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Abstract—The need to control information flow to a restricted set of accepted protocols arises from the vulnerabilities that may come from any protocol. Reducing the acceptable protocols to a small set of well-tested standard protocols will reduce the attack surface and provide high confidence in selected communications. These protocols are restricted to specific ports or addresses in the receiving web service. HTTPS is familiarly restricted to port 443. In the standard nomenclature, this traffic may be configured as either Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) or User Datagram Protocol (UDP). The standard ports are defined by Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA). The IANA is responsible for maintaining the official assignments of port numbers for specific uses. However, many unofficial uses of both well-known and registered port numbers occur in practice. Screening of acceptable ports and protocols has been done, in the past, by network appliances known as firewalls. Communications on the approved list were permitted, others blocked. However, many appliances now have such functionality and the server or service may have a host-based security system that can apply this functionality. This paper covers enterprise considerations for screening of ports and protocols.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Guidance and policies that govern the use, configuration, and management of the communication protocols in use by the web services and applications that are connected to the network are required for interoperability and security. Policies specify the proper use of Port, Protocols, and Services (PPS) in order to control what types of communications are allowed to cross the boundaries of the networks. Basically, a port is an access channel to and from a specific service, and a protocol is a standardized way for computers to exchange information. Data on the network is sent and received by software that automatically organizes such data to be transferred into packets, made in a standardized way (defined by the protocol in use) so that the destination host can recognize them as data and properly decode them. Network clients use different ports or channels (which are given standardized numbers) to transfer data. The port number (and the destination IP address) is included as part of the header each packet is given in order to deliver the packet to the proper end-point service. The policies on PPS are typically enforced by network and security appliances and software such as routers, firewalls, and intrusion detection/protection devices that protect the boundary of the network or reside at the end-points (i.e., web services or clients).

Table 1 Some Example Ports and Protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Messaging Protocol</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>TCP, UDP</td>
<td>The Message Send Protocol (MSP) is an application layer protocol. Defined in RFC 1312 [2].</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>TCP, UDP</td>
<td>Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP)</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>Post Office Protocol v3 (POP3) is an email retrieval protocol. RFC 1081 [4].</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP)</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>UDP</td>
<td>Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) defined in RFC 3411[1].</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>TCP, UDP</td>
<td>Internet Protocol Exchange (IPX) RFC 1132</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>TCP, UDP</td>
<td>Hypertext Transfer Protocol over TLS/SSL (HTTPS) RFC 2818.</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) as specified in RFC 6409.</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2195</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>Apple Push Notification service link Apple proprietary.</td>
<td>Unofficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4502</td>
<td>TCP, UDP</td>
<td>Microsoft Silverlight connectable ports under non-elevated trust</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8080</td>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>HTTP alternate</td>
<td>Official</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ports may be well-known, registered, and dynamic/private:

- Well-Known: Port numbers 0 through 1023 are used for common, well-known services.
- Registered: Port numbers 1024 through 49151 are the registered ports used for IANA-registered services.
- Dynamic/Private: Ports 49152 through 65535 are dynamic ports that are not officially designated for any specific service, and may be used for any purpose. They also are used as ephemeral ports, from which software running on the host may randomly choose a port in order to define itself. In effect, they are used as temporary ports, primarily by clients when communicating with servers. Dynamic/private ports can also be used by end-user applications, but are less commonly used so. Dynamic/private ports do not contain any meaning outside of any particular TCP connection.
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Protocol standards may be:

- **Proprietary** – Set by an individual developer for use with his products or products developed by members in his consortium. This creates serious interoperability problems among different developers, and is a barrier to entry to new developers who do not agree to consortium rules.
- **De-Facto** – Openly published by an individual developer, but adopted by enough developers that the protocols are widely in use. This promotes interoperability and the open publication removes barriers to entry.
- **Standards-body-based** – Are industry-wide protocol definitions that are not tied to a particular manufacturer. With standard protocols, you can mix and match equipment from different vendors. As long as the equipment implements the standard protocols, it should be able to coexist on the same network.

