

Differences Inequalities of General L_p -Mixed Brightness Integrals

Jinsheng Guo*

Abstract—Lutwak introduced the mixed brightness for convex bodies. After, Li and Zhu put forward mixed-brightness integrals. Recently, Yan and Wang defined the general L_p -mixed brightness integrals. In this article, we establish the Brunn-Minkowski, new cycle and Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequalities for the differences of general L_p -mixed Brightness integrals.

Index Terms—general L_p -mixed brightness integrals, Brunn-Minkowski type inequality, new cycle type inequality, Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequality.

I. INTRODUCTION

LET \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) in Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n . For the set of convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors and the set of origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbf{R}^n , we write \mathcal{K}_o^n and \mathcal{K}_{os}^n , respectively. Let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere and $V(M)$ denote the n -dimensional volume of the body M . For the centered unit ball B , write $V(B) = \omega_n$.

The projection bodies were introduced by Minkowski at the turn of the previous century. For each $M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the projection body, ΠM , of M is an origin-symmetric convex body whose support function is defined by (see [3], [18])

$$h(\Pi M, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v| dS(M, v),$$

for all $u \in S^{n-1}$. Here $S(M, \cdot)$ denotes the surface area measure of M .

Lutwak first introduced the notion of the mixed brightness of convex bodies in [15]. After, associated with the notion of the projection bodies and the mixed brightness, Li and Zhu [12] introduced the notion of mixed brightness integrals and given the L_p -mixed brightness integrals, moreover, they also established analogous to the Fenchel-Aleksandrov inequality and isoperimetric inequality of the mixed brightness integrals for the mixed volumes. For the mixed brightness integrals, Zhao [24] established the greatest upper bound for the product of the mixed brightness integrals of a convex body and its polar dual. After, Zhou, Wang and Feng [27] obtained some Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities for the mixed brightness integrals. Recently, Li et al. firstly introduced the notion of mixed complex brightness integrals [10] and dual mixed complex brightness integrals [11], they extended the classical concepts of mixed brightness integrals in real vector space to complex cases.

Manuscript received January 09, 2020; revised March 28, 2020. This work was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11661033).

*Jinsheng Guo is corresponding author with the School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hexi University, Zhangye, 734000, China, e-mail: guojinsheng1979@163.com.

In 2005, Ludwig ([13]) combined with a function $\varphi_\tau : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\varphi_\tau(t) = |t| + \tau t, \tau \in [-1, 1]$, introduced general L_p -projection bodies as follows: For $M \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -projection body $\Pi_p^\tau M \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is defined by

$$h^p(\Pi_p^\tau M, u) = \alpha_{n,p}(\tau) \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi_\tau(u \cdot v)^p dS_p(M, v),$$

where

$$\alpha_{n,p}(\tau) = \frac{2\alpha_{n,p}}{(1+\tau)^p + (1-\tau)^p}.$$

The normalization is chosen such that $\Pi_p^\tau B = B$. Obviously, $\Pi_p^0 M = \Pi_p M$.

Recently, using the general L_p -projection bodies, Yan and Wang [22] defined the general L_p -mixed brightness integrals as follows: For $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -mixed brightness integrals, $D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n)$, of M_1, \dots, M_n is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, u) \cdots \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_n, u) dS(u), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, u) = \frac{1}{2} h(\Pi_p^\tau M, u)$ denotes the half general L_p -brightness of $M \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ in the direction u . Convex bodies M_1, \dots, M_n are said to have similar general L_p -brightness if there exist constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ such that, for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\lambda_1 \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, u) = \lambda_2 \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_2, u) = \cdots = \lambda_n \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_n, u).$$

Obviously, for $\tau = 0$ and $p = 1$, (1.1) is just the mixed brightness integrals $D(M_1, \dots, M_n)$.

Let $\underbrace{M_1 = \cdots = M_{n-i}}_{n-i} = M, \underbrace{M_{n-i+1} = \cdots = M_n}_i = N$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) in (1.1), we write $D_p^{(\tau)}(M, N) = D_p^{(\tau)}(\underbrace{M, \dots, M}_{n-i}, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_i)$. More general, if allow i is any real, for $M, N \in \mathcal{K}_o^n, p \geq 1$, and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -mixed brightness integrals, $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N)$, of M and N is defined by

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, u)^{n-i} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(N, u)^i. \quad (1.2)$$

For $N = B$ in (1.2), we write $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, B) = \frac{1}{2^i} D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M)$ and notice that $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(B, u) = \frac{1}{2} h(\Pi_p^\tau B, u) = \frac{1}{2}$, for all $u \in S^{n-1}$, which together with (1.2) yields

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M) = \frac{1}{2^i \cdot n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, u)^{n-i} dS(u), \quad (1.3)$$

where $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M)$ is called the i -th general L_p -mixed brightness integrals of M .

