

# On the Exit Laws for Semidynamical Systems and Bochner Subordination

Hassen Mejri \* and Ezzedine Mliki †

**Abstract**—Let  $\Phi : ]0, \infty[ \times E \mapsto E$  be a semidynamical system and  $\beta = (\beta_t)_{t>0}$  be a Bochner subordinator. It is proved in this paper that, every  $\beta$ -Liapunov function  $l$  for  $\Phi$  is of the form  $l(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t, x) dt$  where  $f : ]0, \infty[ \times E \mapsto [0, \infty[$  be a solution of the following functional equation

$$\int_0^\infty f(t, \Phi(r, x)) \beta_s(dr) = f(s + t, x), \quad s, t > 0, x \in E.$$

We deduce an explicit formula for  $\alpha$ -Liapunov functions defined by the fractional power subordinator of order  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ .

**Keywords:** semidynamical system, Bochner subordinator, exit law.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Phi : ]0, \infty[ \times E \mapsto E$  be a measurable semidynamical system on a measurable space  $E$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the space of measurable finite functions defined on  $E$ . Let  $\beta = (\beta_t)_{t>0}$  be a Bochner subordinator, i.e a convolution semigroup of probability measures on  $[0, +\infty[$ . We may define

$$Q_t u(x) := \int_0^\infty u(\Phi(s, x)) \beta_t(ds), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}, t \geq 0, x \in E.$$

A  $\beta$ -exit law associated to  $\Phi$  is a family  $f = (f_t)_{t>0}$  of positive measurable function satisfying the functional equation (using the notation  $f_t := f(t, \cdot)$ )

$$Q_s f_t = f_{s+t}, \quad s, t > 0.$$

The integral representation in terms of exit law is originally given by Dynkin [4] and its studied by several authors [6, 7, 8, 9, 10] and [12, 13, 14, 15]. In this paper, we investigate first the representation by  $\beta$ -exit laws. In this case, if the function  $\int_0^\infty f_t dt$  is finite then it belongs to the cone of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Liapunov functions defined by

$$L^\beta := \{u \in \mathcal{F} : u \geq 0, Q_t u \leq u, \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} Q_t u = u\}$$

Conversely, there are elementary examples for which elements from  $L^\beta$  do not admits an integral representation

\*Institut supérieur d’informatique et des technologie de communication de Hammam Sousse, 4011-G.P.1 Sousse, Tunisie. Email: Hassene.Mejri@fst.rnu.tn

†Institut préparatoire aux études d’ingénieurs de Monastir, 5000 Monastir, Tunisie. Fax: +21673500512. Email: Ezzedine.Mliki@fst.rnu.tn

by a  $\beta$ -exit law (cf. [14], Example 2.7.1). In fact, as it is observed in many papers related to this problem (cf. [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15]), some finiteness assumptions are needed, in order to represent elements of  $L^\beta$  in terms of  $\beta$ -exit laws. Along this paper, elements from  $L^\beta$  which is bounded on each trajectory of  $\Phi$  will be called  $\beta$ -Liapunov functions.

For our context, it is proved in [14] that, for each  $\eta^\alpha$ -Liapunov function  $l$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t^\alpha u = 0$ , there exists a unique  $\eta^\alpha$ -exit law  $f^\alpha = (f_t^\alpha)_{t>0}$  such that

$$l(x) = \int_0^\infty f_t^\alpha(x) dt, \quad x \in E \quad (1)$$

The aim of the present paper is to show that a similar, and in fact more general that (1). In what follows we shall denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the set of all Bochner subordinator  $\beta$  such that  $t \rightarrow \beta_t$  is continuously differentiable from  $]0, \infty[$  to the Banach algebra of complex borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|\beta_t'\|_S < \infty$  for each  $t > 0$ . We prove the following integral representation result:

Let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$ . For each  $\beta$ -Liapunov function  $l$  there exists a unique (up to equivalence)  $\beta$ -exit law  $f = (f_t)_{t>0}$  for  $\Phi$  such that

$$l(x) = \int_0^\infty f_t(x) dt, \quad x \in E.$$

Moreover,  $f = (f_t)_{t>0}$  is explicitly given by

$$f_t(x) = - \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(s, x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_t(ds), \quad t > 0, x \in E.$$

