

Convergence Rate of the Galérkin Method for Boundary Value Problem for Mixed-Type Operator-Differential Equations

Abdel Baset I. Ahmed

Abstract—The paper studies the BVP for a mixed-type first-order linear operator-differential equations. We prove that there exists an absolutely continuous-unique solution in a certain Sobolev-type space $\dot{W}(H, H_1)$. We provide the Galérkin method for sufficiently smooth selfadjoint positively definite operator $\Phi(t)$ and α -subordinate differentiable operator $S(t)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. The investigated method depends on a special basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of the operator Ψ similar to Φ . Moreover, using the eigenvalues λ_i of the operator Ψ to derive the error estimates of the approximate solutions. Through this work, we investigate how quickly the approximate solutions approach to the exact one.

Index Terms—boundary value problems (BVP), Galérkin method, orthoprojector, rate of convergence, mixed-type problem, selfadjoint operator.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE most significant and serious problem that rise in the numerical investigated analysis and its scientific and technological applications is to know at what rate the approximate solutions converge to the unique exact one in various metrics. From nearly eighty years ago, Kantorovich [8] was the cardinal who investigated this type of problems, while Kislov N. V. investigated the inhomogeneous BVPs for differential-operator equations [9]. the Galérkin method is considered to be among the highest crucial approximative methods because it provides Galérkin solution (approximate solutions) in addition to proving the existence of the unique solution under specific conditions [3], [7], [10], [17], [18]. Nowadays, various natural occurrences have been described by IBVPs in Banach spaces for linear parabolic differential equations. For example [10] has considerable attention to the uniform solvability of the perturbed-differential equations using a subordinate operator to the leading perturbed one with some orders. In the Sobolev-type space, we obtain the error of convergence estimates of the ordinary derivative of the Galérkin solution to the exact unique one of the operator-differential equations. The work [15] estimated the method of projection and projection difference to get the estimates of the rate at which the approximate solutions for weakly converge. Furthermore, for the IBVPs of the isomorphic operator-differential equations in a Hilbert-type space, the approximation error and its estimates were provided.

Manuscript received April 16, 2021; revised October 17, 2021.

Abdel Baset I. Ahmed is an Assistant Professor in the Engineering Mathematics and Physics Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University, 11795, Cairo, Egypt, e-mail: abdel2007@yandex.ru .

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND AUXILIARY ASSERTIONS

We consider the BVP in a certain space H_1 as densely embedding in a space H with norm $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_H$ in which both of H_1 and H are separable Hilbert spaces:

$$\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}'(t) - S(t)\mathcal{Z}(t) = f(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(t)|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Where $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ and $f(t)$ – the unknown and the given functions, $\Phi(t)$ and $S(t)$ – acting in H linear operators. The operator $\Phi(t)$ is considered to be an independent of t – selfadjoint in H , $((\Phi(t)x, x) > 0 \forall x \in H, x \neq 0)$ in which $Dom(\Phi(t)) = H_1$, $(\Phi(t) = \Phi^*(t) \geq \lambda_1 E, \lambda_1 > 0)$, λ_1 – is the spectrum lower bound ($\lambda_1 \in \sigma(\Phi)$) [1], [2], [6]. All derivatives here are perceived according to distributions theory.

from now on, concerning the operators $\Phi(t)$ and $S(t)$, we assume that they'll fulfill the following necessary assumptions:

(i) $\Phi(t)$ and $S(t)$ – differentiable operators and the derivatives $\Phi'(t), S'(t) : H_1 \rightarrow H$ are strongly continuous on the interval $[0, T]$.

(ii) $S(t)$ is α -subordinate operator to $\Phi(0)$ where, $Dom(S(t) \supset Dom(\Phi(t))$ [12]. For any function $v \in H_1$ there's a $k_1 \geq 0$ constant positive value such that,

$$\|S(t)v\| \leq k_1 \|\Phi(0)v\|^\alpha \|v\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (3)$$

(iii) the operator Ψ is similar to $\Phi(0)$ [13] i.e., Ψ is considered to be selfadjoint, $((\Psi(t)x, x) > 0 \forall x \in H, x \neq 0)$ with the domain $Dom(\Psi) = Dom(\Phi(0))$. Hence, Φ^{-1}, Ψ^{-1} are completely continuous. For the angel between $\Phi(t)$ and Ψ to be acute in Hilbert-type space H [16], i.e., $\forall v \in H_1$ we have:

$$(\Phi(t)v, \Psi v) \geq k_2 \|\Phi(0)v\| \|\Psi v\|, \quad v \in H_1, \quad (4)$$

where $0 < k_2 \leq 1$ does not depend on t or v .

