirable high methane selectivity due to the presence of some cobalt oxide species as detected by XRD analysis. These species catalyze the watergas-shift reaction leading to an increased H₂/CO ratio on actives sites in the catalyst. They were possibly formed by cobalt reoxidation by water vapor during the catalyst reduction process in presence of syngas at a high temperature of 390 °C.

REFERENCES

 A. Steynberg, M. Dry, *Fischer-Tropsch Technology*, Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, 152 (2004) 533. Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2017 Vol II WCE 2017, July 5-7, 2017, London, U.K.

- [2] B. Jongsomjit, J.G. Goodwin Jr, Co-support Compound Formation in Co/Al₂O₃ Catalysts: Effect of Reduction Gas Containing CO, Catalysis Today 77 (2002) 191-204.
- [3] K. Jalama, J. Kabuba, H. Xiong, L. L. Jewell, Co/TiO₂ Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts Activation by Synthesis Gas, Catalysis Communications 17 (2012) 154-159.
- X. Dai, C. Yu, Effects of Pretreatment and Reduction on the Co/Al₂O₃ Catalyst for CO Hydrogenation, Journal of Natural Gas Chemistry 17 (2008) 288 - 292.
- [5] R.C. Reuel, C.H. Bartholomew, *The Stoichiometries of H₂ and CO Adsorptions on Cobalt: Effects of Support and Preparation*, Journal of Catalysis 85 (1984) 63 77.
- [6] A.Y. Khodakov, A. Griboval-Constant, R. Bechara, V.L. Zholobenko, Pore Size Effects in Fischer Tropsch Synthesis over Cobalt-Supported Mesoporous Silicas, Journal of Catalysis 206 (2002) 230-241.
- [7] A. Martínez, C. López, F. Márquez, I. Díaz, Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis of Hydrocarbons over Mesoporous Co/SBA-15 Catalysts: The influence of Metal loading, Cobalt Precursor, and Promoters, Journal of Catalysis 220 (2003) 486-499.



Fig. 1. XRD analysis for a) unreduced 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst, b) 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst reduced by syngas c) 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst reduced by hydrogen. \Box : Co₃O₄; \Box : CoO and \Box : Co⁰.



Fig. 2. TPR analysis data for 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst using a) 5% H₂ in Ar and b) 5% CO in H₂.



Fig. 3. CO conversion for 25% Co/Al_2O_3 catalyst reduced by $\rm H_2$ and syngas versus TOS.



Fig. 4. CH₄ selectivity for 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst reduced by H₂ and syngas versus TOS.



Fig. 5. C_2 - C_4 selectivity for 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst reduced by H₂ and syngas versus TOS.



Fig. 7. C_{5+} selectivity for 25% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst reduced by H2 and syngas versus TOS.



Fig. 7. CO₂ Selectivity for catalyst reduced with syngas.



Fig. 8. Olefin to paraffin ratio for H₂-reduced catalyst.