Some Inequalities for Generalized L_p -mixed Affine Surface Areas

Tongyi Ma, Weidong Wang

Abstract—The concept of mixed affine surface was defined by Lutwak in 1987. Recently, Wang and Leng introduced the concept of L_p -mixed affine surface areas. More recently, L_p mixed affine surface areas have been further generalized by Ma to the entire class of so-called *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface areas (also called (i, 0)-type L_p -mixed affine surface areas). In this article, we continue studying the *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface areas. Combining with this new notion, a result of Lutwak and two results of Wang and Leng were extended. Furthermore, we establish a monotonic inequality related to the *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface areas. Finally, two open questions are raised.

Index Terms—mixed affine surface area, L_p -mixed affine surface area, *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface area.

I. INTRODUCTION

E work in *n*-dimensional real vector space $\mathbf{R}^n (n \ge 2)$, equipped with the standard Euclidean structure. Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) in Euclidean spaces \mathbf{R}^n . For the set of convex bodies containing origin in their interiors and the set of convex bodies with centroid in the origin in \mathcal{K}^n , we write \mathcal{K}^n_o and \mathcal{K}^n_c , respectively. Let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n , and let V(K) denotes the *n*-dimensional volume of a body K. For the standard unit ball B in \mathbf{R}^n , its volume is written by $\omega_n = V(B)$.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, K is said to have a curvature function (see [14]), $f(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbf{R}$, if its surface area measure $S(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure S, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S(K,\cdot)}{\mathrm{d}S} = f(K,\cdot).$$

Let \mathcal{F}^n denote the set of all bodies in \mathcal{K}^n that has a positive continuous curvature function. For $K \in \mathcal{F}^n$, the classical affine surface area, $\Omega(K)$, of K is defined by

$$\Omega(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} dS(u).$$
(1)

During the past three decades, the investigations of the classical affine surface area have received great attention from many articles (see papers [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [13], [14], [24], [25], [27], [28], [31] or books [7], [26]).

The classical mixed affine surface area was given by Lutwak (see [12]). For $K, L \in \mathcal{F}^n$, $j \in \mathbf{R}$, the mixed affine

surface area, $\Omega_j(K, L)$, of K and L is defined by

$$\Omega_j(K,L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K,u)^{\frac{n-j}{n+1}} f(L,u)^{\frac{j}{n+1}} \mathrm{d}S(u).$$
(2)

From definitions (1) and (2), it is obvious that $\Omega_j(K, K) = \Omega(K)$ and $\Omega_0(K, L) = \Omega(K)$.

A convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is said to have a L_p -curvature function (see [16]), $f_p(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbf{R}$, if its L_p -surface area measure $S_p(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure S, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_p(K,\cdot)}{\mathrm{d}S} = f_p(K,\cdot).$$

Let \mathcal{F}_o^n and \mathcal{F}_c^n denote the set of all bodies in \mathcal{K}_o^n and \mathcal{K}_c^n respectively, and both of them have a positive continuous curvature function.

In 1996, Lutwak (see [16]) showed the L_p -affine surface area as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{F}_o^n$, the L_p -affine surface area, $\Omega_p(K)$, of K is given by

$$\Omega_p(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(K, u)^{\frac{n}{n+p}} \mathrm{d}S(u).$$

Further, Lutwak established the well-known L_p -affine isoperimetric inequality as follows:

Theorem A. If $K \in \mathcal{F}_o^n$ and $p \ge 1$, then

$$\Omega_p(K) \le n\omega_n^{\frac{2p}{n+p}} V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n+p}},\tag{3}$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid which centered at the origin.

Regarding the more results of L_p -affine surface area, we may see in these articles [22], [27] and [30].

Recently, Wang and Leng introduced the notion of L_p mixed affine surface area (see [30]): For $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_o^n, p \ge$ $1, j \in \mathbf{R}$, the L_p -mixed affine surface area, $\Omega_{p,j}(K, L)$, of K and L is defined by

$$\Omega_{p,j}(K,L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(K,u)^{\frac{n-j}{n+p}} f_p(L,u)^{\frac{j}{n+p}} dS(u).$$
(4)

Let L = B in (4), then write $\Omega_{p,j}(K,B) = \Omega_{p,j}(K)$. Since $f_p(B, \cdot) = 1$, the L_p -mixed affine surface area of $K \in \mathcal{F}_q^n$ is that

$$\Omega_{p,j}(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(K, u)^{\frac{n-j}{n+p}} \mathrm{d}S(u).$$

Associated with (4), Wang and Leng proved the following cycle inequality and Minkowski's inequality for L_p -mixed affine surface area, respectively.

