# Explicit Multi-Soliton Solutions for the KdV Equation by Darboux Transformation

Ying Huang

*Abstract*—With the aid of the known Darboux transformation, starting from an arbitrary constant solution, a series of explicit two-soliton and three-soliton solutions to the Kortewegde Vries (KdV) equation are constructed.

Index Terms—KdV equation, two-soliton solution, threesoliton solution, Darboux transformation.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A S a prototype example for the exactly integrable nonlinear equations, we consider the KdV equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0, (1)$$

which plays an outstanding role in physical problems, for example, stratified internal waves, ion-acoustic waves, plasma physics, lattice dynamics and so on [1]. We know that the most remarkable property of exactly integrable equations is the presence of exact solitonic solutions, and the existence of one-soliton solution is not itself a specific property of integrable partial differential equations, many non-integrable equations also possess simple localized solutions that may be called one-solitonic. However, there are integrable equations only, which posses exact multi-soliton solutions which describe purely elastic interactions between individual solitons [2], and the KdV equation is one of these integrable equations.

Although the inverse scattering method [3], the Bäcklund transformation method [4,5,6] and the Hirota method [7] pave the way to generation of multi-soliton solutions to the nonlinear evolution equation, the explicit multi-soliton solution cannot be obtained by pure intuition or by elementary calculations because of itself complications [8,9]. The known multi-wave solutions to the KdV equation are scarce [10,11,12,13,14,15], it has been known for a long time that equation (1) possesses explicit multi-soliton solutions described in [1].

### II. EXPLICIT TWO-SOLITON SOLUTIONS

As mentioned in [16], the Lax pair for equation (1) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda - u & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi, \\ \Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} u_x & -(4\lambda + 2u) \\ A & -u_x \end{pmatrix} \Phi, \end{cases}$$
(2)

Manuscript received April 14, 2013; revised June 16, 2013. This work was supported by the Chinese Natural Science Foundation Grant (11261001) and Yunnan Provincial Department of Education Research Foundation Grant (2012Y130)

Y. Huang is with Department of Mathematics, Chuxiong Normal University, Chuxiong 675000, P.R.China; (e-mail:huangying201@yahoo.cn; huang11261001@163.com)

where  $A = -(4\lambda + 2u)(\lambda - u) + u_{xx}$ , with the Darboux matrix

$$D(x,t,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_i & 1\\ \lambda - \lambda_i + \sigma_i^2 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where  $i = 0, 1, 2, \lambda, \lambda_i$  are the spectral parameters, in particular, when  $\Phi_i(x, t\lambda) = (a_{jk}^{(i)}(x, t, \lambda))_{2 \times 2}$  is the fundamental solution matrix to the lax pair on  $u_i, \sigma_i$  is defined as

$$\sigma_i = \frac{a_{21}^{(i)}(x, t, \lambda_i)\mu_i + a_{22}^{(i)}(x, t, \lambda_i)\gamma_i}{a_{11}^{(i)}(x, t, \lambda_i)\mu_i + a_{12}^{(i)}(x, t, \lambda_i)\gamma_i},\tag{4}$$

here,  $\mu_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  are arbitrary constants, but  $\mu_i^2 + \gamma_i^2 \neq 0$ . A theorem borrowed from [16] points out, if  $u_i$  is a given solution to equation (1), then

$$u_{i+1} = 2\lambda_i - u_i - 2\sigma_i^2 \tag{5}$$

becomes new solution based on  $u_i$ .

