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#### Abstract

As an important tool to represent structured and uncertain data, graph models are widely used in computer networks and chemical molecular structure representation. If there are uncertainties in the vertices or the binary relationship between vertices in the graph, the membership function is introduced to the vertex set and the edge set, and the fuzzy graph is used to describe such uncertain structural features. The independent set is an important object to measure the topological structure of the graph, as the basis of many graph parameters. In this paper, a novel concept of independent set is proposed in bipolar fuzzy graph setting. The fuzzy topological parameters to measure its degree of independence are defined, and the characteristics of bipolar independence degree are obtained by using graph theory and fuzzy set theory, especially for two types of product bipolar fuzzy graphs. Finally, the algorithm for calculating the maximum (resp. minimum) positive (resp. negative) fuzzy subgraph with given positive (resp. negative) degree of independence is designed.


Index Terms-bipolar fuzzy graph, independent set, product fuzzy graph, fuzzy molecular graph.

## I. INTRODUCTION

GRAPHS are an important model to characterize irregular data or structured data in computer science. For example, in the subway network, each station is represented by a vertex. If two stations are consecutively adjacent to each other on a certain subway line, then an edge is connected between these two stations. Thus the rail transit network of the entire city can be modelled by a graph. Another instance, every molecular structure can be characterized by a graphical model. Each atom is represented by a vertex, if there is a chemical bond between two atoms, then an edge is connected between the corresponding vertices. The graph obtained by this trick is called a molecular graph. Various applications of graph models can be found in [1-10].
In a large number of graphical model applications, we find that the basic elements of the model are uncertain in specific application scenarios. For example, in the field of computer networks, many application backgrounds, sites and channels have some uncertain factors, so it is necessary to use a fuzzy graph as a model to describe the performance of the network under the fuzzy framework. In the fuzzy graph setting, the uncertainties of vertices and edges are characterized by their respective membership functions (see Islam and Pal [11] and Samanta et al. [12]).

A bipolar fuzzy set traditionally uses a negative membership function to describe negative uncertainty. The corresponding structured fuzzy graph is called a bipolar fuzzy graph. That is, there are positive and negative membership

[^0]functions defined on vertex set and edge set, thus we characterize positive and negative uncertainties for vertices and edges. In recent years, research on bipolar fuzzy graphs has become a hot topic in the field of fuzzy graphs. Mathew et al. [13] proposed several connectivity concepts in bipolar fuzzy graphs. Singh and Kumar [14] represented the lattice by means of bipolar fuzzy graph. Alnaser et al. [15] defined bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and introduced its matrices. Gong and Hua [16] studied the topological indices of bipolar fuzzy incidence graphs.

As we know, independent sets and independent numbers are critical properties to characterize the sparsity of graphs, occupying a central position in graph theory research. Gupta et al. [17] raised a new concept called ipsd-graph, and some general structural characterizations of separable ipsdgraphs are determined. Tait and Timmons [18] computed the upper bound on the independence number of polarity graphs. Zhao [19] summarized the tricks on regular graphs that are extremal with respect to the number of independent sets. Dyer and Muller [20] stated that the number of independent sets in cocomparability graphs can be counted in linear time. Ortiz and Villanueva [21] investigated the number of maximal independent sets in caterpillar graphs. Haviland [22] determined novel upper bounds for the independent domination number of regular graphs. Ortiz and Villanueva [23] studied the maximal independent sets in grid graphs. More contexts on independent set in graph can be referred to Coja-Oghlan and Efthymiou [24], Ge and Stefankovic [25], Gaspers et al. [26], and Oh and Lee [27].

Although independent sets have been studied a lot in various graph settings, the related research of independent sets is still open in the fuzzy graph situation, especially in the bipolar fuzzy graph setting (see Muhiuddin et al. [28]). Due to the importance of independent sets in mathematics, computer science, molecular science and other fields, this paper studies the independent sets in the bipolar fuzzy graph setting. We define the independent sets of bipolar fuzzy graphs from a new perspective, and gives its features on special graph classes.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: first, the definition of bipolar fuzzy graphs and some preliminary knowledge about bipolar fuzzy sets are given; secondly, the main new concepts of this paper are presented and certain characteristics are described from a theoretical point of view; then, we discuss the degree of independence for two kinds of product bipolar fuzzy graphs; finally, an algorithm to find the subgraph with the extreme value of fixed independence number is proposed.

## II. Preliminary knowledge

In this section, we give some basic definitions and terms in preparation for the introduction of the main concepts in the coming section.

## A. Bipolar fuzzy graph

Let $V$ be a universal set and it can be regarded as vertex set in bipolar fuzzy graph setting. The set $A=$ $\left\{\left(v, \iota_{A}^{P}(v), \iota_{A}^{N}(v)\right): v \in V\right\}$ is the bipolar fuzzy set on $V$ where $\iota_{A}^{P}: V \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\iota_{A}^{N}: V \rightarrow[-1,0]$ are the positive membership function and negative membership function on $V$ respectively, and set $B=\left\{\left(\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.$ : $\left.v, v^{\prime} \in V \times V\right\}$ is the bipolar fuzzy set on $V \times V$ where $\iota_{B}^{P}: V \times V \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\iota_{b}^{N}: V \times V \rightarrow[-1,0]$ are the positive membership function and negative membership function on $V^{2}$ respectively. $G=(V, A, B)$ is a bipolar fuzzy graph if

$$
\iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \leq \min \left\{\iota_{A}^{P}(v), \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \geq \max \left\{\iota_{A}^{N}(v), \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

hold for any vertex pair $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in V^{2}$, and