Theorem B. If $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_o^n$, $p \ge 1$, $j, k, m \in \mathbf{R}$ and j < k < m, then

$$\Omega_{p,j}(K,L)^{m-k}\Omega_{p,m}(K,L)^{k-j} \ge \Omega_{p,k}(K,L)^{m-j},$$
 (5)

Manuscript received April 11, 2015; revised June 25, 2015. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 11161019 and 11371224, and was supported by the Science and Technology Plan of the Gansu Province under Grant 145RJZG227.

T. Y. Ma is with the School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hexi University, Zhangye, 734000, China. e-mail: matongyi@126.com.

W. D. Wang is with the Department of Mathematics, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, China. e-mail: wdwxh722@163.com.

=

with equality for p = 1 if and only if K and L are homothetic; for $n \neq p > 1$ if and only if K and L are dilates.

Theorem C. If $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_o^n$, $p \ge 1$, $j \in \mathbb{R}$, then for j < 0 or j > n,

$$\Omega_{p,j}(K,L)^n \ge \Omega_p(K)^{n-j}\Omega_p(L)^j;$$
(6)

for 0 < j < n,

$$\Omega_{p,j}(K,L)^n \le \Omega_p(K)^{n-j}\Omega_p(L)^j,\tag{7}$$

with equality in every inequality for p = 1 if and only if Kand L are homothetic; for $n \neq p > 1$ if and only if K and L are dilates. For j = 0 or j = n, (6) (or (7) is identical.

The main aim of this article is to define the notions of *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface areas and (i, j)-type L_p -mixed affine surface areas, and to extend the above inequalities to the entire family of these new notions. Here, we first give the concepts of L_p -mixed curvature function and L_p -mixed curvature image of convex body.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, body Kis said to have a L_p -mixed curvature function, $f_{p,i}(K, \cdot)$: $S^{n-1} \to \mathbf{R}$, if its L_p -mixed surface area measure $S_{p,i}(K, \cdot)$ (see [18], [19], [21], [22]) is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure S, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{p,i}(K,\cdot)}{\mathrm{d}S} = f_{p,i}(K,\cdot).$$
(8)

If the *i*th mixed surface area measure $S_i(K)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure S, we have

$$f_{p,i}(K,u) = h(K,u)^{1-p} f_i(K,u),$$
 (9)

for $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$ and $\mathcal{F}_{c,i}^n$ denote the set of all bodies in \mathcal{K}_o^n and \mathcal{K}_c^n , respectively, and both of them have a positive continuous *i*th curvature function $f_i(K, \cdot)$ (see [15]).

For each $K \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^{n}$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and real $p \ge 1$, define star body $\Lambda_{p,i}K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$, the *i*th L_{p} -mixed curvature image of K, by (see [19], [20])

$$\rho(\Lambda_{p,i}K,\cdot)^{n+p-i} = \frac{\widetilde{W}_i(\Lambda_{p,i}K)}{\omega_n} f_{p,i}(K,\cdot).$$
(10)

In particular, taking i = 0 in (10), we immediately get Lutwak's definition of L_p -curvature image $\Lambda_p K$ of convex body $K \in \mathcal{F}_o^n$ (see [16]).

Recently, Ma introduced the notion of *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface area as follows (see [20], [23]): For $p \ge 1$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, the *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface area, $\Omega_p^{(i)}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-i})$, of $K_1, \dots, K_{n-i} \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K_{1},\cdots,K_{n-i})$$

$$= \int_{S^{n-1}} [f_{p,i}(K_{1},u)\cdots f_{p,i}(K_{n-i},u)]^{\frac{1}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u).$$
(11)

Let $K_1 = \cdots = K_{n-i-j} = K$ and $K_{n-i-j+1} = \cdots = K_{n-i} = L$ $(j = 0, \cdots, n-i)$, we denote $\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L) := \Omega_p^{(i)}(K, \cdots, K, L, \cdots, L)$, with n - i - j copies of K, and j copies of L.

If j is any real, we can define that: For $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n, i = 0, \dots, n-1, p \geq 1, j \in \mathbf{R}$, the (i, j)-type L_p -mixed

affine surface area, $\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L),$ of K and L is defined by

$$\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-j}{n+p-i}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{j}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u).$$
(12)

Let L = B in (12), then we write $\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K) := \Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,B)$. For $u \in S^{n-1}, S_i(B,u) = S, h(B,u) = 1$, it follows from (8) and (9) that $f_{p,i}(B,u) = 1$. Together with (12) yields

$$\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-j}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u), \qquad (13)$$

where $\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K)$ is called (i, j)-type L_p -mixed affine surface area of $K \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$. If j = 0, we write that

$$\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K) = \Omega_{p,0}^{(i)}(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} dS(u),$$
(14)

where $\Omega_p^{(i)}(K)$ is called (i, 0)-type L_p -mixed affine surface area (or is called *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface area).

