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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a kind of delayed Schoener's competition model with harvesting terms and impulsive effects. By means of Mawhin's continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, some sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of at least four positive almost periodic solutions for the above model. Further, by using the comparison theorem and constructing a suitable Lyapunov functional, the global asymptotic stability of the model is also investigated. To the best of the author's knowledge, so far, the results of this paper are completely new. An example and numerical simulations are employed to illustrate the main results in this paper.
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## I. Introduction

IN recent years, the Schoener's competition system has been studied by many scholars. Topics such as existence, uniqueness and global attractivity of positive periodic solutions of the system were extensively investigated and many excellent results have been derived (see [1-9] and the references cited therein). In [6], Liu, Xu and Wang proposed and studied the global stability of the following Schoener's competition model with pure-delays:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_{1}(t)= & y_{1}(t)\left[\frac{a_{10}(t)}{y_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}\right)+m_{1}(t)}-a_{11}(t) y_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}\right)\right.  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left.-a_{12}(t) y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}\right)-c_{1}(t)\right] \\
\dot{y}_{2}(t)= & y_{2}(t)\left[\frac{a_{20}(t)}{y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}\right)+m_{2}(t)}-a_{21}(t) y_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-a_{22}(t) y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}\right)-c_{2}(t)\right]
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ are population densities of species $y_{1}, y_{2}$ at time $t$, respectively.
In real world, the ecological systems are usually perturbed by human exploitation activities such as planting and harvesting and so on. In order to obtain a more accurate description for such phenomenon, the impulsive differential equations play an important role. In [9], Zhang et al. studied the following almost periodic Schoener's competition model

[^0]with pure-delays and impulsive effects:


In [9], sufficient conditions which guarantee the permanence of the model and the existence of a unique uniformly asymptotically stable positive almost periodic solution are obtained. Topics such as existence, uniqueness and global attractivity of positive periodic solutions or almost periodic solutions of the system with impulses were extensively investigated, and many excellent results have been derived (see [9-12] and the references cited therein).
In many earlier studies, it has been shown that harvesting has a strong impact on dynamic evolution of a population, e.g., see [13-16]. So the study of the population dynamics with harvesting is becoming a very important subject in mathematical bio-economics. On the other hand, time delays represent an additional level of complexity that can be incorporated in a more detailed analysis of a particular system. Specially, in the real world, the delays in differential equations of biological phenomena are usually time-varying. Thus, it is worthwhile continuing to consider the following impulsive Schoener's competition model with harvesting terms and time-varying delays:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_{1}(t)= & y_{1}(t)\left[\frac{a_{10}(t)}{y_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)+m_{1}(t)}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{1 j}(t) y_{j}\left(t-\tau_{1 j}(t)\right)-c_{1}(t)\right]-h_{1}(t), \\
\dot{y}_{2}(t)= & y_{2}(t)\left[\frac{a_{20}(t)}{y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)+m_{2}(t)}\right.  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left.-\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{2 j}(t) y_{j}\left(t-\tau_{2 j}(t)\right)-c_{2}(t)\right]-h_{2}(t), \\
y_{1}\left(t_{k}\right)= & \left(1+p_{1 k}\right) y_{1}\left(t_{k}\right), \\
y_{2}\left(t_{k}\right)= & \left(1+p_{2 k}\right) y_{2}\left(t_{k}\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ represent harvesting terms, $p_{i k}>-1, i=$ $1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

For the last few years, by utilizing Mawhin's continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, many scholars are concerning with the existence of multiple positive periodic
solutions for some non-linear ecosystems with harvesting terms, e.g., see [17-23]. However, in real world phenomenon, if the various constituent components of the temporally nonuniform environment is with incommensurable (nonintegral multiples, see Example 1.1) periods, then one has to consider the environment to be almost periodic since there is no a priori reason to expect the existence of periodic solutions. Hence, if we consider the effects of the environmental factors, almost periodicity is sometimes more realistic and more general than periodicity. Unlike the periodic oscillation, owing to the complexity of the almost periodic oscillation, it is hard to study the existence of positive almost periodic solutions of non-linear ecosystems by using Mawhin's continuation theorem. Therefore, to the best of the author's knowledge, so far, there is no paper concerning with the multiplicity of positive almost periodic solutions of system (1.2) by using Mawhin's continuation theorem. Stimulated by the above reason, the main purpose of this paper is to establish sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive almost periodic solutions to system (1.2) by applying Mawhin's continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory.

Example 1. Let us consider the following simple single population model with harvesting term:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{N}(t)= & N(t)\left[\frac{2+|\sin (\sqrt{2} t)|}{N(t)+1}\right. \\
& -(3+|\sin (\sqrt{3} t)|) N(t)]-0.01 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (1.3), $2+|\sin (\sqrt{2} t)|$ is $\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi}{2}$-periodic function and $3+|\sin (\sqrt{3} t)|$ is $\frac{\sqrt{3} \pi}{3}$-periodic function, which imply that Eq. (1.3) is with incommensurable periods. Then there is no a priori reason to expect the existence of positive periodic solutions of Eq. (1.3). Thus, it is significant to study the existence of positive almost periodic solutions of Eq. (1.3).

Let $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{+}$denote the sets of real numbers, integers and positive integers, respectively, $C(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ and $C^{1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ be the space of continuous functions and continuously differential functions which map $\mathbb{X}$ into $\mathbb{Y}$, respectively. Especially, $C(\mathbb{X}):=C(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}), C^{1}(\mathbb{X}):=C^{1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$. Related to a continuous bounded function $f$, we use the following notations:

$$
f^{-}=\inf _{s \in \mathbb{R}} f(s), \quad f^{+}=\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}} f(s) .
$$

Throughout this paper, we always make the following assumption for system (1.2):
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ All the coefficients in system (1.2) are nonnegative almost periodic functions with $a_{i i}^{-}>0, m_{i}^{-}>0$ and $h_{i}^{-}>0, i=1,2$.
$\left(H_{2}\right) \quad P_{i}(t)=\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{i k}\right), i=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is almost periodic function.

The organization of this Letter is as follows. In Section 2, we change system (1.2) into the corresponding nonimpulsive system. In Section 3, some preparations are made. In Section 4, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, we establish sufficient conditions for the existence of at least four positive almost periodic solutions to system (1.2). An illustrative example and numerical
simulations are given in Section 5.

