Abstract—In this paper, an adaptive constrained unscented Kalman filter (ACUKF) has been used for nonlinear structural system identification. The proposed algorithm takes into account state constraints and calculates online the measurement noise covariance matrix. The proposed method has been compared to the well-known unscented Kalman filter (UKF) for parameter estimation of a SDOF nonlinear hysteretic system. Numerical results show that the ACUKF is more robust to measurement noise level than the UKF, providing better state estimation and parameter identification.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Kalman filter (KF) approach has been often adopted in several engineering applications to estimate the state of a given system from recorded measurements [1-4]. The unscented Kalman filter (UKF) is one of the KF-based algorithms widely adopted for the structural dynamics identification and there are numerous successfully applications of this method in literature [5, 6].

However, the application of UKF to highly nonlinear structural systems can provide not satisfactory results [7]. In this paper, the UKF algorithm has been improved with respect to two fundamental aspects: the ability to take into account state constraints and the ability to adaptively calculate the measurement noise covariance matrix. The proposed adaptive constrained unscented Kalman filter (ACUKF) takes into account bounds of state variables and this is particularly useful as remediation for inaccurate system modelling which is often the case of real-world applications [7-9]. In addition, the ACUKF provides an adaptive identification of the measurement noise covariance matrix. It is well-known that an improper selection of process and measurement noise covariance matrices may cause a large estimation error or even the divergence of the whole system [10]. Generally, finding a suitable value of the process and measurement noise covariance matrices by the classical method is time consuming. Moreover, measurement noise covariance can vary in actual application due to electromagnetic interference between sensors and other electrical instruments. The major function of the proposed adaptive algorithm is to get the measurement noise covariance matrix based on the filter learning history [10]. This allows to get better performance in the estimation.

In this paper, the ACUKF and the UKF have been applied to a highly nonlinear hysteretic system based on the normalized Bouc-Wen model for the numerical evaluation of both methods. Several tests have been performed in order to investigate the robustness of the two techniques to the measurement noise level.

The paper is organized as follows: a description the ACUKF is given in Section 2. The mathematical particularization of the ACUKF for the nonlinear hysteresis model is given in Section 3. Simulation results are presented in Section 4.

II. ADAPTIVE CONSTRAINED KALMAN FILTER

Consider the following continuous nonlinear state space description with discrete measurements sampled at regular intervals with sampling period \( \Delta t \)

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{k+1} &= x_k + \int_{k\Delta t}^{(k+1)\Delta t} f(x(\tau), u_k) d\tau + w_k \quad x_k = x(k\Delta t) \\
y_{k+1} &= h(x_{k+1}, u_{k+1}) + v_{k+1}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the \( n \)-dimensional vector of system state, \( f \) and \( h \) are nonlinear functions, \( u \) is the input vector, \( w \) is the process noise with covariance \( Q \), \( y \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the \( m \)-dimensional vector of measurement, \( v \) is the Gaussian white measurement noise with covariance \( R \) and \( k \) is the \( k \)-th time step. The main task is to estimate the state variable, \( i.e., \) calculate the mean as well as the covariance of system state at the \((k+1)\)-th step, based on the state estimate at the \(k\)-th step and the measurements at the current \((k+1)\)-th step.

Given the filtered state estimates \( \hat{x}_{k|k} \), which have been obtained using all the measurements made up to time \( t_k \) and the input \( u_k \), the predicted state estimates \( \hat{x}_{k+1|k} \) can be obtained as

\[
\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = \hat{x}_{k|k} + \int_{k\Delta t}^{(k+1)\Delta t} f(x(\tau), u_k) d\tau; \quad \hat{x}_{k|k} = \hat{x}(k\Delta t) .
\]
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In addition, bound constraints are imposed to the states as
\[ x_l \leq x \leq x_U \]  
(3)
where \( x_U \) and \( x_l \) are the upper and lower bound of constrained variables, respectively.

Indicating with \( \hat{x}_{k|k} \) the filtered state estimates at time instant ‘k’ and \( P_{k|k} \) the corresponding estimate error covariance matrix and denoting with
\[
\mathbf{s}_{i,k} = \sqrt{P_{k|k}} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n
\]
as the directions along which the sigma points are selected, according to the standard UKF, the step sizes for all sigma points, for the simple case when only bound constraints are considered, can be performed as follows [9]:
\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_{k|j}^C &= \theta^C_{k|j} = \min(0, \theta^C_{k|j}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n \\
\theta_{k|j}^U &= \min(\sqrt{n+\kappa} \theta^U_{k|j}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n \\
\theta_{k|j}^L &= \max(0, \theta^L_{k|j}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n \\
\theta_{k|j}^T &= \max(0, \theta^T_{k|j}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n
\end{align*}
\]
where the subscript \( j \) represents the \( j \)-th element of the vector \( x \). In the absence of bounds, the above choice of sigma points are identical to those used in UKF. If the current estimate is close to the bounds, then the above choice ensures that none of the sigma points violate the bounds on state variables. The weights of all of sigma points are adjusted by using a linear weighting method proposed in [11]:
\[
\begin{align*}
W_{k,0} &= b, \quad i = 0 \\
W_{k,i} &= a \theta_{k,i} + b, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2n
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
a &= \frac{2\kappa - 1}{2(n+\kappa)[s_k - (2n+1)/\sqrt{n+\kappa}]} \\
b &= b = \frac{1}{2(n+\kappa)} - \frac{2\kappa - 1}{2\sqrt{n+\kappa}[s_k - (2n+1)/\sqrt{n+\kappa}]} \\
s_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \theta_{k,i}
\end{align*}
\]
The replacement of sigma points results in a first-order accuracy for the unscented transformation of mean value if \( \kappa = 0.5 \), that is the value adopted in this paper.