As application, we consider the fractional power subordinator  $\eta^\alpha := (\eta_t^\alpha)$  of order  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . It is defined by its Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L}(\eta_t^\alpha)(r) = \exp(-tr^\alpha)$ . In this case, under some regular assumption we prove that each  $\eta^\alpha$ -Liapunov function  $l$  admits the integral representation.

$$l(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi_t(x) t^{\alpha-1} dt, \quad x \in E \quad (2)$$

where

$$\varphi_t(x) := \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \left( l(\Phi(t, x)) - l(\Phi(s+t, x)) \right) \frac{ds}{s^{\alpha+1}} \quad (3)$$

Moreover, formulas like (2) and (3) will be also deduced for the  $\Gamma$ -subordinator and for the Poisson subordinator.

The case  $\beta$  be the Dirac subordinator is already investigated in [8, 9, 10, 12]. Moreover, similar results are obtained in other contexts in [6, 7, 13, 15] and in some related references.

## 2 Preliminary

Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  be a measurable and separable space and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the space of measurable bounded functions defined on  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all finite functions defined on  $E$  and by  $\mathcal{F}_+$  be the subset of positive elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Note that any linear operator defined on the space  $\mathcal{B}$ , may be extended to any positive measurable function in the usual way. The space  $[0, \infty[ \times E$  is always endowed with product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . For every  $g$  defined on  $]0, \infty[ \times E$ , we denote by  $g_t$  the function defined on  $E$  by putting  $g_t(x) := g(t, x)$ . Let  $g, h : ]0, \infty[ \times E \rightarrow R$ , we write  $g_t = h_t$ ,  $\lambda$ -a.e. if, for each  $x \in E$  the set  $\{t \geq 0 : h_t(x) \neq g_t(x)\}$  is  $\lambda$ -negligible. In this section we summarize some known results (cf. [2, 11, 17]).

**Definition 2.1** A *semidynamical system* (SDS) on  $E$  is a measurable mapping  $\Phi : [0, \infty[ \times E \mapsto E$  which satisfies

- i)  $\Phi(0, x) = x, x \in E$ ,
- ii)  $\Phi(s + t, x) = \Phi(s, \Phi(t, x)), s, t \geq 0, x \in E$ . (Translation equation)

Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS on  $E$ . For each  $x \in E$ , the set  $T_x := \{\Phi(t, x) : t \geq 0\}$  is called *trajectory from x*. If there exists  $a > 0$  such that  $x = \Phi(a, x)$  then  $T_x$  is said to be *periodical*. By putting

$$H_t u(x) := u(\Phi(t, x)), \quad u \in \mathcal{B}, t \geq 0, x \in E,$$

we define a semigroup  $\mathbf{H} := (H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of linear operators on  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathbf{H}$  is the *deterministic* or *substitution* semigroup associated to the SDS  $\Phi$ .

We consider  $R$  endowed with its Borel field, we denote by  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, \infty[$  and by  $\varepsilon_t$  the Dirac measure at point  $t$ . Moreover, for each bounded measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \infty[$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes its Laplace transform, i.e.  $\mathcal{L}(\mu)(r) := \int_0^\infty \exp(-rs) \mu(ds)$ . If  $\mu$  has a density  $\delta$  with respect to  $\lambda$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\delta) := \mathcal{L}(\delta, \lambda)$ .

A *Bochner subordinator* is a convolution semigroup  $\beta = (\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of probability measures on  $R$  such that

1. For each  $t > 0$ , the measure  $\beta_t \neq \varepsilon_0$  and supported by  $[0, \infty[$ ,
2.  $\beta_s * \beta_t = \beta_{s+t}$  for all  $s, t > 0$ ,
3.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \beta_t = \varepsilon_0$ , vaguely.

Let  $\beta$  be a Bochner subordinator. The associated *potential measure* is defined by  $\kappa := \int_0^\infty \beta_s ds$ . Following (cf. [2], Proposition 14.1)  $\kappa$  is a Borel measure. The associated *Bernstein function*  $k$  is defined by the Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L}(\beta_t)(r) = \exp(-tk(r))$  for all  $r, t > 0$ . It is known that  $k$  admits the representation (cf. [2], Theorem 9.8)

$$k(r) = br + \int_0^\infty (1 - \exp(-rs)) \nu(ds), \quad r > 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $b \geq 0$  and  $\nu$  is a measure on  $]0, \infty[$  verifying  $\int_0^\infty \frac{s}{s+1} \nu(ds) < \infty$ . Moreover,  $b$  and  $\nu$  are uniquely determined, they are called *parameters* of the Bernstein function of  $\beta$ .