In [20], Ma further gives the following an expansion of the definition of the (i, 0)-type L_p -mixed affine surface area: If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, p \ge 1$, then the (i, 0)-type L_p mixed affine surface area, $\Omega_p^{(i)}(K)$, of K is defined by

$$n^{-\frac{p}{n-i}}\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K)^{\frac{n+p-i}{n-i}}$$

$$= \inf\left\{nW_{p,i}(K,Q^{*})\widetilde{W}_{i}(Q)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}\right\}.$$
(15)

For i = 0, the definition is just the definition of L_p -affine surface area by proposed by Lutwak in [16].

The main results of this article can be stated as follows: First, we establish the extended form of Theorem A, given by Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{F}_{c,i}^n (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and $p \ge 1$, then

$$\Omega_p^{(i)}(K) \le n\omega_n^{\frac{2p}{n+p-i}} W_i(K)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} \widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{-p}{n+p-i}}, \quad (16)$$

with equality in inequality for i = 0 if and only if K is an ellipsoid which centered at the origin; for $0 < i \le n - 1$ if and only if K is a ball which centered at the origin.

In particular, taking i = 0 in (16), we immediately obtain L_p -affine isoperimetric inequality (3) for $K \in \mathcal{F}_c^n$.

Next, the cycle inequality of the (i, j)-type L_p -mixed affine surface areas and the Minkowski's inequality of the *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface areas are given as follows:

Theorem 2. Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$, $p \ge 1$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $j, k, m \in \mathbf{R}$ and j < k < m, then

$$\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L)^{m-k}\Omega_{p,m}^{(i)}(K,L)^{k-j} \ge \Omega_{p,k}^{(i)}(K,L)^{m-j}, \quad (17)$$

with equality in inequality for $n - i \neq p = 1$ and $0 \leq i < n-1$ if and only if K and L are homothetic; for $n-i \neq p > 1$ and 0 < i < n if and only if K and L are dilates.

Let i = 0 in (17) of Theorem 2, we immediately get Theorem B.

Theorem 3. Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n, p \ge 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, j \in \mathbf{R}$. For j < 0 or j > n - i, then

$$\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L)^{n-i} \ge \Omega_p^{(i)}(K)^{n-i-j} \Omega_p^{(i)}(L)^j;$$
(18)

for 0 < j < n - i, then

$$\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L)^{n-i} \le \Omega_p^{(i)}(K)^{n-i-j} \Omega_p^{(i)}(L)^j,$$
(19)

with equality in every inequality for $n - i \neq p = 1$ and $0 \leq i < n - 1$ if and only if K and L are homothetic; for $n - i \neq p > 1$ and $0 \leq i < n$ if and only if K and L are dilates. For j = 0 or j = n - i, (18) (or (19) is identical.

Obviously, the case i = 0 of Theorem 3 is just Theorem C.

Further, we obtain the more general form of inequality (19):

Theorem 4. Suppose $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-i} \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$, then for $m \leq n-i$,

$$\Omega_p^{(i)}(K_1, K_2, \cdots, K_{n-i})^m \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_p^{(i)}(\underbrace{K_j, \cdots, K_j}_m, K_{m+1}, \cdots, K_{n-i}),$$
(20)

with equality in inequality if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-i} $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ are all dilations of each other (with the origin as the center of dilation).

Taking m = n - i, $K_1 = K_2 = \cdots = K_{n-i-j} = K$ and $K_{n-i-j+1} = \cdots = K_{n-i} = L(0 < j < n-i)$ in (20), we immediately obtain inequality (19).

Finally, we show that a monotonic result as follows: **Theorem 5.** If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then for $1 \le p \le q$,

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_q^{(i)}(K)}{n\widetilde{W}_i(K^*)}\right)^{n+q-i} \le \left(\frac{\Omega_p^{(i)}(K)}{n\widetilde{W}_i(K^*)}\right)^{n+p-i}.$$
 (21)

Particularly, taking i = 0 in Theorem 5, we immediately get a result of literature [16].

II. PRELIMINARIES

If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then its support function, $h_K = h(K, \cdot)$: $\mathbf{R}^n \to (-\infty, \infty)$, is defined by (see [1])

$$h(K, x) = \max\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \in K\}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product of x and y. If K is a compact star-shaped (about the origin) in \mathbb{R}^n , its radial function, $\rho_K = \rho(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to [0, \infty)$, is defined by (see [1], [25])

$$\rho(K, x) = \max\{\lambda \ge 0 : \lambda x \in K\}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\},\$$

when ρ_K is positive and continuous, K is called a star body (about the origin).