Let the function $f(t)$ be in the space $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$ over the interval $[0, T]$, where

$$\|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)} = \left(\int_0^T \|f(t)\|_H^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Further, we consider the function $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ with values in Hilbert space H_1 having a continuous derivative in the space H . Assume that $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$ have a continuous derivative $\frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt}, \frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt}$ respectively in H .

Moreover,

for all $\mathcal{Z}, v \in H_1$ [11] we get the following property

$$\left(\frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt} \mathcal{Z}, v \right) = \left(\mathcal{Z}, \frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt} v \right), \quad t \in (0, t).$$

Such that

$$\begin{aligned} &W_2^1(H, H_1) \\ &= \left\{ \mathcal{Z}(t) \in \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H_1) : \frac{d}{dt}(\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)) \in \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H) \right\}, \\ &\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{W_2^1(H, H_1)} \\ &= \left(\|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H_1)}^2 + \left\| \frac{d}{dt}(\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Sobolev space

$$\mathring{W}(H, H_1) = \{ \mathcal{Z}(t) : \mathcal{Z}(t) \in W_2^1(H, H_1), \mathcal{Z}(t)|_{t=0} = 0 \}.$$

Then the function $\mathcal{Z}(t) \in \mathring{W}(H, H_1)$ which fulfills (1) is called the strong solution and problem (1), (2) is called strongly solvable.

According to the assumption (i) and (ii) the operators $\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(0)$ and $\Phi(0)\Phi^{-1}(t)$ acting in H are norm bounded (uniform bounded) [9], i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(0)\|_{H \rightarrow H} &\leq m_1, \\ \|\Phi(0)\Phi^{-1}(t)\|_{H \rightarrow H} &\leq m_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $m_1, m_2 > 0$ don't depend on t .

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a "basis" of eigenvectors of Ψ , which represents a complete orthonormal system and let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the eigenvalues of operator Ψ , in which $\Psi e_i = \lambda_i e_i$,

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots, \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The approximate Galérkin solutions for problem (1), (2) is identified as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_i(t) e_i,$$

where $\Theta_i(t)$, $i = \overline{1, n}$ is an unknown functions denote the exact solution of the following zero-initial condition problem for a system of operator-differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t)\Theta_j'(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_j(t)(S(t)e_i, e_j) &= (f(t), e_j)_H, \\ \Theta_j(t)|_{t=0} &= 0, \quad j = \overline{1, n}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let R_n be the unique orthogonal projection in H onto H^n , which defined as the span (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) . Then problem (6) can be expressed in the following equivalent form:

$$\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}_n'(t) - R_n S(t)\mathcal{Z}_n(t) = R_n f(t), \quad \mathcal{Z}_n(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_n(t)$ – the Galérkin solution (approximate solutions) of problem (1), (2) [12].

The local BVP for Eq.(1) using the properties of the corresponding spectral problem were studied in [5]. While the non-local BVP with constant coefficients were studied in [4].

III. MAIN RESULTS

First we look over the questions of the solvability of problem (1), (2) in H . The strong solution $\mathcal{Z}(t) \in \mathring{W}(H, H_1)$ of problem (1), (2) is absolutely continuous for almost all $t \in [0, T]$ and fulfills the Eq. (1) and the assumption (2). In what follows, $c > 0$ denotes different constants that are independent of t, n .

In this section, we will consider the question of the unique solvability of problem (1), (2).

Theorem 1. Assume that $f(t) \in \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$ and the operators $\Phi(t)$ and $S(t)$ fulfill the assumptions (i) – (iii), then problem (1), (2) is solvable and there exists uniquely a strong solution $\mathcal{Z}(t) \in \mathring{W}(H, H_1)$.

