

New Dual Orlicz Mixed Volume

Hai Li, Weidong Wang*, Tongyi Ma

Abstract—In this paper, we propose new dual Orlicz mixed volume and compute the corresponding variational formula. Further, we also establish dual Orlicz mixed Minkowski inequality, dual Orlicz mixed Brunn-Minkowski inequality and demonstrate the equivalence between them.

Index Terms—star body, dual Orlicz mixed volume, dual Orlicz mixed Minkowski inequality, dual Orlicz mixed Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE classical Brunn-Minkowski theory is the product of combining the Minkowski linear combination with volume, its essences are mixed volume, mixed area measure and basic Brunn-Minkowski inequalities. Its dual counterpart called dual Brunn-Minkowski theory can be developed from a few basic concepts: star body, radial function and dual mixed volume. We use S^n_o to denote the set of star bodies with respect to the origin in Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n and S^{n-1} the unit sphere. For $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n \in S^n_o$, the dual mixed volume $\tilde{V}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n)$ is defined by (see [20])

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{V}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K_1, u)\rho(K_2, u) \cdots \rho(K_n, u) dS(u), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where ρ denotes the radial function and $S(u)$ the Lebesgue measure on S^{n-1} . Its special case, dual mixed volume $\tilde{V}_i(K, L)$ is given by

$$\tilde{V}_i(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-i} \rho(L, u)^i dS(u),$$

where i is allowed to be any real number (see [20]). Specifically, $\tilde{V}_0(K, L)$ just is volume $V(K)$ of the body K . As a key ingredient of dual Brunn-Minkowski theory, dual mixed volume has been widely studied (e.g., [21], [22], [38]).

Associated with the L_p harmonic radial combination, Lutwak [24] proposed L_p dual mixed volume: For $K, L \in S^n_o$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and real $p \geq 1$, the L_p dual mixed volume $\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L)$ is defined by

$$-\frac{n}{p} \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(K \tilde{+}_{-p\varepsilon} L) - V(K)}{\varepsilon},$$

which actually can be written as (see [24])

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n+p} \rho(L, u)^{-p} dS(u).$$

Manuscript received May 21, 2019; revised June 11, 2019. This work was supported in part by the Research Fund for Excellent Dissertation of China Three Gorges University (2018SSPY133) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (11371224 and 11561020).

Hai Li is with the Department of Mathematics, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, China, e-mail: lihaili21455@163.com.

*Weidong Wang is corresponding author with the Department of Mathematics and Three Gorges Mathematical Research Center, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, 443002, China, e-mail: wangwd722@163.com.

Tongyi Ma is with the College of Mathematics and Statistics, Hexi University, Zhangye, 734000, China, e-mail: matongyi@126.com.

These together with succedent researches, including fundamental Minkowski and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities, generalized the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory to L_p case and established L_p dual Brunn-Minkowski theory. In fact, the more general combinations for real $p \neq 0$ (called L_p radial combination) were proposed by Gardner [6] and Grinberg *et al* [11]. For the (dual) Brunn-Minkowski theory and their L_p versions, we refer the reader to [7], [23], [24], [29].

In recent years, investigations toward to Orlicz case, called Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory. This groundbreaking work was launched by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang in 2010 ([25], [26]). Gardner, Hug and Weil [8] provided a general framework for such theory, their work shows the relation to Orlicz spaces and norms. Ye [35] developed the basic setting for the dual Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory and gave a formula for the Orlicz L_ϕ dual mixed volume based on linear Orlicz φ -radial addition. Whereafter, Gardner, Hug, Weil and Ye [10] extended Ye's results from star bodies to star sets. The more developments of the Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory also see [1], [3], [4], [5], [9], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [27], [28], [30], [32], [33], [34], [36], [37], [39], [41].

In 2014, Zhu *et al* [40] presented the following Orlicz radial combination: For $a, b \geq 0$ (not both zero) and $\phi \in \Phi$, the Orlicz radial combination $a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L$ of $K, L \in S^n_o$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L}(u) \\ &= \sup \left\{ t > 0 : a\phi\left(\frac{\rho_K(u)}{t}\right) + b\phi\left(\frac{\rho_L(u)}{t}\right) \leq \phi(1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Here Φ denotes a set of convex functions $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that are strictly decreasing and satisfy $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t) = \infty$. It is easy to conclude from [29] that ϕ is continuous and both left derivative ϕ'_l and right derivative ϕ'_r are existent.