Let  $S$  be the Banach algebra of complex borel measures on  $[0, \infty[$ , with convolution as multiplication, and normae by the total variation  $\|\cdot\|_S$ . A Bochner subordinator  $\beta = (\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is said to be in class  $\mathcal{K}$  if :

$t \rightarrow \beta_t$  is continuously differentiable from  $]0, \infty[$  to  $S$  such that  $\|\beta'_t\|_S < \infty$  for each  $t > 0$ . This class of subordinators, is considered in [3].

The most important example of Bochner subordinator in the class  $\mathcal{K}$  is the *one-sided or fractional power stable* subordinator of index  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ .

**Examples 2.2** Let  $\beta$  be a Bochner subordinator and let  $k$  be the associated Bernstein function given by (4). We shall give some sufficient condition for the Bernstein function in order to get a subordinator in  $\mathcal{K}$ . We exhibit such examples of subordinator be in  $\mathcal{K}$  which contains a number of important functions, including fractional powers, the logarithm, the inverse hyperbolic cosine. We refer to [3] and [16].

1. If  $\sup_{u \in S} |F^\beta(t, u)| = O(t^{-1}), t \downarrow 0$  where

$$F^\beta(t, u) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\beta_t(r-s) - \beta_t(r)) \nu(ds) dr$$

and  $S$  is the unit sphere of the complex space of exponential polynomials with respect to sup-norm on  $R_+$ . Then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$  (cf. [16], Theorem 2). For examples:

- i) Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1], c \geq 0$  and  $k(r) = (c + r)^\alpha - c^\alpha$ . Then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ .
- ii) Let  $0 < \alpha < \gamma < 1$  and  $k(r) = r^\alpha - (\exp(-r)^\gamma - 1)$ . Then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ .

2. Let  $r \mapsto \beta_t([r-s, r])$  is monotone decreasing function on  $[s, \infty)$  ( $s \geq 0$ ) for each sufficiently small  $t > 0$ . If

$$\int_0^\infty \beta_t([0, s]) \nu(ds) = O(t^{-1}) \text{ as } t \downarrow 0,$$

then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$  (cf, [16], Theorem 5). For examples:

- i) Let  $b > 0$  and  $k(r) = \log(b + r) - \log b$ , then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ .
  - ii) Let  $b, s \geq 0$  and  $k(r) = \operatorname{acosh}(b + r) - \operatorname{acosh} b$ , then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ .
3.  $\varepsilon * \beta$  is not in  $\mathcal{K}$ .
4. If  $\beta^1$  and  $\beta^2$  are in  $\mathcal{K}$  then so is  $\beta^1 * \beta^2$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a SSD and  $\beta$  be a Bochner subordinator. Define  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_t)_{t>0}$  by

$$Q_t u(x) := \int_0^\infty u(\Phi(r, x)) \beta_t(dr) \quad (5)$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in E$ . Then  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a semigroup of linear operator on  $\mathcal{B}$ . This is clear by using the translation equation of  $\Phi$  and semigroup property of  $\beta$ . The potential kernel associated to  $\mathbf{Q}$  is defined by  $V^\beta := \int_0^\infty Q_t dt$ . By integration of (5), we get

$$V^\beta u(x) := \int_0^\infty Q_t u(x) dt = \int_0^\infty u(\Phi(t, x)) \kappa(dt) \quad (6)$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $x \in E$ .