The starting point for constructing two-soliton solution is to solve the fundamental solution matrix of the lax pair on constant solution  $u_0$ . Substituting  $u_0$  into the system (2) yields

$$\begin{cases}
\Phi_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda - u_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi, \\
\Phi_t = -(4\lambda + 2u_0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda - u_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi.
\end{cases}$$
(6)

By the eigenvalue method, we obtain the fundamental solution matrix to the system (6)

$$\Phi_0(x,t,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\eta} & e^{-\eta} \\ \omega & e^{\eta} & -\omega & e^{-\eta} \end{pmatrix},$$
(7)

where  $\eta = \eta(\lambda) = \omega [x - (4\lambda + 2u_0)t], \ \omega = \omega(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda - u_0}, \ \lambda > u_0.$ 

For simplicity, we set  $\omega_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i - u_0}$ ,  $\eta_i = \eta(\lambda_i)$ ,  $\theta_i = \eta_i + c_i$ , where  $c_i$  is an arbitrary constant, and i = 0, 1, 2.

From (4), we get

$$\sigma_0 = \omega_0 \; \frac{e^{\eta_0} \mu_0 - e^{-\eta_0} \gamma_0}{e^{\eta_0} \mu_0 + e^{-\eta_0} \gamma_0}.$$
(8)

Choosing  $\mu_0 = e^{c_0}, \gamma_0 = e^{-c_0}$  in (8), we have

$$\sigma_{0t} = \omega_0 \tanh \theta_0,\tag{9}$$

then substituting (9) into (5), we obtain the solitary wave solution

$$u_{11} = u_0 + 2\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0.$$

Similarly, choosing  $\mu_0 = e^{c_0}$ ,  $\gamma_0 = -e^{-c_0}$  in (8), we have

$$\sigma_{0c} = \omega_0 \coth \theta_0, \tag{10}$$

## (Advance online publication: 16 August 2013)

which further leads to

$$u_{12} = u_0 - 2\omega_0^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_0.$$

Now we construct the two-soliton solutions generated from  $u_1$ . For convenience, we first give the new solution which is expressed in terms of  $\sigma_0$  rather than  $u_1$ , then substitute (9) and (10) into the relative solution, respectively. According to [16], we can obtain the fundamental solution matrix to the lax pair associated with the known solitary wave solution  $u_1$  in the following manner

$$\Phi_1(x,t,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_0 & 1\\ \lambda - \lambda_0 + \sigma_0^2 & -\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi_0(x,t,\lambda)$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (-\sigma_0 + \omega)e^{\eta} & -(\sigma_0 + \omega)e^{-\eta}\\ Be^{\eta} & De^{-\eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where  $B = \lambda - \lambda_0 + \sigma_0^2 - \sigma_0 \omega$ ,  $D = \lambda - \lambda_0 + \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_0 \omega$ . From (4) and (11), we have

$$\sigma_{1} = \frac{(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{0} + \sigma_{0}^{2}) - \sigma_{0}\omega_{1}\frac{e^{\eta_{1}}\mu_{1} - e^{-\eta_{1}}\gamma_{1}}{e^{\eta_{1}}\mu_{1} + e^{-\eta_{1}}\gamma_{1}}}{-\sigma_{0} + \omega_{1}\frac{e^{\eta_{1}}\mu_{1} - e^{-\eta_{1}}\gamma_{1}}{e^{\eta_{1}}\mu_{1} + e^{-\eta_{1}}\gamma_{1}}}.$$
 (12)

By analogy with  $\mu_0$ ,  $\gamma_0$  in (8), there are two special cases to consider in (12).

1) Choosing 
$$\mu_1 = e^{c_1}, \gamma_1 = e^{-c_1}$$
 in (12), we get

$$\sigma_{1t} = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 + \sigma_0^2) - \sigma_0 \omega_1 \tanh \theta_1}{-\sigma_0 + \omega_1 \tanh \theta_1},$$
(13)

combining (5) and (13), we see that

$$u_{2} = u_{0} + \frac{2(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{0})(\omega_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2} \mathrm{sech}^{2} \theta_{1})}{(\sigma_{0} - \omega_{1} \tanh \theta_{1})^{2}}.$$
 (14)

Substituting (9) and (10) into (14), respectively, we obtain explicit two-soliton solutions

$$u_{21} = u_0 + \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 - \omega_1^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_1)}{(\omega_0 \tanh \theta_0 - \omega_1 \tanh \theta_1)^2}$$
(15)

and

$$u_{22} = u_0 - \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_0 + \omega_1^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_1)}{(\omega_0 \coth \theta_0 - \omega_1 \tanh \theta_1)^2}, \quad (16)$$

respectively.

