Some Results on the Experimental Implementation of a State-Affine Observer for a DC Motor


Abstract—This work deals with the experimental results of implementing a nonlinear state-affine observer for a shunt connected DC motor. The observer is able to estimate the state variables and one unknown parameter. The rest of the parameters are modeled by algebraic functions that are based on data obtained from experimental steady state and transient tests. Estimated states are compared to the corresponding experimental states. A free open source Linux distribution and a commercial data acquisition board are used as the real-time platform.

Index Terms—state-affine observer, DC motor, data acquisition, real-time.

I. INTRODUCTION

STATE observers are based on a dynamic model that usually supposes the exact knowledge of all of the parameters. If parameters vary, then the accuracy of the state estimation diminishes. Since an improved version of the state variables and parameters may provide a more reliable closed loop performance, it is desirable to address the estimation of most of the state variables and the dynamic identification of most of the parameters. On the other hand, it is well known that parameters of any electric machine depend on the operating conditions. In practice, they depend on steady state and even transient conditions. Therefore, variations of parameters are expected to occur during any experimental test. In this work we represent the parametric variations by defining algebraic functions depending on some of the state variables. These algebraic descriptions are derived by using the off-line data that are obtained from experimental steady state and transient tests.

Our aim is to estimate some state variables and to identify the load torque by using the nonlinear state affine observer, whereas the rest of the parameters are represented by the mentioned algebraic descriptions. Similarly, contribution [1] proposes several nonlinear observers for estimating the state and the load torque. In contrast, the interesting contribution in [1] refers to a series-connected DC motor where most of the parameters are assumed to be constant.

In our work, a ninth-order dynamic system that includes some state equations for the dynamic gains is solved by the real-time platform. The subsystem to be observed is a third order nonlinear dynamic system.

Some mathematical tools may be used to perform state estimation and parametric identification simultaneously, see [2] [3] [4] [5]. Several reduced order nonlinear observers have been proposed in the literature for sensorless applications, [6]. There are some previous works related to the observation problem of MIMO state affine systems with constant unknown parameters [7]. There are other works that deal with state estimation for affine linear parameter varying systems [8]. In contrast, our investigation addresses parametric variations that are non-linear.

In this work, we employ RTAI-Lab [9]. It is a free open-source Linux-based real-time software. It has been used in previous works, [1], [10], [11], [12]. Contribution [10] describes an RTAI-Lab application for a DC motor where a discrete-time linear time-invariant observer is implemented. Contributions [1], [10] and [11] consider that all of the parameters associated to the electric subsystem of the motor are constant. In contrast, we employ a nonlinear state-affine observer with non-constant parameters. Improved results are presented in this paper since it involves the natural nonlinear behavior associated to this type of systems and considers the parametric variations.

II. MODELING OF THE DC MACHINE

A. State Space Representation

Consider the following nonlinear dynamic equation that describes the transient and steady state behavior of a shunt connected DC motor

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ w_r \\ i_f \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -r_{ia} L_{an} \\ -\frac{k_a \Phi w_r}{L_{an}} + \frac{v_a}{L_{an}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
y &= \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ i_f \end{bmatrix}^T
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x = [i_a \ w_r \ i_f]^T \) is the state, \( u = v_a \) is the input, \( y = [i_a \ i_f]^T \) is the measured output, \( \Phi \) is the direct-axis air gap flux and \( k_a \) is a constant defined by the design of the winding. Standard notation is employed for the rest of the variables/parameters.

B. Parametric identification

Parameters have been identified by performing several transient and steady state tests. For example, the field inductance was determined by obtaining some transient experimental data. In particular, a set of step voltages is applied to the field winding and the transient response of the current
was recorded. Figure 1 shows several experimental transient traces for different step voltages. An off-line calculation is then performed to obtain the field inductance. The armature inductance was obtained by carrying out similar experimental tests. Both armature and field resistances were calculated after obtaining experimental data from several steady state tests.

All of those tests have suggested that different mathematical functions are necessary for an adequate representation of the parameters. In practice, each of the parameters depend on a particular state variable that is associated to the actual operating conditions. As an example, Figure 2 shows the self-inductance of the field winding as a function of the field current. It depicts both the experimental data and the corresponding data obtained by using a function-based representation.

The direct-axis air gap flux, denoted by \( \Phi \), is in general associated to the field current \( i_f \). A standard assumption for the ideal DC machine is that magnetic saturation is neglected. In other words, \( k_a \Phi = L_{AF} i_f \). In our case, the experimental data presented in Figure 3 define a nonlinear relationship between the open circuit armature voltage \( v_a \) and \( i_f \) or equivalently between \( k_a \Phi \) and \( L_{AF} i_f \).

Fig. 1. Experimental traces of the field current

Fig. 2. \( L_{ff} \). (*) experimental data, (-) function-based data.

Fig. 3. Experimental magnetization curves for different rotor speeds.

Fig. 4. \( k_a \Phi - i_f \) relationship is obtained, see Figure 4.

