Intelligence Portfolio Management System based on Neurofuzzy system for Thai Stock Index

M.L. K. Kasemsan and M. Radeerom

Abstract-Stock investment has become an important investment activity in Thailand. However, investors often lose money due to unclear investment objectives. Therefore, an investment decision support system to assist investors in making good decisions has become an important research issue. Thus, this paper introduces an intelligent decision-making model, based on the application of Neurofuzzy system (NFs) technology. Our proposed system can decide a trading strategy for each day and produce a high profit for of each stock. Our decision-making model is used to capture the knowledge in technical indicators for making decisions such as buy, hold and sell. And the optimizing algorithm included in our proposed model shows the different number of membership functions in NFs and NFs inputs effectively a result in profits. Finally, the experimental results have shown higher profits than the Neural Network (NN) and "Buy & Hold" models for each stock index. The results are very encouraging and can be implemented in a Decision-Making trading system during the trading day.

Index Terms— Intelligence System, Neuro-Fuzzy System, Stock Index, Decision Making System.

I. INTRODUCTION

The prediction of financial market indicators is a topic of considerably practical interest and, if successful, may involve substantial pecuniary rewards. People tend to invest in equity because of its high returns over time. Considerable efforts have been put into the investigation of stock markets. The main objective of the researchers is to create a tool, which could be used for the prediction of stock markets fluctuations; the main motivation for this is financial gain. In the financial marketplace, traders have to be fast and hence the need for powerful tools for decision making in order to work important, efficiently and profit.

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) had a big influence on the forecasting and investment decision-making technologies. There are a number of examples using neural networks in equity market applications, which include forecasting the value of a stock index [4,5], recognition of patterns in trading charts[12,17] rating of corporate bonds[8], estimation of the market price of options[11], and the indication of trading signals of selling and buying[3,12].

Even though most people agree on the complex and nonlinear nature of economic systems, there is skepticism as to whether new approaches to nonlinear modeling, such as neural networks, can improve economic and financial forecasts. Some researchers claim that neural networks may not offer any major improvement over conventional linear forecasting approaches [8, 12]. In addition, there is a great variety of neural computing paradigms, involving various architectures, learning rates, etc., and hence, precise and informative comparisons may be difficult to make. In recent years, an increasing amount of research in the emerging and promising field of financial engineering has been incorporating Neurofuzzy approaches [10, 12, 16, 19]. Almost all models are focused on the prediction of stock prices. The difference of our proposed model is that we are focusing on decision-making in stock markets, but not on forecasting in stock markets.

In contrast to our previous work [15], we are not making a direct prediction of stock markets, but we are working on a one-day forward decision-making tool for buying/selling stocks. We are developing a decision-making model which, besides the application of NFs, uses optimization algorithms based on the rate of the return profit of each stock index to construct our NFs Model for a decision support - making system. In this paper, we present a decision-making model which combines technical analysis model and NFs model. The technical analysis model evaluated knowledge about buy, hold and sell strategy from each technique. Our proposed model used result from technical analysis model to input of our NFs. And secondly model, the NFs portfolio management decides the buy, sell and hold strategy for each stock index. The objective of this model is to analyze the daily stock returns and to make one day forward decisions related to the purchase of stocks.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the background about the neural network and the Neurofuzzy system. Section 3 presents the NFs decision-making model; Sections 4 is devoted to experimental investigations and the evaluation of the decision-making model. This section provides the basis for the selection of different variables used in the model, and models the structure. The main conclusions of the work are presented in Section 5, with remarks on future directions.

Manuscript received July 26, 2010; Monruthai Radeerom obtained her MS Degrees in Information Technology from the Rangsit University in 2007. She is currently a Ph. D candidate of Computer Science at Faculty of Information Technology, Rangsit University, Pathumtani, Thailand 12000 (phone: 084-004-2262; e-mail: mradeerom@ yahoo.com).

M.L. K. Kasemsan is with the Director of the Master of science program in Information Technology, Faculty of Information Technology, Rangsit University, Pathumtani, Thailand 12000 (Phone: (662) 997-2200-30 ext. 5555, Fax: (662) 997-2200 ext. 5577, e-mail: kasemsan@rangsit.rsu.ac.th).