From this, they [40] also gave the dual Orlicz mixed volume $\tilde{V}_\phi(K, L)$ by

$$\tilde{V}_\phi(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi\left(\frac{\rho(L, u)}{\rho(K, u)}\right) \rho(K, u)^n dS(u), \quad (1.3)$$

and proved two fundamental inequalities respectively.

Theorem 1.A *If $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K, L \in S^n_o$, then*

$$\tilde{V}_\phi(K, L) \geq V(K) \phi\left(\left(\frac{V(L)}{V(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right), \quad (1.4)$$

equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates.

Theorem 1.B *If $\phi \in \Phi$, $a, b > 0$ and $K, L \in S^n_o$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &\geq a\phi\left(\left(\frac{V(K)}{V(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \\ &+ b\phi\left(\left(\frac{V(L)}{V(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates.

Quite recently, Chen and Guo [2] introduced the Orlicz mixed volume based on the mixed volume functional and Orlicz combination. In this paper, by applying the function $\tilde{V} : (S_o^n)^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ to Orlicz radial combination, we consider the dual case. For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, we let $\mathbf{C} = (K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n)$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) &= \tilde{V}(K, \underbrace{\dots, K}_m, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n) \\ &= \tilde{V}(K[m], \mathbf{C}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^m c(u) dS(u), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $c(u) = \prod_{i=m+1}^n \rho(K_i, u)$, $u \in S^{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{(1)}(K, L) &= \tilde{V}(K, \underbrace{\dots, K}_{m-1}, L, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n) \\ &= \tilde{V}(K[m-1], L, \mathbf{C}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{m-1} \rho(L, u) c(u) dS(u). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

We now define dual Orlicz mixed volume $\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C})$ and derive its integral representation as follows.

Definition 1.1 For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n, K, L \in S_o^n$, let $\mathbf{C} = (K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n)$ and define dual Orlicz mixed volume $\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C})$ by

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) = \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon) - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\varepsilon}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $K_\varepsilon = K \tilde{+}_\phi \varepsilon \cdot L$.

Theorem 1.1 For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ and $K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n, K, L \in S_o^n$, let $\mathbf{C} = (K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n)$ and $\phi \in \Phi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, u)}{\rho(K, u)} \right) \rho(K, u)^m c(u) dS(u). \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Furthermore, we respectively give significant dual Orlicz mixed Minkowski inequality, dual Orlicz mixed Brunn-Minkowski inequality and state the equivalence between them.

Theorem 1.2 For $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ and $K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n, K, L \in S_o^n$, let $\mathbf{C} = (K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n)$. If $\phi \in \Phi$, then

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) \geq \phi \left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right) \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K), \quad (1.10)$$

equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates.

Theorem 1.3 For $a, b \geq 0$ (not both zero), $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, $K, L \in S_o^n$. If $\phi \in \Phi$, then

$$\phi(1) \geq a\phi \left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)$$

$$+ b\phi \left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right), \quad (1.11)$$

equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates.

Theorem 1.4 Let m and \mathbf{C} be as above. If $a, b \geq 0$ (not both zero), $K, L \in S_o^n$ and $\phi \in \Phi$, then (1.10) and (1.11) are equivalent.

Our work further enriches and develops the dual Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory, please see the next section for interrelated backgrounds.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Radial Function and Star Bodies

Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a star-shaped with respect to the origin, its radial function ρ_K for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{o\}$ is defined by (see [7], [29])

$$\rho_K(x) = \rho(K, x) = \max\{c \geq 0 : cx \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{o\}.$$

If ρ_K is positive and continuous, K will be called a star body (about the origin). Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates of each other, if $\rho(K, u) = c\rho(L, u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$ ($c > 0$). For general linear transformation $\Lambda \in GL(n)$, $\rho_{\Lambda K}(x) = \rho_K(\Lambda^{-1}x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{o\}$, and for the standard unit ball B , we have $\rho(B, u) = 1$, $u \in S^{n-1}$.