Let S_o^n denote the set of star bodies (about the origin) in \mathbf{R}^n . Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates each other if $\rho_K(u)/\rho_L(u)$ is independent on $u \in S^{n-1}$.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, the polar body, K^* , of K is defined by(see [1], [25])

$$K^* = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \le 1, y \in K \}.$$

Obviously, $(K^*)^* = K$. If $\phi \in \operatorname{GL}(n)$, then $(\phi K)^* = \phi^{-t}K^*$; If $\lambda > 0$, then $(\lambda K)^* = \lambda^{-1}K^*$.

If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then the support and radial functions of the polar body K^* of K are given respectively by

$$h_{K^*}(u) = \frac{1}{\rho_K(u)}$$
 and $\rho_{K^*}(u) = \frac{1}{h_K(u)}$ (22)

for all $u \in S^{n-1}$.

For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \ge 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the Firey L_p combination $K +_p \varepsilon \cdot L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is defined by (see [15])

$$h(K +_p \varepsilon \cdot L, \cdot)^p = h(K, \cdot)^p + \varepsilon h(L, \cdot)^p,$$

where " \cdot " in $\varepsilon \cdot L$ denotes the Firey scalar multiplication.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and i = 0, 1, ..., n-1, the quermassintegrals, $W_i(K)$, of K are given by (see [1], [25])

$$W_i(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \mathrm{d}S_i(K, u),$$
(23)

where $S_i(K, \cdot)$ is *i*th surface area measure of K on S^{n-1} . From (23), we easily see that $W_0(K) = V(K)$.

Associated with the Firey L_p -combination, Lutwak defined L_p -mixed quermassintegrals as follows: For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, \varepsilon > 0$ and real $p \ge 1$, the L_p -mixed quermassintegrals, $W_{p,i}(K, L)$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1)$, of K and L are defined by (see [15])

$$\frac{n-i}{p}W_{p,i}(K,L)$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{W_i(K+_p \varepsilon \cdot L) - W_i(K)}{\varepsilon}.$$
(24)

Further, Lutwak (see [15]) showed that, for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \ge 1$ and $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, there exists a positive Borel measure $S_{p,i}(K, \cdot)$ (called the L_p -mixed surface area measure of K) on S^{n-1} , such that L_p -mixed quermassintegrals $W_{p,i}(K, L)$ has the following integral representation:

$$W_{p,i}(K,L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L^p(v) \mathrm{d}S_{p,i}(K,v)$$
(25)

for all $L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$. It turns out that the measure $S_{p,i}(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $S_i(K, \cdot)$, and has the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{p,i}(K,\cdot)}{\mathrm{d}S_i(K,\cdot)} = h^{1-p}(K,\cdot).$$
(26)

If i = 0, then $S_{p,0}(K, \cdot)$ is just the L_p -surface area measure $S_p(K, \cdot)$ of K.

From (23), (25) and (26), we know that

$$W_{p,i}(K,K) = W_i(K).$$
 (27)

The Minkowski's inequality for L_p -mixed quermassintegrals $W_{p,i}$ can be stated as follows: For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, p \ge 1$ and $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, then (see [15])

$$W_{p,i}(K,L)^{n-i} \ge W_i(K)^{n-i-p}W_i(L)^p,$$
 (28)

with equality for p = 1 and $0 \le i < n - 1$ if and only if Kand L are homothetic; for p > 1 if and only if K and L are dilates. For p = 1 and i = n - 1, inequality (28) is identical.

According to (27) and (28), we easily get that (see [15]) **Lemma 2.1.** Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \ge 1$ and $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. If for any $Q \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$,

$$W_{p,i}(K,Q) = W_{p,i}(L,Q),$$

then when $0 \le i < n-1$ and $n-i \ne p = 1$, K and L are homothetic; when $0 \le i < n$ and $n-i \ne p > 1$, K = L.

The following formula of the dual quermassintegrals will be needed.

For $K \in S_o^n$ and any real *i*, the dual quermassintegrals Lemma 3.3. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$, then $W_i(K)$, of K are defined by (see [1], [25])

$$\widetilde{W}_i(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-i}(u) \mathrm{d}S(u).$$
(29)

Obviously, $\widetilde{W}_0(K) = V(K)$.

III. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

In this section, we will prove Theorems 1-5. First, we need the following three Lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1. **Lemma 3.1.** If $p \ge 1, K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, L \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^{n}, 0 \le i \le n-1$, then

$$\Omega_p^{(i)}(L)^{n+p-i} \le n^{n+p-i} W_{p,i}(L,K)^{n-i} \widetilde{W}_i(K^*)^p, \quad (30)$$

with equality if and only if K^* and $\Lambda_{p,i}L$ are dilates.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$, then from (12), (22), (8), (25), (29) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(L)^{n+p-i} \\ &= \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right]^{n+p-i} \\ &= \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(h(K,u)^{p} f_{p,i}(L,u) \right)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} \\ &\times \left(h(K,u)^{i-n} \right)^{\frac{p}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right]^{n+p-i} \\ &\leq n^{n+p-i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K,u)^{p} f_{p,i}(L,u) \mathrm{d}S(u) \right)^{n-i} \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K^{*},u)^{n-i} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right)^{p} \\ &= n^{n+p-i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K,u)^{p} \mathrm{d}S_{p,i}(L,u) \right)^{n-i} \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K^{*},u)^{n-i} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right)^{p} \\ &= n^{n+p-i} W_{p,i}(L,K)^{n-i} \widetilde{W}_{i}(K^{*})^{p}. \end{split}$$

Thus, it follows immediately that (30). According to the condition of equality in Hölder's inequality, and combined with the definition of L_p -mixed curvature image, we know that equality holds in (30) if and only if

$$\frac{h(K,u)^p f_{p,i}(L,u)}{h(K,u)^{i-n}} = c$$

for any $u \in S^{n-1}$, where c is a constant, i.e., for any $u \in$ S^{n-1} , we have

$$\frac{\rho(\Lambda_{p,i}L,u)^{n+p-i}}{\rho(K^*,u)^{n+p-i}} = \frac{c\widetilde{W}_i(\Lambda_{p,i}L)}{\omega_n},$$

this shows that $\Lambda_{p,i}L$ and K^* are dilates. Therefore, the equality holds in inequality (30) if and only if $\Lambda_{p,i}L$ and K^* are dilates.

Lemma 3.2. ([17])Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, $i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq i < n$, then

$$\widetilde{W}_i(K) \le \omega_n^{\frac{i}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n-i}{n}}, \tag{31}$$

with equality for 0 < i < n if and only if K is a ball which centered at the origin. If i = 0, then (31) is a identical.

We also need the following well-known Blaschke-Santaló inequality (see [14]):

$$V(K)V(K^*) \le \omega_n^2, \tag{32}$$

with equality if and only if K is an ellipsoid which centered at the origin.

Now we will complete the proof of Theorem 1. In fact, we prove the following more general conclusion:

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_c$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}^n_{o,i}$, then for $p \ge 1$,

$$n\omega_n^{\frac{2p}{n+p-i}}W_{p,i}(L,K)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} \ge \widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{p}{n+p-i}}\Omega_p^{(i)}(L), \quad (33)$$

with equality in inequality for i = 0 if and only if K and L are ellipsoids which centered at the origin, and K and L are dilates; for 0 < i < n - 1 if and only if K and L are balls which centered at the origin, and K and L are dilates.

Proof. Using inequalities (30), (31) and (32), we have

$$\Omega_p^{(i)}(L)^{n+p-i}\widetilde{W}_i(K)^p$$

$$\leq n^{n+p-i}W_{p,i}(L,K)^{n-i}(\widetilde{W}_i(K^*)\widetilde{W}_i(K))^p$$

$$\leq n^{n+p-i}W_{p,i}(L,K)^{n-i}\omega_n^{\frac{2ip}{n}} \left(V(K)V(K^*)\right)^{\frac{(n-i)p}{n}}$$

$$\leq n^{n+p-i}\omega_n^{2p}W_{p,i}(L,K)^{n-i}.$$

According to the condition of equality for inequality (30), (31) and (32), we know that equality holds for i = 0 if and only if K and L are ellipsoids which centered at the origin, and K and L are dilates; for $0 < i \le n - 1$ if and only if K and L are balls which centered at the origin, and K and L are dilates.

If $K \in \mathcal{F}_{c,i}^n$, taking L = K in inequality (33), this yields Theorem 1 by (27).

Proof of Theorem 2. For i = 0, Theorem 2 is just Theorem B in [29].

For $1 < i \leq n-1$, together with (i, j)-type L_p -mixed affine surface area (12) and Hölder's inequality, we have that k-i

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L)^{\frac{m-k}{m-j}}\Omega_{p,m}^{(i)}(K,L)^{\frac{k-j}{m-j}} \\ &= \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-j}{n+p-i}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{j}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{m-k}{m-j}} \\ &\times \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-m}{n+p-i}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{m}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{k-j}{m-j}} \\ &= \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{(n-i-j)(m-k)}{(n+p-i)(m-j)}} \right)^{\frac{m-j}{m-k}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{m-k}{m-j}} \\ &\times f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{j(m-k)}{(n+p-i)(m-j)}} \right)^{\frac{m-j}{m-k}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right]^{\frac{k-j}{m-j}} \\ &\times \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{(n-i-m)(k-j)}{(n+p-i)(m-j)}} \right)^{\frac{k-j}{m-j}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{k-j}{m-j}} \\ &\times f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{m(k-j)}{(n+p-i)(m-j)}} \right)^{\frac{m-j}{k-j}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right]^{\frac{k-j}{m-j}} \\ &\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-k}{n+p-i}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{k}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \\ &= \Omega_{p,k}^{(i)}(K,L). \end{split}$$

Therefore, inequality (17) is obtained.