## II. ReLation of the impulsive system and the CORRESPONDING NON-IMPULSIVE SYSTEM

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{1}(t)= & x_{1}(t)\left[\frac{a_{10}(t)}{P_{1}(t) x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)+m_{1}(t)}\right.  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left.-\sum_{j=1}^{2} A_{1 j}(t) x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{1 j}(t)\right)-c_{1}(t)\right]-h_{1}(t), \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t)= & x_{2}(t)\left[\frac{a_{20}(t)}{P_{2}(t) x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)+m_{2}(t)}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{j=1}^{2} A_{2 j}(t) x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{2 j}(t)\right)-c_{2}(t)\right]-h_{2}(t),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $A_{i j}(t)=P_{i}(t) a_{i j}(t)=\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{i k}\right) a_{i j}(t), i=$ $1,2, j=1,2$.

Lemma 1. For systems (1.2) and (2.1), one has the following results:
(1) if $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a solution of system (2.1), then

$$
\binom{y_{1}(t)}{y_{2}(t)}=\binom{\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{1 k}\right) x_{1}(t)}{\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{2 k}\right) x_{2}(t)}
$$

is a solution of system (1.2);
(2) if $\left(y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a solution of system (1.2), then

$$
\binom{x_{1}(t)}{x_{2}(t)}=\binom{\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{1 k}\right)^{-1} y_{1}(t)}{\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{2 k}\right)^{-1} y_{2}(t)}
$$

is a solution of system (2.1).
Proof: (1) Suppose that $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a solution of system (2.1). Let $y_{i}(t)=\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{i k}\right) x_{i}(t), i=1,2$, then for any $t \neq t_{k}$, by substituting $x_{i}(t)=\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}(1+$ $\left.p_{i k}\right)^{-1} y_{i}(t), i=1,2$ into system (2.1), we can easily verify that the first two equations of system (1.2) hold.

For $t=t_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{k}^{+}} \prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{i k}\right) x_{i}(t) \\
& =\prod_{0<t_{j} \leq t_{k}}\left(1+p_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(t_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(1+p_{i k}\right) \prod_{0<t_{j}<t_{k}}\left(1+p_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(t_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(1+p_{i k}\right) y_{i}\left(t_{k}\right), \quad i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the last two equations of system (1.2) also hold. Thus $\left(y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a solution of system (1.2). This proves the conclusion of (1).
(2) We first show that $x_{i}(t), i=1,2$ is continuous. Since $x_{i}(t)$ is continuous on each interval $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$, it is sufficient to check the continuity of $x_{i}(t)$ at the impulse points $t_{k}$, $i=1,2$. Since $x_{i}(t)=\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{i k}\right)^{-1} y_{i}(t), i=1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) & =\prod_{0<t_{j} \leq t_{k}}\left(1+p_{i k}\right)^{-1} y_{i}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \\
& =\prod_{0<t_{j}<t_{k}}\left(1+p_{i k}\right)^{-1} y_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{k}\right), \quad i=1,2, \\
x_{i}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) & =\prod_{0<t_{j}<t_{k}}\left(1+p_{i k}\right)^{-1} y_{i}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\prod_{0<t_{j}<t_{k}}\left(1+p_{i k}\right)^{-1} y_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{k}\right), \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Thus $x_{i}(t), i=1,2$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty)$. It is easy to check that $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ satisfies (2.1). Therefore, it is a solution of system (2.1). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

## III. Some lemmas

Definition 1. ([24]) $x \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is called almost periodic, if for any $\epsilon>0$, it is possible to find a real number $l=l(\epsilon)>$ 0 , for any interval with length $l(\epsilon)$, there exists a number $\tau=$ $\tau(\epsilon)$ in this interval such that $\|x(t+\tau)-x(t)\|<\epsilon, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is arbitrary norm of $\mathbb{R}^{n} . \tau$ is called to the $\epsilon$-almost period of $x, T(x, \epsilon)$ denotes the set of $\epsilon$-almost periods for $x$ and $l(\epsilon)$ is called to the length of the inclusion interval for $T(x, \epsilon)$. The collection of those functions is denoted by $A P\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $A P(\mathbb{R}):=A P(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.
Lemma 2. ([25]) Assume that $x \in A P(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\dot{x} \in C(\mathbb{R})$, for $\forall \epsilon>0$, we have the following conclusions:
(1) there is a point $\xi_{\epsilon} \in[0,+\infty)$ such that $x\left(\xi_{\epsilon}\right) \in$
(2) there is a point $\eta_{\epsilon} \in[0,+\infty)$ such that $x\left(\eta_{\epsilon}\right) \in$ $\left[x_{*}, x_{*}+\epsilon\right]$ and $\dot{x}\left(\eta_{\epsilon}\right)=0$.

The method to be used in this paper involves the applications of the continuation theorem of coincidence degree. This requires us to introduce a few concepts and results from Gaines and Mawhin [26].
Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be real Banach spaces, $L: \operatorname{Dom} L \subseteq \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a linear mapping and $N: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be a continuous mapping. The mapping $L$ is called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $\mathbb{Y}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{codimIm} L<$ $+\infty$. If $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and there exist continuous projectors $P: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ and $Q: \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L, \operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$. It follows that $\left.L\right|_{\operatorname{Dom} L \cap \operatorname{Ker} P}:(I-P) \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ is invertible and its inverse is denoted by $K_{P}$. If $\Omega$ is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{X}$, the mapping $N$ will be called $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ if $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_{P}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is compact. Since $\operatorname{Im} Q$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker} L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$.

Lemma 3. ([26]) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ be an open bounded set, $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $N$ be L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. If all the following conditions hold:
(a) $L x \neq \lambda N x, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Dom} L, \lambda \in(0,1)$;
(b) $Q N x \neq 0, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$;
(c) $\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$, where $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ is an isomorphism.
Then $L x=N x$ has a solution on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$.
Under the invariant transformation $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}=\left(e^{u}, e^{v}\right)^{T}$, system (2.1) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{u}(t)= & \frac{a_{10}(t)}{P_{1}(t) e^{u\left(t-\tau_{0}(t)\right)}+m_{1}(t)}-A_{11}(t) e^{u\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)}  \tag{3.1}\\
& -A_{12}(t) e^{v\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)}-c_{1}(t)-\frac{h_{1}(t)}{e^{u(t)}} \\
\dot{v}(t)= & \frac{a_{20}(t)}{P_{2}(t) e^{v\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)+m_{2}(t)}}-A_{21}(t) e^{u\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)} \\
& -A_{22}(t) e^{v\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)}-c_{2}(t)-\frac{h_{2}(t)}{e^{v(t)}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