Considering all of the experimental data obtained from several transient and steady state tests adequate expressions for each one of the parameters are proposed:

\[
L_{ff} = A_1 + A_2 \sqrt{|i_f|}; \quad L_{aa} = B_1 + B_2 \sqrt{|i_a|} \\
\Phi = C_1 + C_2 \sqrt{|i_f|}; \quad r_f = D_1 i_f^2 + D_2 i_f + D_3 \\
r_a = E_1 i_a^2 + E_2 i_a + E_3
\] (2)

It is important to note that the expression for \( k_a \Phi \) includes the voltage associated to the residual flux that is evident from the experimental magnetization curves, see Figure 3. Standard procedures for curve fitting are employed for calculating each constant involved in the above representations, i.e.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1, A_2 &= [9.125, 22.495] \\
B_1, B_2 &= [5.323 \times 10^{-3}, 22.972 \times 10^{-3}] \\
C_1, C_2 &= [0.0099, 1.2215] \\
D_1, D_2, D_3 &= [262.60, 0, 324.6772] \\
E_1, E_2, E_3 &= [0.0788, 0, 7.5815]
\end{align*}
\] (3)

These functions represent an excellent model for the parameters even during conditions that are located out of the range of the experimental data. In addition, the inertia is defined as a constant and known parameter, i.e. \( J = 0.0058 \)
N.m. In contrast, the load torque $T_L$ is considered as an unknown time-varying parameter to be estimated. Custom-made electronics designs are employed for the observer implementation and the off-line identification of the parameters.

III. ANALYSIS OF OBSERVABILITY

In this section the analysis of the observability is carried out. From (1) we can write the following dynamic subsystem

$$\dot{z} = f(z,y_m) + \varphi(u,y_m)$$

(4)

where

$$f(z,y_m) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r_a i_a}{L_a} - \frac{k_a \Phi_w}{L_a} \\ \frac{v}{L_a} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\varphi(u,y_m) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v}{L_a} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} ; \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ u \end{bmatrix}$$

From (1) we can write the following dynamic subsystem

$$h = y_m = i_a ; \quad u = v_a$$

The space of functions $O$ is given by

$$O = \{h, L_f h(z), L_f^2 h(z)\}$$

$$= \{i_a, -r_a i_a \frac{L_a}{L_a} + k_a \Phi_w, L_f^2 h(z)\}$$

where

$$L_f h(z) = f_1$$

and

$$L_f^2 h(z) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} \frac{k_a \Phi_w}{L_a}, T_L = F_2$$

Then, the observation space is given by

$$dO = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dh}{dt} \\ \frac{dL_f h(z)}{dt} \\ \frac{dL_f^2 h(z)}{dt} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} & -\frac{k_a \Phi_w}{L_a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{k_a \Phi_w}{L_a} \end{bmatrix}$$

Calculating the determinant of $dO$, we obtain

$$\det(dO) = \frac{k_a^2 \Phi^2}{L_a^2} \omega_r$$

If $\det(dO)$ is different to zero, then the system is observable. In other words, the system (4) is not observable if

$$\omega_r = 0$$

or

$$-\frac{k_a^2 \Phi^2}{L_a^2} = 0$$

IV. OBSERVER FOR A STATE AFFINE SYSTEM

Consider the state affine system defined by

$$\dot{z} = A(y_m)z + \varphi(u,y_m)$$

$$y_m = Cz$$

(5)

where entries of the matrix $A(y_m)$ and the vector $\varphi(u,y_m)$ are uniformly bounded continuous functions depending on $u$ and/or $y_m$. [4] [5]. Then, the system

$$\dot{z} = A(y_m)z + \varphi(u,y_m) + S^{-1} C^T (y_m - Cz)$$

$$\dot{S}_z = -\rho_i S_z - A(y_m)^T S_z - S_z A(y_m) + C^T C S$$

is an observer for (5). Where $S_z(0) > 0$, $\rho_i$ is a sufficiently large positive constant and $\Sigma$ is a bounded positive definite matrix. Moreover, the estimation error $e_z := \dot{z} - z$ converges exponentially to zero with a rate that is defined by $\rho_i$. A detailed proof of the stability of the estimation error can be found in [5].

From (1) we can write the following dynamic subsystem

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ w_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{k_a \Phi_w}{L_a} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ w_r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} -r_a i_a + \frac{v}{L_a} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} T_L$$

$$y_m = Cz = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_a & w_r & T_L \end{bmatrix}^T$$

By comparing the above equation and the dynamic system in (5), it is clear the definition for $z, A(u,y_m), \varphi(u,y_m)$ and $C$. Parameters are defined by expressions given by (2). After a long algebraic procedure and employing equations (6) and (7), the following ninth order state equation is obtained

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{i}_a \\ \dot{w}_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(k_a \Phi_w - r_a i_a + V_a)}{T_L} & -\frac{(S_{22} S_{33} - S_{23}^2)(i_a - i_a)}{T_L} \\ T_L & \frac{(S_{11} S_{33} - S_{13} S_{31} + k_i^2 i_a - k_i i_a)}{T_L} \end{bmatrix}$$

Expression defined by (8) will be used for implementing the nonlinear state-affine observer. It is clear that some of the variables/parameters involved in (8) are calculated by the real-time software while other variables are measured by the acquisition-board/real-time-software combination.