According to the conditions of equality in Hölder's inequalities, we know that the equality holds in inequality (19) if and only if for any $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\frac{f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-j}{n+p-i}}f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{j}{n+p-i}}}{f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-m}{n+p-i}}f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{m}{n+p-i}}}$$

is a constant, i.e., $f_{p,i}(K, u)/f_{p,i}(L, u)$ is a constant for any $u \in S^{n-1}$. Combining with (8), we get

$$\mathrm{d}S_{p,i}(K,u) = c\mathrm{d}S_{p,i}(L,u), \text{ for any } u \in S^{n-1},$$

where c is a constant. Using formula (25), then above equality can be rewritten that

$$W_{p,i}(K,Q) = cW_{p,i}(L,Q), \text{ for all } Q \in \mathcal{K}_o^n.$$

Thus, if $n - i \neq p$, then

$$W_{p,i}(K,Q) = W_{p,i}\left(c^{\frac{1}{n-i-p}}L,Q\right), \text{ for all } Q \in \mathcal{K}_o^n.$$

If $n - i \neq p > 1$ and $0 \leq i < n$, from the above equation and Lemma 2.1, we see that $K = c^{\frac{1}{n-i-p}}L$, i.e., the equality holds in inequality (17) if and only if K and L are dilates. If $n - i \neq p = 1$ and $0 \leq i < n-1$, from the above equation and Lemma 2.1, we see that K and $c^{\frac{1}{n-1-i}}L$ are homothetic. Therefore, let $c^{\frac{1}{n-1-i}}L = \lambda K + x$ ($\lambda > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n$), i.e., L = aK + y ($a > 0, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$), this shows that the equality holds in inequality (17) if and only if K and L are homothetic. The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 3. For j > n - i, using (12), (13) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L)^{\frac{n-i}{j}}\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K)^{\frac{i+j-n}{j}} \\ &= \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i-j}{n+p-i}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{j}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{n-i}{j}} \\ &\times \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{i+j-n}{j}} \\ &= \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{(n-i)(n-i-j)}{j(n+p-i)}} \right)^{\frac{j-i}{j}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{n-i}{j}} \\ &\times f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}}\right)^{\frac{j}{n-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{n-i}{j}} \\ &\times \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(f_{p,i}(K,u)^{\frac{(n-i)(i+j-n)}{j(n+p-i)}}\right)^{\frac{j}{i+j-n}} \mathrm{d}S(u)\right]^{\frac{i+j-n}{j}} \\ &\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(L,u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \\ &= \Omega_{p}^{(i)}(L), \end{split}$$

this gives inequality (18). According to the condition of equality in Hölder's inequality, we see that equality holds in inequality (18) if and only if $f_{p,i}(K, u)/f_{p,i}(L, u)$ $(p \ge 1)$ is a constant for any $u \in S^{n-1}$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we see that the equality in (18) for $n - i \ne p = 1$ and $0 \le i < n - 1$ if and only if K and L are homothetic, for $n - i \ne p > 1$ and $0 \le i < n$ if and only if K and L are dilates.

Similar to the above proof, for j < 0 or 0 < j < n - i, we can prove inequality (18) and (19), respectively.

For j = 0 (or j = n - i), we easily see that (18) (or (19)) is identical. The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

The following extension of Hölder's inequality will be required to prove Theorem 4.

Lemma 3.4. ([17])If f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m are (strictly) positive continuous functions defined on S^{n-1} and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are positive constants the sum of whose reciprocals is unity, then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} f_0(u) f_1(u) \cdots f_m(u) dS(u) \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_0(u) f_i^{\alpha_i}(u) dS(u) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}},$$
(34)

with equality if and only if there exist positive constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ such that $\lambda_1 f_1^{\alpha_1}(u) = \dots = \lambda_m f_m^{\alpha_m}(u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 4. By the definition (11) of *i*th L_p -mixed affine surface area and Hölder's inequality (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K_{1},\cdots,K_{n-i}) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} [f_{p,i}(K_{1},u)\cdots f_{p,i}(K_{n-i},u)]^{\frac{1}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \\
&\leq \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left[\int_{S^{n-1}} f_{p,i}(K_{j},u)^{\frac{m}{n+p-i}} \\
&\times \left(f_{p,i}(K_{m+1},u)\cdots f_{p,i}(K_{n-i},u) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p-i}} \mathrm{d}S(u) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left[\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(\underbrace{K_{j},\cdots,K_{j}}_{m},K_{m+1},\cdots,K_{n-i}) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the condition of equality for Hölder's inequality (34), we know that equality holds for inequality (20) if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-i} are all dilations of each other (with the origin as the center of dilation).