## IV. Main results

## Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=\ln \frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-} A_{11}^{-}}+\frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}} \tau_{11}^{+}, \quad \nu=\ln \frac{a_{20}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-} A_{22}^{-}}+\frac{a_{20}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-}} \tau_{22}^{+}, \\
\lambda_{1}=\frac{a_{10}^{-}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-A_{12}^{+} e^{\nu}-c_{1}^{+}, \\
\lambda_{2}=\frac{a_{20}^{-}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-A_{21}^{+} e^{\mu}-c_{2}^{+} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we give a assumption as follows:
$\left(H_{3}\right) \quad \lambda_{i}>2 \sqrt{A_{i i}^{+} h_{i}^{+}}, i=1,2$.
And define

$$
l_{i}^{ \pm}=\frac{\lambda_{i} \pm \sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}-4 A_{i i}^{+} h_{i}^{+}}}{2 A_{i i}^{+}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Theorem 1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, then system (1.2) admits at least four positive almost periodic solutions.

Proof: It is easy to see that if system (3.1) has one almost periodic solution $(u, v)^{T}$, then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}=\left(e^{u}, e^{v}\right)^{T}$ is a positive almost periodic solution of system (2.1). First of all, we show that system (2.1) has four positive almost periodic solutions.

In order to use Lemma 3, we set the Banach spaces $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ as those in Lemma 4 and $L, N, P, Q$ the same as those defined in Lemmas 5 and 6, respectively. It remains to search for an appropriate open and bounded subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$.

Corresponding to the operator equation $L z=\lambda z, \lambda \in$ $(0,1)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{u}(t)= & \lambda\left[\frac{a_{10}(t)}{P_{1}(t) e^{u\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)}+m_{1}(t)}-A_{11}(t) e^{u\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-A_{12}(t) e^{v\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)}-c_{1}(t)-\frac{h_{1}(t)}{e^{u(t)}}\right], \\
\dot{v}(t)= & \lambda\left[\frac{a_{20}(t)}{P_{2}(t) e^{v\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)}+m_{2}(t)}-A_{21}(t) e^{u\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)}(4\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left.-A_{22}(t) e^{v\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)}-c_{2}(t)-\frac{h_{2}(t)}{e^{v(t)}}\right] .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Suppose that $z=(u, v)^{T} \in \operatorname{Dom} L \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ is a solution of system (4.1) for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$, where $\operatorname{Dom} L=\{z=$ $\left.(u, v)^{T} \in \mathbb{X}: u, v \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \dot{u}, \dot{v} \in C(\mathbb{R})\right\}$.

By Lemma 2, for $\forall \epsilon \in(0,1)$, there are four points $\xi=$ $\xi(\epsilon), \zeta=\zeta(\epsilon), \eta=\eta(\epsilon)$ and $\varsigma=\varsigma(\epsilon) \in[0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\dot{u}(\xi)=0, & u(\xi) \in\left[u^{*}-\epsilon, u^{*}\right] ; \\
\dot{v}(\zeta)=0, & v(\zeta) \in\left[v^{*}-\epsilon, v^{*}\right], \\
\dot{u}(\eta)=0, & u(\eta) \in\left[u_{*}, u_{*}+\epsilon\right] ; \\
\dot{v}(\varsigma)=0, & v(\varsigma) \in\left[v_{*}, v_{*}+\epsilon\right], \tag{4.3}
\end{array}
$$

where $u^{*}=\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}} u(s)$ and $v^{*}=\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}} v(s), u_{*}=$ $\inf _{s \in \mathbb{R}} u(s)$ and $v_{*}=\inf _{s \in \mathbb{R}} v(s)$.
Further, in view of $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we may assume that the above $\epsilon$ is small enough so that

$$
a_{i 0}^{+}>2 m_{i}^{-} \sqrt{A_{i i}^{-} h_{i}^{-} e^{-\epsilon}}, \quad \lambda_{i}>2 \sqrt{A_{i i}^{+} h_{i}^{+} e^{\epsilon}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

From system (4.1), it follows from (4.2)-(4.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
0=\frac{a_{10}(\xi)}{P_{1}(t) e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{10}(\xi)\right)}+m_{1}(\xi)}-A_{11}(\xi) e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)\right)} \\
\\
0=\frac{-A_{12}(\xi) e^{v\left(\xi-\tau_{12}(\xi)\right)}-c_{1}(\xi)-\frac{h_{1}(\xi)}{e^{u(\xi)}},}{P_{2}(t) e^{v\left(\zeta-\tau_{20}(\zeta)\right.}} \begin{array}{c}
\quad-A_{22}(\zeta) e^{v\left(\zeta-\tau_{22}(\zeta)\right)}-c_{2}(\zeta)-\frac{h_{2}(\zeta)}{e^{v(\zeta)}},
\end{array}, A_{21}(\zeta) e^{u\left(\zeta-\tau_{21}(\zeta)\right)} \\
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.4}\\
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
0= & \frac{a_{10}(\eta)}{P_{1}(t) e^{u\left(\eta-\tau_{10}(\eta)\right)}+m_{1}(\eta)}-A_{11}(\eta) e^{u\left(\eta-\tau_{11}(\eta)\right)} \\
& -A_{12}(\eta) e^{v\left(\eta-\tau_{12}(\eta)\right)}-c_{1}(\eta)-\frac{h_{1}(\eta)}{e^{u(\eta)},} \\
0= & \frac{a_{20}(\varsigma)}{P_{2}(t) e^{v\left(\varsigma-\tau_{20}(\varsigma)\right)+m_{2}(\varsigma)}-A_{21}(\varsigma) e^{u\left(\varsigma-\tau_{21}(\varsigma)\right)}} \\
& -A_{22}(\varsigma) e^{v\left(\varsigma-\tau_{22}(\varsigma)\right)}-c_{2}(\varsigma)-\frac{h_{2}(\varsigma)}{e^{v(\varsigma)}} .
\end{aligned}\right. \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (4.5), we have from (4.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{11}^{-} e^{u_{*}}+\frac{h_{1}^{-}}{e^{u_{*}+\epsilon}} & \leq A_{11}(\eta) e^{u\left(\eta-\tau_{11}(\eta)\right)}+\frac{h_{1}(\eta)}{e^{u(\eta)}} \\
& \leq \frac{a_{10}(\eta)}{P_{1}(\eta) e^{u\left(\eta-\tau_{10}(\eta)\right)}+m_{1}(\eta)} \\
& \leq \frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{11}^{-} e^{2 u_{*}}-\frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}} e^{u_{*}}+h_{1}^{-} e^{-\epsilon} \leq 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.6) leads to

$$
A_{11}^{-} e^{2 u_{*}}-\frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}} e^{u_{*}}+h_{1}^{-} \leq 0
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \rho^{-} \leq u_{*} \leq \ln \rho^{+} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\rho^{ \pm}=\frac{\frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}} \pm \sqrt{\left[\frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}}\right]^{2}-4 A_{11}^{-} h_{1}^{-}}}{2 A_{11}^{-}}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \varrho^{-} \leq v_{*} \leq \ln \varrho^{+} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varrho^{ \pm}=\frac{\frac{a_{20}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-}} \pm \sqrt{\left[\frac{a_{20}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-}}\right]^{2}-4 A_{22}^{-} h_{2}^{-}}}{2 A_{22}^{-}}
$$