**Definition 2.3** A positive measurable function  $l \in \mathcal{F}$  is called **Q-Liapunov function** for  $\Phi$  if for any  $x \in E$

- (i) The function  $t \rightarrow Q_t l(x)$  is decreasing,
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} Q_t l(x) = l(x)$ ,

We denote by  $L^\beta$  the cone of such functions. Let  $\operatorname{Im}(V^\beta) := \{V^\beta u : u \in \mathcal{F}, V^\beta u \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . It is clear to see that  $\operatorname{Im}(V^\beta) \subset L^\beta$ . If we instead  $\mathbf{Q}$  by the deterministic semigroup  $\mathbf{H}$  associated to  $\Phi$  then each function  $l \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfying (i) and (ii) is called *classical Liapunov function* for  $\Phi$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be SDS and  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$ . A  $\beta$ -exit law associated to  $\Phi$  is a measurable function  $f : ]0, \infty[ \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  which satisfies:

$$\int_0^\infty f(t, \Phi(s, x)) \beta_t(dr) = f(s + t, x) \quad (7)$$

for all  $s, t > 0$  and  $x \in E$ . The functional equation (7) is called  $\beta$ -exit equation. By (5) and the notation  $f_t(x) := f(t, x)$ , (7) is equivalent to

$$Q_s f_t(x) = f_{s+t}(x), \quad s, t > 0, x \in E \quad (8)$$

For example, for  $u \in \mathcal{F}_+$ , the function  $(t, x) \rightarrow Q_t u(x)$  is a  $\beta$ -exit law for  $\Phi$  whenever it is finite. This follows immediately from the semigroup property of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Two  $\beta$ -exit laws  $f$  and  $\psi$  are said to be equivalent if  $f_t = \psi_t$ ,  $\lambda$ -a.e.

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then

$$\beta'_{s+t} = \beta'_s * \beta_t, \quad s, t > 0 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\beta_t = -\beta'_t * \kappa, \quad t > 0 \quad (10)$$

where  $\beta'_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_t$  and  $\kappa = \int_0^\infty \beta_t dt$ .

Proof. Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}(\beta_t)(r) = \exp(-tf(r))$ , then by differentiation with respect to  $t$  under the integral sign, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta'_t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{L}(\beta_t)(r) = -f(r) \exp(-tf(r)); \quad t, r > 0$$

Let  $s, t, r > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\beta'_s * \beta_t)(r) &= \mathcal{L}(\beta'_s)(r) \mathcal{L}(\beta_t)(r) \\ &= -f(r) \exp(-sf(r)) \exp(-tf(r)) \\ &= -f(r) e^{-(s+t)f(r)} \\ &= \mathcal{L}(\beta'_{s+t})(r) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{L}(\kappa)(r) = \frac{1}{f(r)}$  (cf. [2], Proposition 14.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(-\beta'_s * \kappa)(r) &= -\mathcal{L}(\beta'_s)(r) \mathcal{L}(\kappa)(r) \\ &= f(r) \exp(-sf(r)) \frac{1}{f(r)} \\ &= \mathcal{L}(\beta_t)(r) \end{aligned}$$

We deduce (9) and (10) by the injectivity of Laplace transform.

### 3 Representation in terms of $\beta$ -exit laws

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $f = (f_t)_{t>0}$  be a  $\beta$ -exit law such that  $l(x) := \int_0^\infty f_t(x) dt < \infty$ . Then  $l$  is **Q-Liapunov function**, moreover

$$f_t(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_t l(x), \quad t > 0, x \in E \quad (11)$$

Proof. By Fubini's Theorem and (8) we get for all  $x \in E$

$$Q_t l(x) = \int_0^\infty Q_t f_s(x) ds = \int_t^\infty f_s(x) ds.$$

Therefore,  $Q_t l$  is finite since  $\int_0^\infty f_t dt < \infty$  and

$$Q_t l(x) = \int_t^\infty f_s(x) ds, \quad t > 0, x \in E \quad (12)$$

Now from (12), we easily deduce that  $l$  is **Q-Liapunov function**. Moreover, by (12) again we have for  $r, t > 0$

$$\frac{1}{r} (Q_{r+t} l - Q_t l) = -\frac{1}{r} \int_t^{r+t} f_s ds.$$

Hence we obtain (11).