II. NEURAL NETWORK AND NEUROFUZZY APPROACHES FOR THE INTELLIGENCE PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

A. Neural Networks (NNs) for Modeling and Identification

The neural networks are used for two main tasks: function approximation and pattern classification. In function approximation, the neural network is trained to approximate a mapping between its inputs and outputs. Many neural network models have been proven as universal approximations, i.e. the network can approximate any continuous arbitrary function well. The pattern classification problem can be regarded as a specific case of the function approximation. The mapping is done from the input space to a finite number of output classes. input layer hidden layer output layer



Fig 1 A feedforward neural network with one hidden layer [19]

For function approximation, a well-known model of NNs is a feed forward multi-layer neural network (MNN). It has one input layer, one output layer and a number of hidden layers between them. For illustration purposes, consider a MNN with one hidden layer (Figure 1). The input-layer neurons do not perform any computations. They merely distribute the inputs to the weights of the hidden layer. In the neurons of the hidden layer, first the weighted sum of the inputs is computed

$$z_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} w_{ij}^{h} x_{i} = (W_{j}^{h})^{T} X_{i}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(1)

It is then passed through a nonlinear *activation function*, such as the tangent hyperbolic:

$$v_j = \frac{1 - \exp(-2z_j)}{1 + \exp(-2z_j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
 (2)

Other typical *activation functions* are the threshold function (hard limiter), the sigmoid function, etc. The neurons in the output layer are linear, i.e., only the weighted sum of their inputs's computed:

$$yl = \sum_{j=1}^{h} w_{jl}^{o} v_{j} = (W_{l}^{o})^{T} X, \quad l = 1, 2, ..., n$$
(3)

Training is the adaptation of weights in a multi-layer network such that the error between the desired output and the network output is minimized. A network with one hidden layer is sufficient for most approximation tasks. More layers can give a better fit, but the training time takes longer. Choosing the right number of neurons in the hidden layer is essential for a good result. Too few neurons give a poor fit, while too many neurons result in overtraining of the net (poor generalization of unseen data). A compromise is usually sought by trial and error methods.

The backpropagation algorithm [16] has emerged as one of the most widely used learning procedures for multi-layer networks. There are many variations of the back propagation algorithm, several of which will be discussed in the next section. The simplest implementation of backpropagation learning updates the network weights and biases in the that the performance function decreases most rapidly.

B. Neurofuzzy System (NFs) for Modeling and Identification

Both neural networks and the fuzzy system imitate human reasoning process. In fuzzy systems, relationships are represented explicitly in forms of if-then rules. In neural networks, the relations are not explicitly given, but are coded in designed networks and parameters. Neurofuzzy systems combine the semantic transparency of rule-based fuzzy systems with the learning capability of neural networks. Depending on the structure of if-then rules, two main types of fuzzy models are distinguished as mamdani (or linguistic) and takagi-sugeno models [18]. The mamdani model is typically used in knowledge-based (expert) systems, while the takagi-sugeno model is used in data-driven systems

In this paper, we consider only the Takagi - Sugeno-Kang (TSK) model. Takagi, Sugeno and Kang [18] formalized a systematic approach for generating fuzzy rules from an input-output data pairs. The fuzzy if-then rules, for the pure fuzzy inference system, are of the following form:

if
$$x_1$$
 is A_1 and x_2 is A_2 and x_N is A_N then $y = f(x)$ (4)

Where $x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N]^T$, $A_1, A_2, ..., A_N$ fuzzy sets are in the antecedent, while y is a crisp function in the consequent part. The function is a polynomial function of input variables $x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_N$. The aggregated values of the membership function for the vector are assumed either in a form of the MIN operator or in the product form. The M fuzzy rules in the form Eq. (4) are N membership functions $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, ..., \mu_N$. Each antecedent is followed by the consequent:

$$y_i = p_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij} x_j$$
(5)

Where p_{ii} are the adjustable coefficients, for

 $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$ and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$.

The first-order TSK fuzzy model could be expressed in a similar fashion. Consider an example with two rules:

if x_1 is A_{11} and x_2 is A_{21} and then $y_1 = p_{11}x_1 + p_{12}x_2 + p_{10}$

if x_1 is A_{12} and x_2 is A_{22} and then $y_2 = p_{21}x_1 + p_{22}x_2 + p_{20}$

Figure 2 shows a network representation of those two rules. The nodes in the first layer compute the membership degree of the inputs in the antecedent fuzzy sets. The product node \prod in

the second layer represent the antecedent connective (here the "and" operator). The normalization node N and the summation node Σ realize the fuzzy-mean operator for which the corresponding network is given in Figure 2 Applying fuzzy singleton, a generalized bell function such as membership function and algebraic product aggregation of input variables, at the existence of M rules the Neurofuzzy TSK system output signal upon excitation by the vector, are described by