By (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{11}^{-} e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)\right)} & \leq A_{11}(\xi) e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a_{10}(\xi)}{P_{1}(\xi) e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{10}(\xi)\right)}+m_{1}(\xi)} \leq \frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)\right)<\ln \frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-} A_{11}^{-}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)}^{\xi} \dot{u}(s) \mathrm{d} s= & \int_{\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)}^{\xi}\left[\frac{a_{10}(s)}{P_{1}(s) e^{u\left(s-\tau_{10}(s)\right)}+m_{1}(s)}\right. \\
& -A_{11}(s) e^{u\left(s-\tau_{11}(s)\right)} \\
& -A_{12}(s) e^{v\left(s-\tau_{12}(s)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-c_{1}(s)-\frac{h_{1}(s)}{e^{u(s)}}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & \int_{\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)}^{\xi} \frac{a_{10}(s)}{P_{1}(s) e^{u\left(s-\tau_{10}(s)\right)}+m_{1}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & \frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}} \tau_{11}^{+} . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.9)-(4.10), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(\xi) & =u\left(\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)\right)+\int_{\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)}^{\xi} \dot{u}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \ln \frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-} A_{11}^{-}}+\frac{a_{10}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-}} \tau_{11}^{+}:=\mu,
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields from (4.2) that

$$
u^{*} \leq \mu+\epsilon
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above inequality leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*} \leq \mu \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{*} \leq \ln \frac{a_{20}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-} A_{22}^{-}}+\frac{a_{20}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-}} \tau_{22}^{+}:=\nu \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (4.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_{10}^{-}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}} \leq & \frac{a_{10}(\xi)}{P_{1}(\xi) e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{10}(\xi)\right)}+m_{1}(\xi)} \\
= & A_{11}(\xi) e^{u\left(\xi-\tau_{11}(\xi)\right)}+A_{12}(\xi) e^{v\left(\xi-\tau_{12}(\xi)\right)} \\
& +c_{1}(\xi)+\frac{h_{1}(\xi)}{e^{u(\xi)}} \\
\leq & A_{11}^{+} e^{u^{*}}+A_{12}^{+} e^{\nu}+c_{1}^{+}+\frac{h_{1}^{+}}{e^{u^{*}-\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
A_{11}^{+} e^{2 u^{*}}-\left[\frac{a_{10}^{-}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-A_{12}^{+} e^{\nu}-c_{1}^{+}\right] e^{u^{*}}+h_{1}^{+} e^{\epsilon}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*} \geq \ln l_{1}^{+}(\epsilon) \quad \text { or } \quad u^{*} \leq \ln l_{1}^{-}(\epsilon) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
l_{1}^{ \pm}(\epsilon)=\frac{\lambda_{1} \pm \sqrt{\lambda_{1}^{2}-4 A_{11}^{+} h_{1}^{+} e^{\epsilon}}}{2 A_{11}^{+}}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.14) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*} \geq \ln l_{1}^{+} \quad \text { or } \quad u^{*} \leq \ln l_{1}^{-} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{*} \geq \ln l_{2}^{+} \quad \text { or } \quad v^{*} \leq \ln l_{2}^{-} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\ln \rho^{ \pm}, \ln \varrho^{ \pm}, \ln l^{ \pm}, \ln k^{ \pm}, \mu$ and $\nu$ are independent of $\lambda$. Let $\varepsilon_{i}=\frac{\ln l_{i}^{+}-\ln l_{i}^{-}}{4}(i=1,2)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=\left\{(u, v)^{T} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\ln \rho^{-}-1<u_{*} \leq u^{*}<\ln l_{1}^{-}+\varepsilon_{1} \\
\ln \varrho^{-}-1<v_{*} \leq v^{*}<\ln l_{2}^{-}+\varepsilon_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right\}, \\
& \Omega_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(u, v)^{T} & \begin{array}{l}
u_{*} \in\left(\ln \rho^{-}-1, \ln \rho^{+}+1\right) \\
u^{*} \in\left(\ln l_{1}^{+}-\varepsilon_{1}, \mu+1\right), \\
\ln \varrho^{-}-1<v_{*} \leq v^{*}<\ln l_{2}^{-}+\varepsilon_{2}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\Omega_{3}=\left\{(u, v)^{T} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\ln \rho^{-}-1<u_{*} \leq u^{*}<\ln l_{1}^{-}+\varepsilon_{1}, \\
v_{*} \in\left(\ln \varrho^{-}-1, \ln \varrho^{+}+1\right), \\
v^{*} \in\left(\ln l_{2}^{+}-\varepsilon_{2}, \nu+1\right)
\end{array}\right.\right\}, \\
\Omega_{4}=\left\{(u, v)^{T} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
u_{*} \in\left(\ln \rho^{-}-1, \ln \rho^{+}+1\right), \\
u^{*} \in\left(\ln l_{1}^{+}-\varepsilon_{1}, \mu+1\right), \\
v_{*} \in\left(\ln \varrho^{-}-1, \ln \varrho^{+}+1\right), \\
v^{*} \in\left(\ln l_{2}^{+}-\varepsilon_{2}, \nu+1\right)
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Then $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}$ and $\Omega_{4}$ are bounded open subsets of $\mathbb{X}$, $\Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j}=\emptyset, i \neq j, i, j=1,2,3,4$. Therefore, $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}$ and $\Omega_{4}$ satisfy condition (a) of Lemma 3.