Let  $\mathcal{R}^\beta$  be the cone of functions  $u := \int_0^\infty f_t dt$  such that  $f$  is an exit law for  $\Phi$  and  $u$  is finite. From Proposition 3.1, it follows that

$$\text{Im}(V^\beta) \subset \mathcal{R}^\beta \subset L^\beta.$$

But, the converse is not true in general, i.e. elements of  $L^\beta$  are not necessary on the form  $u = \int_0^\infty f_s ds$  for some  $\mathbf{Q}$ -exit laws  $f$ . As it is observed in many papers related to this problem (cf. [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15]), we need some finiteness assumptions, in order to represent the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Liapunov functions in terms of the  $\beta$ -exit laws of  $\Phi$ . In what follows, elements  $u$  of  $L^\beta$  for which there exists a  $v \in \mathcal{F}_+$  such that  $u(\Phi(t, x)) \leq v(x)$  for each  $t \geq 0$  and each  $x \in E$  will be called  $\beta$ -Liapunov functions. This means that  $u$  is bounded on each trajectory of  $\Phi$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS,  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $l$  be an associated  $\beta$ -Liapunov function, then the function  $f$  defined by*

$$f_t(x) = - \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(s, x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_t(ds), \quad t > 0, x \in E \quad (13)$$

is an exit law for  $\Phi$ .

Proof. Let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $l$  be a  $\beta$ -Liapunov function. Since  $l \circ \Phi_t \leq v$  for each  $t \geq 0$  and  $\beta_t(]0, \infty[) = 1$ , it follows that

$$Q_t l(x) = \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(r, x)) \beta_t(dr) \leq v(x).$$

Hence  $Q_t l$  is a finite function. Now, since  $l \circ \Phi_t \leq v$  again and the total variation of  $\beta'_t$  is finite, the following function is well defined

$$f_t(x) := - \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(r, x)) \beta'_t(dr), \quad t > 0, x \in E,$$

and the differentiation with respect to  $t$  under the integral sign is justified in  $Q_t l$ . We may define

$$f_t(x) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_t l(x), \quad t > 0, x \in E \quad (14)$$

Now, since  $t \rightarrow Q_t l(x)$  is decreasing, (14) allows us to conclude that  $f_t \geq 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . Moreover, by Fubini Theorem's, (5) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t f_s(x) &= \int_0^\infty f_s(\Phi(m, x)) \beta_t(dm) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(r, \Phi(m, x))) \beta'_s(dr) \beta_t(dm) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(r + m, x)) \beta'_s(dr) \beta_t(dm) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(r, x)) (\beta'_s * \beta_t)(dr) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(r, x)) \beta'_{s+t}(dr) \\ &= f_{s+t}(x) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -exit law.

**Remarks 3.3** In [14] under the condition  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} Q_s l = 0$ , we proved the representation given above by (17) of  $\eta^\alpha$ -Liapunov function defined by the fractional power subordinator of order  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$  in terms of  $\eta^\alpha$ -exit law.

Now we may obtain under the same condition the representation for all subordinator in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Indeed, from (14) it is easy to see that

$$Q_t l(x) - Q_s l(x) = \int_t^s f_r dr, \quad s, t > 0, x \in E \quad (15)$$

then, by letting  $s \uparrow \infty$  in (15), we deduce that  $r \mapsto f_r(x)$  is integrable at  $\infty$  and

$$Q_t l(x) = \int_t^\infty f_r dr, \quad t > 0, x \in E \quad (16)$$

we conclude by letting  $t \downarrow 0$  in (16).

In fact in Theorem 3.4 we prove that condition  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} Q_s l = 0$ , is not necessary to get the representation of  $\beta$ -Liapunov functions in terms of  $\beta$ -exit law where  $\beta$  is a Bochner subordinator in the class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $\beta$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . For each  $\beta$ -Liapunov function  $l$ , there exists a unique (up to equivalence)  $\beta$ -exit law  $f = (f_t)_{t>0}$  for  $\Phi$  such that*

$$l(x) = \int_0^\infty f_t(x) dt, \quad x \in E \quad (17)$$

Moreover,  $f$  is explicitly given by

$$f_t(x) = - \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(s, x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \beta_t(ds), \quad t > 0, x \in E \quad (18)$$

Proof. Let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $l$  be a  $\beta$ -Liapunov function. By Theorem 3.2 we may define

$$f_t(x) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_t l(x), \quad t > 0, x \in E.$$

