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#### Abstract

For obtaining a simple root of nonlinear equations, we present an optimum fourth-order iterative technique. By examining certain test problems, we investigate the proposed method's convergence criteria and establish its validity and efficiency. Finally, based on numerical and graphical data, it was determined that our methods are comparable in terms of order, efficiency, and processing time to existing methods of similar kind.


Index Terms - Iterative Method, Non-linear Equation, Functional evaluations, Order of Convergence, Efficiency Index.

## I. Introduction

Many mathematical modeling of any knowledge in science and engineering contains non-linear equations in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $h: D \subseteq R \rightarrow R$ is a scalar function on an open interval $D$. While there is no closed-form solution, these equations regularly emerge in real-world problems. As a result, the numerical solution of these equations is receiving a lot of interest these days. Multi-point iterations are used in the most efficient extant root solvers because they transcend the theoretical limits of one-point approaches in terms of convergence order and computational efficiency. Ostrowski [1] proposed the concept of efficiency index as a measure for comparing the efficiency of different methods. This index is described by $E=P^{1 / N}$, where $P$ is the order of convergence and $N$ is the total number of function evaluations per iteration. Kung and Traub [7] proposed that an iteration method without memory based on $N$ functional evaluations could achieve optimal convergence order $2^{N-1}$. These iterative methods could be derived using a variety of approaches, such as Taylor series, decomposition, quadrature, and homotopy methods.

Among all the best approaches, one of the well-known approaches for obtaining the zero of "(1.1)" is the classical

[^0]second-order Newton's method (NR) [6]
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We choose some existing optimal fourth-order methods given as follows:

Francisco-Cordero-Garrido-Juan [5] proposed a two-step novel optimal two-step method (FR) with fourth-order convergence

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\frac{h^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)+h\left(t_{n}\right) h\left(y_{n}\right)+2 h^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)}{h\left(t_{n}\right) h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Traub-Ostrowski [6] suggested an optimal two-step with fourth-order convergence algorithm (TR), which is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{h\left(y_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{h^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)-2 h\left(t_{n}\right) h\left(y_{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Chun-Lee-Neta-Jovana [4] presented another optimal fourthorder convergence algorithm (CH)

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{2 h\left(t_{n}\right)}{3 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=t_{n}+\frac{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+3 h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)-6 h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Chun [3] suggested a two-step iterative technique with an ideal fourth-order convergence mechanism (KT)

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{h\left(y_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)+3 h\left(y_{n}\right)}{h\left(t_{n}\right)+h\left(y_{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Ramandeep-Cordero [11] proposed a new iterative approach (RA) for solving nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{2 h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{h\left(z_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}\left(1+\frac{2 h\left(z_{n}\right)}{h\left(t_{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}=\frac{t_{n}+y_{n}}{2}$.
Rajni-Bahl [10] proposes a second optimal two-step approach (RS) with fourth-order convergence.

$$
y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\left(\frac{-1}{2}+\frac{9 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}{8 h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{3 h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{8 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}\right) \frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Santiago-Francisco [12] proposes an optimal fourth-order convergence iterative approach (SA)

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\frac{h^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)+h\left(t_{n}\right) h\left(y_{n}\right)+2 h^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)}{h\left(t_{n}\right) h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Anuradha-Jaiswal [2] has presented an efficient optimum method (AN) with fourth-order convergence

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{2 h\left(t_{n}\right)}{3 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\left(1+\frac{9}{16} \frac{h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}-1\right)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{4 h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+3 h^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Soleymani [14] proposes an optimal fourth-order iterative approach (SO) that is free of derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=y_{n}-\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left(h\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)^{2}-2 h\left(t_{n}\right) h\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{h\left(y_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
\left(1+\frac{\left(h\left(y_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(h\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left(h\left(y_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\left(h\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We begin with many one-step iterative methods in this study, including the classical Newton's method and a new scheme for solving nonlinear equations. To improve the presented iterative method, we use the approximants of the higher derivatives to avoid calculating the function's highorder derivatives. As a result, we can design an iterative formula without having to calculate high-order derivatives.

The remainder of this work is arranged in the following manner. We provide a new two-step optimal fourth-order iterative approach with fast convergence speed in the following part, and we show that the proposed method is at least fourth-order convergent in the following section. A comparison of our new proposed method with previous optimal schemes of similar type utilizing examples from the literature of numerical methods is shown in the penultimate part.