Each sigma point \( \mathbf{X}_{k|j} \) is transformed through the state-space equation in order to obtain sigma point of state prediction \( \mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} \), the mean of the predicted state estimate \( \hat{x}_{k+1|k} \) and its error covariance matrix \( P_{k+1|k} \), as well as the calculation of the predicted measurement sigma points \( \mathbf{Y}_{k+1|k,i} \), the mean \( \hat{y}_{k+1|k} \) and covariance matrix \( P_{yy,k+1|k} \) of predicted measurement and the cross covariance matrix \( P_{xy,k+1|k} \), according to the standard UKF method.

The transformed sigma points are calculated with Kalman updating equation
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} &= \mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} + \mathbf{K}_{k+1}(\mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{Y}_{k+1|k,i}), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n
\end{align*}
\]
in accordance with the method proposed in [8].

With \( \mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} \) calculated by (8), the state update \( \hat{x}_{k+1|k} \) and its covariance \( P_{k+1|k} \) can be calculated using the following equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}_{k+1|k} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2n} W_{k,i} \mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} \\
P_{k+1|k} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2n} W_{k,i}(\mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} - \hat{x}_{k+1|k})(\mathbf{X}_{k+1|k,i} - \hat{x}_{k+1|k})^T + Q_{k+1} + \mathbf{K}_{k+1} R_{k+1} \mathbf{K}_{k+1}^T
\end{align*}
\]

It can be proved that the above equations give exactly the same result of updated mean and covariance with the standard UKF [7].

The adaptation of matrix \( R \) is described as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{R}_k + d_k(\mathbf{K}_{k+1}^T - P_{yy,k+1|k}) \\
d_k &= (1-b)/(1-b^{k+1}), \\
e_{k+1} &= \mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{h}(\hat{x}_{k+1|k}, \mathbf{u}_{k+1})
\end{align*}
\]
where \( d_k \) is a scaling parameter, \( b \) denotes a forgetting factor and usually between 0.95 and 0.995, and \( e_t \) refers to the error between the actual measurement and the filter estimation in the kth step. Through the adaptive algorithm, the measurement noise covariance matrix can be computed step by step online [10]. Thus, the system estimation cannot be restricted by the initial noise covariance, and can adapt the variable system well.

### III. SDOF NONLINEAR HYSERETIC SYSTEM

Consider a single degree of freedom (SDOF) nonlinear hysteretic system subject to an acceleration input. The hysteresis force is mathematically described by the normalized Bouc-Wen model (NBWM) [12]:
\[
m\ddot{x}(t) + c\dot{x}(t) + F(t) = -m\ddot{x}_g(t)
\]
where \( m \) is the mass, \( x(t) \) is the displacement, \( c \) is the linear viscous coefficient, \( F(t) \) is the hysteretic component and \( \ddot{x}_g(t) \) is the excitation acceleration; the overdot indicates derivative with respect to time. The restoring force \( F(t) \), based on the normalized Bouc-Wen model, is:
The derivatives of the NBWM parameters are all zero and (12) as follows

\[
\dot{u}(t) = \rho \dot{u}(t) \left[ 1 + \left| w(t) \right|^2 \sigma \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(\dot{u}(t)w(t)) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \right],
\]

(12)

where the parameters of the normalized form of the Bouc-Wen model are \( \rho, \sigma, n, k_i, k_w \) with the following constraints:

\[
\rho > 0; \quad \sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}; \quad n \geq 1; \quad k_f > 0; \quad k_w > 0.
\]

Moreover, it is demonstrated that \( w(t) \) is bounded in the range \([-1, 1]\). Consequently, the application of the ACUKF to the NBWM is particular suitable to improve accuracy and robustness of the hysteresis estimation.