Proof: Consider the following problem of ordinary differential equation

$$\Phi(t) \frac{dv}{dt} = \varrho(t), \quad v(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \tag{8}$$

if $\varrho(t) \in \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$, then problem (8) is solvable and possesses a unique solution $v \in \mathring{W}(H, H_1)$ and

$$\int_0^T \left\| \Phi(t) \frac{dv(t)}{dt} \right\|^2 dt \leq c_1 \int_0^T \|\varrho(t)\|^2 dt.$$

holds true.

Let the operator $\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} : \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H) \rightarrow \mathring{W}(H, H_1)$ be a homeomorphism.

Consequently, in $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$, we will provide the following estimate

$$\left\| \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)} \leq c_2. \tag{9}$$

As the operator $\Phi(0)\Phi^{-1}(t)$ in $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$ is actually uniformly bounded and then from the Heinz's inequality [10], the operator $\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)\Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ is also uniformly bounded.

Therefore

$$\left(\Phi(t)v, v\right)_H \geq \beta_1 \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v\|^2, \quad \beta_1 > 0. \tag{10}$$

Multiply equation (8) scalarly by $v(t)$ in H and integrate with respect to the time t from 0 to s , $s \leq T$. Then using (10), we obtain

$$\frac{\beta_1}{2} \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v(s)\|^2 \leq \|\varrho(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)} \left(\int_0^s \|v(t)\|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v(s)\| \leq c_3 \|\varrho(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}.$$

Hence,

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} \varrho(t)\| \leq c_3 \|\varrho(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}. \tag{11}$$

We can simply take

$$\Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}}{dt}(t) \equiv \omega(t), \quad \mathcal{Z}(t)|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Then, in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$, problem (1), (2) is identical to

$$\omega(t) - S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} \omega(t) = f(t). \tag{12}$$

On the other side, we'll investigate the operator $S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1}$ as follows:

Using the relation (3) and Hölder’s inequality, for any $\mathcal{Y} \in H_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Y} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \\ & \leq k_1 \left\| \Phi(0) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Y} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^\alpha \\ & \quad \times \left\| \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Y} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

As $\Phi(0)\Phi^{-1}(t)$ in $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)(0, T; H)$ is continuous linear operator- uniformly bounded- and from (9), (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Y} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \\ & \leq c_4 \left\| \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Y} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^{1-\alpha} \|\mathcal{Y}\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Hence ($H_1 \subset\subset H$), other words from the compact embedding of H_1 into H , and as stated in the lemma of compactness [8], the Sobolev space $W_2^1(H, H_1)$ is compactly embedded into $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$. Further, As the operator $\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1}$ is compact and from (14) yields that the operator $S(t)\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$ is also compact.

We utilize the principle of the classical Fredholm alternative [14] to verify the resolvability of equation (12). the successive Cauchy problem is identical to the homogeneous equation (12):

$$\Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} - S(t)\mathcal{Z}(t) = 0, \quad \mathcal{Z}(t)|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ be a solution of problem (15) and use (3) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \left\| \Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} \right\|^2 dt \\ & \leq k_2^2 \int_0^s \|\Phi(0)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{2\alpha} \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{(2-2\alpha)} dt \\ & \leq k_2^2 \|\Phi(0)\Phi^{-1}(t)\|_{H \rightarrow H}^{2\alpha} \\ & \quad \times \int_0^s \|\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{2\alpha} \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{(2-2\alpha)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

For any $s \in [0, T]$, follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \left\| \Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} \right\|^2 dt \\ & \leq c_5 \int_0^s \|\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{2\alpha} \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{(2-2\alpha)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder’s inequality to the preceding inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \left\| \Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} \right\|^2 dt \\ & \leq c_5 \left(\int_0^s \|\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt \right)^\alpha \left(\int_0^s \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

applying the Young inequality to get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \left\| \Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} \right\|^2 dt \\ & \leq c_5 \left(\varepsilon \int_0^s \|\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} (1-\alpha) \int_0^s \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Chosen $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2c_5}$, we get

$$\int_0^s \left\| \Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} \right\|^2 dt \leq c_6 \int_0^s \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt. \tag{16}$$

Multiply equation (15) scalarly by $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ and integrate with respect to the time t from 0 to s , $s \leq T$. Then, by utilizing the characteristics of $\Phi(t)$ and $S(t)$, It would follow that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta_1}{2} \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)\mathcal{Z}(s)\|^2 \leq \int_0^s \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\| \|S(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\| dt \\ & \leq c_7 \int_0^s \|\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^\alpha \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^{(2-\alpha)} dt \\ & \leq c_7 \left(\int_0^s \|\Phi(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\int_0^s \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Use (16) to get

$$\|\mathcal{Z}(s)\|^2 \leq c_8 \int_0^s \|\mathcal{Z}(t)\|^2 dt.$$

From the Bellman–Gronwall result [3], we come to the fact that the preceding inequality is achievable only if $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ is equivalent to zero.