## II. Fourth order convergent method

Consider $t^{*}$ is an exact root of "(1.1)" where $h(t)$ is continuous and has well defined first derivatives. Let $t_{n}$ be the root of $n^{\text {th }}$ approximation of "(1.1)" and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*}=t_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n}$ is the error. Thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(t^{*}\right)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

writing $h\left(t^{*}\right)$ by Taylor's series about $t_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(t^{*}\right)=h\left(t_{n}\right)+\varepsilon_{n} h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{2}}{2!} h^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here higher powers of $\varepsilon_{n}$ are neglected that to from
$\varepsilon_{n} 3$ onwards. Using "(2.2)" and "(2.3)", we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n}=\left[-2 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right) \pm \sqrt{4 h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)-8 h\left(t_{n}\right) h^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}\right] \div 2 h^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On Substituting $t^{*}$ by $t_{n+1}$ in "(2.1)" and from "(2.4)", we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\frac{2 h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}\left(1+G\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
$G\left(t_{n}\right)=\left(1-2 \mu_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mu_{n}=\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right) h^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}{\left[h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}$,
$h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=2 h\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]-h^{\prime}\left(t_{n-1}\right)$ and
$h^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{2}{t_{n-1}-t_{n}}\left[h^{\prime}\left(t_{n-1}\right)-\frac{h\left(t_{n-1}\right)-h\left(t_{n}\right)}{t_{n-1}-t_{n}}\right]$
We develop the algorithm by taking "(1.2)" as the first step and "(2.5)" as the second step.

Algorithm: The iterative scheme is computed by $t_{n+1}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{n}=t_{n}-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)} \\
& t_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{2 h\left(z_{n}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}\left(1+G\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(t_{n}\right)=\left(1-2 \rho_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \rho_{n}=\frac{h\left(z_{n}\right) h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{\left[h^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}, \\
& h^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=2 h\left[t_{n}, z_{n}\right]-h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right) \\
& \text { and } h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{2}{t_{n}-z_{n}}\left[h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)-\frac{h\left(t_{n}\right)-h\left(z_{n}\right)}{t_{n}-z_{n}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The method "(2.6)" is called a fourth-order convergent method (MMS), which requires two functional evaluations and one of its first derivative.

## III. Convergence Criteria

Theorem: Let $t_{0} \in D$ be a single zero of a sufficiently differentiable function $h$ for an open interval D. If $t_{0}$ is in the neighborhood of $t^{*}$. Then "(2.12)" has fourth-order convergence.

Proof: Let the single zero of (1.1) be $t^{*}$ and $t^{*}=t_{n}+\varepsilon_{n}$ then $h\left(t^{*}\right)=0$
By Taylor's series, writing $h\left(t^{*}\right)$ about $t_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(t_{n}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{n}+c_{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+c_{3} \varepsilon_{n}^{3}+c_{4} \varepsilon_{n}^{4}+\ldots\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& h^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)\left(1+2 c_{2} \varepsilon_{n}+c_{3} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} \varepsilon_{n}^{3}+\ldots\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing "(3.1)" and "(3.2)" in the first step of "(2.6)", we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=t^{*}+c_{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+\left(2 c_{3}-2 c_{2}^{2}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{3}+\left(3 c_{4}-7 c_{2} c_{3}+4 c_{2}^{3}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{4}+\ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From "(3.3)", we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
h\left(z_{n}\right)=h\left(t^{*}\right)\binom{c_{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+\left(2 c_{3}-2 c_{2}^{2}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{3}+}{\left(3 c_{4}-7 c_{2} c_{3}+5 c_{2}^{3}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{4}+\ldots} \\
h^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=h\left(t^{*}\right)\left(1+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+\left(6 c_{2} c_{3}-c_{2}^{3}-2 c_{4}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{3}+\ldots\right) \\
h^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=h\left(t^{*}\right)\left(2 c_{2}+4 c_{3} \varepsilon_{n}+\left(2 c_{2} c_{3}+6 c_{4}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+\ldots\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{array}
$$

Putting "(3.4)", "(3.5)" and "(3.6)" in the second step of "(2.6)", we get
$\varepsilon_{n+1}=\left(-c_{2} c_{3}\right) \varepsilon_{n}^{4}+o\left(\varepsilon_{n}^{5}\right)$
Thus, we proved the convergence of this new method which is of fourth-order and its efficiency index is $\sqrt[3]{4}=1.587$.