Now we show that condition (b) of Lemma 3 holds, i.e., we prove that $Q N z \neq 0$ for all $z=(u, v)^{T} \in \partial \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=$ $\partial \Omega_{i} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}, i=1,2,3,4$. If it is not true, then there exists at least one constant vector $z_{0}=\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)^{T} \in \partial \Omega_{i}(i=$ $1,2,3,4)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0=m\left[\frac{a_{10}}{P_{1} e^{u_{0}}+m_{1}}\right]-\bar{A}_{11} e^{u_{0}}-\bar{A}_{12} e^{v_{0}}-\bar{c}_{1}-\frac{\bar{h}_{1}}{e^{u_{0}}}, \\
0=m\left[\frac{a_{20}}{P_{2} e^{v_{0}}+m_{2}}\right]-\bar{A}_{21} e^{u_{0}}-\bar{A}_{22} e^{v_{0}}-\bar{c}_{2}-\frac{\bar{h}_{2}}{e^{v_{0}}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similar to the above argument, it follows that

$$
\ln \rho^{-}<u_{0}<\ln l_{1}^{-}, \quad \ln \varrho^{-}<v_{0}<\ln l_{2}^{-}
$$

or

$$
\ln l_{1}^{+}<u_{0}<\ln \rho^{+}, \quad \ln \varrho^{-}<v_{0}<\ln l_{2}^{-}
$$

or

$$
\ln \rho^{-}<u_{0}<\ln l_{1}^{-}, \quad \ln l_{2}^{+}<v_{0}<\ln \varrho^{+}
$$

or

$$
\ln l_{1}^{+}<u_{0}<\ln \rho^{+}, \quad \ln l_{2}^{+}<v_{0}<\ln \varrho^{+} .
$$

Then $z_{0} \in \Omega_{1} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $z_{0} \in \Omega_{2} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $z_{0} \in \Omega_{3} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $z_{0} \in \Omega_{4} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$. This contradicts the fact that $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega_{i}(i=$ $1,2,3,4)$. This proves that condition (b) of Lemma 3 holds.
Finally, we will show that condition (c) of Lemma 3 is satisfied. Let us consider the homotopy

$$
H(\iota, z)=\iota Q N z+(1-\iota) \Phi z, \quad(\iota, z) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2},
$$

where

$$
\Phi z=\Phi\binom{u}{v}=\binom{\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-\bar{A}_{11} e^{u}-\frac{\bar{h}_{1}}{e^{u}}}{\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-\bar{A}_{22} e^{v}-\frac{\bar{h}_{2}}{e^{v}}}
$$

From the above discussion it is easy to verify that $H(\iota, z) \neq$ 0 on $\partial \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, \forall \iota \in[0,1], i=1,2,3,4$. Further, $\Phi z=0$ has four distinct solutions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(u_{1}^{*}, v_{1}^{*}\right)^{T}=\left(\ln u^{-}, \ln v^{-}\right)^{T}, & \left(u_{2}^{*}, v_{2}^{*}\right)^{T}=\left(\ln u^{-}, \ln v^{+}\right)^{T}, \\
\left(u_{3}^{*}, v_{3}^{*}\right)^{T}=\left(\ln u^{+}, \ln v^{-}\right)^{T}, & \left(u_{4}^{*}, v_{4}^{*}\right)^{T}=\left(\ln u^{+}, \ln v^{+}\right)^{T},
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{ \pm}=\frac{\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}\right)^{2}-4 \bar{A}_{11} \bar{h}_{1}}}{2 \bar{A}_{11}} \\
& v^{ \pm}=\frac{\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}\right)^{2}-4 \bar{A}_{22} \bar{h}_{2}}}{2 \bar{A}_{22}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \rho^{-}<\ln u^{-}<\ln l_{1}^{-}<\ln l_{1}^{+}<\ln u^{+}<\mu, \\
& \ln \varrho^{-}<\ln v^{-}<\ln l_{2}^{-}<\ln l_{2}^{+}<\ln v^{+}<\nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(u_{1}^{*}, v_{1}^{*}\right)^{T} \in \Omega_{1}, & \left(u_{2}^{*}, v_{2}^{*}\right)^{T} \in \Omega_{2}, \\
\left(u_{3}^{*}, v_{3}^{*}\right)^{T} \in \Omega_{3}, & \left(u_{4}^{*}, v_{4}^{*}\right)^{T} \in \Omega_{4} .
\end{array}
$$

A direct computation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi, \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\bar{A}_{11} e^{u_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\bar{h}_{1}}{e^{u_{i}^{*}}} & 0 \\
0 & -\bar{A}_{22} e^{v_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\bar{h}_{2}}{e^{v_{i}^{*}}}
\end{array}\right| \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\left(-\bar{A}_{11} e^{u_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\bar{h}_{1}}{e^{u_{i}^{*}}}\right)\left(-\bar{A}_{22} e^{v_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\bar{h}_{2}}{e^{v_{i}^{*}}}\right)\right] \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{11} e^{u_{i}^{*}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{22} e^{v_{i}^{*}}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=1,2,3,4$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi, \Omega_{1} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{11} u^{-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{22} v^{-}\right)\right] \\
= & 1, \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi, \Omega_{2} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{11} u^{-}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{22} v^{+}\right)\right] \\
= & -1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi, \Omega_{3} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{11} u^{+}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{22} v^{-}\right)\right] \\
= & -1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi, \Omega_{4} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{10}}{P_{1}^{+} e^{\mu}+m_{1}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{11} u^{+}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{\bar{a}_{20}}{P_{2}^{+} e^{\nu}+m_{2}^{+}}-2 \bar{A}_{22} v^{+}\right)\right] \\
= & 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the invariance property of homotopy, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(J Q N, \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(Q N, \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi, \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right) \\
& \neq 0, \quad i=1,2,3,4
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the Brouwer degree and $J$ is the identity mapping since $\operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{Ker} L$. Obviously, all the conditions of

Lemma 3 are satisfied. Therefore, system (3.1) has at least four almost periodic solutions, that is, system (2.1) has at least four positive almost periodic solutions.

Next, we prove that system (1.2) has at least four positive almost periodic solutions. From Lemma 1, we know that $\left(y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)^{T}=\left(\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{1 k}\right) x_{1}(t), \prod_{0<t_{k}<t}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.p_{2 k}\right) x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ is a solution of system (1.2). Since condition $\left(H_{2}\right)$ holds, similar to the proofs of Lemma 31 and Theorem 79 in [10], we can prove that $\left(y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ is almost periodic. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Suppose further that $a_{i j}, \tau_{i j}, m_{i}, c_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ of system (1.2) are continuous nonnegative periodic functions with periods $\alpha_{i j}, \beta_{i}, \sigma_{i}, \delta_{i}$ and $\chi_{i}$, respectively, $i=1,2, j=0,1,2$, then system (1.2) has at least four positive almost periodic solutions.