For $N = M$ in (1.2), write $D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, M) = D_p^{(\tau)}(M)$, which is called the general L_p -brightness integrals of M . Clearly,

$$D_p^{(\tau)}(M) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, u)^n dS(u), \quad (1.4)$$

From (1.2) and (1.4), we easily obtain

$$D_{p,0}^{(\tau)}(M, N) = D_p^{(\tau)}(M), D_{p,n}^{(\tau)}(M, N) = D_p^{(\tau)}(N). \quad (1.5)$$

For general L_p -mixed brightness integrals, Yan and Wang [22] also established the following cycle, Brunn-Minkowski and Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequalities.

Theorem 1.A. *If $M, N \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, and $i \in \mathbf{R}$, then for $i < n - p$,*

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(\lambda \circ M \mp_p \mu \circ N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \leq \lambda D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + \mu D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}; \quad (1.6)$$

for $i > n - p$ and $i \neq n$,

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(\lambda \circ M \mp_p \mu \circ N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \geq \lambda D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + \mu D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}, \quad (1.7)$$

in each case, equality holds if and only if M and N have similar general L_p -brightness. For $i = n - p$, equality always holds in (1.6) and (1.7).

Theorem 1.B. *If $M, N \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, and $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $i < j < k$, then*

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{k-i} \leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{k-j} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{j-i}, \quad (1.8)$$

with equality if and only if M and N have similar general L_p -brightness.

Theorem 1.C. *If $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ and $1 < m \leq n$, then*

$$D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n)^m \leq \prod_{i=1}^m D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1}), \quad (1.9)$$

with equality if and only if M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness.

The general L_p -mixed brightness integrals belong to a new and rapidly evolving asymmetric L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory that has its origins in the work of Ludwig et al. (see [14], [5], [6], [4]). For the further recent research of asymmetric L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory, also see ([2], [9], [19], [20], [21], [23]).

In 2004, Leng [8] defined the volume differences function of convex bodies D and K , where $D \subseteq K$, by

$$D_v(K, D) = V(K) - V(D).$$

Meanwhile, Leng [8] established the following Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for volume difference functions.

Theorem 1.D. *If K, L and D are compact domains, $D \subseteq K$, $D' \subseteq L$, D' is a homothetic copy of D , then*

$$(V(K + L) - V(D + D'))^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq (V(K) - V(D))^{\frac{1}{n}} + (V(L) - V(D'))^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

equality holds if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(K), V(D)) = \mu(V(L), V(D'))$, where μ is a constant. Here “+” is Minkowski sum.

Since these seminal paper, inequalities for differences of geometric functionals have become the focus of increased attention (see [16], [25], [26]).

The aim of this paper is to establish the new differences inequalities for general L_p -mixed brightness integrals. First, we establish the following Brunn Minkowski type inequality for differences of general L_p -mixed brightness integrals.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$, $i \in \mathbf{R}$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ and $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, \cdot)$, $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(N, \cdot)$, M and N have similar general L_p -brightness, if $p \geq 1$ and $i < n - p$, then*

$$[D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M \mp_p N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M \mp_p N)]^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \quad (1.10)$$

$$\geq [D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M) - C_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)]^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + [D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)]^{\frac{p}{n-i}};$$

if $i > n - p$ and $i \neq n$, then

$$[D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M \mp_p N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M \mp_p N)]^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \quad (1.11)$$

$$\leq [D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M) - C_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)]^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + [D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)]^{\frac{p}{n-i}},$$

in each case, with equality if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness and there exists constant λ such that $(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M), D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)) = \lambda(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N), D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L))$.

Next, we give the following new cycle type inequalities for the differences of general L_p -mixed brightness integrals.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ and $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, \cdot)$, $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(N, \cdot)$, M and N have similar general L_p -brightness, if $p \geq 1$, $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq i < j < k$, then*

$$[D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K, L)]^{k-i} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\geq [D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)]^{k-j} [D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)]^{j-i},$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness and there exists constant λ such that $(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N), D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)) = \lambda(D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N), D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L))$.