Thus, from the (Fredholm) Alternative, problem (1), (2) is solvable and has the unique solution $\mathcal{Z}(t) \in \mathring{W}(H, H_1)$. ■

We must utilize the following required lemmas for establishing the main results.

Lemma 2. Let Φ_0 and D be bounded linear operators mapping H_1 into H where Φ_0 has bounded inverse Φ_0^{-1} and $|D| < 1/|\Phi_0^{-1}|$. Then, $\Phi = \Phi_0 + D$ that mapping H_1 into H invertible and has bounded inverse operator [14].

Lemma 3. Let $\Phi(t)$ and $S(t)$ fulfill assumptions (i)–(iii). Then, $\exists N_0 > 0 : \forall n > N_0$, the operator $\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t)$ has a bounded inverse operator $\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t)\right)^{-1}$ mapping the space $\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H^n)$ into the space $\mathring{W}(H, H_1)$ and holds the inequality

$$\left\| \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t) \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \leq c_9. \tag{17}$$

Proof: It’s clearly, Theorem 1 provided that the inverse operator

$$\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - S(t) \right)^{-1} : \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H) \rightarrow W_2^1(H, H_1)$$

exists.

Then we investigate the invertibility of the operator

$$\begin{aligned} & S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} R_n - I = -I + S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \\ & \quad + S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} (R_n - I). \end{aligned}$$

At every $0 \leq t \leq T$, the linear operator

$$I - S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} : \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$$

is invertible and possesses a bounded inverse operator

$$\left(I + S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} + S(t) \right)^{-1} \right).$$

Assume that the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) \frac{dv(t)}{dt} &= (I - R_n)\Sigma(t), \\ v(t)|_{t=0} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

possesses a unique solution $v(t)$ in H_1 .

Then,

multiplying the equation $\Phi(t) \frac{dv(t)}{dt} = (I - R_n)\Sigma(t)$ scalarly by $v(t)$ and integrating with respect to the time t from 0 to s , $s \leq T$ and then using (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_1}{2} \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v(s)\|^2 &\leq \int_0^s |((I - R_n)\Sigma(t), v(t))_H| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^s \|\Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(0)(I - R_n)\Sigma(t)\| \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v(t)\| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating the right-hand side of this relation with the help of the Cauchy inequality

$$\beta_1 \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v(s)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\beta_1} \int_0^T \|\Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(0)(I - R_n)\Sigma(t)\|^2 dt.$$

Clearly, cause the operator Ψ is similar to $\Phi(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 \|\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)v(s)\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1} \|\Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(0)\Psi^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 \int_0^T \|\Psi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I - R_n)\Sigma(t)\|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta_1} \|\Phi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(0)\Psi^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H \rightarrow H}^2 \|\Sigma(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^2 \lambda_{n+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} (I - R_n)\Sigma(t) \right\| & \quad (18) \\ &\leq c_{10} \lambda_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\Sigma(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\mathcal{Y} = (I - R_n)\Sigma(t)$ in (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} (I - R_n)\Sigma(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \\ &\leq c_{11} \left\| \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} (I - R_n)\Sigma(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^{1-\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \|\Sigma(t)(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Using (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} (I - R_n)\Sigma(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \quad (19) \\ &\leq c_{12} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

As the eigenvalues $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and based on (19), then \exists a number $N_1 : \forall n > N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(I - S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} R_n \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \\ &\quad \times \left\| S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} (I - R_n)\Sigma(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \\ &\leq c_{13} \lambda_{N_1+1}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \equiv \tau_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant $\tau_1 < 1$.