In Corollary 1, let $\alpha_{i j}=\beta_{i}=\sigma_{i}=\delta_{i}=\chi_{i}=\omega, i=1,2$, $j=0,1,2$, then we obtain that
Corollary 2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Suppose further that $a_{i j}, \tau_{i j}, m_{i}, c_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ of system (1.2) are continuous nonnegative $\omega$-periodic functions, $i=1,2, j=0,1,2$, then system (1.2) has at least four positive $\omega$-periodic solutions.
Remark 1. By Corollary 1, it is easy to obtain the existence of at least four positive almost periodic solutions of Eq. (1.3) in Example 1, although the positive periodic solution of Eq. (1.3) is nonexistent.

## V. Permanence

In this section, we establish a permanence result for system (1.2).

Lemma 7. (See, [19, Lemma 2.2]). Assume that for $y(t)>0$, $t \geq 0$, it holds that

$$
\dot{y}(t) \leq y(t)(a-b y(t-\tau(t)))
$$

with initial conditions, $y(s)=\phi(s) \geq 0$ for $s \in[-\tau, 0]$, where $a, b$ are positive constants. Then there exists a positive constant $y^{*}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sup y(t) \leq y^{*}:=\frac{a e^{a \tau^{+}}}{b}
$$

Let

$$
p_{i}^{+}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(\frac{a_{i 0}(t)}{m_{i}(t)}-c_{i}(t)\right), i=1,2
$$

Lemma 8. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Suppose further that

$$
\left(H_{3}\right) p_{i}^{+}>0, i=1,2
$$

Then any positive solution $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ of system (2.1) satisfies

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{i}(t) \leq x_{i}^{*}=\frac{p_{i}^{+} \exp \left\{p_{i}^{+} \tau_{i i}^{+}\right\}}{A_{i i}^{-}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Proof: Let $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any solution of system (2.1). From system (2.1), we have that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) \leq x_{1}(t)\left(p_{1}^{+}-A_{11}^{-} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)\right), \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) \leq x_{2}(t)\left(p_{2}^{+}-A_{22}^{-} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, $p_{i}^{+} \geq r_{i}^{-}>0, i=1,2$. By Lemma 7, we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sup x_{i}(t) \leq \frac{p_{i}^{+} \exp \left\{p_{i}^{+} \tau_{i i}^{+}\right\}}{A_{i i}^{-}}:=x_{i}^{*}, \quad i=1,2
$$

This completes the proof.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}(t)=\frac{a_{10}(t)}{x_{1}^{*} P_{1}(t)+m_{1}(t)}-x_{2}^{*} A_{12}(t)-c_{1}(t), \\
& r_{2}(t)=\frac{a_{20}(t)}{x_{2}^{*} P_{2}(t)+m_{2}(t)}-x_{1}^{*} A_{21}(t)-c_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 9. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. Suppose further that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(H_{4}\right) & r_{i}^{-}>2\left|A_{i i}^{+}\right| x_{i}^{*}, A_{i i}^{+}<0, i=1,2 \\
\left(H_{5}\right) & \tau_{i i} \in C^{1}(\mathbf{R}) \text { and } \sup _{s \in \mathbf{R}}\left\{\tau_{11}^{\prime}(s), \tau_{22}^{\prime}(s)\right\}<1, i= \\
& 1,2 .
\end{array}
$$

Then any positive solution $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ of system (2.1) satisfies

$$
\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{i}(t) \geq x_{i *}=\frac{p_{i}^{+} \exp \left\{p_{i}^{+} \tau_{i i}^{+}\right\}}{A_{i i}^{-}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Proof: Let $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any solution of system (2.1). By Theorem 2 and $\left(H_{4}\right)$, there exist a small enough positive constant $\epsilon$ and a larger enough positive constant $T=$ $T(\epsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}(t) \leq x_{i}^{*}+\epsilon \quad \text { for } t \geq T, i=1,2, \\
r_{1}^{-}(\epsilon)= \\
\inf _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(\frac{a_{10}(t)}{\left(x_{1}^{*}+\epsilon\right) P_{1}(t)+m_{1}(t)}\right. \\
\\
\left.-\left(x_{2}^{*}+\epsilon\right) A_{12}(t)-c_{1}(t)\right)>2\left|A_{11}^{+}\right|\left(x_{1}^{*}+\epsilon\right), \\
r_{2}^{-}(\epsilon)=\inf _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}}\left(\frac{a_{20}(t)}{\left(x_{2}^{*}+\epsilon\right) P_{2}(t)+m_{2}(t)}\right. \\
\\
\left.-\left(x_{1}^{*}+\epsilon\right) A_{21}(t)-c_{2}(t)\right)>2\left|A_{22}^{+}\right|\left(x_{2}^{*}+\epsilon\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $t \geq T+\tau$, in view of system (2.1), we have that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}(t) \geq x_{1}(t)\left(r_{1}^{-}(\epsilon)-A_{11}^{+} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)\right)-h_{1}^{+} \\
\dot{x}_{2}(t) \geq x_{2}(t)\left(r_{2}^{-}(\epsilon)-A_{22}^{+} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)\right)-h_{2}^{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the auxiliary system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)=u_{1}(t)\left(r_{1}^{-}(\epsilon)-A_{11}^{+} u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)\right)-h_{1}^{+}  \tag{5.1}\\
\dot{u}_{2}(t)=u_{2}(t)\left(r_{2}^{-}(\epsilon)-A_{22}^{+} u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)\right)-h_{2}^{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, by Theorem 2, we have

$$
u_{i}(t) \leq x_{i}^{*}+\epsilon \quad \text { for } t \geq T, i=1,2
$$

We claim that system (5.1) has a unique globally asymptotically stable positive equilibrium point $\left(x_{1 *}(\epsilon), x_{2 *}(\epsilon)\right)^{T}=$ $\left[\frac{r_{1}^{-}(\epsilon)+\sqrt{r_{1}^{-2}(\epsilon)-4 A_{11}^{+} h_{1}^{+}}}{2 A_{11}^{+}}, \frac{r_{2}^{-}(\epsilon)+\sqrt{r_{2}^{-2}(\epsilon)-4 A_{22}^{+} h_{2}^{+}}}{2 A_{22}^{+}}\right]^{T}$. In fact, suppose that $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $u=\left(u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}\right)^{T}$ are any two
positive solutions of system (5.1). Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{i}(t)= & \left|u_{i}^{*}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right| \\
& -\int_{t-\tau_{i i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\left|A_{i i}^{+}\right|\left(x_{i}^{*}+\epsilon\right)}{1-\tau_{i i}^{\prime}(\varphi(t))}\left|u_{i}^{*}(s)-u_{i}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$. Calculating the upper right derivative of $V_{i}(t)$ along the solution of system (5.1), we have

$$
D^{+} V_{i}(t) \geq\left[r_{i}^{-}(\epsilon)-2\left|A_{i i}^{+}\right|\left(x_{i}^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right]\left|u_{i}^{*}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|(5.2)
$$