B. L_p Radial Combination

For $K, L \in S_o^n$, real $p \neq 0$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), define L_p radial combination $\lambda \circ K \tilde{+}_p \mu \circ L$ by (see [6])

$$\rho(\lambda \circ K \tilde{+}_p \mu \circ L, \cdot)^p = \lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^p + \mu \rho(L, \cdot)^p. \quad (2.1)$$

For $p = 1$, this is the classical case. If $p > 0$, then (2.1) is Grinberg and Zhang's definition (see [11]), and $p \leq -1$ the L_p harmonic radial combination (see [24]).

III. RESULTS AND PROOFS

The proof of Theorem 1.1 requires the following two lemmas (see [40]).

Lemma 3.1 Let $\phi \in \Phi$. If $a_i, b_i \geq 0$ and $a_i \rightarrow a$, $b_i \rightarrow b$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$a_i \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b_i \cdot L \rightarrow a \cdot K \tilde{+}_\phi b \cdot L, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

for all $K, L \in S_o^n$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\phi \in \Phi$ and $K, L \in S_o^n$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\rho_{K \tilde{+}_\phi \varepsilon \cdot L}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\rho_K(u)}{\phi'_r(1)} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, u)}{\rho(K, u)} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

uniformly for $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to (1.1) and (1.6), we know

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon) - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{V}(K_\varepsilon[m], \mathbf{C}) - \tilde{V}(K[m], \mathbf{C})}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ & \quad \times (\tilde{V}(K_\varepsilon[i], K[m-i], \mathbf{C}) - \tilde{V}(K_\varepsilon[i-1], K[m-i+1], \mathbf{C})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} c(u) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u)^i \rho_K(u)^{m-i} - \rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u)^{i-1} \rho_K(u)^{m-i+1} \right) dS(u) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u)^{i-1} \rho_K(u)^{m-i} c(u) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{\rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{\varepsilon} dS(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with definition (1.8), (3.1) and (3.2), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) \\
 &= \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon) - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u)^{i-1} \rho_K(u)^{m-i} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times c(u) \frac{\rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{\varepsilon} dS(u) \right] \\
 &= \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u)^{i-1} \rho_K(u)^{m-i} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times c(u) \frac{\rho_{K_\varepsilon}(u) - \rho_K(u)}{\varepsilon} dS(u) \right] \\
 &= \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K(u)^{m-1} c(u) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \frac{\rho_K(u)}{\phi'_r(1)} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, u)}{\rho(K, u)} \right) dS(u) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K(u)^m c(u) \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, u)}{\rho(K, u)} \right) dS(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (1.9).

Let $\phi_i, \phi \in \Phi$ and $i \in N$, we say that $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi$ implies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{t \in I} |\phi_i(t) - \phi(t)| = 0,$$

for each compact interval $I \subset (0, +\infty)$.

The following results can be immediately obtained from Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.1 Let $K_i, L_i, K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $\phi_i, \phi \in \Phi$ ($i \in N$), $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ and $\mathbf{C} = (K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n)$, we have

(i) If $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, then

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L_1, \mathbf{C}) \geq \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L_2, \mathbf{C}).$$

(ii) If $L_i \rightarrow L$, $K_i \rightarrow K$, then

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K_i, L_i, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}).$$

(iii) If $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi$, then

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi_i, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}).$$

(iv) For any general linear transformation $\Lambda \in GL(n)$, one has

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(\Lambda K, \Lambda L, \Lambda \mathbf{C}) = |\det \Lambda| \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}).$$

Proof. The conclusions (i)-(iii) are obvious, we only prove the case (iv). For convenience, we write $c'(u) = \prod_{i=m+1}^n \rho(\Lambda K_i, u)$, $u \in S^{n-1}$ and $v = \frac{\Lambda^{-1}(u)}{\|\Lambda^{-1}(u)\|}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 c'(u) &= \|\Lambda^{-1}(u)\|^{-(n-m)} \rho(K_{m+1}, v) \cdots \rho(K_n, v) \\
 &= \|\Lambda^{-1}(u)\|^{-(n-m)} c(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.9), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(\Lambda K, \Lambda L, \Lambda \mathbf{C}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(\Lambda L, u)}{\rho(\Lambda K, u)} \right) \rho(\Lambda K, u)^m c'(u) dS(u) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, \Lambda^{-1}u)}{\rho(K, \Lambda^{-1}u)} \right) \rho(K, \Lambda^{-1}u)^m c'(u) dS(\Lambda \Lambda^{-1}u) \\
 &= |\det \Lambda| \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, v)}{\rho(K, v)} \right) \rho(K, v)^m c(v) dS(v) \\
 &= |\det \Lambda| \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}),
 \end{aligned}$$

this gets the desired result.

