Facebook – A Place for Learning Activities?

Rachael K. F. IP, Yun HUANG

Abstract— This research investigated how the concepts of perceived boundary and habit impact on students’ online assignment submission process. This study adopted Facebook as the assignment submission platform because Facebook is currently the most popular social networking site used by the majority of the younger generations. It is currently used by many instructors for academic purposes, however, the major usages are around information dissemination and communication among co-learners. To explore more Facebook uses for academic purposes, this study aims at examining the possibilities of extending the uses of Facebook for assignment completion and submission. A research framework was proposed to measure the impact of the two above-mentioned constructs on students’ assignment completion and submission process. A pilot study was then conducted by asking a class of students to submit their 5 pieces of course assignments electronically. Multiple channels were proposed for submission, including emails, university Moodle, and Facebook. It is found that 76% of the students submitted their assignments with Facebook. Although the majority of students had submitted their assignments to the course Facebook page, it doesn’t mean that this group of students preferred to have their social and learning activities mingled together. The way of their assignment submission differed from the way they performed their normal Facebook activities. All of them were using Facebook timeline for submission, which made their postings separate from their normal Facebook social activities. The result of this pilot study reveals that Facebook possesses the flexibility in addressing the demands between learning and social domains, but, permeability does not exist in our case. Based on this small scaled pilot study, we can make a preliminary conclusion that students are reluctant to make their learning identities cross-over to their social domain although social and learning activities are taking place in the same online platform. A refined research framework and new propositions were proposed.

Index Terms—Facebook, social networking site, boundary theory, activity theory, habit

I. INTRODUCTION

FACEBOOK, is the most popular social networking site (SNS) that most of the younger generations visit regularly. Many of the digital natives spend significantly their time in this social environment. Some of them have their Facebook account always connected to the Internet so as to interact with their peer groups by positing entries, reading, and responding to their friends’ postings continuously. This kind of online interaction has already become the social routines of many of our students. Facebook can even be described as part of their daily life due to such heavy uses.

According to alexa.com, the number of Facebook users is around 1.11 billion. Such popularity is further intensified by the BYOD (Bring-your-own-device) phenomenon. BYOD phenomenon becomes popular when possessing smartphones and tablet computers becomes the norms among our younger generations. With such convenient devices and the availability of wireless networks, connection to social networking sites becomes the daily routines of the majority of our students.

To grasp the opportunities provided by the upsurge of the BYOD phenomenon and SNSs, it may be possible that learning activities can be integrated in the social environment in which our students spend much of their time.

Currently, Facebook has been deployed by many teachers as a complementary tool to their teaching [9], [10], [17]. Many researches demonstrate the positive sides of Facebook toward teaching and learning [9], [10], [17], while other studies show the negative effect brought by Facebook [11], [22]. The majority of successful cases of Facebook adoption evidence the communication and coordination effectiveness, while the negative examples mainly focus on the distraction brought by this SNS.

Every coin has two facets. In spite of the distraction brought by the Facebook social activities, it could be beneficial to our students if we bring learning activities to this environment since our students have already spent much of their time in and be very familiar with this platform. To understand the possibility of integrating learning activities into this social environment, this study aims at investigating how course assignments are completed and submitted in the Facebook platform. We had developed our research framework based on different theories and a pilot study had been conducted to assess the suitability of such learning and social activities integration.

The following of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the current Facebook phenomenon and the theoretical building blocks of our original research framework. Section 3 shows the finding of our pilot study. Section 4 depicts the refinement of our research framework and propositions based on the pilot study findings and followed by the conclusion.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Facebook for Learning Purposes

It is not uncommon that Facebook is used by instructors in their teaching-related activities. Many studies have been conducted to evaluate how Facebook contributes to learning
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and positive and negative results are both found. Since students are familiar with the Facebook environment, it is more efficient and effective to have their learning-related activities conducted [9], [10] in the environment our students feel most comfortable. Facebook is a suitable platform for instructors to disseminate information and encourage communications because most of the students visit Facebook regularly, and therefore, messages can easily be seen by them. However, [17] finds the level of engagement with social-oriented Facebook activities has a negative correlation with the students’ academic grades. Other studies find that Facebook will make students’ learning activities. If instructors want to adopt Facebook for learning purposes, they have to consider seriously about the boundary between social and work domains. Boundary theory helps individuals to recognize their identity [2]. It allows individuals to distinguish ‘what is acceptable’ from ‘what is unacceptable’ under such identity [2], [7] and to know the edge of appropriate behavior under the identity [5]. Through the understanding of what is acceptable within the boundary, an individual can then know what is expected from them and what they can expect from others. Boundary theory, therefore, can be considered as one of the tools to help an individual to clarify the relationship as well as the associated expectations on the others within a certain boundary.

Differentiation in social space creates boundaries [2], while flexibility and permeability are mechanisms to integrate these differentiated domains. Flexibility means “the extent to which a border may contract or expand, depending on the demands of one domain or the other” [6], while permeability is “the degree to which elements from other domains may enter” [5]. When two domains are flexible and permeable, they are blended [5].

D. **BYOD Phenomenon**

Since there are not much sensitive data in the school networks, teachers are not prohibited to bring their own notebooks to the classrooms for better delivery of their teaching materials. Therefore, BYOD phenomenon has been existing in educational institutions for decades. Owing to the popularity of mobile devices, such as smartphones and tablets, the BYOD phenomenon has become pervasive in almost all kinds of business, except those industries deal with sensitive data [20]. In our classrooms, our students are also bringing their own devices. Their uses of these devices are mainly for socialization, such as sending messages, watching “muted” videos and accessing their Facebook accounts. In addition to searching information for class discussion, not many of our students are using their mobile devices to perform other types of learning activities.

