

# Multiplicity of Solutions for Quasilinear Singular Euler-Lagrange Equations with Natural Growth

Quincy Stevens Nkombo \*

**Abstract**—This paper shows the existence of multiplicity solutions for quasilinear singular Euler-Lagrange equation

$$-div((a(x)+ | u |^\gamma) | \nabla u |^{N-2} \nabla u)$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{N} | u |^{\gamma-2} u | \nabla u |^N = \lambda | u |^{\theta-2} u + | u |^{q-2} u \text{ in } \Omega,$$

with zero Dirichlet boundary condition. Under hypotheses  $1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N$ ;  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

By using critical point methods we obtain the multiplicity of solutions for the above equation in the following cases:

If  $1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N$ ,  $\gamma > 1$  and there is a nonnegative constant  $\lambda^*$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ , such equation possesses an infinitely many bounded weak solutions. If  $1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N$ ,  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ , the singular equation has an infinitely many bounded weak solutions.

**Keywords:** Euler-Lagrange equation, weak solution, truncated functional, nonsmooth critical point theory, singular lower order term.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the following equation

$$-div((a(x)+ | u |^\gamma) | \nabla u |^{N-2} \nabla u) + \frac{\gamma}{N} | u |^{\gamma-2} u | \nabla u |^N = \lambda | u |^{\theta-2} u + | u |^{q-2} u \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{1.2}$$

In this case, the functional corresponding to the quasilinear Euler-Lagrange  $J$  is

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} (a(x)+ | u |^\gamma) | \nabla u |^N - \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \int_{\Omega} | u |^\theta - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} | u |^q. \tag{1.3}$$

Where  $\Omega$  is a bounded, open subset of  $R^N$ ,  $N > 2$  and

$a(x)$  is a measurable function such that for some constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  we have

$$0 < \alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta \text{ a.e } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.4}$$

The main difficulty in solving this equation is due to the term  $| u |^\gamma$  which, although we assume that  $1 < \theta < N < q < N + \gamma$ ,  $J$  is not well defined in all the space  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Similarly, this kind of non-differentiable functional  $J$  that combines a critical point theory has been investigated in [1] for  $N = 2$ . The functional  $J$  is not Gâteaux-differentiable in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  but is only differentiable through the direction of  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . In that case, the functional  $J$  is well defined in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , if we impose an additional condition on  $\gamma$ , namely,  $\gamma < N$ .

In section 2, our technique for solving a quasilinear Euler-Lagrange equation (1.1)-(1.2) is based on approximating functional  $J$  with the sequence of functionals  $J_{m,n}$  whose quadratic part in  $\nabla v$  is bounded with respect to  $v$ . Similarly, our approach has been studied in [1], and  $L^\infty$  priori estimate allows to prove that, when  $\gamma > 1$  the critical point  $u_{\bar{m},\bar{n}}$  of  $J_{\bar{m},\bar{n}}$  for  $\bar{m},\bar{n}$  large enough, therefore, a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) is found without passing the limit of  $m$  and  $n$ . Then we use the theorem 2.8 in [2] to establish the existence of infinitely many solutions to equation (1.1)-(1.2) for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$  and  $1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N$ .

In section 3, we show the existence of multiplicity solutions to equation (1.1)-(1.2) for  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ ;  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$  and  $1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N$  using the theorem 2.3 in [2] and the method established in the theorem 3.1 in [1]. However, the difficulty of this case is that the zero Dirichlet boundary condition implies the singularity with respect to  $u$  in the lower order term  $\frac{\gamma}{N} \frac{u}{|u|^{2-\gamma}} | \nabla u |^N$  of the Euler-Lagrange equation.

The multiplicity results for N-Laplacian with critical growth of concave-convex functions has been intensively studied (see [5,6]) in earlier studies. Recently, the existence of the nonnegative bounded weak solution to the quasilinear Euler-Lagrange equation involving concave-convex functions with  $N = 2$  has investigated by David Arcoya and Lucio Boccardo (see [1,15]).

Finally, the novelty of this work is that we study the existence of multiplicity of bounded weak solutions for quasilinear singular Euler-Lagrange equation with

\*The manuscript was submitted in April 27<sup>th</sup> 2015, Dalian University of Technology, School of Mathematical Sciences. Address: N0.2 ligong Road, Ganjinzi, District, Dalian city, Liaoning Province, China, Bop.116024, E-mail: quincysnk@yahoo.fr

$p = N$ .