Fig.2 An example of a first-order TSK fuzzy model with two rules systems [1]

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{r=1}^{M} [\prod_{j=1}^{N} \mu_r(x_j)]} \times \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\left[\prod_{j=1}^{N} \mu_r(x_j) \right] \left[p_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{kj} x_j \right] \right)$$
(6)

The adjusted parameters of the system are nonlinear parameters of bell function $(c_j^{(k)}, \sigma_j^{(k)}, b_j^{(k)})$, the fuzzifier functions and linear parameters (weight) of the TSK function for every j=1,2,...,N and k=1,2,...,M. In contrast to the mamdani fuzzy inference system, the TSK model generates crisp output values instead of fuzzy ones. This network is simplified. Thus, the defuzzifier is not necessary. So, the learning of Neurofuzzy network, which adapts parameters of the bell shape membership functions $(c_j^{(k)}, \sigma_j^{(k)}, b_j^{(k)})$ and consequent coefficients, p_{ij} can be done either in supervised or self-organizing modes. In this study, we apply a hybrid method which is one-shot least-squares estimation of

method which is one-shot least-squares estimation of consequent parameters with iterative gradient-based optimization of membership functions. The important problem in the TSK network is to determine the number of rules that should be used in modeling data. More rules mean better representation of data processing, but increased complexity of the network and a high cost of data processing. Therefore, a procedure for automatically determining the number of rules is required. In our solution, each rule should be associated with one cluster of data. Fuzzy c-means is a supervised algorithm, because it is necessary to indicate how many clusters C looks for. If C is not known beforehand, it is necessary to apply an unsupervised algorithm. Subtractive clustering is based on a measure of the density of data points in the feature space [1]. The idea is to find regions in the feature space with high densities of data points. The point with the highest number of neighbors is selected as the center for a cluster. The data points within a prespecified data, fuzzy radius are then removed (subtracted), and the algorithm looks for a new point having the highest number of neighbors. This process continues until all data points are examined.

In conclusion, Figure 3 summarizes the Neurofuzzy Networks System (NFs). Construction process data called "training data sets," can be used to construct Neurofuzzy systems. We do not need prior knowledge ala "knowledge-based (expert) systems". In this way, the membership functions of input variables are designed by the subtractive clustering method. Fuzzy rules (including the associated parameters) are constructed from scratch by using numerical data. And the parameters of this model (the membership functions, consequent parameters) are then fine-tuned by process data.



Fig. 3 Constructing Neurofuzzy Networks

The advantage of the TSK fuzzy system is to provide a compact system. Therefore, some classical system identification methods, such as parameter estimation and order determination algorithms, could be developed to get the fuzzy inference rules by using input/output data. Similar to neural networks, Neurofuzzy systems are universal approximators. Therefore, the TSK fuzzy inference systems are general for many complex nonlinear practical problems, such as time series data.

III. METHODOLOGY FOR THE INTELLIGENCE PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

A. Decision-Making Model for The Stock Market system

Many stock market traders always use conventional statistical techniques for decision-making in purchasing and selling.[7] Popular techniques are used fundamental analysis and technical analysis. They are often net profits on the stock market, but they require a lot of knowledge and experience. Because stock markets are affected by many highly interrelated economic, political and even psychological factors, and these factors interact with each other in a very complex manner, it is, generally, very difficult to forecast the movements of stock markets (see Figure 4). Figure 4 shows Historical Quotes of Bangchak Petroleum public Co., Ltd. (BCP) Stock Prices. It is a high nonlinear system. In this paper, we are working on one day

decision making for buying/selling stocks. For that we are developing a decision-making model, besides the application of an intelligence system. We selected a Neurofuzzy system (NFs), which are studied in the emerging and promising field of financial engineering are incorporating Neurofuzzy approaches [2, 8, 10, 14, 19, and 20].

We proposed NFs for the decision making system, called portfolio management. The model scenario represents one day calculations made in order to get decisions concerning the purchase of stocks. For the model realization, historical data of daily stock returns was used. In the first step of the model realization, technical analysis techniques are used the decision strategy recommendation. The recommendations (R) represent the relative rank of investment attraction to each stock in the interval [-1, 1]. The values -1, 0, and 1 represent recommendations: sell, hold and buy, respectively.