An augmented state vector \( \mathbf{x} \) is defined as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 \\
    x_2 \\
    x_3 \\
    x_4 \\
    x_5 \\
    x_6 \\
    x_7 \\
    x_8 \\
    x_9
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    k_f \\
    x_8 \\
    k_w \\
    \rho \\
    \sigma \\
    \dot{n}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(14)

Then the state space equation is formulated based on (11) and (12) as follows

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \dot{x}_1 \\
    \dot{x}_2 \\
    \dot{x}_3 \\
    \dot{x}_4 \\
    \dot{x}_5 \\
    \dot{x}_6 \\
    \dot{x}_7 \\
    \dot{x}_8 \\
    \dot{x}_9
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{-\ddot{x}_g - x_2 x_5 + x_1 x_4 + x_3 x_6}{m} \\
    \frac{x_1}{x_3} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{x_5} x_6 \left( 1 - \text{sgn}(x_1 x_3) - \frac{1}{x_8} \right) \right]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_{1,k} + \Delta t \left( -\ddot{x}_g - x_2 x_5 + x_1 x_4 + x_3 x_6 \right) \\
    x_{2,k} + \Delta t \frac{x_2}{m} \\
    x_{3,k} + \Delta t x_3 \\
    x_{4,k} + \Delta t x_4 \\
    x_{5,k} + \Delta t x_5 \\
    x_{6,k} + \Delta t x_6 \\
    x_{7,k} + \Delta t x_7 \\
    x_{8,k} + \Delta t x_8 \\
    x_{9,k} + \Delta t n
\end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{w}_k
\]

(16)

where a process noise \( \mathbf{w} \) has been added.

If the acceleration response and excitation are measured, the observation equation can be expressed as

\[
y = \ddot{x}_g + v,\]

(17)

where \( \ddot{x}_g \) is the acceleration of the suspended mass and \( v \) represents the measurement noise.

The function \( \mathbf{h} \) (see (1) for reference) can be written as follows

\[
\mathbf{y}_{k+1} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \mathbf{u}_{k+1}) + \mathbf{v}_{k+1} = \frac{x_{2,k+1} x_{2,k+1} + x_{1,k+1} x_{4,k+1} + x_{3,k+1} x_{6,k+1}}{m} + \frac{1}{2}, x_9 \geq 1.
\]

(19)

The bound constraints of the ACUKF are

\[
-1 \leq x_3 \leq 1, x_4 > 0, x_5 > 0, x_6 > 0, x_7 > 0, x_8 \leq \frac{1}{2}, x_9 \geq 1.
\]

IV. SIMULATION TESTS

Simulations have been performed by assigning to the model previously described the Chi-Chi earthquake acceleration. The acceleration time history has a duration of 20 s with its maximum scaled value equal to 3 m/s².

Two white noises equal to 0.1² m²/s⁴ (TEST 1) and 0.2² m²/s⁴ (TEST 2) have been superimposed to the simulated mass acceleration and the ground input acceleration, at \( t = 5 \) s for the purpose of exploring the identification robustness with respect to a sudden variation of the measurement noise. The ACUKF and the UKF have been parameterized in the same way and the initial value of \( \mathbf{R} \) has been fixed to the measurement noise level before \( t = 5 \) s, equal to 0.01² m²/s⁴ for both tests.
Figs. 1-6 show the estimated parameters compared with the exact ones, for the TEST 1.

The results clearly show that the ACUKF demonstrates a better robustness with respect to measurement noise level. Indeed, after a variation of $R$ the parameters estimated with the ACUKF method are very close to exact ones. In contrast, the estimated parameters with the UKF degrades with the variation of $R$, as it is possible to note in the figures above.

Fig. 7 presents the estimation of the measurement noise $\sigma$, as it is possible to note in the figures above. The suspended mass displacement estimation, TEST 1.
Results of Fig. 8 highlight that the estimation error of the UKF is greater than the one of the ACUKF, especially after the sudden variation of the measurement noise.

The main results of TEST 2 are presented in the following. In this case, the measurement noise level is greater than the one of TEST 1.

For comparison purpose, Figs. 9-14 show the estimated parameters compared with the exact ones, for the TEST 2.

The UKF, after a variation of the measurement noise level (at t=5 s), provides poor results in terms of parameter estimation. For example, some parameters assume values out of their bounds without a physical meaning.

Estimated displacement diagrams presented in Fig. 15 clearly show the effectiveness of the ACUKF and the divergence of the UKF that cannot handle measurement noise variation.

The estimation of the measurement noise my means of the ACUKF is shown in Fig. 16.

Also for TEST 2, the ACUKF performs better than the UKF, indeed, the ACUKF provides a displacement
estimation practically superimposed to the exact one, also after a sudden variation of the measurement noise. Simulation results show that the method ACUKF takes into account state constraints and it is robust to variations of $R$ due to its adaptive property.

V. CONCLUSION

The design of a novel adaptive constrained unscented Kalman filter (ACUKF) is presented in this paper. The performance of the ACUKF is compared with that one of the unscented Kalman filter (UKF) for a state estimation and the parameter identification applied to a SDOF nonlinear hysteretic system. The nonlinear behaviour has been mathematically described by the normalized Bouc-Wen model. The estimation capabilities and the robustness of both methods have been evaluated for different measurement noise levels. The numerical results have shown the ability of the ACUKF to identify a sudden variation of noise level, demonstrating its robustness. The ACUKF has provided more accurate estimation results than the UKF, also improving the convergence capability of the standard UKF. The ACUKF could be adopted in adaptive control methodologies where a real-time nonlinear system identification is required.
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