Notation: in the rest of this work we use of the following notation.  $L^N(\Omega)$ , denote lebesgue spaces; the usual norm in  $L^N(\Omega)$  is denoted by  $\| \cdot \|_N$ .

$W_0^{k,N}(\Omega)$  denote sobolev spaces ; the norm in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  is denoted by  $\| \cdot \|_N$ .

$C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots$  denote (possibly different) positive constants.

## 2 The case $\gamma > 1$

**Definition 2.1** A measurable function  $u$  is called a weak solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  such that  $|u|^{\gamma-2} u | \nabla u |^N \in L^1(\Omega)$  and,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (a(x) + |u|^{\gamma}) | \nabla u |^{N-2} \nabla u \nabla v \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma-2} u | \nabla u |^N v \\ & = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\theta-2} uv + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} uv, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

holds for every  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose  $\gamma > 1$  and if  $q, \theta$  verifies the hypothesis

$$1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that

$$0 < \lambda < \lambda^*.$$

Then, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) possesses an infinitely many bounded weak solutions.

*Proof.* We use a similar argument as in [7] to prove the existence of multiplicity weak solutions to equation (1.1)-(1.2), then we argue this proof by splitting it in several steps

- **Step 1:** A truncated function  $J_{m,n}$
- **Step 2:**  $J_{m,n}(u) \geq a_{n,\lambda}$  for all  $\|u\|_N = r_{n,\lambda}$  and  $J_{m,n}$  is bounded from below on  $B_{r_{n,\lambda}}$
- **Step 3:** Compactness of the truncated functional  $J_{m,n}$
- **Step 4:** Existence of critical points of the truncated functional  $J_{m,n}$
- **Step 5:** Uniformly  $L^{\infty}$  - estimates
- **Step 6:** Conclusion

### • Step 1: Truncated functional

We define the truncated functional  $J_{m,n}$  from the definition of the functional  $J$ , if  $m$  is a positive integer, we consider the  $C^2$  regularization of the truncation at level

$m, T_m(t)$  is given

$$T_m(t) = \begin{cases} -m - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } t \leq -m - 1 \\ (m+1)t + \frac{t^2+m^2}{2} & \text{if } -m-1 \leq t \leq -m \\ t & \text{if } -m \leq t \leq m \\ (m+1)t - \frac{t^2+m^2}{2} & \text{if } m \leq t \leq m+1 \\ m + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } t \geq m+1 \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

see [1],[16]

Assuming that  $q_1$  and  $q_0$  are numbers such that  $1 < q_0 < \theta < N < q_1 < q < N + \gamma$  and the  $C^2$  regularization of the truncated function  $f_{n,\lambda}(t)$  is defined by

$$f_{n,\lambda}(t) = \lambda h_n(t) + g_n(t),$$

where

$$h_n(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{|t|^\theta}{\theta} & \text{if } |t| < n \\ n^\theta \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{q_0} \right) + n^{\theta-q_0} \frac{|t|^{q_0}}{q_0} & \text{if } |t| \geq n. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

$$g_n(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{|t|^q}{q} & \text{if } |t| < n \\ n^q \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q_1} \right) + n^{q-q_1} \frac{|t|^{q_1}}{q_1} & \text{if } |t| \geq n. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

By observing the definition of  $h_n(t)$  and  $g_n(t)$ , we deduce the following inequalities

$$0 \leq h_n(t) \leq \frac{n^{\theta-q_0}}{q_0} |t|^{q_0} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq h_n(t) \leq \frac{|t|^\theta}{\theta}. \tag{2.7}$$

$$0 \leq g_n(t) \leq \frac{n^{q-q_1}}{q_1} |t|^{q_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g_n(t) \leq \frac{|t|^q}{q}. \tag{2.8}$$

Consequently, we are able to deduce the estimate of  $f_{n,\lambda}(t)$  by

$$0 \leq f_{n,\lambda}(t) \leq \frac{\lambda n^{\theta-q_0}}{q_0} |t|^{q_0} + \frac{n^{q-q_1}}{q_1} |t|^{q_1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Let us consider the truncated functional

$$\begin{aligned} J_{m,n}(u) &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} (a(x) + |T_m(u)|^\gamma) | \nabla u |^N \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f_{n,\lambda}(u) \quad \text{for } u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

which is clearly well defined since

$$1 < q_0 < \theta < N < q_1 < q < \min\left(\frac{N^2}{N-1}, \gamma + N\right) \text{ and } \theta + q + \gamma \leq \frac{4N^2}{N-1}.$$