Many organizations are involved in setting standards for networking. The most important organizations for the web are:

- **International Organization for Standardization (ISO)** – A federation of more than 100 standards organizations from throughout the world.
- **Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)** – The organization responsible for the protocols that drive the Internet. These standards are cited by reference to their Request For Comment (RFC).

### II. COMMUNICATION MODELS

The **Internet Model** is a group of communications protocols used for the Internet and similar networks. The Internet model is commonly known as TCP/IP, because of its most important protocols, the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and the Internet Protocol (IP). TCP/IP provides connectivity specifying how data should be formatted, addressed, transmitted, routed, and received at the destination. This functionality has been organized into four abstraction layers:

- **Application Layer** – Example Protocols: BGP[15], DNS[16], FTP[17], others...
- **Transport Layer** – Example Protocols: TCP, UDP, DCCP[18], others...
- **Internet Layer** – Example Internet Layer Protocols: IP[19], ECN[20], IPsec[21], others...
- **Link Layer** – Example Link Layer Protocols: Ethernet[22], DSL[23], PPP[24], others....

These layers are used to sort all related protocols according to the scope of the networking involved. IETF documents RFC 1122 [25] and RFC 1123 [26] describe the Internet Protocol suite and model.

An alternative model, the **Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) model** [27], is often used to describe protocols. The OSI model defines protocols in seven layers. The layers are: (1) Physical, (2) Data Link, (3) Network, (4) Transport, (5) Session, (6) Presentation, and (7) Application. The OSI model defines protocol implementations for its layers, and some of the specific details at each layer differ from those of the Internet model.

The OSI model, while popularly referenced, has succumbed to the Internet model. Unless specified, the Internet model will be used in this document.

### III. PORTS IN TRANSPORT PROTOCOLS

Two primary transport protocols are used in the Internet, along with a plethora of special purpose ones. In this description, we limit the discussion to TCP and UDP. For both of these protocols the port information is explicit in the header information, and it can be used by firewalls and servers to make an “accept or drop” decision.

#### A. The Transmission Control Protocol

TCP is one of the core protocols of the Internet Protocol suite and is so common that the entire suite is often called TCP/IP. Residing at the transport layer, TCP provides end-to-end, reliable, ordered, and error-checked delivery of a stream of octets between programs running on computers connected to a local area network, an intranet, or the public Internet. Web browsers use TCP when they connect to servers on the World Wide Web, and it is used to deliver email and transfer files from one location to another. A variety of other higher-layer protocols use TCP/IP, such as HTTP, HTTPS, SMTP, POP3, IMAP, FTP, and their messages are typically encapsulated in TCP packets. TCP also provides a form of message flow control that will adapt its transmission rate to the congestion on the network. Applications that do not require the reliability of a TCP connection may instead use the connectionless User Datagram Protocol (UDP), which emphasizes low-overhead operation and reduced latency rather than error-checking and delivery validation.

TCP uses TCP Port Numbers to identify sending and receiving application end-points on the hosts. Each side of a TCP connection has an associated internet socket, defined as the host IP address and port number reserved by the sending or receiving application. Port 0 is generally reserved and should not be used. Arriving TCP data packets are identified as belonging to a specific TCP connection by its two sockets, that is, the four-tuple from the combination of source host IP address, source port, destination host IP address, and destination port. This means that a server computer can provide several clients with services simultaneously, as long as the four-tuples differ. A single client can have concurrent requests for a service, as long as the client takes care of initiating any connections to one destination port from different source ports. Well-known applications, running as servers and passively listening for connections typically use TCP ports. Some examples include:

- **FTP (Ports 20 and 21),**
- **SMTP (Port 25),**
- **SSL/TLS, HTTPS(Port 443),**
- **HTTP (Port 80).**

#### B. The User Datagram Protocol

UDP is one of the core members of the Internet protocol suite (the set of network protocols used for the Internet). With UDP, computer applications can send messages, in this case referred to as datagrams, to other hosts on an
Internet protocol network without prior communications to set up special transmission channels or data paths. UDP uses a simple transmission model with a minimum of protocol mechanisms and overhead. It has no handshaking dialogues, and thus exposes any unreliability of the underlying network protocol to the user’s program. Because this is normally IP over unreliable media, there is no guarantee of delivery, ordering, or duplicate protection. UDP provides checksums for data integrity, and port numbers for addressing different functions at the source and destination of the datagram. UDP is suitable for purposes for which error-checking and correction either are not necessary or are performed in the application, avoiding the overhead of such processing at the network interface level. Time-sensitive applications often use UDP because dropping packets is preferable to waiting for delayed packets, which may not be an option in a real-time system. If error-correction facilities are needed at the network interface level, an application would use the TCP or Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP), which are designed for this purpose.