The following dual Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality [7] is needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.3 If $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\tilde{V}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n)^m \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{V}(K_i[m], K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n), \tag{3.3}$$

equality holds if and only if K_1, \dots, K_m are dilates of each other.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (1.6), (1.7) and (3.3), we see that

$$\tilde{V}_{(1)}(K, L) \leq \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that ϕ is convex and strictly decreasing, and $\frac{\rho(K, u)^m c(u) dS(u)}{n \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}$ is a probability measure on S^{n-1} , these combined with (1.7), (1.9), (3.4) and Jensen's inequality, yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C})}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi \left(\frac{\rho(L, u)}{\rho(K, u)} \right) \frac{\rho(K, u)^m c(u) dS(u)}{n \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\rho(K, u)^{m-1} \rho(L, u) c(u) dS(u)}{n \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \right) \\
 &= \phi \left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(1)}(K, L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \right) \\
 &\geq \phi \left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \right) \\
 &= \phi \left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

this establishes (1.10), with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

Remark 3.1 If $m = n$, then (1.6) implies $\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) = V(K)$, combining (1.3) and (1.9), one gets $\tilde{V}_{(\phi, n, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) = \tilde{V}_\phi(K, L)$ and (1.10) just is (1.4).

Remark 3.2 If $m = n - i$, $\phi(t) = t^{-p}$ with $p \geq 1$ and the tuple \mathbf{C} consists only of unit ball B , then $c(u) = 1$, $\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) = \tilde{V}(K[n - i], B[i]) = \tilde{W}_i(K)$. In such case, (1.9) means

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{V}_{(\phi, n-i, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n+p-i} \rho(L, u)^{-p} dS(u) \\ &= \tilde{W}_{-p, i}(K, L), \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{W}_i and $\tilde{W}_{-p, i}$ are called dual quermassintegral and L_p dual mixed quermassintegral, respectively. Thus, (1.10) yields

$$\tilde{W}_{-p, i}(K, L) \geq \tilde{W}_i(K)^{\frac{n+p-i}{n-i}} \tilde{W}_i(L)^{\frac{-p}{n-i}},$$

which was just established by Wang and Leng [31].

Proposition 3.2 For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ and $K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n, K, L \in S_o^n$, let $\mathbf{C} = (K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n)$. If $\phi \in \Phi$ and for any $Q \in S_o^n$,

$$\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(Q, K, \mathbf{C}) = \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(Q, L, \mathbf{C}), \quad (3.5)$$

or

$$\frac{\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, Q, \mathbf{C})}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} = \frac{\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(L, Q, \mathbf{C})}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}, \quad (3.6)$$

then $K = L$.

Proof. Suppose (3.5) holds. If we take K for Q , then from (1.9), (1.6) and (1.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1)\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) &= \tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) \\ &\geq \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K). \end{aligned}$$

That is to say,

$$\phi(1) \geq \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right),$$

by the monotonicity of ϕ , we know $\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) \leq \tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)$. Similarly, If we take L for Q , we have $\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) \geq \tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)$. Hence, $\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) = \tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)$, i.e., $K = L$.

By the same way, we can state the other case (3.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since definition (1.2) can be equivalently transformed into

$$a\phi\left(\frac{\rho_K(u)}{\rho_{a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L}(u)}\right) + b\phi\left(\frac{\rho_L(u)}{\rho_{a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L}(u)}\right) = \phi(1), \quad (3.7)$$

this together with (1.6), (1.9) and (1.10), yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(1)\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L) \\ &= \phi(1)\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L}(u)^m c(u) dS(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} a\phi\left(\frac{\rho_K(u)}{\rho_{a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L}(u)}\right) \\ & \quad \times \rho(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L, u)^m c(u) dS(u) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} b\phi\left(\frac{\rho_L(u)}{\rho_{a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L}(u)}\right) \\ & \quad \times \rho(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L, u)^m c(u) dS(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= a\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L, K, \mathbf{C}) \\ & \quad + b\tilde{V}_{(\phi, m, 1)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L, L, \mathbf{C}) \\ &\geq a\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L) \\ & \quad + b\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)\tilde{V}_{(0)}(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L). \end{aligned}$$

This obtains (1.11), the equality condition of (1.10) shows that equality holds in (1.11) if and only if K and L are dilates.