### • Step 2: Geometry of truncated functional

Consider a positive real constant  $0 < r$  such that

$$B_r = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) / \|u\|_N \leq r \right\}$$

Integrating over  $\Omega$  both sides of the inequality (2.9), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq \lambda \frac{n^{q-q_0}}{q_0} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q_0} + \frac{n^{q-q_1}}{q_1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q_1}.$$

Combining Hölder and Poincare inequalities, we obtain the following result

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq C_0 n^{q-q_1} \|u\|_N^{q_0} + C_1 n^{q-q_1} \|u\|_N^{q_1}, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are nonnegative constants. Performing calculations and taking into account the inequality (2.11), we infer that

$$J_{m,n}(u) \geq \frac{\alpha}{N} \|u\|_N^N - C_0 n^{\theta-q_0} \|u\|_N^{q_0} - C_1 n^{q-q_1} \|u\|_N^{q_1},$$

with  $a(x) + |T_m(u)|^\gamma \geq \alpha$ . Thereby, there exist nonnegative constants  $r_{n,\lambda}$ ,  $\bar{r}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\lambda^*$  such that

$$J_{m,n}(u) > 0 \text{ in } B_{r_{n,\lambda}} \text{ and } J_{m,n}(u) \geq \bar{r}_{n,\lambda} \text{ in } \partial B_{r_{n,\lambda}}$$

for all  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$

**• Step 3: Compactness of the truncated functional  $J_{m,n}$**

**Lemma 2.12** Let  $\{w_k\}$  be a sequence in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying, for every  $n \in N$  the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{m,n}(w_k) &\leq C_1 \\ \|w_k\|_\infty &\leq 2b_k \\ \langle J'_{m,n}(w_k), w \rangle &\leq \varepsilon_k \left( \frac{\|w\|_\infty}{b_k} + \|w\|_N \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall w \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Where  $C_1$  is a nonnegative constant,  $\{b_k\} \subset R^+ - \{0\}$  is any nonnegative sequence and  $\{\varepsilon_k\} \subset R^+ - \{0\}$  is a sequence converging to zero, then  $\{w_k\}$  has a strongly convergent subsequence in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  (see [8]).

Considering  $X_m$  as a test function defined such that

$$X_m(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{T_m(t)}{T'_m(t)} & \text{if } -m-1 \leq t \leq -m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.13** Since the following inequalities

$$\frac{T''_m(t)T_m(t)}{[T'_m(t)]^2} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{T_m(t)}{T'_m(t)} \leq -t\theta$$

hold, then it is easy to verify that the function  $X_m \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . After computing terms below

$$J_{m,n}(w_k) + \frac{1}{\theta} \langle J'_{m,n}(w_k), X_m(w_k) \rangle$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{T''_m(w_k)T_m(w_k)}{\theta [T'_m(w_k)]^2} \right) a(x) |\nabla w_k|^N \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{T''_m(w_k)T_m(w_k)}{\theta [T'_m(w_k)]^2} + \frac{\gamma}{\theta N} \right) \\ &\quad \times |T_m(w_k)|^\gamma |\nabla w_k|^N \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left( -\frac{T_m(w_k)}{\theta T'_m(w_k)} f'_{n,\lambda}(w_k) - f_{n,\lambda}(w_k) \right) \\ &\leq C_1 + \varepsilon_k \left( \frac{\|w_k\|_\infty}{b_k} + \|w_k\|_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that the left hand side terms are positives. The first and second terms are positive due to the hypothesis  $\frac{T''_m(t)T_m(t)}{[T'_m(t)]^2} \leq 1$ .

The positiveness of the third term is given by the definition of  $f_{m,n}(t)$  function and the assumption  $\frac{T_m(t)}{T'_m(t)} \leq -t\theta$ . The sequence  $\{w_k\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Then it weakly converges into  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  up to the subsequence that we still denote  $\{w_k\}$  converging to a function  $w$ .