Finally, we also establish the following Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequalities for differences of general L_p -mixed brightness integrals.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, $M_i, N_i \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), if $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(N_i, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_i, \cdot)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and the bodies M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness, then for every $1 < m \leq n$,*

$$[D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n) - C_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_n)]^m \quad (1.13)$$

$$\geq \prod_{i=1}^m [D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1})$$

$$- D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-i+1}, \dots, N_{n-i+1})],$$

with equality if and only if N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness and there exists constant λ such that

$$[D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_n, \dots, M_n), \dots, D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_{n-m+1})] = \lambda [D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n), \dots, D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_{n-m+1})].$$

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Support function

For $M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, its support function, (see [3], [18]) $h(M, \cdot) : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, is defined by

$$h(M, x) = \max\{x \cdot y : y \in M\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the standard inner product of x and y .

B. L_p -Blaschke combination

For $M, N \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the L_p -Blaschke combination, $\lambda \circ M \mp_p \mu \circ N \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$, of M and N is defined by (see [3], [18])

$$dS_p(\lambda \circ M \mp_p \mu \circ N, \cdot) = \lambda dS_p(M, \cdot) + \mu dS(N, \cdot),$$

where $\lambda \circ M = \lambda \frac{1}{n-p} M$, $\lambda \circ M$ denotes the Blaschke scalar multiplication. If $p = 1$, then $\lambda \circ M \mp_p \mu \circ N$ is classical Blaschke combination.

III. RESULTS AND PROOFS

In this part, we will give the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3. First, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, the following lemma is required.

Lemma 3.1 (Bellman's inequality [1]). *Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be two series of positive real numbers. If $a_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^p > 0$, $b_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^p > 0$, then for $p > 1$,*

$$\left(a_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(b_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left((a_1 + b_1)^p - \sum_{i=2}^n (a_i + b_i)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \tag{3.1}$$

for $p < 0$ or $0 < p < 1$,

$$\left(a_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(b_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \left((a_1 + b_1)^p - \sum_{i=2}^n (a_i + b_i)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{3.2}$$

with equality if and only if $\mathbf{a} = c\mathbf{b}$, where c is a constant.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, if $i < n - p$ and $\lambda, \mu = 1$, by (1.6), then

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K \mp_p L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}, \tag{3.3}$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness. Since M and N have similar general L_p -brightness, thus according to the equality condition of inequality (1.6), we have

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M \mp_p N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} = D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, \cdot)$, $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(N, \cdot)$, by (1.3), we obtain

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M) > D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K), \quad D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N) > D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)$$

From these, notice that $n - i > p$ ($i < n - p$), i.e. $\frac{n-i}{p}$, and from (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M \mp_p N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K \mp_p L)\right)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \\ & \geq \left[\left(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}\right)^{\frac{n-i}{p}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}\right)^{\frac{n-i}{p}} \right]^{\frac{p}{n-i}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \left(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)\right)^{\frac{p}{n-i}} + \left(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L)\right)^{\frac{p}{n-i}}.$$

This yields inequality (1.10). By the equality conditions of inequality (3.1) and (3.3), we see that equality holds in (1.10) if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness and $(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M), D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)) = \lambda(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(N), D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(L))$, where λ is a constant.

Similar to the above method, if $i > n - p$ and $i \neq n$, by (1.3), (1.7) and (3.2), we can prove the inequality (1.11) is true.

Obviously, let $p = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 1.1, the following result is obtained.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$, $i \in \mathbf{R}$, $\delta(K, \cdot) < \delta(M, \cdot)$, $\delta(L, \cdot) < \delta(N, \cdot)$, M and N have similar brightness, if $i < n - 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_i(M \mp N) - D_i(K \mp L)]^{\frac{1}{n-i}} \\ & \geq [D_i(M) - D_i(K)]^{\frac{1}{n-i}} + [D_i(N) - D_i(L)]^{\frac{1}{n-i}}; \end{aligned}$$

if $i > n - 1$ and $i \neq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_i(M \mp N) - D_i(K \mp L)]^{\frac{1}{n-i}} \\ & \leq [D_i(M) - D_i(K)]^{\frac{1}{n-i}} + [D_i(N) - D_i(L)]^{\frac{1}{n-i}}, \end{aligned}$$

in each case, with equality if and only if K and L have similar brightness and exists constant λ such that $(D_i(M), D_i(K)) = \lambda(D_i(N), D_i(L))$.

Subsequently, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2, the following lemma is necessary.

Lemma 3.2 (Popviciu's inequality [17]). *Let $p > 0$, $q > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be two series of positive real numbers such that $a_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^p > 0$, $b_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^q > 0$, then*

$$\left(a_1^p - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(b_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq a_1 b_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i b_i, \tag{3.5}$$

with equality if and only if $\mathbf{a} = c\mathbf{b}$, where c is a constant.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, $p \geq 1$, $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq i < j < k$, by (1.8), then

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{k-i} \leq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{k-j} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{j-i}, \tag{3.6}$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness. Since M and N have similar general L_p -brightness, thus according to the equality condition of inequality (1.8), we have

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{k-i} = D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{k-j} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{j-i}. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, by (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K, L) \tag{3.8}$$

$$\geq D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N)^{\frac{j-i}{k-i}} - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L)^{\frac{j-i}{k-i}},$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness.