UDP uses UDP Port Numbers to identify sending and receiving application end-points on a host, or Internet sockets. Each side of a UDP connection may have an associated port number reserved by the sending or receiving application. However, unlike TCP, a source port is not required for UDP data packets. Packets are identified as belonging to a specific UDP connection by its combination of source host address, source port (if given), destination host address, and destination port. Some UDP port numbers include:

- FTP (Port 20),
- Encrypted SMTP (Port 26),
- and NTP (Port 123).

IV. THREATS CONSIDERED

Many of the common protocols and services in use have known vulnerabilities and exploits. They must either be prevented from operating or be allowed with mitigations implemented elsewhere. For example, FTP is known to have severe vulnerabilities and should not be used without mitigating actions. Some protocols are so vulnerable and dangerous that they provide unfettered entry to systems in some cases. Threats, once set up in a system, will use unused ports to call out to their control programs. Restrictions should be applied to both incoming and outgoing messaging. In general, the Enterprise Level Security (ELS) should have a “deny all–permit by exception” policy to block all incoming and outgoing ports unless explicitly permitted. Incoming ports are typically controlled, but outgoing ports are sometime left uncontrolled. If some ports are not explicitly blocked for both incoming and outgoing traffic, it may be possible for malicious code to enter through a permitted port of an allowed service, and then to try to open or access other unused ports for malicious purposes, exfiltration of data, or reconnaissance.

Once all acceptable PPSs have been defined for an enterprise, it is necessary to correctly configure the security devices to allow only the permitted PPSs to pass through the enterprise network and to block all others. Constant monitoring of the networks and devices is required to ensure that only the approved PPSs are allowed and that configurations have not been incorrectly modified, either by accident or by malicious intent. Since the collection of permissible PPSs and their mitigations are likely to evolve over time, this is a constant issue.

V. SERVER CONFIGURATIONS

Most servers come with default ports and protocols that include most of the services available to their broad class of users. For example, the IBM WebSphere would default to all of the common ports plus the IBM ports and protocols for all of their services, and perhaps Oracle, etc. In the enterprise, it is not sufficient to use only the defaults provided by the vendors, because these may include banned services or may not include recommended mitigations.

VI. FIREWALLS AND PORT BLOCKING

The network boundary protection devices, such as routers, firewalls, and intrusion detection/protection devices need to be configured to block all message traffic unless it is to or from permitted services on specific ports using permitted protocols.

We consider two primary types of implementation of boundary protection: network firewall devices embedded in the network and endpoint protection functions embedded in the web servers.

A. Network Firewalls

Network firewalls can be divided into conventional network firewalls and next-generation network firewalls. Conventional firewalls effectively control access to and from a requested service through PPS filtering. The firewall examines an incoming/outgoing packet’s header for the source IP address, source port, destination IP address, destination port, and other parameters available in the packet header, then applies rules to determine which packets are allowed to pass and blocks all others. A stateful firewall is a conventional firewall that also tracks connections by the socket pairs (source IP, source port, destination IP, destination port) and uses the port number of the source IP address to protect against the use of any other egress ports to exfiltrate data.