**• Step 4: Existence of critical points of the truncated functional  $J_{m,n}$**

we suppose that  $\bar{H}_k$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Let

$$\Sigma = \{C \subset W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) / 0 \in C, C = -C\}.$$

According to the geometry of truncated functional  $J_{m,n}$  and previous remarks, the assumptions  $(I_1)$  and  $(I_3)$  of theorem 2.8 in [2] are satisfied. Moreover, considering the following set  $A_{m,n}$  defined as

$$A_{m,n} = B_{r_{n,\lambda}} \cup \{J_{m,n} > 0\}.$$

Therefore we assert that  $\bar{H}_k \cap A_{m,n}$  is bounded for all  $n \in N$ , consequently the hypothesis  $(I_5)$  is achieved. We now build the following set

$$\Gamma^* = \{h \in C(W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)) : h \text{ is an odd homeomorphism } h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(B_1) \subset A_{m,n}\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_k = \{K \in \Sigma : \gamma(K \cap h(\partial B_1)) \geq k \quad \forall h \in \Gamma^*\}$$

and then

$$S_k = \inf_{K \in \Gamma_k} \max_{u \in K} J_{m,n}(u).$$

Since the conditions of lemma 2.1 in [2] still holds. we choose  $h(u) = r_{n,\lambda}u$ , where  $h$  lies in  $\Gamma^*$  from this we can deduce that  $K \cap B_{r_{n,\lambda}} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $K \in \Gamma_k$ .  $J_{m,n}$  is bounded from below on  $\partial B_{r_{n,\lambda}}$ , then

$$S_k = \inf_{K \in \Gamma_k} \max_{u \in K} J_{m,n}(u) \geq \bar{r}_{n,\lambda} > 0.$$

Finally, whole assumptions of theorem 2.8 in [2] holds true. Consequently, there are infinitely many nontrivial critical points of  $J_{m,n}$  belonging to  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$J_{m,n}(u_{m,n}) > 0 \quad \forall n, m \in N.$$

Hence, the Dirichlet problem (2.14)-(1.2) has an infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions.

**• Step 5: Uniformly  $L^\infty$ -estimate**

Consider the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & -div\{(a(x)+ |T_m(u_{m,n})|^\gamma) |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{N-2} \nabla u_{m,n}\} \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{N} \frac{T'_m(u_{m,n})}{T_m(u_{m,n})} |T_m(u_{m,n})|^\gamma |\nabla u_{m,n}|^N = f'_{n,\lambda}(u_{m,n}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Assuming that  $u_{m,n}$  consists of all those solutions to equation (2.14)-(1.4) and setting  $T_m(w_{m,n}) = w_{m,n}$ , thus the equation (2.14) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & -div\{(a(x)+ |u_{m,n}|^\gamma) |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{N-2} \nabla u_{m,n}\} \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{N} |u_{m,n}|^{\gamma-2} u_{m,n} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^N = f'_{n,\lambda}(u_{m,n}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

In order to show that the solutions to equation (2.15)-(1.2) are uniformly bounded, we construct an embedding of the Orlicz-Sobolev space by using the theorem 3.1 in [9]. Then we deduce the boundedness of solutions to such equation in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Suppose that  $W(X) = W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ , the sobolev space which has the property such that every bounded sequence in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  has a subsequence that is convergent almost everywhere.

We consider two Young functions in relation with our purpose  $F : t \mapsto t^{\gamma+N}$  and  $\psi : t \mapsto t^{\frac{\gamma+N}{N}}$  such that  $F \gg \psi$ . Since  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  such that  $|u|^\gamma |\nabla u|^N \in L^1(\Omega)$ , then the embedding  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \subset L^F(\Omega)$  holds.

**Remark 2.16** Recalling that the embedding space  $L^\varphi(\Omega)$  equipped with the *Luxemburg* norm

$$|u|_\varphi = \inf \left\{ k > 0 / \int_\Omega \varphi \left( \frac{|u|}{k} \right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a Banach space (see [9]).

Let  $\{t_m\} \subset R^+ - \{0\}$  be an increasing sequence which diverges to infinity. By applying the theorem 3.1 in [9], we get the following embedding

$$W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^\psi(\Omega) \text{ is compact.}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \psi \left( \frac{|u_{m,n}|}{k} \right) & \leq \int_\Omega |u_{m,n}|^{\frac{\gamma+N}{N}} \\ & \leq C \int_\Omega |u_{m,n}|^\gamma |\nabla u_{m,n}|^N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exist a nonnegative constant  $C_{m,n}$ , because of

$$\int_\Omega |u_{m,n}|^\gamma |\nabla u_{m,n}|^N \text{ is bounded with respect to } m \text{ and } n,$$

thus

$$|u_{m,n}|_{\psi(t_m)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0; \int_\Omega \psi \left( \frac{|u_{m,n}|}{k} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

it follows that

$$|u_{m,n}|_{\psi(t_m)} \leq C_{m,n}.$$

An adaptation to the quasilinear case of the proof of a result of Stampacchia (see [10, theorem 4.1 and 4.2]) implies that there exists  $\widetilde{M}_n > 0$  such that

$$|u_{m,n}|_\infty \leq \widetilde{M}_n.$$

Let now  $m_n$  be an integer such that

$m_n \geq \max(\widetilde{M}_n + p, \bar{t})$  and if we define  $u_n = u_{m_n,n}$ , then  $T_{m_n}(u_n) = u_n$  and  $T'_{m_n}(u_n) = 1$