2) Choosing  $\mu_1 = e^{c_1}, \gamma_1 = -e^{-c_1}$  in (12), in a totally parallel way, we obtain

$$\sigma_{1c} = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 + \sigma_0^2) - \sigma_0 \omega_1 \coth \theta_1}{-\sigma_0 + \omega_1 \coth \theta_1}, \quad (17)$$

which together with (5) gives

$$u_{23} = u_0 + \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 + \omega_1^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_1)}{(\omega_0 \tanh \theta_0 - \omega_1 \coth \theta_1)^2}$$

and

$$u_{24} = u_0 - \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_0 - \omega_1^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_1)}{(\omega_0 \operatorname{coth} \theta_0 - \omega_1 \operatorname{coth} \theta_1)^2}.$$

we notice that  $u_{23}$  is just a given solution in [1], when  $u_0 = 0$ .

#### **III. EXPLICIT THREE-SOLITON SOLUTIONS**

As shown in [16], the fundamental solution matrix  $\Phi_2(x, t, \lambda)$  to the lax pair associated with  $u_2$  is given by

$$\Phi_{2}(x,t,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_{1} & 1\\ \lambda - \lambda_{1} + \sigma_{1}^{2} & -\sigma_{1} \end{pmatrix} \Phi_{1}(x,t,\lambda)$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} Pe^{\eta} & Qe^{-\eta}\\ Re^{\eta} & Se^{-\eta} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (18)$$

where  $P = \lambda - \lambda_0 + (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1)(\sigma_0 - \omega)$ ,  $Q = \lambda - \lambda_0 + (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1)(\sigma_0 + \omega)$ ,  $R = (\lambda - \lambda_1 + \sigma_1^2)(-\sigma_0 + \omega) + \sigma_1(-\lambda + \lambda_0 - \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_0\omega)$ ,  $S = (\lambda - \lambda_1 + \sigma_1^2)(-\sigma_0 - \omega) + \sigma_1(-\lambda + \lambda_0 - \sigma_0^2 - \sigma_0\omega)$ . From (4) and (18), we further see that

$$\sigma_{2} = -\frac{\sigma_{0}(\lambda - \lambda_{1} + \sigma_{1}^{2}) + \sigma_{1}(\lambda - \lambda_{0} + \sigma_{0}^{2})}{\lambda - \lambda_{0} + (\sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1})(\sigma_{0} - \omega)K}\Big|_{\lambda = \lambda_{2}} + \frac{\omega(\lambda - \lambda_{1} + \sigma_{1}^{2} + \sigma_{1}\sigma_{0})K}{\lambda - \lambda_{0} + (\sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1})(\sigma_{0} - \omega)K}\Big|_{\lambda = \lambda_{2}}, \quad (19)$$

with 
$$K = \frac{(e^{\eta}\mu_2 - e^{-\eta}\gamma_2)}{(e^{\eta}\mu_2 + e^{-\eta}\gamma_2)}$$
. Because  
 $u_3 = 2\lambda_2 - u_2 - 2\sigma_2^2$   
 $= u_0 + 2(\lambda_0 - u_0 - \sigma_0^2) + 2[(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + \sigma_1^2) - \sigma_2^2], (20)$ 

we first give  $u_3$  which depends upon  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  in order to avoid tedious calculation, then consider the expressions for  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  in the relative solution.

For the special cases of  $\sigma_2$  in (19), we have two groups of three-soliton solutions for equation (1).