The recommendations are included in the input of a proposed NFs. Output NFs is the evaluating recommendation based on several recommendations of any technical techniques used by investors. The proposed NFs for a decision making system are shown in Figure 5.



Fig. 4 Historical Quotes of Bangchak Petroleum public Co., Ltd. (BCP) Stock Prices



Fig. 5 The scenario of decision-making model

B. Preprocessing of the proposed NFs Input

Technical analysts usually use indicators to the predict the future. The major types of indicators are Moving Average Convergence/Divergence (MACD), Williams's %R (W), Relative Strength Index (RSI) and Stochastic (%K, %D) and Exponential Moving Average (EMA). These indicators can be derived from the real stock composite index. The target for training the Neurofuzzy is the actual index.

For daily data, indicators can help traders identify trends and turning points. The moving average is a popular and simple indicator for trends. Stochastic and RSI are some simple indicators which help traders identify turning points. Some example indicators are defined as follows,

$$RSI = 100 - \frac{100}{1 + \sum(positive \ change)}$$
(13)

In general, stock price data has a bias due to differences in name and spans. Normalization can be used to reduce the range of the data set to values appropriate for inputs to the activation function being used. The normalization and scaling formula is

$$y = \frac{2x - (\max + \min)}{(\max - \min)},$$
 (12)

Where

x is the data before normalizing, *y* is the data after normalizing.

Because the index prices and moving averages are in the same scale, the same maximum and minimum data are used to normalize them. The max is derived from the maximum value of the linked time series; similarly minimum is derived from the minimum value of the linked time series. The maximum and minimum values are from the training and validation data sets. The outputs of the neural network will be rescaled back to the original value according to the same formula.

C. Evaluating Function for the NFs Decision-Making Model

For NFs portfolio management, the expected returns are calculated considering the stock market. That is, the value obtained on the last investigation day is considered the profit. The traders's profit is calculated as

$$Profit(n) = Stock Value(n) - Investment value$$
 (13)

Where n is the number of trading days.

And the Rate of Return Profit (RoRP) is

$$RoRP = \frac{Profit(n)}{Investment value} \times 100$$
(14)

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The model realization could be run having different groups of stocks (like Banking group, Energy group, etc.), indexes or other groups of securities. For that, we are using market orders as it allows simulating buying stocks, when stock exchange nearly closed market. All the experimental investigations were run according to the above presented scenario and were focused on the estimation of Rate of Return Profit (RoRP). At the beginning of each realization, the start investment is assumed to be 1,000,000 Baht (Approximately USD 29,412). The data set, including the Stock Exchange of Thailand (SET) index, Historical Quotes of Bangchak Petroleum public Co., Ltd. (BCP) Stock Prices, Siam Commercial Bank (SCB) and Petroleum Authority of Thailand (PTT) stock index, has been divided into two different sets: the training data and test data. The stock index data is from April 23, 2009 to July 23, 2009 totalling 304

records. The first 274 records are training data, and the rest of the data, i.e., 30 records, will be test data. Moreover, the data for stock prices includes the buy-sell strategy, closing price and its technical data. Consequently, max-min normalization can be used to reduce the range of the data set to appropriate values for inputs and output used in the training and testing method.



Fig. 6 The 14 periods RSI index (RSI14 (t)), calculated close price (t)

A. Input variables

Technical indexes are calculated from the variation of stock price, trading volumes and time according to a set of formulas to reflect the current tendency of the stock price fluctuations. These indexes can be applied for decision making in evaluating the phenomena of oversold or overbought stock. For example, several technical indexes are described as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Input of NFs portfolio management

Close price (t)	Close price on day
MACD(t)	Buy & Sell Signal from MACD 10 Days
RSI4(t)	Buy & Sell from RSI 4 Days
RSI9(t)	Buy & Sell from RSI 9 Days
RSI14(t)	Buy & Sell from RSI 14 Days
EMA1025(t)	Buy & Sell from EMA 10 and 25 Days
%R10(t)	Buy & Sell from William 10 Days

B. Evaluating Decision-Making System based on Neurofuzzy Model

We now compare the performance of our proposed Neurofuzzy system with feedforward Neural Network Modeling including three types of learning algorithm methods. The learning methods are Batch Gradient Descent (TRAINGD), Scaled Conjugate Gradient (TRAINSCG) and Levenberg-Marquardt (TRAINLM) methods The neural network model has one hidden layer with 30 nodes. And learning iteration is 10000 epochs. After we trained their learning method, we found scaled conjugate better than other learning methods. But, we can conclude that our proposed Neurofuzzy demonstrated four relation types considerably better than a neural network with scaled conjugate gradient learning.