Accordingly, the function  $u_n$  verifies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & -div\{(a(x)+ |u_n|^\gamma) |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n\} \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{N} |u_n|^{\gamma-2} u_n |\nabla u_n|^N = f'_{n,\lambda}(u_n), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

with zero Dirichlet boundary condition.

Assuming the sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset R^+ - \{0\}$  is an increasing sequence which converges to infinity. By induction on the Orlicz space  $L^{\psi(t_n)}(\Omega)$ , we can similarly prove that

$$|u_n|_{\psi(t_n)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0; \int_\Omega \psi \left( \frac{|u_n|}{k} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \leq \widehat{C}.$$

Using again an adaptation of the proof of theorem 4.1 and 4.2 in [10] yields that there exists  $\widehat{C}_* > 0$  such that

$$|u_n|_\infty \leq \widehat{C}_* \quad \forall n \geq \max(\bar{t}, \bar{n}).$$

**• Step 6: Conclusion**

Finally, if  $\forall n \geq \max(\widehat{C}_*, \bar{t}, \bar{n})$

then  $f'_n(u_n) = \lambda |u_n|^{\theta-2} u_n + |u_n|^{q-2} u_n$

and then  $u \stackrel{def}{=} u_{\bar{n}}$ .

Hence we conclude that the Dirichlet problem (1.1)-(1.2) has an infinitely many bounded weak solutions.

**3 The case  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$**

In this section we suppose that  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , and the assumption

$$1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N$$

still holds.

For a solution  $u$  of (1.1)-(1.2), we remark that  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  such that  $\frac{|\nabla u|^N}{|u|^{2-\gamma}}$  lies in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} (a(x) + |u|^{\gamma}) |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \nabla v + \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^N}{|u|^{2-\gamma}} uv = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\theta-2} uv + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} uv \quad (3.1)$$

holds for every  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

The following theorem enables us to establish the existence of infinitely many bounded weak solutions of Dirichlet problem (1.1)-(1.2).

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  and if  $q, \theta$  satisfies the condition

$$1 < \theta < N < q < \gamma + N.$$

Moreover, there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that

$$0 < \lambda < \lambda^*.$$

Then there exist infinitely many bounded weak solutions to Dirichlet problem (1.1)-(1.2).

*Proof.* The main idea of this proof is given in [1,13], The fact that the function  $t \mapsto |t|^{\gamma}$  is not differentiable, will force us to choose a  $C^2$  approximation of a truncature function  $t \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{m} + |t|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}}$ , and a passage to the limit with respect to  $m$  will be necessary to deduce solutions to equation (1.1)-(1.2). We consider the truncated functional  $\tilde{J}_{m,n}$  for  $v$  in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  as follows

$$\tilde{J}_{m,n}(v) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |T_m(v)|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla v|^N - \int_{\Omega} f_{n,\lambda}(v), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $T_m$  and  $f_{n,\lambda}$  would be found in the section 2 and  $1 < q_0 < \theta < N < q_1 < q < N + \gamma$ .

We observe that  $\tilde{J}_{m,n}$  is well defined if  $q_1 < N + \gamma$  and  $\theta + p + q \leq \frac{4N^2}{N-1}$ .

The Euler-Lagrange equation in relation with the above functional is defined for  $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$

$$-div \left\{ \left( a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |T_m(v)|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right) |\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \right\} + \frac{\gamma}{N} \frac{T'_m(v) |T_m(v)|^{N-2} T_m(v)}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |T_m(v)|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla v|^N = f'_{n,\lambda}(v), \quad (3.4)$$