Next-generation firewalls use additional information about the applications or further inspection of the message contents to protect against other forms of attack not detectable by looking at only the packet header. Both types of firewalls perform the basic handling of Ports and Protocol Services (PPS) filtering. Network firewalls protect the perimeter or boundary of a portion of the network using packet header filtering. The primary concern with network firewalls is to properly configure them to block all protocols except for the ones approved and needed for the services on the trusted side (server side) of the firewall. In addition, it is imperative to make sure the configuration is current with respect to the changing PPS needs and the recommendations and banned services. In addition, the firewall appliance itself must be maintained in a secure condition with current updates and bug fixes.
A network firewall can operate in transparent (or passive) mode with respect to the end-to-end communication between a service requestor and the end-service if it does not break the end-to-end encryption. In transparent mode, the firewall is not able to decrypt the contents of an encrypted packet; it is able to filter only packets based on the packet header information that is in clear text. The alternative is a proxy firewall that breaks the end-to-end connection and operates as a man-in-the-middle. The proxy looks like the service endpoint to the requestor and is able to decrypt the incoming packets and encrypt the outgoing packets. This permits the firewall to perform content filtering on the decrypted packets.

Firewalls (and other security appliances) can be operated in inline filter mode or in observer mode (also known as promiscuous mode). An inline filter resides in the communication path and examines all packets in real time as they traverse the firewall before passing further into the network. An observer firewall is not in the direct communication path and examines a copy of the packet as it transits the firewall. The advantage of inline firewalls is that they can immediately block the first packet of a recognized attack, whereas in observer mode, the first (or first several) packet(s) will be passed to the destination before it can be blocked and damage prevented. The advantages of observer mode include real-time requirements being relaxed so that if the firewall goes down, communication is not halted.

The firewalls should block access to and from all ports that are accessible behind the firewall (on the trusted side) except those that are explicitly permitted. This is called “deny all by default, permit by exception.” For example, a rule for the firewall may be added to explicitly permit messages to and from a web server using HTTP on port 80 (e.g., 123.345.567.789:80). Firewalls that cover larger portions of the network or that front many subnets and host computers must be configured to allow any PPS needed by any of the hosts on its trusted side.

Many firewall best-practices documents include details on firewall configurations. (e.g., Cisco Firewall Best Practices Guide or the Defense Information Services Agency (DISA) Network Infrastructure Technology Review). For example, tunnels require special considerations to make sure packets embedded in the tunnels do not bypass the firewall. The functionality of a network firewall can be implemented as a separate security appliance that resides either in front of the application servers or in the endpoint hosts. In the latter case, each server would implement a packet header filter to perform PPS filtering in its message handling process.

B. Application Firewalls

Application Firewalls (AFWs) or application filters are designed to address the specific attacks on web applications and web services, which are not well addressed by other protection devices. AFWs that front applications can be more specific to the particular needs of the application and protect against attacks targeted at the application layer. For example, an AFW could be used to protect email, both incoming and outgoing, to filter for damaging content or specific attachment types. Other types of application filters can examine the signatures on scripts (e.g., Java applets, JavaScript, ActiveX controls), the file extensions, virus scanning, blocking specific content, or use of specific commands.

In general, there are several different choices for deployment of AFWs: (1) as a separate hardware or software security appliance in front of the application, (2) as part of another security device such as a network firewall or content distribution controller, (3) as a cloud service, or (4) as an agent on the Application Server.

The current trend is for security appliances to integrate several functions in a single device to reduce operating costs and physical space requirements. The network firewall, intrusion detection/prevention, and application content filtering are being combined as integrated security appliances. While there are important benefits to this integration, the compromise of such a device could incapacitate all the protection functions at once.

VII. NETWORK FIREWALLS IN ELS

In ELS a network firewall operates in transparent mode, does not decrypt the packets, and is restricted to examining only the packet header. We note this is more restrictive than the capabilities being offered on many newer firewalls that offer more functionality but require the ability to decrypt the packet to examine its content. These types of security appliances will be covered in other documents. In ELS, network firewalls cannot operate as proxy firewalls or perform deep packet inspection since Transport Layer Security (TLS) [28] with mutual authentication between requestor and service is a basic ELS requirement. In Figure 1, a network firewall positioned in front of several servers is shown to illustrate the use of such devices for PPS filtering. The stateful firewall is shown protecting two web services implemented in two separate web servers with IP addresses IP1 and IP2. The firewall is configured to allow only requests to (IP address:port) combinations (e.g., (IP1:443) and (IP2:443)) and responses from them back to the requestor. In this figure, additional security functions such as intrusion protection and application content filtering are not shown, but an implementation of these functions is described in the next section.