Notice that

$$\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, \cdot),$$

and

$$\delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(N, \cdot),$$

thus, by (1.2), we obtain

$$D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N) > D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N), \quad D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N) \geq D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N).$$

From these, and notice that $\frac{k-i}{k-j} > 1$, $\frac{k-i}{j-i} > 1$ and $\frac{k-j}{k-i} + \frac{j-i}{k-i} = 1$, thus according to (3.5) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K, L))^{k-i} \\ & \geq (D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L))^{k-j} (D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N) - D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L))^{j-i}. \end{aligned}$$

This is just (1.12).

By the equality conditions of inequalities (3.8) and (3.5), we see that equality holds in (1.12) if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness and there exists constant λ such that $(D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M, N), D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K, L)) = \lambda(D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M, N), D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K, L))$.

Furthermore, let $p = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 1.2, the following result is obtained.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $\delta(K, \cdot) < \delta(M, \cdot)$, $\delta(L, \cdot) < \delta(N, \cdot)$, M and N have similar brightness, if $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq i < j < k$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_j(M, N) - D_j(K, L)]^{k-i} \\ & \geq [D_i(M, N) - D_i(K, L)]^{k-j} [D_k(M, N) - D_k(K, L)]^{j-i}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar brightness and exists constant λ such that $(D_i(M, N), D_i(K, L)) = \lambda(D_k(M, N), D_k(K, L))$.

In particular, if $N = L = B$ in Theorem 1.2, by (1.3) and (1.12), the following result is obvious.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $M, K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ and $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, \cdot)$, M have constant general L_p -brightness, if $p > 0$, $i, j, k \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq i < j < k$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(M) - D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(K)]^{k-i} \\ & \geq [D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(M) - D_{p,i}^{(\tau)}(K)]^{k-j} [D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(M) - D_{p,k}^{(\tau)}(K)]^{j-i}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if K have constant general L_p -brightness.

Specially, if $i = 0$, $k = n$ in (1.12), by (1.5), we have the following cycle Minkowski type inequality for the differences of general L_p -mixed brightness integrals.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $M, N, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(K, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M, \cdot)$, $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(L, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(N, \cdot)$, M and N have similar general L_p -brightness, if $p > 0$, $i \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 < i < n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(N, M) - D_{p,j}^{(\tau)}(L, K)]^n \\ & \geq [D_p^{(\tau)}(M) - D_p^{(\tau)}(K)]^{n-j} [D_p^{(\tau)}(N) - D_p^{(\tau)}(L)]^j, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if K and L have similar general L_p -brightness and exists constant λ such that $(D_p^{(\tau)}(M), D_p^{(\tau)}(K)) = \lambda(D_p^{(\tau)}(N), D_p^{(\tau)}(L))$.

Finally, according to the following Lemma, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.3 ([7]). *If $c_i > 0$, $b_i > 0$, $c_i > b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then*

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n (c_i - b_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n b_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (3.9)$$

with equality if and only if $\frac{c_1}{b_1} = \frac{c_2}{b_2} = \dots = \frac{c_n}{b_n}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_n)^m \\ & \leq \prod_{i=1}^m D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-i+1}, \dots, N_{n-i+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

with equality if and only if N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness. Since the body M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness, by (1.9), then

$$\begin{aligned} & B_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n)^m \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^m B_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Notice that if $\delta_p^{(\tau)}(N_i, \cdot) < \delta_p^{(\tau)}(M_i, \cdot)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), by (1.1), we get

$$D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n) > D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_n). \quad (3.12)$$

Taking $M_{n-m+1} = \dots = M_n = M_{n-i+1}$, $N_{n-m+1} = \dots = N_n = N_{n-i+1}$ in (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1}) \\ & > D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-i+1}, \dots, N_{n-i+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n) - D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_n) \\ & \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^m D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ & \quad - \left(\prod_{i=1}^m D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-i+1}, \dots, N_{n-i+1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

equality holds if and only if N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness.