with zero Dirichlet boundary condition. We establish the compactness condition of the truncated functional  $\tilde{J}_{m,n}$  by repeating the argument used in the Theorem 2.2 Section 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{T''_m(w_k) T_m(w_k)}{\theta [T'_m(w_k)]^2} \right) a(x) |\nabla w_k|^N \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{T''_m(w_k) T_m(w_k)}{\theta [T'_m(w_k)]^2} + \frac{\gamma}{\theta N} \frac{|T_m(w_k)|^N}{\frac{1}{m} + |T_m(w_k)|^N} \right) \\ & \times \left( \frac{1}{m} + |T_m(w_k)|^N \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} |\nabla w_k|^N \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left( -\frac{T_m(w_k)}{\theta T'_m(w_k)} f'_{n,\lambda}(w_k) - f_{n,\lambda}(w_k) \right) \\ & \leq C_1 + \varepsilon_k \left( \frac{|w_k|_{\infty}}{b_k} + \|w_k\|_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning as before, the three left hand side terms are positives ( the second one term is positive because

$\frac{|T_m(w_k)|^N}{\frac{1}{m} + |T_m(w_k)|^N} \geq 0$ ) thereby, we can conclude that the sequence  $\{w_k\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, it weakly converges into  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  up to the subsequence which we still denote  $\{w_k\}$  converging to a function  $w$ . The fact that  $a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |T_m(v)|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \geq \alpha$ , we infer from the proof of theorem 2.15 section 2, the functional  $\tilde{J}_{m,n}$  is bounded from below. Accordingly, we are able to deduce that  $\tilde{J}_{m,n}$  satisfies all assumptions of theorem 2.3 in [3], and then there exist infinitely many nontrivial solutions  $u_k^{m,n}$  with  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  to equation (3.4)-(1.2).

In the following steps every solution of Dirichlet problem (3.4)-(1.2) could be represented by  $w_{m,n}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

According to the proof of theorem 2.15, we infer that there is  $M_n > 0$  such that for every  $m$ , we have

$$|w_{m,n}|_{\infty} \leq M_n. \quad (3.5)$$

The fact that  $f'_{n,\lambda}(t) = \lambda h'_n(t) + g'_n(t)$ , there exists a nonnegative constant  $\tilde{N}_n$  such that

$$|f'_{n,\lambda}(w_{m,n})|_{\infty} \leq \tilde{N}_n. \quad (3.6)$$

Choosing  $m$  sufficiently large, we therefore see that  $T_m(w_{m,n}) = w_{m,n}$  and then,  $w_{m,n}$  solves the following equation

$$-div \left\{ \left( a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right) |\nabla w_{m,n}|^{N-2} \nabla w_{m,n} \right\} + \frac{\gamma}{N} \frac{|w_{m,n}|^{N-2} w_{m,n}}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N = f'_{n,\lambda}(w_{m,n}). \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that, in contrast with the case  $\gamma > 1$ , here we still have an explicit dependance on  $m$  in the equation.

Since  $a(x) |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $w_{m,n} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  then

the sequence  $\{w_{m,n}\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  up to subsequence, it weakly converges in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  to a function  $w_n$  which lies in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Consider a test function  $\tilde{T}_k$  such that

$$\tilde{T}_k(z) = 1 + kz.$$

Taking into account  $\tilde{T}_k(w_{m,n})$  as test function in (3.7) and dropping nonnegative terms, and so letting  $k$  tends to zero, we get

$$\frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w_{m,n}|^{N-2} w_{m,n}}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N \leq \int_{\Omega} f'_{n,\lambda}(w_{m,n}),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w_{m,n}|^{N-2} w_{m,n}}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N \leq \tilde{P}_n, \quad (3.8)$$

because (3.5) holds true.

Consequently, the term  $\frac{|w_{m,n}|^{N-2} w_{m,n}}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N$  is

bounded in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and the fact that (3.6) holds, then this term  $a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to  $m$ . We amalgamate the theorem 2.1 in [11] with the theorem A.O.6 in [12] to deduce that the sequence  $\{\Delta_N w_{m,n}\}$  weak\* converges  $\Delta_N w_n$  for all  $\phi$  lies in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  as  $m$  tends to infinity. Therefore, by using Fatou lemma we can pass the limit in (3.8) to obtain that

$$\frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^N}{|w_n|^{2-\gamma}} w_n \leq \tilde{P}_n$$

According to the previous proof we can deduce that

$\int_{\Omega} |w_n|^\gamma |\nabla w_n|^N$  is bounded with respect to  $n$

for  $w_k$  instead of  $w_n$ .

**Remark 3.9** Repeating the same procedure as in section 2, the sequence  $\{w_k\}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

In order to show that the equation (3.7)-(1.2) has a supersolution, we choose  $\phi \geq 0$  in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  and define  $H_m(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha N} \left(\frac{1}{m} + |t|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}}$ .