V. RESULTS

This section presents the experimental traces that result of applying the nonlinear state-affine observer to the DC motor. Expression (8) is solved by the real-time software (RTAI). The block-based main program is illustrated in Figure 5. In order to organize the block-based program several subroutines (superblocks) are included. As it is shown in Figure 5, three variables ($i_f, i_a$ and $v_a$ ) have to be measured for solving the ninth order nonlinear state-affine observer. Several FIFO blocks are also used for recording the transient variables during the experiments. Details of the procedure for compiling the block-based program can be found in [1], [9] and [10].

Several tests were carried out. In the first one, the nonlinear observer starts to work before the electric machine is powered up. A DC voltage is applied to the terminals of the DC motor at approximately 4 seconds. Once the DC motor is in steady state conditions, a random load torque is applied. Figures 6 and 7 present both the observer-based armature current and its corresponding measured version. Figure 6 depicts the transient behavior of the armature current during
the initial 0.25 seconds. It shows the capabilities of the non-linear observer to reach the measured output. It is important to recall that the measured armature current is utilized to calculate the corrective term of the nonlinear observer. The first armature current peak in Figure 7 corresponds to the electromagnetic torque that is required to overcome the mechanical rotor inertia and the machine friction/losses during the start up. The rest of the current peaks in Figure 7 are due to the application of several random load torque steps at the shaft of the electric machine. From Figures 6 and 7 it is evident that once the observer-based variable reaches the measured variable, their behavior are identical even during the load torque disturbances. The electric machine is shut down at approximately 16 seconds. In this particular test, the experimental system has the following initial conditions:  

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_a(0) & \omega_r(0) & T_L(0) \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T;$$  

$$S_z(0) = \text{diag}[1 \ 1 \ 1]; \quad \rho_z = 77; \quad \Sigma = 1; \quad J = 0.0058.$$

Figures 8 and 9 present the transient behavior of the rotor speed. Figure 8 corresponds to the initial 0.25 seconds of the experiment. It is clear that eventually, the observer-based state variable is very similar to the measured variable. Figure 9 shows the speed variations due to the start-up and the random application of the load torque. The experiment shows that the dynamic system in (7) holds the observability property even during non-power-up conditions. The experimental rotor speed is not strictly equal to zero due to the typical noise that appears normally during experimental tests (see Figure 8). As a consequence, the system (7) is observable. It is important to note that the non-linear observer is able to provide a reliable motor speed even during the random application of the load torque.

The load torque is a parameter that is estimated by the non-linear observer. Figure 10 shows the transient behavior of the load torque. As it is expected, the peaks of the observer-based load torque illustrated in Figure 10 correspond to the rotor speed variations in Figure 9.

A second experiment was carried out. In this new test, both the electric machine and the nonlinear state affine observer are started up at the same time. In this experiment, the
nonlinear observer is required to work during an initial non steady state operation of the electric machine. These are more demanding conditions compared to the conditions of the previous test where the observer started to work during a steady state condition of the machine. Similar to the first test, several random load torque steps are applied to the shaft of the electric machine. The electric machine is shut down at 15.5 seconds approximately.

Figures 11 and 12 illustrate the dynamics of the measured armature current and its corresponding observed based version. Figure 11 presents the transient behavior during the initial 0.5 seconds. It is interesting to note how the value provided by the non-linear observer reaches the measured version of the armature current at 0.22 seconds approximately. Due to the simultaneous start up of the observer and the electric machine, increased estimated values are obtained, e.g. the observed based armature current is in the range of -20 to 7 amperes.

Figures 13 and 14 show the dynamics of the rotor speed. Figure 13 illustrates the initial 0.5 seconds. Increased values are obtained due to the simultaneous start up of the observer and the electric machine. The nonlinear observer even reached negative rotor speeds. At approximately 0.22 seconds both the observer estimation and the measured rotor speed have almost the same values.

Figure 15 shows the transient behavior of the estimated load torque during this second test. The load torque provided by the observer reaches 12 Nm during the start up; this is about 10 times the peak value estimated during the first test. As it is expected, eventually the range of the estimated transient value for the load torque was similar to that obtained during the first experiment.

Figure 15 also illustrates the variations of the load torque;
VI. CONCLUSIONS

This work presents the experimental results of implementing a state-affine observer for a shunt connected DC motor. It considers the nonlinear dynamic nature associated to the electric machine and also involves the parametric variations. As a result, improved experimental transients have been obtained compared to those transients presented in previous works (see [1], [10], [11]).
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