## IV. NumERICAL EXAMPLES

We offer numerical results on various test equations to check the performance of the fourth-order technique defined by method "(2.6)." We also compare their findings to those obtained using the NR, SO, AN, SA, RS, RA, KI, CH, TR, and FR methodologies. All numerical computations are performed using the mpmath-PYTHON package, starting with a supplied initial approximation $t 0$. Because all of the computations are done with PYTHON (Processor $\operatorname{Intel}(\mathrm{R})$ Core(TM) i5-10210U CPU @ 2.11 GHz with 64-bit operating system), we additionally calculate the CPU execution time in seconds. We use the following stopping criteria to ensure that iterative calculation computer programs are terminated when all of the conditions are met at the same time:
i) $\left|t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right|<10^{-201}$
ii) $\left|h\left(t_{n+1}\right)\right|<10^{-201}$.

| Table IV(a) Test functions with their roots |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Test Functions | Root, $t^{*}$ |
| $h_{1}(t)=\sin (2 \cos t)-1-t^{2}+e^{\sin \left(t^{3}\right)} ;[8]$ | -0.7848959876612 |
| $h_{2}(t)=\sin t+\cos t+t ;[8]$ | -0.4566247045676 |
| $h_{3}(t)=(t+2) e^{t}-1 ;[8]$ | -0.442854010023 |
| $h_{4}(t)=t^{2}+\sin \left(\frac{t}{5}\right)-\frac{1}{4} ;[8]$ | 0.40999201798913 |
| $h_{5}(t)=\cos t-t ;[16]$ | 0.73908513321516 |
| $h_{6}(t)=t^{3}-10 ;[16]$ | 1.74613953040801 |
| $h_{7}(t)=e^{-t}+\cos t ;[17]$ | 2.63066414792790 |
| $h_{8}(t)=e^{\sin t}-t+1 ;[17]$ | 0.27775954284172 |
| $h_{9}(t)=t^{4}-7.79075 t^{3}+2.511 t-1.674 ;[15]$ |  |
| $h_{10}(t)=\sin ^{2} t-t^{2}+1 ;[13]$ | 1.40449164821534 |

To verify the theoretical order of convergence, we calculate the computational order of convergence $\left(p_{c}\right)$ using the
formula [9]

$$
p_{c}=\frac{\log \left[\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right) /\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)\right]}{\log \left[\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right) /\left(t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}\right)\right]}
$$

taken into consideration the last four approximations in the iterative process.

Table IV(b)Analogy of Efficiency

| Methods | $p_{c}$ | $N$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NR | 2.00 | 2 | 1.414 |
| SO | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| AN | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| SA | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| RS | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| RA | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| KI | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| CH | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| TR | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| FR | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |
| MMS | 4.00 | 3 | 1.587 |

Where $p_{c}$ is the convergence order, $N$ is the number of functional values per iteration and $E$ is the efficiency-index.