1) Choosing  $\mu_2 = e^{c_2}, \gamma_2 = e^{-c_2}$  in (19), we obtain

$$\sigma_{2t} = -\frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\sigma_0 - \omega_2 \tanh \theta_2)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_0 + (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1)(\sigma_0 - \omega_2 \tanh \theta_2)} + \frac{\sigma_1[\lambda_2 - \lambda_0 + (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1)(\sigma_0 - \omega_2 \tanh \theta_2)]}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_0 + (\sigma_0 + \sigma_1)(\sigma_0 - \omega_2 \tanh \theta_2)}.$$
 (21)

Substituting (21) into (20) yields

$$u_{3} = u_{0} + 2(\lambda_{0} - u_{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}) + \frac{2(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1})(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{0} + \sigma_{0}^{2} - \sigma_{1}^{2})(\sigma_{0} - \omega_{2} \tanh \theta_{2})^{2}}{[\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{0} + (\sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1})(\sigma_{0} - \omega_{2} \tanh \theta_{2})]^{2}} + \frac{2(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1})(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{0})(\sigma_{0}^{2} - \omega_{0}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} \mathrm{sech}^{2}\theta_{2})}{[\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{0} + (\sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1})(\sigma_{0} - \omega_{2} \tanh \theta_{2})]^{2}}.$$
 (22)

Seeing that the structure of the three-soliton solution is overlong, we set  $\omega_{21} = \omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2$ ,  $\omega_{20} = \omega_2^2 - \omega_0^2$ ,  $\omega_{10} = \omega_1^2 - \omega_0^2$ ,  $T_i = \omega_i \tanh \theta_i$  and  $C_i = \omega_i \coth \theta_i$ , where i = 0, 1, 2.

Substituting (9) and (13), (10) and (13) into (22), respectively, we get

$$u_{31} = u_0 + 2\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 + \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 - \omega_1^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_1)(T_0 - T_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - T_2)]^2} + \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_2 - \omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0)(T_1 - T_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - T_2)]^2}$$

and

$$\begin{split} u_{32} &= u_0 - 2\omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0 \\ &- \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0 + \omega_1^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_1)(C_0 - T_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - T_2)]^2} \\ &+ \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_2 + \omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0)(T_1 - C_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - T_2)]^2} \end{split}$$

## (Advance online publication: 16 August 2013)

respectively. Similarly, substituting (9) and (17), (10) and (17) into (22), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{33} &= u_0 + 2\omega_0^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_0 \\ &+ \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_0 + \omega_1^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_1)(T_0 - T_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - T_2)]^2} \\ &+ \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_2 - \omega_0^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_0)(C_1 - T_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - T_2)]^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} u_{34} &= u_0 - 2\omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0 \\ &- \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0 - \omega_1^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_1)(C_0 - T_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - T_2)]^2} \\ &+ \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_2 + \omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0)(C_1 - C_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - T_2)]^2}. \end{split}$$

2) Choosing  $\mu_2 = e^{c_2}, \gamma_2 = -e^{-c_2}$  in (19), in a similar manner, we obtain

$$u_{35} = u_0 + 2\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 + \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0 - \omega_1^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_1)(T_0 - C_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - C_2)]^2} - \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_2 + \omega_0^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_0)(T_1 - T_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - C_2)]^2}$$

$$u_{36} = u_0 - 2\omega_0^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_0 - \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_0 + \omega_1^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \theta_1)(C_0 - C_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - C_2)]^2} - \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_2 - \omega_0^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \theta_0)(T_1 - C_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(T_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - C_2)]^2},$$

. 2 .

$$\begin{split} u_{37} &= u_0 + 2\omega_0^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_0 \\ &+ \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_0 + \omega_1^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_1)(T_0 - C_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - C_2)]^2} \\ &- \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_2 + \omega_0^2 \mathrm{sech}^2 \theta_0)(C_1 - T_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - T_0) + \omega_{10}(T_0 - C_2)]^2}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{38} &= u_0 - 2\omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0 \\ &- \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{10}(\omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0 - \omega_1^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_1)(C_0 - C_2)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - C_2)]^2} \\ &- \frac{2\omega_{21}\omega_{20}(\omega_2^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_2 - \omega_0^2 \mathrm{csch}^2 \theta_0)(C_1 - C_0)^2}{[\omega_{20}(C_1 - C_0) + \omega_{10}(C_0 - C_2)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