Taking m = n - i in inequality (20), it follows that **Corollary 3.1.** Suppose $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-i} \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$, then

$$\Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots, K_{n-i})^{n-i} \\
\leq \Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K_{1}) \cdots \Omega_{p}^{(i)}(K_{n-i}),$$
(35)

with equality in inequality if and only if K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-i} $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ are all dilations of each other (with the origin as the center of dilation).

Proof of Theorem 5. The inequality of Theorem 5 follows immediately from the definition (15) of *i*th L_p -affine surface area once the following fact is established: Given $Q \in S_o^n$, there exists a $\overline{Q} \in S_o^n$, such that

$$W_{q,i}(K,\overline{Q}^{*})^{n-i}\frac{\widetilde{W}_{i}(\overline{Q})^{q}}{\widetilde{W}_{i}(K^{*})^{q}} \leq W_{p,i}(K,Q^{*})^{n-i}\frac{\widetilde{W}_{i}(Q)^{p}}{\widetilde{W}_{i}(K^{*})^{p}}.$$
(36)

To show this, define $\overline{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ by

$$\rho_{\overline{Q}} = \left[\widetilde{W}_i(K^*)^{p-q}\widetilde{W}_i(Q)^{-p}\right]^{\frac{1}{q(n-i)}}\rho_Q^{\frac{p}{q}}\rho_{K^*}^{\frac{q-p}{q}}.$$
 (37)

From (37) we have

$$\rho_{\overline{Q}}^{-q}h_K^{1-q} = \widetilde{W}_i(K^*)^{\frac{q-p}{n-i}}\widetilde{W}_i(Q)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}\rho_Q^{-p}h_K^{1-p}$$

the integral representation of $W_{p,i}(K,Q^*)$ shows that

$$W_{q,i}(K,\overline{Q}^*) = \widetilde{W}_i(K^*)^{\frac{q-p}{n-i}} \widetilde{W}_i(Q)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} W_{p,i}(K,Q^*).$$
(38)

The definition of \overline{Q} , together with the Hölder inequality with

the formula of dual quermassintegrals, show that

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{W}_{i}(\overline{Q}) \\ &= \widetilde{W}_{i}(K^{*})^{\frac{p-q}{q}}\widetilde{W}_{i}(Q)^{-\frac{p}{q}} \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{n}\int_{S^{n-1}}\rho_{Q}(u)^{\frac{(n-i)p}{q}}\rho_{K^{*}}(u)^{\frac{(n-i)(q-p)}{q}}\mathrm{d}S(u)\right] \\ &\leq \widetilde{W}_{i}(K^{*})^{\frac{p-q}{q}}\widetilde{W}_{i}(Q)^{-\frac{p}{q}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\int_{S^{n-1}}\rho_{Q}^{n-i}(u)\mathrm{d}S(u)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{S^{n-1}}\rho_{K^{*}}^{n-i}(u)\mathrm{d}S(u)\right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \\ &= 1. \end{split}$$

Together with (38), this yields (21).

IV. OPEN PROBLEM

In this section, we propose the following two open questions:

Question 4.1. Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{F}_{c,i}^{n}$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1), p \ge 1$ $1, j \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \le j \le n$. Does it follow that

$$\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K,L)\Omega_{p,j}^{(i)}(K^*,L^*) \le (n\omega_n)^2 ?$$
(39)

with equality for 0 < j < n and p = 1 if and only if K and L are homothetic ellipsoids; for 0 < j < n and $n \neq p > 1$ if and only if K and L are dilate ellipsoids; for j = 0 (or j = n) if and only if K (or L) is an ellipsoid.

Obviously, the case i = 0 of Question 4.1 is just the result of Wang and Leng (see [30]).

Question 4.2. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{F}_{o,i}^n (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and $p \geq 1$. Does it follow that

$$\Omega_p^{(i)}(K) \le n\omega_n^{\frac{2p}{n+p-i}}\widetilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n-p-i}{n+p-i}} ?$$
(40)

or

$$\Omega_p^{(i)}(K) \le n\omega_n^{\frac{2p}{n+p-i}} W_i(K)^{\frac{n-p-i}{n+p-i}} ?$$
(41)

with equality in every inequality for i = 0 if and only if K is an ellipsoid which centered at the origin; for $0 < i \le n-1$ if and only if K is a ball which centered at the origin.