E. **Activity Theory**

Activity theory is a “Philosophical framework for studying different forms of human praxis as developmental process, with both individual and social levels interlinked” [13, p.253]. Activity theory helps teachers to design constructive learning environments (CLEs) for their students. Such CLEs help the learners to construct their own knowledge through participating actively in the learning activities. Among all the activity framework components, tools are comparatively more important because tools shape the ways learners interact with their surroundings. Tools help to link learners to work together to fulfill their assigned goals. Such collaboration relies heavily on the rules and regulations that the learning community has developed. When designing learning activities conducted in Facebook, it is essential for us, as the instructors, to consider how this tool influences the effectiveness of our designed learning activities.

### III. PILOT STUDY

A. **Research Framework and Design**

With the theoretical background and prior studies as the foundation, we developed a research framework to address the following two propositions to investigate how Facebook contributes to the effectiveness of our students’ learning outcomes.

P1: When a learner habitually visits Facebook, he or she will automatically perform both social and learning activities in the same environment.

P2: A learner’s perceived boundary between social and non-social domains will influence his or her willingness to perform his or her learning activities in Facebook.

To test the propositions, a group of 41 final year students was asked to submit their assignments by whatever means they preferred. At the beginning of Semester B of year 2012-2103, we had set up a course Facebook page and a specific email account for the students to communicate with their instructor. Therefore, students had the choices of submitting their assignments to the university Moodle, emailing to their instructor, submitting to Facebook page, or in hardcopies. The brief description of the assignment is attached as the
B. Findings

During the semester, students had to submit 5 reflective journals as part of the course assessment requirements. Table 1 shows the ways of the journal submission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission Means</th>
<th>Number of students submitting their journals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facebook page</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardcopies</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No submission</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Facebook submission, it is interesting to find that all the submissions were submitted to the “timeline” of the course Facebook page, instead of submission as an update status. Among the 31 students who submitted their journal entries to the Facebook page, 17 of them were using their own “real” Facebook accounts, while the rest (i.e. 14 students) used “newly created” accounts for submission.

IV. Refined Research Framework

A. Discussion of the Pilot Study Findings

The findings of the pilot study reveal some interesting insights to encourage refining our research framework for future study.

First – although the majority of students were submitting their assignments in the Facebook page, their submission way differed from the way they submitted their social postings. Instead of submitting to the general “update status”, all students submitted their assignments to the Facebook page “timeline”. “Timeline” is separated from the general Facebook view.

Second – only slightly more than half of the students who submitted their journals were using their own “real” Facebook accounts. 14 out of the 31 students created a new account for submission.

B. Discussion

The findings of the pilot study demonstrate that Facebook is able to be used by our students as one of the channels to complete and submit their assignments. However, it is hard to tell whether habit plays a significant influence on the adoption of Facebook for learning activities. Since there were only 17 out of 41 students were using their own Facebook accounts for submission, (We assume that this group of students visited their own Facebook regularly), this small number of occurrences (around 41% of the subjects) cannot help to draw any conclusion on Proposition one.

For P2, the answer is two-folded. We found that 31% of the students (around 76% of the subjects) were submitting their assignments through Facebook page. Although there is no evidence showing that they completed the entries solely with Facebook (We cannot make the assumption that our students had completed the assignment in the Facebook). There might be chances that they developed their entries with other means and only submitted them with Facebook timeline.), the assignment submission process was definitely by Facebook. Based on this observation, we can conclude that Facebook helps to change students’ assignment submission process.

However, we cannot conclude that our students had blended the boundary of social and learning domains within the Facebook environment. Based on the observation of the submission methods, we can conclude that the boundary of Facebook between social and non-social domain possesses the characteristics of flexibility, but not permeability. Facebook possesses the flexibility mechanism because our students were able to complete and submit their assignments within the same environment. That means the border between social and non-social can be blended. However, there were only a small number of students using their own real Facebook accounts and all of them submitted their assignments to the timeline. It makes us to draw another conclusion that the majority of our students were reluctant to show their student identities in front of their social groups. Such behavior demonstrates their willingness of making their student roles permeate to their social environment is low. Possible explanations may include:

Facebook culture

The collective culture of Facebook is quite high. According to [25], when an individual is in a loose culture, he or she tends to be more individualistic. On the contrary, an individual tends to hide its individual identity in a strong collective culture. Therefore, even our students were willing to submit the assignments with Facebook, they would find a way to lessen the exposure of such learning activities to their own Facebook community. It demonstrates that Facebook is mainly for socialization (at least from the eyes of this group of students). This kind of norms made our students refuse to post their assignments in normal Facebook page. Therefore, it is found that even Facebook can be used for learning activities and possesses the flexibility for both domains, the actors (i.e. our students) cannot wholly switch their roles between different domains in such social environment.

Social comparison

Another possible explanation to such reluctance of identity exposure is our students’ perceived social comparison. Social comparison is defined as “an important means through which people come to understand their identities as organization members” [4]. Our students would compare their behavior with other Facebook community members to see whether it was acceptable or not. Based on this suspicion, our students tended to hide their identities by submitting their assignments in a sub-domain within the Facebook environment.

C. Refined Research Model and Propositions

Based on our pilot study and the additional explanations to the findings, we have refined our research framework as
Further encourage our students to perform learning activities in the environment they usually spend a lot of their time.

Theoretical Contribution

Our original research framework aimed at exploring how perceived boundary impacted on learning activities taken place in a social environment. Our pilot study reveals the fact that different characteristics of the same boundary will have different impact on the adoption. Flexibility and permeability of the same border will impact the actor’s behavior differently. In addition to habit and perceived boundary, social comparison also takes place in influencing the way actors behave in the social environment.
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