#### IV. CONCLUSION

As a soliton equation which is widely used in various fields, the soliton solutions to the KdV equation have been investigated extensively in the papers and literatures, however, most of the multi-soliton solutions have been obtained in numerical form, and its explicit exact three-soliton solutions are very few, the main reason is that the calculation is too tedious to obtain succinct expression, rather than the lack of methods. Overcoming the difficulties of calculations by some techniques, we finally construct some new explicit twosoliton and three-soliton solutions for the KdV equation.

#### REFERENCES

- M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, Solitons, Nonlinear Evolutions and Inverse Scattering. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [2] Y. S. Kivshar and B. A. Malomed, "Solitons in nearly integrable systems," *Rev. Modern Phys*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 765-796, 1989.
- [3] S. Nandy, "Inverse scattering approach to coupled higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation and N-soliton solutions," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 679, pp. 647-659, 2004.
- [4] T. Geng, X. H. Meng, W. R. Shan and B. Tian, "Bäcklund transformation and multi-soliton solutions for a (2+1)-dimensional Korteweg-de Vries stem via computation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, pp. 1470-1475, 2010.
- [5] A. S. Abdel Rady, E. S. Osman, and M. Khalfallah, "Multi soliton solution for the system of coupled Korteweg-de Vries equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 210, pp. 177-181, 2009.
- [6] Y. Huang, "New no-traveling wave solutions for the Liouville equation by Bäcklund transformation method," *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 72, pp. 87-90, 2013.
- [7] A. M. Wazwaz, "The Hirots direct method for multiple-soliton solutions for three model equations of shallow water waves," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 201, pp. 489-503, 2008.
- [8] T. A. Anake, D. O. Awoyemi, and O. Adesanya "One-Step Implicit Hybrid Block Method for The Direct Solution of General Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations," *IAENG International Journal* of Applied Mathematics, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 224-228, Nov. 2012.
- [9] J. M. Blackledge, "A Generalized Nonlinear Model for the Evolution of Low Frequency Freak Waves," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 33-55, Feb. 2011.
- [10] J. Q. Mei and H. Q. Zhang,"New soliton-like and periodic-like solutions for the KdV equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 169, pp. 589-599, 2005.
- [11] A. H. Khater and O. H. El-kalaway, "Tow new classes of exact solutions for the KdV equation via Bäcklund transformations," *Chaos, Solitons* and Fractals, vol. 8, pp. 1901-1909, 1997.
- [12] R. Sabry, M. A. Zabran and E. Fan, "A new generalized expansion method and its application in finding exlicit exact solutions for a generalized variable coefficient KdV equation," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 326, pp. 93-101, 2004.
- [13] M. L. Wang, Y. M. Wang and Y.Y. Zhou, "An auto-Bäcklund transformation and exact solutions to a generalized KdV equation with variable coefficients and their applications," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 303, pp. 45-51, 2002.
- [14] J. A. Lvarez and A. Durán, "Error propagation when approximating multi-solitons: The KdV equation with as a case study," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, pp. 1522-1539, 2010.
- [15] T. L. Pereman, A. K. Fridman and M. M. El, yashevich, "On the relationship between the N-soliton solution of the modified Kortewegde Vries equation and the KdV equation solution," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 47, pp. 321-323, 1974.
- [16] C. H. Gu, H. S. Hu, and Z. X. Zhou, Darboux Transformation In Soliton Theory And Its Applications On Geometry, Shanghai Scientific and Technical Publishers, China, 2005.