Obviously, the case i = 0 of Question 4.2 is just Lutwak's result Theorem A (see [16]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The referee of this paper proposed many very valuable comments and suggestions to improve the accuracy and readability of the original manuscript. We would like to express our most sincere thanks to the anonymous referee.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Gardner, Geometric Tomography, Cambridge: Cambridge University, Press, 1995.
- [2] H. Hadwiger, Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche and Isoperimetrie, Berlin Göttingen Heidelberg: Springer, 1957.
- [3] K. Leichtweiß, "Zur affinoberfläche konvexer körper," Manuscripta Mathematica, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 429-464, Dec. 1986
- [4] K. Leichtwei β , "Über einige eigenschaften der affinoberfälche beliebiger konvexer körper," Results in Mathematics, vol. 13, no. 3-4, pp. 255-282, May. 1988.
- [5] K. Leichtwei β , "Bemerkungen zur definition einer erweiterten affinoberfläche von E.Lutwak", Manuscripta Mathematica, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 181-197, Jun. 1989.
- [6] K. Leichtwei β , "On the history of the affine surface area for convex bodies," Results in Mathematics, vol. 20, no. 3-4, pp. 650-656, Nov. 1991.

- [7] K. Leichtweiß, Affine Geometry of Convex Bodies, Wiley-VCH, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [8] G. S. Leng, "Affine surface areas of curvature images for convex bodies," Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 797-802, May. 2002.
- [9] M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, "A characterization of affine surface area," Advances in Mathematics, vol. 147, no. 1, pp. 138-172, Oct. 1999.
- [10] E. Lutwak, "On the Blaschke-Santaló inequality," Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, vol. 440, no. 1, pp. 106-112, May. 1985.
- [11] E. Lutwak, "On some affine isoperimetric inequalities," Journal of Differential Geometry, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1-13, Jan. 1986. [12] E. Lutwak, "Mixed affine surface area," Journal of Mathematical
- Analysis and Applications, vol. 125, no. 2, pp. 351-360, Aug. 1987.
- [13] E. Lutwak, "Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes," Proceedings of the London Mathematical, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 365-391, Mar. 1990.
- [14] E. Lutwak, "Extended affine surface area," Advances in Mathematics, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 39-68, Jan. 1991.
- [15] E. Lutwak, "The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: mixed volumes and the minkowski problem," Journal of Differential Geometry, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 131-150, Jul. 1993.
- [16] E. Lutwak, "The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: Affine and geominimal surface areas," Advances in Mathematics, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 244-294, Mar. 1996.
- [17] E. Lutwak, "Dual mixed volumes," Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 531-538, Jun. 1975. [18] L. J. Liu, W. Wang and B. W. He, "Fourier transform and L_p -mixed
- projection bodies," Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 1011-1023, Sept. 2010.
- [19] F. H. Lu and W. D. Wang, "Inequalities for L_p -mixed curvature images," Acta Mathematica Scientia, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 1044-102, Jul. 2010.
- [20] T. Y. Ma, "Some inequalities related to (i, j)-type L_p -mixed affine surface area and L_p-mixed curvature image," Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 2013, no. 1, pp. 1-16, Nov. 2013.
- [21] T. Y. Ma and C. Y. Liu, "The generalized Busemann-Petty problem for dual L_p-mixed centroid bodies," Journal of Southwest University, Natural Science Edition, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 105-112, Apr. 2012 (in Chinese).
- [22] T. Y. Ma and C. Y. Liu, "The generalized Shephard problem for L_p mixed projection bodies and Minkowski-Funk transforms", Journal of Shandong University, Natural Science Edition, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 21-30, Oct. 2012 (in Chinese).
- [23] T. Y. Ma, "The generalized L_p-Winternitz problem," Journal of Mathematica Inequalities, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 597-614, Jun. 2015.
- [24] M. Meyer and E. Werner, "On the p-affine surface area," Advances in Mathematics, vol. 152, no. 2, pp. 288-313, Jun. 2000.
- [25] C. M. Petty, "Geominimal surface area," Geometriae Dedicata, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 77-97, May. 1974.
- [26] R. Schneider, Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory, Second edition, Cambridge: Cambridge University, Press, 2014.
- [27] C. Schütt, "On the affine surface area," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 1213-1218, Aug. 1993.
- [28] C. Schütt and E. Werner, "Surface bodies and p-affine surface area," Advances in Mathematics, vol. 187, no. 1, pp. 98-145, Sept. 2004.
- [29] E. Werner, "Illumination bodies and affine surface area," Studia
- *Mathematica*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 257-269, Jul. 1994. [30] W. D. Wang and G. S. Leng, " L_p -mixed affine surface area," *Journal* of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 335, no. 1, pp. 341-354, Nov. 2007.
- [31] W. D. Wang and G. S. Leng, "Some affine isoperimetric inequalities associated with Lp-affine surface area," Houston journal of mathematics, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 443-453, Mar. 2008.