Table IV(c) Analogy of Different Methods

| ethod | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ | n | $\left\|t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right\|$ | $\left\|h\left(t_{n+1}\right)\right\|$ | NFE | CPU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{h}_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ | -1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR |  | 9 | 1.6e-201 | $4.0 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 18 | 0.00652 |
| SO |  | 5 | 2.2e-200 | 4.1e-201 | 15 | 0.00602 |
| AN |  | 6 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 4.1e-201 | 18 | 0.00522 |
| SA |  | 5 | 0 | 4.1e-201 | 15 | 0.00717 |
| RS |  | 6 | 1.8e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 18 | 0.00611 |
| RA |  | 6 | 5.7e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 18 | 0.00615 |
| KI |  | 6 | 4.1e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 18 | 0.00717 |
| CH |  | 6 | 1.7e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 18 | 0.00527 |
| TR |  | 5 | 0 | 4.1e-201 | 15 | 0.00731 |
| FR |  | 6 | 5.6e-201 | $4.1 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 18 | 0.00559 |
| MMS |  | 5 | 8.1e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 15 | 0.00513 |
| -0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR |  | 10 | 8.9e-201 | 2.4e-200 | 20 | 0.00732 |
| SO |  | 6 | 1.6e-201 | 4.1e-200 | 18 | 0.00745 |
| AN |  | 7 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 4.1e-200 | 21 | 0.00672 |
| SA |  | 6 | 0 | 4.1e-200 | 18 | 0.00786 |
| RS |  | 7 | 1.7e-201 | 4.1e-200 | 21 | 0.00714 |
| RA |  | 7 | 9.6e-201 | 4.1e-200 | 21 | 0.00733 |
| KI |  | 7 | 4.1e-201 | 4.1e-200 | 21 | 0.00859 |
| CH |  | 7 | 1.8e-201 | 4.1e-200 | 21 | 0.00672 |
| TR |  | 6 | 0 | 4.1e-200 | 18 | 0.00805 |
| FR |  | 7 | 9.7e-200 | 4.1e-200 | 21 | 0.00754 |
| MMS |  | 6 | 8.1e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 18 | 0.00671 |
| $\mathrm{h}_{2}(\mathbf{t})$ | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR |  | 9 | 2.4e-201 | 5.3e-201 | 18 | 0.00317 |
| SO |  | 6 | 6.1e-201 | 1.8e-200 | 18 | 0.00302 |
| AN |  | 6 | 2.5e-201 | 5.3e-201 | 18 | 0.00315 |
| SA |  | 5 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 5.3e-201 | 15 | 0.00355 |
| RS |  | 6 | $2.3 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 5.3e-201 | 18 | 0.00317 |
| RA |  | 6 | 9.3e-201 | 5.3e-201 | 18 | 0.00325 |
| KI |  | 6 | $6.9 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 5.3e-201 | 18 | 0.00327 |
| CH |  | 6 | 2.6e-201 | $5.3 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 18 | 0.00307 |
| TR |  | 5 | 2.8e-201 | $5.3 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 15 | 0.00357 |
| FR |  | 6 | 4.8e-200 | $5.3 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 18 | 0.00298 |
| MMS |  | 5 | 1.2e-201 | $5.3 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 15 | 0.00293 |



| TR | 5 | 0 | $8.8 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 15 | 0.00458 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FR | 5 | $6.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-198$ | 15 | 0.00404 |
| MMS | 5 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 15 | 0.00393 |
| 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR | 9 | $6.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00422 |
| SO | 6 | $3.5 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 8.8e-200 | 18 | 0.00440 |
| AN | 6 | $3.5 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 8.8e-200 | 18 | 0.00441 |
| SA | 6 | $6.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00471 |
| RS | 6 | 3.5e-201 | $8.8 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 18 | 0.00450 |
| RA | 6 | $6.7 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00436 |
| KI |  | Divergent |  |  |  |
| CH | 6 | 2.5e-200 | $8.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 18 | 0.00491 |
| TR | 6 | 2.6e-200 | $8.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 18 | 0.00583 |
| FR | 6 | $6.9 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00483 |
| MMS | 5 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 15 | 0.00383 |
| h9(t) |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR | 10 | 8.1e-201 | 8.1e-201 | 20 | 0.00385 |
| SO | 4 | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 12 | 0.00384 |
| AN | 5 | $8.9 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 4.1e-201 | 15 | 0.00385 |
| SA | 8 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 24 | 0.00391 |
| RS | 8 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 4.1e-201 | 24 | 0.00392 |
| RA | 5 | 2.4e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 15 | 0.00392 |
| KI | 3 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 4.1e-201 | 9 | 0.00393 |
| CH | 5 | $8.9 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $4.1 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 15 | 0.00388 |
| TR | 8 | 3.2e-201 | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 24 | 0.00420 |
| FR | 8 | $4.0 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 4.1e-201 | 24 | 0.00407 |
| MMS | 3 | 4.1e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 9 | 0.00383 |
| 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR | 10 | 8.1e-201 | 8.1e-201 | 20 | 0.00365 |
| SO | 4 | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 12 | 0.00361 |
| AN | 6 | $4.8 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $4.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 18 | 0.00387 |
| SA | 9 | 4.1e-201 | 4.1e-201 | 27 | 0.00383 |
| RS | 9 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 4.1e-201 | 27 | 0.00379 |
| RA | 4 | $4.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 4.1e-201 | 12 | 0.00365 |
| KI | 4 | 4.1e-200 | $4.1 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 12 | 0.00451 |
| CH | 5 | $8.9 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $4.1 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 15 | 0.00365 |
| TR | 8 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $2.4 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 24 | 0.00432 |
| FR | 8 | $4.0 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 4.1e-201 | 24 | 0.00435 |
| MMS | 3 | $4.0 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $4.1 \mathrm{e}-201$ | 9 | 0.00362 |
| h 10(t) | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| NR | 12 | 3.1e-200 | 7.6e-200 | 24 | 0.00678 |
| SO |  | Divergent |  |  |  |
| AN | 9 | 3.0e-200 | $7.6 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 27 | 0.00603 |
| SA | 8 | 0 | $7.6 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 24 | 0.00679 |
| RS | 9 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 7.6e-200 | 27 | 0.00813 |
| RA | 11 | 6.6e-200 | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 33 | 0.00819 |
| KI | 10 | $9.3 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 7.6e-200 | 30 | 0.00691 |
| CH | 8 | $6.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 24 | 0.00558 |
| TR | 7 | $5.2 \mathrm{e}-201$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 21 | 0.00609 |
| FR | 11 | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 33 | 0.00783 |
| MMS | 5 | $4.4 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 7.6e-200 | 15 | 0.00546 |
| 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NR | 9 | 3.1e-200 | 7.6e-200 | 18 | 0.00484 |
| SO | 5 | $4.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 15 | 0.00676 |
| AN | 6 | $6.7 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00391 |
| SA | 5 | $3.5 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 7.6e-200 | 15 | 0.00441 |
| RS | 6 | $6.8 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00570 |
| RA | 11 | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 33 | 0.00761 |
| KI | 6 | $8.2 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 1.6e-199 | 18 | 0.00485 |
| CH | 6 | $6.9 \mathrm{e}-200$ | $1.7 \mathrm{e}-199$ | 18 | 0.00391 |
| TR | 5 | 1.7e-200 | 7.6e-200 | 15 | 0.00536 |
| FR | 10 | $6.5 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 1.7e-199 | 30 | 0.00393 |
| MMS | 4 | $6.4 \mathrm{e}-200$ | 7.6e-200 | 12 | 0.00389 |