Taking  $\phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})}$  as test a function in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,n}|^{N-2} \\ & \quad \times \nabla w_{m,n} \nabla \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})} \\ & = \int_{\Omega} w_{m,n} H'_m(w_{m,n}) \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,n}|^{N-2} \\ & \quad \times \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \int_{\Omega} H_m(w_{m,n}) \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N \\ & \quad \times \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})} \\ & - \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w_{m,n}|^{N-2} w_{m,n}}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla w_{m,n}|^N \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})} \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} f'_{n,\lambda}(w_{m,n}) \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining the assumption  $0 < \alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta$  with the definition of  $H_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t H'_m(t) \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |t|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] - \frac{\gamma}{N} \frac{|t|^{N-2} t}{\left(\frac{1}{m} + |t|^N\right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} \\ & \geq t H'(t) \left(\frac{1}{m} + |t|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,n}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,n}|^{N-2} \nabla w_{m,n} \\ & \quad \times \nabla \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})} \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} f'_{n,\lambda}(w_{m,n}) \phi e^{-w_{m,n} H_m(w_{m,n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we pass the limit, as  $m$  tends to infinity, thanks to the weak convergence of the sequence  $\{w_{m,n}\}$  to  $w_n$  and its boundedness in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Defining  $H(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha N} |t|^\gamma$  as the limit of  $H_m(t)$  when  $m$  tends to infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w_n|^\gamma] |\nabla w_n|^{N-2} \nabla w_n \nabla \phi e^{-w_n H_m(w_n)} \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} f'_{n,\lambda}(w_n) \phi e^{-w_n H_m(w_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that the sequence  $\{w_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , we can choose  $\bar{n}$  large enough so that  $w \stackrel{def}{=} w_n \leq \bar{n}$ .

We notice that  $w = w_{\bar{n}}$  in  $\Omega$  yields a singular set of function  $\frac{1}{|w|^{2-\gamma}}$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

Since  $0 \leq \phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  is taken as in the equation (3.7) in which  $w_{m,\bar{n}}$  a solution, and so using Fatou lemma the sequence  $\{\Delta_N w_n\}$  weak\* converges to  $\Delta_N w$  for all  $\phi$  belongs to  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w|^{N-2} \nabla w \nabla \phi \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} f'_{\bar{n},\lambda}(w) \phi. \end{aligned}$$

We follow the idea as in [13], therefore we choose  $v = \phi e^{H(w) - H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})}$  as test function in (3.7) to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,\bar{n}}|^N\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,\bar{n}}|^{N-2} \nabla w_{m,\bar{n}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \nabla \phi e^{H(w)-H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})} \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left( \frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,\bar{n}}|^N \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,\bar{n}}|^{N-2} \nabla w_{m,\bar{n}} \\ & \times \nabla \phi e^{H(w)-H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})} H'(w) - \int_{\Omega} f'_{\bar{n},\lambda}(w_{\bar{n}}) \phi e^{H(w)-H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})} \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left[ a(x) + \left( \frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,\bar{n}}|^N \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{N}} \right] |\nabla w_{m,\bar{n}}|^{N-2} \nabla w_{m,\bar{n}} \\ & \quad \times \nabla \phi e^{H(w)-H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})} H'(w_{m,\bar{n}}) \\ & - \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w_{m,\bar{n}}|^{N-2} w_{m,\bar{n}}}{\left( \frac{1}{m} + |w_{m,\bar{n}}|^N \right)^{\frac{N-\gamma}{N}}} |\nabla w_{m,\bar{n}}|^N \phi e^{H(w)-H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning as before, the right hand side is nonnegative, consequently, letting  $m$  tends to infinity and then applying Fatou lemma, thereby we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w|^{\gamma}] |\nabla w|^{N-2} \nabla w \nabla \phi \\ & + \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w|^{\gamma}] |\nabla w|^N H'(w) \phi \\ & - \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |w|^{\theta-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w) \phi \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w|^{\gamma}] |\nabla w|^N H'(w) \phi - \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^N}{|w|^{2-\gamma}} w \phi, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w|^{\gamma}] |\nabla w|^{N-2} \nabla w \nabla \phi + \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^N}{|w|^{2-\gamma}} w \phi \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |w|^{\theta-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w) \phi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$e^{H(w)-H_m(w_{m,\bar{n}})}$  equals to 1 as  $m$  tends to infinity.