Then, $\forall n > N_1$, as stated by Lemma (2), the operator

$$I - S(t) \left(R_n \Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} R_n$$

has an inverse operator and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(I - S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} R_n \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)} \\ &\leq c_{14}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is simple to verify the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(I - R_n S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= I + R_n \left(I - S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} R_n \right)^{-1} \quad (21) \\ &\quad \times S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Because for $n > N_1$ the operator

$$\begin{aligned} &R_n S(t) + \Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \\ &= \left(I - R_n S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \right) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $n > N_1$ and from (20), (21) the operator $\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t) \right)$ has an inverse and inequality (17) holds true. ■

In this section, we will consider the question of the rate of convergence of Galérkin solution (approximate solutions), established by the Galérkin method, to the exact solution.

Theorem 4. Let $f(t) \in \mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)$ and $\Phi(t), S(t)$ fulfill assumptions (i), (ii), and (iii). Then, $\exists N_0 > 0 : \forall n > N_0$, problem (7) has a unique Galérkin solution $\mathcal{Z}_n(t)$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{Z}_n(t) - \mathcal{Z}(t)\| \leq c_{15} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \quad (22)$$

holds.

Proof: From Lemma (3) follows that problem (7) is solvable and has the solution $\mathcal{Z}_n(t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}(H, H_1)$. Therefore, from (17) we find out the approximate solutions $\mathcal{Z}_n(t)$ with regard to the number n are uniformly bounded i.e.,

$$\|\mathcal{Z}_n(t)\|_{\overset{\circ}{W}(H, H_1)} \leq c_{10} \|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,T;H)}. \quad (23)$$

For $n > N_0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Z}_n(t) - \mathcal{Z}(t) \\ &= \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t) \right)^{-1} (R_n - I) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

As the inverse operator

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t) \right)^{-1} = \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad - \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t) \right)^{-1} R_n S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (24) could be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_n(t) - \mathcal{Z}(t) &= \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} (R_n - I) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt}\right) \\ &- \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t)\right)^{-1} R_n S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times (R_n - I) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{\mathcal{Z}(t)}{dt}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Hence, from the continuous embedding between the space $W_2^1(H, H_1)$ and the space of continuous functions $C(0, T; H)$, we get

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v\| \leq c_{16} \|v\|_{W_2^1(H, H_1)}$$

holds true for all element v in the Sobolev space $W_2^1(H, H_1)$. Using inequalities (17) and (18) to estimate inequality (25) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{Z}_n(t) - \mathcal{Z}(t)\| &\leq c_{10} \lambda_{n+1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\Phi(t) \mathcal{Z}'(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)} \\ &+ c_{17} \left\| S(t) \left(\Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} (R_n - I) (\Phi(t) \mathcal{Z}'(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using inequalities (3) and (23), follows estimate (22).

Theorem is proved. ■

Theorem 5. Let $f(t) \in C^1(0, T; H)$, $f(t)|_{t=0} = 0$, the operator Φ is independent on the variable t , $S'(t)$ is γ -subordinate to Φ , $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, and $\Phi, S(t)$ fulfill the assumptions (i), (ii), (iii). Then

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Z}'_n(t) - \mathcal{Z}'(t)\| \leq c_{18} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\gamma)}{2}}, \tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_n(t)$ are the solutions of problem (1), (2) and problem (7) respectively.

Proof: We return back to the Cauchy problem (6):

If the derivative $f'(t)$ is continuous and $\{\Theta_j(t)\}_{j=1}^n \in C^2(0, T; H)$ is the solution of problem (6), then we can differentiate Eq. (7).

Moreover, put $\mathcal{Z}'_n(t) = v_n(t)$ and by using $f(0) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(t)v'_n(t) - R_n S(t)v_n(t) &= R_n f'(t) + R_n S'(t)\mathcal{Z}_n(t), \\ v_n(t)|_{t=0} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Consider the Cauchy problem of ordinary differential equation at $t = 0$:

$$\Phi v'(t) - S(t)v(t) = f'(t) + S'(t)\mathcal{Z}(t), \quad v(0) = 0. \tag{28}$$