By Fubini's Theorem, (5), (10) and (9) we have for fixed  $s, t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{s+t} l &= \int_0^\infty H_r(Q_s l) \beta_t(dr) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty H_r(Q_s l) (\beta'_t * \kappa)(dr) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty H_{r+\ell}(Q_s l) \beta'_t(dr) \kappa(d\ell) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty H_{r+\ell}(Q_s l) \beta'_t(dr) \beta_q(d\ell) dq \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty H_r(Q_s l) (\beta'_t * \beta_q)(dr) \right) dq \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty H_r(Q_s l) \beta'_{t+q}(dr) dq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_{t+q} Q_s l \, dq \\
 &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_{t+q+s} l \, dq \\
 &= \int_0^\infty f_{t+s+q} \, dq \\
 &= \int_{t+s}^\infty f_q \, dq
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the representation

$$Q_t l = \int_t^\infty f_s \, ds, \quad t > 0 \tag{19}$$

then by letting  $t \downarrow 0$  in (19), we obtain (17). By Theorem 3.2, we get (18).

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\ell$  be a classical Liapunov function for  $\Phi$ , then there exists a unique (up to equivalence)  $\beta$ -exit law  $f$  for  $\Phi$  such that*

$$\ell(x) = \int_0^\infty f_t(x) \, dt.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be a classical Liapunov function for  $\Phi$ . Since  $t \rightarrow \ell(\Phi(t, x))$  is decreasing then  $t \rightarrow Q_t \ell(x)$  is also decreasing. Moreover,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} Q_t \ell(x) = 0$  by the classical Lebesgue Theorem, the fact that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \ell(\Phi(s, x)) = 0$  and  $\ell(\Phi(s, x)) \leq \ell(x)$ . This means that  $\ell$  is a  $\beta$ -Liapunov function and therefore Theorem 3.4 may be applied.

**Remarks 3.6**

1. Let  $(E, \Phi)$  be a SDS. A cocycle for  $(E, \Phi)$  is a measurable application  $C : E \times [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ , satisfying the functional equation

$$C(s + t, x) = C(t, x).C(s, \Phi(t, x)), \quad s, t > 0, x \in E.$$

In this paper, we may replace the deterministic semigroup  $\mathbf{H}$  by a so called *lattice semigroup*  $\mathbf{P} := (P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , i.e.  $|P_t h| = P_t |h|$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in \mathcal{B}$ . Indeed, following [8],  $\mathbf{P}$  admits the representation

$$P_t h(x) = C(t, x)h(\Phi(t, x)), \quad h \in \mathcal{B}, t \geq 0, x \in E \tag{20}$$

where  $\Phi$  is a SDS and  $C$  is a *cocycle* for  $\Phi$  (cf. [10] for more details). Now in view of (20), it is straightforward that Theorem 3.4 may be generalized for  $\mathbf{P}$  instead of  $\mathbf{H}$ .

2. Let  $\varphi := (\varphi_t)_{t > 0}$  be an  $\mathbf{H}$ -exit law and let  $f := (f_t)_{t > 0}$  the family defined by

$$f_t(x) := \int_0^\infty \varphi_s(x) \beta_t(ds), \quad t > 0, x \in E \tag{21}$$

It can be easily verified that  $f$  is a  $\beta$ -exit law which is said to be *subordinated* to  $\varphi$  in the Bochner sense by means of  $\beta$ . Notice that if  $\varphi_s = H_s h$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{F}$  then (21) is just (5). Moreover, by the well definition of  $\kappa$ , we have

$$u(x) := \int_0^\infty f_t(x) \, dt = \int_0^\infty \varphi_t(x) \kappa(dt) \tag{22}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^\beta$  be the cone of finite functions on the form (22). From (5) and (22) again, we deduce that

$$\text{Im}(V^\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}^\beta \subset \mathcal{R}^\beta.$$

3. We consider the function  $g_t$  be the density of  $\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . It is easy to see that  $g_t$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -exit law. Furthermore it is known that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g_t(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in R$ . Hence  $u := \int_0^\infty g_t \, dt \in \mathcal{R}^{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus \mathcal{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . (cf. [14] Example 2.7.2). Under some regular assumption we prove that  $\mathcal{S}^\beta = \mathcal{R}^\beta$ . Similar results of this problem are obtained in other contexts in [1].

4. Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$ . A  $\beta$ -liapunov function  $l$  is said satisfies (C) if  $s \rightarrow |l(\Phi(r, x)) - l(\Phi(r + s, x))|$  is  $\nu$  integrable for all  $x \in E$  and  $r > 0$  where  $\nu$  is the parameter of the associated Bernstein function given in (4).

5. Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$  with bounded associated Bernstein function. Then condition (C) is fulfilled for each  $\beta$ -liapunov function.

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then each  $\beta$ -Liapunov function  $l$  such that (C) holds, admits the integral representation*

$$l(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_t(x) \kappa(dt), \quad x \in E \tag{23}$$

where

$$\varphi_t(x) := \int_0^\infty (l(\Phi(t, x)) - l(\Phi(s + t, x))) \nu(ds).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be in  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $l$  be a  $\beta$ -Liapunov function satisfying (C). Then by Theorem 3.4, there exist a unique  $\beta$ -exit law  $f$  such that  $l(x) = \int_0^\infty f_t(x) \, dt$ . By (18), we get

$$f_{t+s}(x) = - \int_0^\infty Q_t l(\Phi(r, x)) \beta'_s(dr) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} Q_s Q_t l(x) \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, since  $\beta_t([0, \infty]) = 1$  and the differentiation with respect to  $t$  under integral sing is justified in  $\beta_t$ , then  $\int_0^\infty \beta'_t(dt) = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_t u(x) = \int_0^\infty (u(\Phi(s, x)) - u(x)) \beta'_t(ds), \quad t > 0, x \in E.$$

Now since (C) holds, then for each  $t > 0$  and  $x \in E$ , the following function is well defined

$$\varphi_t(x) := \int_0^\infty (l(\Phi(t, x)) - l(\Phi(s + t, x))) \nu(ds).$$

By letting  $s \downarrow 0$ , (24) and ([17], p. 265), we get

$$f_t(x) = \int_0^\infty (Q_t l(x) - Q_t l(\Phi(r, x))) \nu(dr), \quad t > 0, x \in E.$$

It follows from (5) that  $f_t = \int_0^\infty \varphi_s \beta_t(ds)$  and we conclude by the well definition of  $\kappa$  to get (23).

### 4 Applications

**1. One-sided stable subordinator:** Let  $\eta^\alpha$  be the *one-sided stable* subordinator of order  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ , i.e the unique convolution semigroup  $\eta^\alpha = (\eta_t^\alpha)_{t>0}$  on  $[0, \infty[$  such that for each  $t > 0$ , the Laplace Transform  $\mathcal{L}(\eta_t^\alpha)(r) = \exp(-tr^\alpha)$  for  $r > 0$ . Moreover, following ([17], p.263), the measure  $\eta_t^\alpha$  has a density, denoted by  $g_t^\alpha$ , with respect to  $\lambda$ . If we consider  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , then the subordinator  $\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is called the *Inverse Gaussian subordinator* (cf. [3], p. 869). In this case (cf. [18], p. 268)

$$g_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) := 1_{]0, \infty[}(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t s^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4s}\right), \quad t > 0.$$

Following (cf. [3], p. 869), for each  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ ,  $\eta^\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS and let  $l$  be a  $\eta^\alpha$ -Liapunov function. Following Theorem 3.4, in the special case if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $l$  is on the form

$$l(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(s, x)) s^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{2t^2}{4s} - 1\right) e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s}} ds dt$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Moreover, if (C) holds then by Theorem 3.7 each  $\eta^\alpha$ -Liapunov function  $l$  admits the integral representation

$$l(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \varphi_t(x) t^{\alpha-1} dt, \quad x \in E,$$

where

$$\varphi_t(x) := \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \left( l(\Phi(t, x)) - l(\Phi(s+t, x)) \right) \frac{ds}{s^{\alpha+1}}.$$

**2. Gamma subordinator:** The  $\Gamma$ -subordinator  $\gamma := (\gamma_t)_{t>0}$  is given by  $\gamma_t := h_t \cdot \lambda$  where

$$h_t(s) := 1_{]0, \infty[}(s) \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} s^{t-1} \exp(-s), \quad t > 0.$$

In this case  $\kappa := \int_0^\infty \gamma_t dt = d \cdot \lambda$  where

$$d(t) := \exp(-t) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} t^{s-1} ds.$$