$i=1,2$. Therefore, $V_{i}$ is non-increasing. Integrating (5.2) from 0 to $t$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(0)+\left[r_{i}^{-}(\epsilon)-2\left|A_{i i}^{+}\right|\left(x_{i}^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{i}(s)-u_{i}^{*}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & V(t)<+\infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|u_{i}(s)-u_{i}^{*}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<+\infty
$$

which implies that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{i}(s)-u_{i}^{*}(s)\right|=0, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Thus, system (5.1) is globally asymptotically stable and the positive equilibrium point $\left(x_{1 *}(\epsilon), x_{2 *}(\epsilon)\right)^{T}$ is globally asymptotically stable.
By the comparison theorem, $x_{i}(t) \geq u_{i}(t)$, where $u_{i}(t)$ is the solution of system (5.1) with $u_{i}(0)=x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right), i=1,2$. And system (5.1) has a unique globally asymptotically stable positive almost periodic solution $\left(x_{1 *}(\epsilon), x_{2 *}(\epsilon)\right)^{T}$. Then for any constant $\epsilon_{1}>0$, there exists $T_{1}>0$ such that $x_{i}(t) \geq$ $u_{i}(t)>x_{i *}(\epsilon)-\epsilon_{1}$ for $t>T_{1}, i=1,2$. Letting $\epsilon, \epsilon_{1} \rightarrow 0$ leads to

$$
\lim _{\sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}} x_{i}(t) \geq x_{i *}, \quad i=1,2
$$

This completes the proof.
By Lemmas 8-9, we have
Theorem 2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ hold, then system (1.2) is permanent.

## VI. Global asymptotic stability

## Theorem 3. Suppose that

$\left(H_{6}\right) \quad \tau_{11}=\tau_{22}=h_{1}=h_{2} \equiv 0, \tau_{10}, \tau_{20}, \tau_{12}, \tau_{21} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sup _{s \in \mathbf{R}}\left\{\dot{\tau}_{10}(s), \dot{\tau}_{20}(s), \dot{\tau}_{12}(s), \dot{\tau}_{21}(s)\right\}<1$.
$\left(H_{7}\right)$ there exist two positive constants $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{1}=\lambda_{1} A_{11}^{-}-\lambda_{1} \frac{a_{10}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{10}^{+}\right)}-\lambda_{2} \frac{A_{21}^{+}}{1-\tau_{21}^{+}}>0 \\
& \Theta_{2}=\lambda_{2} A_{22}^{-}-\lambda_{2} \frac{a_{20}^{+} P_{2}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{20}^{+}\right)}-\lambda_{1} \frac{A_{12}^{+}}{1-\tau_{12}^{+}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then system (1.2) is globally asymptotically stable.
Proof: Assume that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}\right)^{T}$ are any two solutions of system (2.1).

Let $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{T}=\left(\ln x_{1}, \ln x_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)^{T}=$
$\left(\ln \bar{x}_{1}, \ln \bar{x}_{2}\right)^{T}$, then system (2.1) is transformed into

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)= & \frac{a_{10}(t)}{P_{1}(t) x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)+m_{1}(t)}  \tag{6.1}\\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{2} A_{1 j}(t) x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{1 j}(t)\right)-c_{1}(t) \\
\dot{u}_{2}(t)= & \frac{a_{20}(t)}{P_{2}(t) x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)+m_{2}(t)} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{2} A_{2 j}(t) x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{2 j}(t)\right)-c_{2}(t) \\
\dot{\bar{u}}_{1}(t)= & \frac{a_{10}(t)}{P_{1}(t) \bar{x}_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)+m_{1}(t)} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{2} A_{1 j}(t) \bar{x}_{j}\left(t-\tau_{1 j}(t)\right)-c_{1}(t) \\
\dot{\bar{u}}_{2}(t)= & \frac{a_{20}(t)}{P_{2}(t) \bar{x}_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)+m_{2}(t)} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{2} A_{2 j}(t) \bar{x}_{j}\left(t-\tau_{2 j}(t)\right)-c_{2}(t)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $A_{i j}(t)=P_{i}(t) a_{i j}(t)=\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{i k}\right) a_{i j}(t), i=$ $1,2, j=1,2$. Define

$$
V(t)=V_{0}(t)+V_{1}(t)+V_{2}(t)+V_{3}(t)+V_{4}(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{0}(t)=\lambda_{1}\left|u_{1}(t)-\bar{u}_{1}(t)\right|+\lambda_{2}\left|u_{2}(t)-\bar{u}_{2}(t)\right| \\
V_{1}(t)=\lambda_{1} \int_{t-\tau_{10}(t)}^{t} \frac{a_{10}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{10}^{+}\right)}\left|x_{1}(s)-\bar{x}_{1}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s, \\
V_{2}(t)=\lambda_{2} \int_{t-\tau_{20}(t)}^{t} \frac{a_{20}^{+} P_{2}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{20}^{+}\right)}\left|x_{2}(s)-\bar{x}_{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s, \\
V_{3}(t)=\lambda_{1} \int_{t-\tau_{12}(t)}^{t} \frac{A_{12}^{+}}{1-\tau_{12}^{+}}\left|x_{2}(s)-\bar{x}_{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \\
V_{4}(t)=\lambda_{2} \int_{t-\tau_{21}(t)}^{t} \frac{A_{21}^{+}}{1-\tau_{21}^{+}+}\left|x_{1}(s)-\bar{x}_{1}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s
\end{gathered}
$$