From Theorem 1, problem (28) is solvable and has a solution $v(t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}(H, H_1)$ because $(f'(t) + S'(t)\mathcal{Z}(t)) \in \mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\Phi \frac{d}{dt} - R_n S(t)\right) (v_n(t) - v(t)) \\ &= (R_n - I) \left[f'(t) + S'(t)\mathcal{Z}_n(t) + S(t)v(t)\right] \\ &- S'(t) (\mathcal{Z}(t) - \mathcal{Z}_n(t)). \end{aligned}$$

After that, from (17) we obtain that the approximate solutions $v_n(t)$ with respect to n are uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$\|v_n(t)\|_{\overset{\circ}{W}(H, H_1)} \leq c_{19}. \tag{29}$$

By analogy with the deducing of (22), using (23), and (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v_n(t) - v(t)\| \\ &\leq c_{20} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)}{2}} + c_{21} \|S'(t)(\mathcal{Z}(t) - \mathcal{Z}_n(t))\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\left(\frac{dS(t)}{dt}\right)$ is subordinate to Φ with the order γ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v_n(t) - v(t)\| &\leq c_{20} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)}{2}} \\ &+ c_{22} \|\Phi(\mathcal{Z}(t) - \mathcal{Z}_n(t))\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}^\gamma \|\mathcal{Z}(t) - \mathcal{Z}_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0, T; H)}^{1-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v_n(t) - v(t)\| \leq c_{20} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)}{2}} + c_{23} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\gamma)}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|v_n(t) - v(t)\| \leq c_{24} \lambda_{n+1}^{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\gamma)}{2}}.$$

Theorem is proved. ■

IV. APPLICATIONS OF INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS (IBVP)

Finally, we apply the Galärkin method for IBVP.

In a rectangular $Q = [0, 1] \times [0, T]$, we will study the next problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\chi(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}(x, t)}{\partial t} \\ &- \zeta_1(x, t) \frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}(x, t)}{\partial x} - \zeta_0(x, t) \mathcal{Z}(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(x, t)|_{x=0} = \mathcal{Z}(x, t)|_{x=1} = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{31}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(x, t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \tag{32}$$

Suppose that all of the functions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi(x, t), \quad \frac{\partial^{i+1}}{\partial x^i \partial t} \chi(x, t), \quad \zeta_0(x, t), \quad \zeta_1(x, t), \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \zeta_0(x, t), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \zeta_1(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

be in the space $C(Q)$ and $\chi(x, t) \geq \chi_0 > 0$.

In this section we redefine some of the following spaces: Assign $H = \mathcal{B}_2(0, 1)$, $H_1 = W_2^2(0, 1) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}(0, 1)$, where $W_2^k(0, 1)$ is a Sobolev space and

$$\overset{\circ}{W}(0, 1) = \{v(x) : v(x) \in W_2^2(0, 1), v(0) = v(1) = 0\}.$$

Because the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \chi(x, t) \in C(\overline{Q})$, there is such a constant $\chi_1 > 0$ in which, $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \chi(x, t) \right| \leq \chi_1$.

Assume that

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\chi(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - \Upsilon I$$

and

$$S(t) = \zeta_1(x, t) \frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}}{\partial x} + (\zeta_0(x, t) - \Upsilon) I,$$

where the constant $\Upsilon < \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\chi_0}$. These operators are defined on H_1 and $D(S(t)) \supset D(\Phi(t))$.

For this problem we put $\Psi = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$. It is obvious that the assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) are fully achieved.

Moreover, It is not difficult to check that $S(t)$ is α -subordinate to $\Phi(0)$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Next, we'll demonstrate how "the acute-angle inequality (4)" is fulfilled by the operators $\Phi(t)$ and Ψ .

For each element $v \in H_1$, which fulfills the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi(t)v, \Psi v)_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,1)} \\ & \geq \chi_0 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx - \Upsilon \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dv}{dx} \right)^2 dx \\ & + \chi_1 \int_0^1 \left| \frac{dv}{dx} \right| \left| \frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} \right| dx \\ & \geq \chi_0 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx + \frac{\chi_1 \varepsilon}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx \\ & + \frac{\chi_1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dv}{dx} \right)^2 dx - \Upsilon \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dv}{dx} \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{\chi_0}{2\chi_1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi(t)v, \Psi v)_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,1)} \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{\chi_0} - \Upsilon \right) \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dv}{dx} \right)^2 dx \geq c_{25} \|v\|_{W_2^2(0,1)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which achieves the acute-angle inequality.