Moreover  $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$  (cf. [3], p. 874). Let  $\Phi$  be a SDS, by application of Theorem 3.4, each  $\Gamma$ -Liapunov function admits the integral representation

$$l(x) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty l(\Phi(s, x)) \frac{s^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)} \left( \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} - \log s \right) e^{-s} ds dt,$$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $x \in E$ . Moreover, if (C) holds then by Theorem 3.7 each  $\Gamma$ -Liapunov function  $l$  admits the integral representation

$$l(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_t(x) k(t) dt, \quad x \in E,$$

where

$$\varphi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( l(\Phi(s+t, x)) - l(\Phi(t, x)) \right) s^{-1} \exp(-s) ds.$$

**3. Compound Poisson subordinator:** Let  $q$  be an arbitrary probability measure on  $[0, \infty[$ . With  $q_j := \{q\}^{*j}$  such that  $q_0 \equiv \varepsilon_0$  and fixed  $c > 0$ , the following semigroup (cf. [3], p. 870)

$$\tau_t := e^{-ct} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{(ct)^j}{j!} q_j, \quad t > 0,$$

is called *Compound Poisson subordinator*. Moreover, the Bernstein function associated to  $\tau := (\tau_t)_{t>0}$  which is bounded is given by  $f(r) = c\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon_0 - q)(r)$ ,  $r > 0$ . Note that  $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$ . For  $q = \varepsilon_1$ , we obtain the Poisson subordinator with jump  $c$ . In particular, if we consider the Poisson subordinator with jump 1 by Theorem 3.7 and Remark 3.6.4 each  $\tau$ -Liapunov function  $l$  is on the form

$$l(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} f_n(x),$$

where

$$f_t(x) = l(\Phi(t, x)) - l(\Phi(t+1, x)), \quad t > 0.$$

**Acknowledgement.** We want to thank professor Mohamed Hmissi for many helpful discussions on these and related topics.

### References

- [1] S. Ben Othman, M. Hmissi, "On Subordination of Convolution Semigroups", *Int. Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, V13 ,pp.1917-1922, 2003.
- [2] C. Berg, G. Forst, *Potential theory on locally compact Abelian Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New-York, 1975.
- [3] J. Carrasso, W. Kato, "On subordinated holomorphic semigroups", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, V327 , pp.867-878, 1991.
- [4] E. B. Dynkin, "Green's and Dirichlet Spaces Associated with Fine Markov Process", *J. Funct. Anal.*, V47 , pp.381-418, 1982.
- [5] P. J. Fitzsimmons, "Markov Processes and non Symmetric Dirichlet Forms without regularity", *J. Funct. Anal.*, V85, pp.287-306, 1989.
- [6] P. J. Fitzsimmons, R. K. Gettoor, "On the Potential Theory of Symmetric Markov Processes", *Math. Ann.*, V281, pp.495-512, 1988.
- [7] F. Hmissi, "On energy formulas for symmetric semigroups", *Ann. Math. Silesianae*, V19 , pp.7-18, 2005.
- [8] M. Hmissi, "Lois de sortie et semi-groupes basiques", *Manuscripta Math.*, V75 , pp.293-302, 1992.
- [9] M. Hmissi, "On the exit laws for semidynamical systems", *Grazer Math. Berichte*, V339, 221-232.

- [10] M. Hmissi, "On the functional equation of exit laws for lattice semigroups", *Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny WSP w Krakowie*, V196, Prace Mat. pp.63-72, 1998.
- [11] M. Hmissi, "Semi-groupes déterministes", *Springer-Verlag, Lect.Notes in Math.*, V1393, pp.88-104, 1987.
- [12] M. Hmissi, "Sur la représentation par les lois de sortie", *Math. Zeitschrift*, V 213, pp.647-656, 1993.
- [13] M. Hmissi, H. Mejri, "On representation by exit laws for some Bochner subordinated semigroups", *Annales Mathematicae Silesiannae*, V22, pp.7-26, 2008.
- [14] M.Hmissi, H. Mejri, E. Mliki, "On the Fractional Powers of Semidynamical Systems", *Grazer Math. Berichte*, V 351, pp.66-78, 2007.
- [15] M. Hmissi and E. Mliki, "On Exit Laws for Subordinated Semigroups by Means of  $C^1$ -Subordinators", Submitted *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae.*, 2008.
- [16] A.R. Mirotin, "Criteria for analyticity of subordinate semigroups", *Semigroup Forum*, Springer New-York, V78, pp.262-275, 2009.
- [17] K. Yoshida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New-York, 1965.