By calculating the upper right derivative of $V_{1}$ along system (6.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{+} V_{0}(t) \\
= & \lambda_{1} \operatorname{sgn}\left[u_{1}(t)-\bar{u}_{1}(t)\right]\left[u_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\bar{u}_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right] \\
& +\lambda_{2} \operatorname{sgn}\left[u_{2}(t)-\bar{u}_{2}(t)\right]\left[u_{2}^{\prime}(t)-\bar{u}_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right] \\
\leq & -\lambda_{1} A_{11}(t)\left|x_{1}(t)-\bar{x}_{1}(t)\right| \\
& -\lambda_{2} A_{22}(t)\left|x_{2}(t)-\bar{x}_{2}(t)\right| \\
& +\lambda_{1} \frac{a_{10}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-2}}\left|x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)\right| \\
& +\lambda_{2} \frac{a_{20}^{+} P_{2}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-2}}\left|x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)\right| \\
& +\lambda_{1} A_{12}^{+}\left|x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)\right| \\
& +\lambda_{2} A_{21}^{+}\left|x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)\right| . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, by calculating the upper right derivative of $V_{1}, V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$ along system (6.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+} V_{1}(t) \leq & \lambda_{1} \frac{a_{10}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{10}^{+}\right)}\left|x_{1}(t)-\bar{x}_{1}(t)\right| \\
& -\lambda_{1} \frac{a_{10}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-2}}\left|x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{1}\left(t-\tau_{10}(t)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+} V_{2}(t) \leq & \lambda_{2} \frac{a_{20}^{+} P_{2}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{20}^{+}\right)}\left|x_{2}(t)-\bar{x}_{2}(t)\right| \\
& -\lambda_{2} \frac{a_{20}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-2}}\left|x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{2}\left(t-\tau_{20}(t)\right)\right| \\
D^{+} V_{3}(t) \leq & \lambda_{1} \frac{A_{12}^{+}}{1-\tau_{12}^{+}}\left|x_{2}(t)-\bar{x}_{2}(t)\right| \\
& -\lambda_{1} A_{12}^{+}\left|x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)\right| \\
D^{+} V_{4}(t) \leq & \lambda_{2} \frac{A_{21}^{+}}{1-\tau_{21}^{+}}\left|x_{1}(t)-\bar{x}_{1}(t)\right| \\
& -\lambda_{2} A_{21}^{+}\left|x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)-\bar{x}_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with (6.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{+} V(t) \leq & -\left\{\lambda_{1} A_{11}^{-}-\lambda_{1} \frac{a_{10}^{+} P_{1}^{+}}{m_{1}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{10}^{+}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda_{2} \frac{A_{21}^{+}}{1-\tau_{21}^{+}}\right\}\left|x_{1}(t)-\bar{x}_{1}(t)\right| \\
& -\left\{\lambda_{2} A_{22}^{-}-\lambda_{2} \frac{a_{20}^{+} P_{2}^{+}}{m_{2}^{-2}\left(1-\tau_{20}^{+}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda_{1} \frac{A_{12}^{+}}{1-\tau_{12}^{+}}\right\}\left|x_{2}(t)-\bar{x}_{2}(t)\right| \\
\leq & -\Gamma_{1}\left|x_{1}(t)-\bar{x}_{1}(t)\right|-\Gamma_{2}\left|x_{2}(t)-\bar{x}_{2}(t)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $V$ is non-increasing. Integrating of the last inequality from 0 to $t$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(t)+\Gamma_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|x_{1}(s)-\bar{x}_{1}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\Gamma_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|x_{2}(s)-\bar{x}_{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & V(0)<+\infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|y_{1}(s)-\bar{y}_{1}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<+\infty \\
& \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|y_{2}(s)-\bar{y}_{2}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{1}(s)-\bar{y}_{1}(s)\right|=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{2}(s)-\bar{y}_{2}(s)\right|=0 .
$$

This completes the proof.

## VII. AN EXAMPLE AND NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Example 2. Consider the following delayed Schoener's competition model with harvesting terms:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_{1}(t)= & y_{1}(t)\left(\frac{3+\sin (\sqrt{3} t)}{y_{1}\left(t-\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{2} t)\right)+1}\right.  \tag{7.1}\\
& -\left[3+\sin ^{2}(\sqrt{3} t)\right] y_{1}(t) \\
& \left.-0.1 y_{2}(t-1)-0.2\right)-0.01 \\
\dot{y}_{2}(t)= & y_{2}(t)\left(\frac{3+\cos (\sqrt{2} t)}{y_{2}(t)+1}-0.2 y_{1}\left(t-\cos ^{2}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left[3+\cos ^{2}(\sqrt{2} t)\right] y_{2}(t)-0.1\right)-0.01 \\
y_{1}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)= & \left(1+p_{k}\right) y_{1}\left(t_{k}\right), \\
y_{2}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)= & \left(1+p_{k}\right) y_{2}\left(t_{k}\right), \quad\left\{t_{k}\right\} \subset\{2 k: k \in \mathbb{Z}\}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\prod_{0<t_{k}<t}\left(1+p_{k}\right) \in[1,1.1]$ is almost periodic, $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then system (7.1) is permanent and has at least four positive almost periodic solutions.

Proof: Corresponding to system (1.2), we have $a_{i 0}^{-}=$ $2, a_{i 0}^{+}=3, a_{i i}^{-}=3, a_{i i}^{+}=4, m_{i}=1, a_{12}=0.1, a_{21}=0.2$, $c_{1}=0.2, c_{2}=0.1, h_{1}=h_{2}=0.01, i=1,2$. Obviously, $\left(H_{1}\right)$ in Theorem 3.1 holds. By a easy calculation, we obtain that

$$
\mu=\nu \approx 0, \quad \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2} \approx 0.68
$$

So $\left(H_{3}\right)$ in Theorem 1 holds. Clearly, $\left(H_{4}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ in Theorem 2 also hold. Therefore, all the conditions of Theorems 1-2 are satisfied. By Theorems 1-2, system (7.1) is permanent and admits at least four positive almost periodic solutions (see Figures 1-2). This completes the proof.


Fig. 1 Four positive almost periodic oscillations of state variable $x_{1}$ of system (5.1)


Fig. 2 Four positive almost periodic oscillations of state variable $x_{2}$ of system (5.1)

## VIII. Conclusion

In this paper we have obtained the existence of at least four positive almost periodic solution for a harvesting Schoener's competition model with time-varying delays and impulsive effects. The approach is based on the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory. Lemma 1 in Section 2 and Lemma 2 in Section 3 are critical to study the existence of positive almost periodic solution of the biological model. It is important to notice that the approach used in this paper can be extended to other types of biological models [2829]. Future work will include models based on impulsive differential equations and biological dynamic systems on time scales.
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