After developing the NFs portfolio management, we were given 1,000,000 baht for investment at the beginning of the testing period. We translated the produced RoRP results into a more meaningful form that verifies the effectiveness of the prediction NFs model and decision NFs modal. The timing for when to buy and sell stocks is given by NFs output: If a prediction system produce a negative signal (-1), take a position for "Buy". If the prediction system produces a positive signal (+1), take a position for "Sell". And, if the prediction system produces the zero signals (0), take a position for "Hold". Table 2 is show a Financial Simulation Model for calculating profit in our trading strategy. Results within training days and testing day are shown in Figure 8 and 9, respectively.



Fig. 7 The Buy (1), Hold (0), (-1) evaluated from RSI14 (t)



Fig. 8 Comparison profit between Possible Rate of Return Profit (Possible RoRP) and Profit from our proposed NFs portfolio management in Training Days



Fig. 9 Comparison profit between Possible Rate of Return Profit (Possible RoRP) and Profit from our proposed NFs portfolio management in Testing Days.

Possible Buy&Sell			NFs Buy&Sell				
	Action	# of Shares	Cash (Baht)	Action		# of Shares	Cash (Baht)
1	STAY	-	1,000,000	1	STAY	-	1,000,000
0	HOLD	-	1,000,000	1	HOLD	-	1,000,000
-1	BUY	13,245	-	0	HOLD	-	1,000,000
0	HOLD	13,245	-	-1	BUY	12,500	-
1	SELL	-	1,066,225	-1	HOLD	12,500	-
0	HOLD	-	1,066,225	-1	HOLD	12,500	-
0	HOLD	-	1,066,225	-1	HOLD	12,500	-
0	HOLD	-	1,066,225	1	SELL	-	903,125
0	HOLD	-	1,066,225	1	HOLD	-	903,125
-1	BUY	15,178	-	0	HOLD	-	903,125
1	SELL	-	1,107,964	1	SELL	-	903,125

Table 2 Example of Financial Simulation Model in trading strategy

Moreover, our proposed decision-making NFs model compared performance with Buy & Hold Strategy and Buy & Sell Strategy from NN. Buy & hold is a long term investment strategy based on the view that in the long run financial markets give a good rate of return despite periods of volatility or decline. The antithesis of buy and hold is the concept of day trading in which money can be made in the short term if an individual tries to short on the peaks, and buy on the lows with greater money coming with greater volatility.

The performance by each stock index is illustrated on Table 3. The Table 3 reflects the performances of investment strategies in Neural Network (NN), "buy and hold" and "NFs portfolio management" model, respectively. Each line implies the performance of the NFs system in terms of cumulative profit rate of return gained from each trade. In the case of experimental results, NFs display a greater rate of return than the "buy, sell and hold" model and NN model. The results of difference in the stock index results are small. It is more valuable to calculate the loss and gains in terms of profitability in practice.

<u>Table 3</u> Rate of Return Profit (RoRP) gained from each trading stock index

STOCK INDEX	STOCK GROUP	Profits on Training Day (274 Days) (%)				
		Possible	NN	NF	Buy&Hold	
BCP	Energy	254	90	115	50	
PTT	Energy	320	115	180	80	
SCB	Banking	180	75	98	70	
STOCK INDEX	STOCK GROUP	Profits on Testing Day(28 Days) (%)				
		Possible	NN	NF	Buy&Hold	
BCP	Energy	4.5	1.2	1.4	0.8	
BCP PTT	Energy Energy	4.5 7.1	1.2 2.5	1.4 3.6	0.8 1.5	

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presented the decision-making model based on the application of NFs. The model was applied in order to make a one-step forward decision considering historical data of daily stock returns. The experimental investigation has shown NFs predictor predict the close index price enabling NFs Portfolio management to make a trading strategy decision achieving more stable results and higher profits. For future work, several issues could be considered. Other techniques, such as support vector machines, genetic algorithms, etc. can be applied for further comparisons. And other stock index groups, another stock exchange or other industries in addition to electronics one is further considered for comparisons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank participants for their helpful comments and invaluable discussions with them. This piece of work was partly under the Graduate Fund for Ph.D. Student, Rangsit University, Pathumthanee, Thailand.