Remark 3.3 If $m = n$, then (1.11) reduces to (1.5).

Remark 3.4 If $m = n - i$ and the tuple \mathbf{C} consists only of unit ball B , then $\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) = \tilde{W}_i(K)$, and (1.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &\geq a\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{W}_i(K)}{\tilde{W}_i(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-i}}\right) \\ & \quad + b\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{W}_i(L)}{\tilde{W}_i(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{\phi} b \cdot L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-i}}\right). \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

Specially, the case of $\phi(t) = t^{-p}$ with $p \geq 1$ reduces to

$$\tilde{W}_i(a \cdot K \tilde{+}_{-p} b \cdot L)^{-\frac{p}{n-i}} \geq a\tilde{W}_i(K)^{-\frac{p}{n-i}} + b\tilde{W}_i(L)^{-\frac{p}{n-i}},$$

this inequality was previously proved in [31].

Finally, we demonstrate the equivalence between two types of fundamental inequalities.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that (1.11) can be deduced from (1.10), here we only need to prove (1.10) by (1.11).

For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, let $K_\varepsilon = K \tilde{+}_{\phi} \varepsilon \cdot L$ and construct a new function

$$F(\varepsilon) = \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) + \varepsilon\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) - \phi(1),$$

it is easy to check that $F(\varepsilon) \leq 0$, $F(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(\varepsilon) - F(0)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) + \varepsilon\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) - \phi(1)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) - \phi(1)}{\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1}{\varepsilon} \\ & \quad + \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right). \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is decreasing and (3.7) implies $K_\varepsilon \subseteq K$ for a given fully small positive ε . Thus, $\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \rightarrow 1^+$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) - \phi(1)}{\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\phi(t) - \phi(1)}{t - 1} \\
 &= \phi'_r(1).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.10}$$

From (1.6) and (1.8), we know

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{m}} - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= -\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \frac{1}{m} \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon) - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\phi'_r(1)} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(\phi,m,1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C})}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(\varepsilon) - F(0)}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= -\frac{\tilde{V}_{(\phi,m,1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C})}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)} + \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) \leq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

this gets (1.10). Equality holds in (1.10) if and only if $F(\varepsilon) = F(0) = 0$, which shows that equality condition of (1.10) can be obtained from (1.11).

Remark 3.5 In fact, by (1.11) we can give another proof of (1.10), however, the equality condition is hard to obtain.