By (3.14), (3.13) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_n) - D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_n)]^m \\ & \geq \prod_{i=1}^m [D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1}) \\ & \quad - D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-i+1}, \dots, N_{n-i+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

By the equality conditions of inequalities (3.14) and (3.9), we see that equality holds in (1.13) if and only if N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n are all of similar general L_p -brightness and there exists constant λ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_n, \dots, M_n), \dots, D_p^{(\tau)}(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, \\ & \quad M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_{n-m+1})] = \lambda [D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-m+1}, \\ & \quad \dots, N_n), \dots, D_p^{(\tau)}(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_{n-m+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, let $p = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 1.3, the following result is obtained.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $M_i, N_i \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), if $\delta(N_i, \cdot) < \delta(M_i, \cdot)$ and the bodies M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_n are all of similar brightness, then for every $1 < m \leq n$,*

$$[D(M_1, \dots, M_n) - D(N_1, \dots, N_n)]^m$$

$$\geq \prod_{i=1}^m [D(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-i+1}, \dots, M_{n-i+1}) - D(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-i+1}, \dots, N_{n-i+1})],$$

with equality if and only if N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n are all of similar brightness and there exists constant λ such that

$$[D(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_n, \dots, M_n), \dots, D(M_1, \dots, M_{n-m}, M_{n-m+1}, \dots, M_{n-m+1})] = \lambda [D(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_n), \dots, D(N_1, \dots, N_{n-m}, N_{n-m+1}, \dots, N_{n-m+1})].$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors want to express earnest thankfulness for the referees who provided extremely precious and helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Beckenbach, R. Bellman, "Inequalities," *Second revised printing, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Neue Folge, Band 30 Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc.* 1965.
- [2] Y. B. Feng and W. D. Wang, "General L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies," *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 109–119, 2014.
- [3] R. J. Gardner, "Geometric Tomography," *2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2006.
- [4] C. Haberl, "Minkowski valuations intertwining with the special linear group," *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, vol. 4, pp. 1565–1597, 2012.
- [5] C. Haberl and E. F. Schuster, "General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities," *Journal of Differential Geometry*, vol. 83, pp. 1–26, 2009.
- [6] C. Haberl and F. E. Schuster, "Asymmetric affine L_p Sobolev inequalities," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 257, pp. 641–658, 2009.
- [7] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, "Inequalities," *Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge*, 1952.
- [8] G. S. Leng, "The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume differences," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, pp. 615–624, 2004.
- [9] C. Li and W. D. Wang, "On the Shephard type problems for general L_p -projection bodies," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 49 no. 1, pp. 122–126, 2019.
- [10] C. Li, W. D. Wang and Y. J. Lin, "Mixed complex brightness integrals," *Positivity*, vol. 24 no. 1, pp. 55–67, 2020.
- [11] C. Li, X. Zhao and W. D. Wang, "Dual mixed complex brightness integrals," *Filomat*, 2019, vol. 33 no. 19, pp. 6161–6172, 2019.
- [12] N. Li and B. C. Zhu, "Mixed brightness-integrals of convex bodies," *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 935–945, 2010.
- [13] M. Ludwig, "Minkowski valuations," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 357, pp. 4191–4213, 2005.
- [14] M. Ludwig, "Intersection bodies and valuations," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, pp. 1409–1428, 2006.
- [15] E. Lutwak, "Mixed projection inequalities," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 287, pp. 91–106, 1985.
- [16] S. J. Lv, "Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume differences," *Geometriae Dedicata*, vol. 145, pp. 169–180, 2010.
- [17] T. Popoviciu, "On an inequality," *Gazette des Mathématiciens*, vol. 64, pp. 451–461, 1959.
- [18] R. Schneider, "Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory," *2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2014.
- [19] W. D. Wang and Y. B. Feng, "A general L_p -version of Petty's affine projection inequality," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 517–528, 2013.
- [20] W. D. Wang and T. Y. Ma, "Asymmetric L_p -difference bodies," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 142, pp. 2517–2527, 2014.
- [21] W. D. Wang and Y. N. Li, "General L_p -intersection bodies," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 4, 1247–1259, 2015.
- [22] L. Yan and W. D. Wang, "General L_p -mixed-brightness integrals," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2015, pp. 1–11, 2015.
- [23] P. Zhang, X. H. Zhang and W. D. Wang, "The General L_p -Dual Mixed Brightness Integrals," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 47 no. 2, pp. 138–142, 2017.
- [24] C. J. Zhao, "On mixed brightness-integrals," *Revista De La Unión Matemática Argentina*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 27–34, 2013.
- [25] C. J. Zhao and M. Bencze, "The Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequalities for volume differences," *Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications*, Vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 163–172, 2010.
- [26] C. J. Zhao and W. S. Cheung, "Radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphisms and volume differences," *Geometriae Dedicata*, vol. 154, pp. 81–91, 2011.
- [27] Y. P. Zhou, W. D. Wang and Y. B. Feng, "The Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities for mixed brightness-integrals," *Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 277–282, 2014.