We prove the converse inequality of (3.10)

Indeed, taking  $0 \leq \phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  as the test function in the equation for  $w_{m,\bar{n}}$  solution to equation (3.7) and applying Fatou lemma to equation (3.7). Moreover,  $f'_{\bar{n}}(w_{\bar{n}}) = f'_{\bar{n}}(w) = \lambda |w|^{\theta-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w$  then, we deduce by letting  $m$  tends to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w|^{\gamma}] |\nabla w|^{N-2} \nabla w \nabla \phi + \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^N}{|w|^{2-\gamma}} w \phi \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |w|^{\theta-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w) \phi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By combining (3.10) with (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |w|^{\gamma}] |\nabla w|^{N-2} \nabla w \nabla \phi + \frac{\gamma}{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w|^N}{|w|^{2-\gamma}} w \phi \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |w|^{\theta-2} w + |w|^{q-2} w) \phi. \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  The solution  $w$  of (1.3)-(1.4) is either  $w \stackrel{def}{=} u^0$  or  $w \stackrel{def}{=} u^1$  or ..... or  $w \stackrel{def}{=} u^k$  or .....

Hence, we can assert that the Dirichlet problem (1.1)-(1.2) has infinitely many positive bounded weak solution.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

I would like to thank with deep gratitude the considerable assistance I have received from Professor Fengquan Li for comments that greatly improve and enhance the manuscript. Moreover, I also thank Feng wang for his advices towards me during this work. Finally, I do appreciate the encouragement of our research group.

### References

- [1] D.Arcoya,L.Boccardo,L.Orsina,"Critical points for functionals with quasilinear singular Euler-Lagrange Equation," *Calculus of Variatinal*,vol.47,pp.159-180, 2013
- [2] A.Ambrosetti,"Dual variational Methods in Critical point theory and application," *Journal of Functinal Analysis* vol.14, pp.349-381, 1973
- [3] J.M.do ó,"N-Laplacian equation in  $R^N$  with critical growth," *Abstr. Applied. Analysis*, vol.2, pp.301-315, 1997
- [4] Y.Wang,J.Yang, "Quasilinear elliptic equations involving the N-Laplacian with critical exponential growth in  $R^N$ ," *Nonlinear. Analysis*,vol.71, pp.6157-6169, 2009
- [5] J.Giacomoni,S.Prashanth,"A global multiplicity result for N-Laplacian with critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type," *J.differential Equations*,vol.232, pp.544-572, 2007
- [6] R.Panda,"Nontrivial solution of a quasilinear elliptic equation with critical growth in  $R^N$ ," *J.Differential Equations*,vol.105, pp.425-444, 1995
- [7] A.Ambrosetti,"Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some Elliptic equation Problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*,vol.122,pp.519-543, 1994
- [8] D.Arcoya,L.Boccardo,"Critical points for Multiple Integrals of the calculus of variations," *Arch.Rational Mech. Anal*,vol.134,pp.249-274, 1996
- [9] P.Hajlasz,L.M.Zhuo,"A compact embedding of a sobolev Space is equivalent to an embedding into a Better space," *Mathematics Subject Classification*, Primary 46E35; Secondary.PH, 2000

- [10] G.Stampacchia,"le probleme de Dirichlet pour les equations elliptiques du second order a coefficients discontinus," *Ann.Inst.Fourier(Grenoble)*,vol.15, pp.189-258, 1965
- [11] L.Boccardo,F.Murat,"Almost everywhere convergence of the gradient of solutions to elliptic and parabolic," *Nonlinear Analysis*,vol.19,pp.581-597, 1992
- [12] I.Peral,"Multiplicity of solutions for the p-Laplacian".*International Center for Theoretical Physics Trieste*, 1997
- [13] D.Arcoya,L.Boccardo,"Dirichletproblem with singular and gradient quadratic lower order term," *ESAIM control optimal.calculs.Variational*,vol.14,pp.411-426, 2008
- [14] D.Gilbarg,N.S.Trudinger,"Elliptic Partial Differential Equation of Second Order," *Springer,Berlin*.1998
- [15] D.Arcoya,L.Boccardo,"Some remarks on critical point theory for non differentiable functions," *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*,vol.6, pp.79-100, 1999
- [16] A.Belmeguenai,"Construction of Balanced Functions without Extending Their Number of Variables," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*,vol.39, pp.134-144, 2009