Where $t_{0}$ is the initial approximation, $n$ is the number of iterations and $N F E$ is number of function evaluations.

The graphical behavior is reflected in "Fig. 1" to "Fig. 20". We use Origin Pro software for graphical comparisons.


Fig. 1. $h_{1}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=1$


Fig. 2. $h_{1}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=0.5$


Fig. 3. $h_{2}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=0.1$


Fig. 4. $h_{\imath}(t)=0$ at $t_{n}=-1$


Fig. 5. $h_{3}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=-1.2$


Fig. 6. $h_{3}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=0.1$


Fig. 7. $h_{4}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=0.2$


Fig. 8. $h_{4}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=1$


Fig. 9. $h_{5}(\mathrm{t})=0$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}=1.4$


Fig. 10. $\mathrm{h}_{5}(\mathrm{t})=0$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}=0.5$


Fig. 11. $\mathrm{h}_{6}(\mathrm{t})=0$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}=1.9$


Fig. 12. $h_{6}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=2.5$


Fig. 13. $h_{7}(t)=0$ at $t_{n}=1$


Fig. 14. $h_{7}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=1.7$


Fig. 15. $\mathrm{h}_{8}(\mathrm{t})=0$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}=2.4$


Fig. 16. $\mathrm{h}_{8}(\mathrm{t})=0$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}=3.3$


Fig. 17. $\mathrm{h}_{9}(\mathrm{t})=0$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}=0.1$


Fig. 18. $h_{9}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=1.2$


Fig. 19. $h_{10}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=0.7$


Fig. 20. $h_{10}(t)=0$ at $t_{0}=1.6$
Figures 1-20 show the residual fall of iterative methods NR, SO, AN, SA, RS, RA, KI, CH, TR, FR and MMS. for simple roots for a nonlinear function $\mathrm{h}_{1},-\mathrm{h}_{10}$ respectively.

## V. Conclusions

We modified the proposed iterative technique by employing approximants of the second derivative to avoid calculating the higher derivatives of the function. As a result, we have a modified iterative approach that is free of the function's higher derivatives. The order of convergence of the method "(2.6)" has been proven to be four. With an efficiency score of 1.587 , this method introduced the novel optimal fourth-order convergent iterative method. Two functional evaluations and one of the first derivatives are required. The efficiency of various approaches is compared in Table IV(b). The computational findings in table IV(c) and the graphical results in "Fig. 1" to "Fig. 20" show that the current approach MMS outperforms earlier methods in terms of CPU time for similar tasks. Other optimal fourthorder iterative methods were competitive with the current iterative strategy. As a result, the findings of the study make a significant contribution to the field of computational sciences.
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