Further, for Ψ we have $\Psi e_s(x) = \lambda_s e_s(x)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$ where, $e_s(x) = 2^{-1/2} \sin s\pi x$ is a complete orthonormalized system of eigenvectors, $\lambda_s = (s\pi)^2$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$ is the corresponding eigenvalues.

All of Theorem 1's and Theorem 4's hypotheses are clearly fulfilled.

Thus, for the Galérkin solution of problem (30) - (32), the estimate

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{Z}_n(x, t) - \mathcal{Z}(x, t)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2(0,1)} \leq c_{26} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds.

V. CONCLUSION

We proved that there exists uniquely a strong solution of the mixed-type problem with boundary conditions for first-order differential and approximate equations. The convergence rate of approximate solutions generated by using the Galérkin method is estimated asymptotically. We utilized the new outcomes of this work to provide an applied example of the IBVP for mixed-type operator-differential equations.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. I. Ahmed, "Existence and Uniqueness Results for an Initial-Boundary Value Problem of Parabolic Operator-Differential Equations in a Weight Space," *TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 628-635, 2021.
- [2] A. B. I. Ahmed, "On the Solvability of Higher-Order Operator-Differential Equations in a Weighted Sobolev Space," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 469-478, 2021. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v34i1.8>
- [3] F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, "Inequalities," Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1961).
- [4] I. E. Egorov, "Solvability of a Nonlocal Boundary Value Problem for an Operator-Differential Equation of Mixed Type," *Mat. Zametki YuGU*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 61-72, 1995.
- [5] I. E. Egorov, S. G. Pyatkov, and S. V. Popov, "Non-Classical Differential-Operator Equations," Novosibirsk: nayka, 2000.
- [6] N. Fariad, A. B. I. Ahmed, M. A. Labeeb, "Sufficient Conditions for Regular Solvability of an Arbitrary Order Operator-Differential Equation with Initial-Boundary Conditions," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2020, no. 104, pp. 1-14, 2020.
- [7] H. Gajewski, K. Gröger, and K. Zacharias, "Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen," Akademie, Berlin (1974); Mir, Moscow, 1978.
- [8] L. V. Kantorovich, "Functional Analysis and Applied Mathematics," *Uspekhi. Mat. Nauk*, vol. 3, no. 6(28), pp. 89-185, 1948.
- [9] N. V. Kislov, "Nonhomogeneous Boundary Value Problems for Differential-Operator Equations of Mixed Type, and their Application," *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, vol. 125(167), no. 1(9), pp. 19-37, 1984.
- [10] S. G. Krein, "Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces," Nauka, Moscow 1967; Birkhäuser, Boston 1982.
- [11] M. A. Labeeb, A. B. I. Ahmed, L. Rashed, "Conditional Solvability of the Boundary Value Problem of a Self-Adjoint Operator-Differential Equations in a Sobolev-Type Space," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 469-478, 2019.
- [12] J. L. Lyons, "Some Methods for Solving Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems," Mir, Moscow, 1972 [in Russian].
- [13] S. G. Mikhlin, "Variational Methods in Mathematical Physics," Nauka, Moscow, 1970 [in Russian].
- [14] K. Moren, "Methods of Hilbert Spaces," PWN (Translated from Polish), 1967.
- [15] V. V. Smagin, "Estimates for the Convergence Rate of Projection and Projection Difference Methods as Applied to Weakly Solvable Parabolic Equations," *Mat. Sb.*, vol. 188, no. 3, pp. 143-160, 1997.
- [16] P. E. Sobolevskii, "On Equations with Operators Forming an Acute Angle," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, vol. 116, pp. 754-757, 1957.
- [17] R. Vigneswaran and S. Kajanathan, "Analysis of the Convergence of More General Linear Iteration Scheme on the Implementation of Implicit Runge-Kutta Methods to Stiff Differential Equations," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 468-473, 2020.
- [18] M. I. Vishik and O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, "Boundary Value Problems for Partial Differential Equations and Certain Classes of Operator Equations," *Uspekhi. Mat. Nauk*, vol. 11, no. 6(76) pp. 41-97, 1956.