Second proof of Theorem 1.2. Since ϕ is convex and strictly decreasing, we know

$$\phi'_r(1)(x - 1) \leq \phi(x) - \phi(1), \text{ for } x \geq 1.
 \tag{3.12}$$

By (1.8), (3.11), (3.12) and (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tilde{V}_{(\phi,m,1)}(K, L, \mathbf{C}) \\
 &= \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon) - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= \frac{\phi'_r(1)}{m} \cdot m \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{m}} - \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\varepsilon} \\
 &= -\phi'_r(1) \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{m}}} - 1 \right) \\
 &\geq -\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) - \phi(1) \right) \\
 &\geq \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K_\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right) \\
 &= \tilde{V}_{(0)}(K) \phi\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(L)}{\tilde{V}_{(0)}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have concluded (1.10).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors want to express earnest thankfulness for the referees who provided extremely precious and helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. W. Chen, J. Z. Zhou and C. L. Yang, "On the reverse Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 820–828, 2011.
- [2] R. F. Chen and L. J. Guo, "Orlicz extensions of Brunn-Minkowski theory," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 1–13, 2018.
- [3] C. M. Du, L. J. Guo and G. S. Leng, "Volume inequalities for Orlicz mean bodies," *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Science*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 57–70, 2015.
- [4] Y. B. Feng and B. W. He, "A new approach to the Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski inequality," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 107, pp. 144–156, 2019.
- [5] Y. B. Feng and T. Y. Ma, "On the reverse Orlicz-Lorentz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-019-00921-w>.
- [6] R. J. Gardner, "The Brunn-Minkowski inequality," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 355–405, 2002.
- [7] R. J. Gardner, "Geometric Tomography," 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [8] R. J. Gardner, D. Hug and W. Weil, "The Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: A general framework, additions, and inequalities," *Journal of Differential Geometry*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 427–476, 2014.
- [9] R. J. Gardner, D. Hug, W. Weil, S. D. Xing and D. P. Ye, "General volumes in the Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory and a related Minkowski problem I," *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 1–35, 2019.
- [10] R. J. Gardner, D. Hug, W. Weil and D. P. Ye, "The dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 430, no. 2, pp. 810–829, 2015.
- [11] E. Grinberg and G. Y. Zhang, "Convolutions, transforms and convex bodies," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 77–115, 1999.
- [12] L. J. Guo, G. S. Leng and C. M. Du, "The Orlicz mean zonoid operator," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 424, no. 2, pp. 1261–1271, 2015.
- [13] Y. Y. Guo, T. Y. Ma and L. Gao, "Orlicz mixed geominimal surface area," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 398–404, 2016.
- [14] C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, "The even Orlicz Minkowski problem," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 224, pp. 2485–2510, 2010.
- [15] Q. Z. Huang and B. W. He, "On the Orlicz Minkowski problem for polytopes," *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 281–297, 2012.
- [16] H. L. Jin, S. F. Yuan and G. S. Leng, "On the dual Orlicz mixed volumes," *Chinese Annals of Mathematics. Series B*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1019–1026, 2015.
- [17] A. J. Li and G. S. Leng, "A new proof of the Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 139, no. 4, pp. 1473–1481, 2011.
- [18] H. Li, Y. J. Lin and W. D. Wang, "The (q, ϕ) -dual Orlicz mixed affine surface areas," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 1–15, 2018.
- [19] D. Y. Li, D. Zou and G. Xiong, "Orlicz mixed affine quermassintegrals," *Science China Mathematics*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 1715–1722, 2015.
- [20] E. Lutwak, "Dual mixed volumes," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 531–538, 1975.
- [21] E. Lutwak, "Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 232–261, 1988.
- [22] E. Lutwak, "Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 365–391, 1990.
- [23] E. Lutwak, "The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem," *Journal of Differential Geometry*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 131–150, 1993.
- [24] E. Lutwak, "The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: affine and geominimal surface areas," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 244–294, 1996.
- [25] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, "Orlicz projection bodies," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 223, no. 1, pp. 220–242, 2010.
- [26] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, "Orlicz centroid bodies," *Journal of Differential Geometry*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 365–387, 2010.
- [27] T. Y. Ma and Y. B. Feng, "Orlicz intersection bodies," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 20–27, 2017.
- [28] T. Y. Ma and W. D. Wang, "Dual Orlicz geominimal surface area," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2016, pp. 1–13, 2016.
- [29] R. Schneider, "Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory," 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

- [30] G. T. Wang, G. S. Leng and Q. Z. Huang, "Volume inequalities for Orlicz zonotope," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 391, no. 1, pp. 183–189, 2012.
- [31] W. D. Wang and G. S. Leng, " L_p -dual mixed quermassintegrals," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 177–188, 2005.
- [32] W. D. Wang, W. Shi and S. Ye, "Dual mixed Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski inequality and dual Orlicz mixed quermassintegrals," *Indagationes Mathematicae*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 721–735, 2017.
- [33] D. M. Xi, H. L. Jin and G. S. Leng, "The Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski inequality," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 260, pp. 350–374, 2014.
- [34] S. D. Xing and D. P. Ye, "On the general dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem," Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.06331v1>.
- [35] D. P. Ye, "Dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: Orlicz φ -radial addition, Orlicz L_ϕ -dual mixed volume and related inequalities," Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.6991>.
- [36] D. P. Ye, "New Orlicz affine isoperimetric inequalities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 427, no. 2, pp. 905–929, 2015.
- [37] D. P. Ye, "Dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: Dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 443, no. 1, pp. 352–371, 2016.
- [38] G. Y. Zhang, "Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes," *Transactions of the American Mathematical*, vol. 345, no. 2, pp. 777–801, 1994.
- [39] B. C. Zhu, S. D. Xing and D. P. Ye, "The dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem," *Journal of Geometric Analysis*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 3829–3855, 2018.
- [40] B. C. Zhu, J. Z. Zhou and W. X. Xu, "Dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 264, pp. 700–725, 2014.
- [41] G. X. Zhu, "The Orlicz centroid inequality for star bodies," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 432–445, 2012.