A service request message from a service requestor in the form of HTTPS packets, is allowed to pass the firewall only if the destination fields of the packet header are for one of the web servers IP1:443, or IP2:443 and the protocol is HTTPS over TCP. The firewall is not able to decrypt the packets but passes them through the firewall and routes them to the destination server for the establishment of a TLS connection with mutual authentication. If a TLS session is successfully established, then the packets are received, decrypted, and formed into the request message, which is further processed to determine authorization. The authorization material (e.g., SAML token) enclosed in the request message is validated and checked to determine whether the requestor has access rights for the service according to the Access Control procedures. If proper access rights are determined, then the decrypted request message and associated access control material are provided to the application/web service. Responses from the application are encrypted by the server and sent through the TLS connection back to the requestor. The response message is routed through the firewall, which is configured...
to allow responses from the service port back to the requestor. Again, the firewall is in transparent mode and not able to decrypt the packet and acts as a passive element.

The packet inspection determines whether the packets have unexpected data or other recognized malware or attacks, and if discovered, discards the packet. The accepted packets are then queued and formed into messages. If the server is in the process of establishing an HTTPS session, the messages are delivered to the authentication module to validate the requestor certificates and proceed with HTTPS establishment. Once authenticated, the decrypted messages are delivered to the specific handler of the requested service. The message contents are then inspected for any malformed messages or known attacks. If the message is part of an ELS web service invocation, then the message is processed by the validation models to determine authorization for the service (SAML and XML validation). Following authorization, the sequence of messages is examined to determine whether there is an application-level attack pattern or other anomalies. Following the application protection module, the actions are logged and the message contents and other privileges material are passed to the web service for processing. If any of the modules discovers an issue with the packet, message, or application, then the session is terminated and the security event information is logged.

On the return path, the messages follow a similar process. Messages from the web service are passed to the service handler and examined by the application protection module and then to the message content inspection modules for any suspicious activities and are then handed to the server manager. The server manager modules divide the messages into packets, which are inspected for valid content prior to their encryption. The packet header inspection module will examine the packet to enforce the packet egress rules (only valid egress and destination ports). In effect, the Packet Header Inspection module can perform the required network-layer filtering and can block traffic based on PPS (protocol, IP address, and port).
communicate with the server via TLS with mutual authentication. If required, the server could send the decrypted message traffic to other security appliances through this interface for additional security functions, although they would operate in observer mode.

The endpoint protection functions are configured through the server configuration management interface, which communicates with the server by TLS with mutual authentication. The PPS and whitelist information and any software updates are provided through this interface. It is recommended that the initial configuration of the packet header deny all ports and protocols, both incoming and outgoing, and that permissions be configured in as they are identified as needed, for example HTTPS. If any of the required PPSs violate the forbidden or obsolete list maintained by Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA), then a waiver must be sought.

IX. ADDITIONAL SECURITY HARDENING CONSIDERATIONS

Servers should be hardened by the application of available Security Technical Guidance (STG) documents, where available, or at least the security guides of the server software developer, but these are often insufficient. Server software products have been developed to be resilient, and fault tolerant. Since malicious software is assumed to be present, a request for service may come from within the enterprise bypassing firewalls, and not stating forbidden port numbers. To prevent the server software from finding a protocol resolution software set and assigning the port, all such software should be removed or not installed to begin with. The server software may come with a variety of software subsystems to satisfy a variety of customer needs such as Telnet, secure shell, etc. If the allowable ports are known, the server software installation should not install other software if the installation procedure permits this. If the installation procedure does not allow this, or if the allowable ports and protocols are not worked out until after server software is installed, these non-allowable protocol software sets should be actively sought out and removed.

X. SUMMARY

We have reviewed the ports and protocols used in the Internet model. We have also described the issues they raise and the vulnerabilities that may be introduced. For enterprise operations, having fewer ports open means a reduced attack space. We have also reviewed the specific requirements for an enterprise level security that is bilaterally authenticated and encrypted end-to-end. This work is part of a body of work for high-assurance enterprise computing using web services. Elements of this work are described in [30-33].
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