References

- Babuska, A. R., (2002) 'Neuro-fuzzy methods for modeling and identification', Recent Advances in intelligent Paradigms and Application, pp. 161–186.
- [2] Cardon, O., Herrera, F. and Villar, P. (2000) 'Analysis and Guidelines to Obtain A Good Uniform Fuzzy rule Based System Using simulated Annealing', Int'l J. of Approximated Reason 25, No. 3, pp. 187–215.
- [3] Chapman, A. J. (1994) 'Stock market reading systems through neural networks: developing a model', Int'l J. of Apply Expert Systems, Vol. 2, No. 2 pp. 88-100
- [4] Chen A.S., Leuny, M.T., and Daoun, H. (2003) 'Application of Neural Networks to an Emerging Financial Market: Forecasting and Trading The Taiwan Stock Index', Computers and Operations Research, Vol. 30, pp. 901–902.
- [5] Conner, N.O. and Madden, M. (2006) 'A Neural Network Approach to Pre-diction Stock Exchange Movements Using External Factor', Knowledge Based System, Vol. 19, pp. 371 – 378.
- [6] James N.K. Liu and Raymond W.M. KWong (2006) 'Automatic Extraction and Identification of chart Patterns Towards Financial Forecast', Applied soft Computing, Vol. 1, pp. 1–12.
- [7] Doeksen, B., Abraham, A., Thomas, J. and Paprzycki, M. (2005) 'Real Stock Trading Using Soft Computing Models', IEEE Int'l Conf. on Information Technology: Coding and Computing, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, pp. 123-129.
- [8] Dutta, S. and Shekhar, S. (1990) 'Bond rating: A non-conservative application of neural networks', IEEE Int'l Conf. on Neural Networks, San Diego, CA, USA, pp. 124 – 130.
- [9] Farber, J.D. and Sidorowich, J.J. (1988) 'Can new approaches to nonlinear modeling improve economic forecasts?', The Economy As An Evolving Complex System, pp. 99–115.
- [10] Hiemstra, Y. (1995) 'Modeling Structured Nonlinear Knowledge to Predict Stock Markets: Theory', Evidena and Applications, Irwin, pp. 163–175.
- [11] Hutchinson, J. M., Lo, A and Poggio, T. (1994) 'A nonparametric approach to pricing and hedging derivative securities via learning networks', Int'l J. of Finance, Vol. 49, pp. 851-889.
- [12] James, N.K., Raymond, W.M. and Wong, K. (2006) 'Automatic Extraction and Identification of chart Patterns towards Financial Forecast', Applied soft Computing, Vol. 1, pp. 1 12.
 [13] LeBaron, B. and Weigend, A. S. (1994) 'Evaluating neural network
- [13] LeBaron, B. and Weigend, A. S. (1994) 'Evaluating neural network predictors by bootstrapping', Int'l Conf. on Neural Information Process, Seoul, Korea, pp. 1207–1212.
- [14] Li, R.-J. and Xiong, Z.-B. (2005) 'Forecasting Stock Market with Fuzzy Neural Network', 4th Int'l Conf. on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Guangzhou, China, pp. 3475–3479.
- [15] Radeerom, M., Srisa-an, C., K., Kasemsan M. L.K. (2008) 'Prediction Method for Real Thai Stock Index Based on Neurofuzzy Approach', Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering : Trends in Intelligent Systems and Computer Engineering, Vol. 6, pp. 327–347.
- [16] Refenes, P., Abu-Mustafa, Y., Moody, J.E. and Weigend, A.S. (eds.) (1996) Neural Networks in Financial Engineering, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [17] Tanigawa, T. and Kamijo, K. (1992) 'Stock price pattern matching system: dynamic programming neural network approach', Int'l J. Conf. on on Neural Networks, Vol. 2, pp. 59 – 69.
- [18] Takagi, T. and Sugeno, M. (1985) 'Fuzzy identification of systems and its application to modeling and control', IEEE Trans. on System, Man and Cybernetics, Vol. 5, pp. 116–132.
- [19] Trippi, R. and Lee, K. (1996) Artificial Intelligence in Finance & Investing, Chicago, IL, Irwin.
- [20] Tsaih R. Hsn, V. R and Lai, C. C. (1998) 'Forecasting S&P500 Stock Index Future with A Hybrid AI System', *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 23, pp. 161–174.