$$
\iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

if $v v^{\prime}$ is not an edge in bipolar fuzzy graph $G$. An edge $v v^{\prime}$ is trivial if $\iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=0$ or $\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=0$. We say a bipolar fuzzy graph $G=(V, A, B)$ is complete if

$$
\iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\min \left\{\iota_{A}^{P}(v), \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\max \left\{\iota_{A}^{N}(v), \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

hold for any vertex pair $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in V^{2}$. Throughout this article, $\wedge$ and $\vee$ are expressed as minimum and maximum operations, respectively.
For two bipolar fuzzy sets $A_{1}=\left\{\left(v, \iota_{A_{1}}^{P}(v), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}(v)\right): v \in\right.$ $V\}$ and $A_{2}=\left\{\left(v, \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}(v), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}(v)\right): v \in V\right\}$. Set

$$
A_{3}=A_{1} \wedge A_{2}=\left\{\left(v, \iota_{A_{3}}^{P}(v), \iota_{A_{3}}^{N}(v)\right): v \in V\right\}
$$

and

$$
A_{4}=A_{1} \vee A_{2}=\left\{\left(v, \iota_{A_{4}}^{P}(v), \iota_{A_{4}}^{N}(v)\right): v \in V\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{A_{3}}^{P}(v) & =\min \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}(v), \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}(v)\right\}, \\
\iota_{A_{3}}^{N}(v) & =\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}(v), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}(v)\right\}, \\
\iota_{A_{4}}^{P}(v) & =\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}(v), \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}(v)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\iota_{A_{4}}^{N}(v)=\min \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}(v), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}(v)\right\} .
$$

We say $A_{1} \leq A_{2}$ if $\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}(v) \leq \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}(v)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}(v) \geq \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}(v)$ for any $v \in V$.

The similar operator can be defined on $V^{2}$. For any two bipolar fuzzy sets $B_{1}=\left\{\left(\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.$ : $\left.v, v^{\prime} \in V\right\}$ and $B_{2}=\left\{\left(\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{P_{1}}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N_{1}}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.$ : $\left.v, v^{\prime} \in V\right\}$, set
$B_{3}=B_{1} \wedge B_{2}=\left\{\left(\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{3}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{3}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right): v, v^{\prime} \in V\right\}$ and
$B_{4}=B_{1} \vee B_{2}=\left\{\left(\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{4}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{4}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right): v, v^{\prime} \in V\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{B_{3}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\min \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
& \iota_{B_{3}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\max \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\iota_{B_{4}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\max \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\iota_{B_{4}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\min \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

We say $B_{1} \leq B_{2}$ if $\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \leq \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in V \times V$.

Let $G=(V, A, B)$ be a bipolar fuzzy graph and $S \subseteq V$ be a subset vertex set of $V$. The order of $G$ is denoted by

$$
O(G)=\left(O^{P}(G), O^{N}(G)\right)
$$

where

$$
O^{P}(G)=\sum_{v \in V} \iota_{A}^{P}(v)
$$

and

$$
O^{N}(G)=\sum_{v \in V} \iota_{A}^{N}(v)
$$

are positive order and negative order of $G$, respectively. The size of $G$ is denoted by

$$
S(G)=\left(S^{P}(G), S^{N}(G)\right)
$$

where

$$
S^{P}(G)=\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V \times V} \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
S^{N}(G)=\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V \times V} \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

are positive size and negative size of $G$, respectively. For a given $S \subseteq V$, its bipolar cardinality of $S$ can be written as

$$
\left.|S|=\left(\sum_{v \in S} \iota_{A}^{P}(v), \sum_{v \in S}\right) \iota_{A}^{N}(v)\right)
$$

where $\sum_{v \in S} \iota_{A}^{P}(v)$ and $\sum_{v \in S} \iota_{A}^{P}(v)$ are called positive cardinality and negative cardinality, respectively. For any $v \in V$, the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$ is denoted by

$$
N(v)=\left\{v^{\prime} \in V: \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)>0 \quad \text { or } \quad \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)<0\right\} .
$$

The bipolar degree of $v$ in $G$ is formulated by

$$
d(v)=\left(d^{P}(v), d^{N}(v)\right)
$$

where

$$
d^{P}(v)=\sum_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in V \times V} \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
d^{N}(v)=\sum_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in V \times V} \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

are positive degree and negative degree of $v$ in $G$.

## B. Brief overview on independent set in fuzzy graphs

In this subsection, we briefly review the existing definitions of independent sets for bipolar fuzzy graphs.
For a bipolar fuzzy graph $G=(V, A, B)$ and $v v^{\prime}$ is an edge in $G$. There are several definitions on strong edges and independent sets, and we introduce some of the most important ones. $v v^{\prime}$ is a positive strong edge if

$$
\min \left\{\iota_{A}^{P}(v), \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\} \leq 2 \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $v v^{\prime}$ is a negative strong edge if

$$
\max \left\{\iota_{A}^{N}(v), \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\} \geq 2 \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

$v v^{\prime}$ is a strong edge if it is both positive strong and negative strong. The independent strength of edge $v v^{\prime}$ is denoted by

$$
I_{G}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\left(I_{G}^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right), I_{G}^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
I_{G}^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}{\min \left\{\iota_{A}^{P}(v), \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}}
$$

and

$$
I_{G}^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}{\max \left\{\iota_{A}^{N}(v), \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}}
$$

are positive independent strength and negative independent strength, respectively. We expand it to $V \times V$ by defining $I_{G}^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=I_{G}^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $v v^{\prime}$ is not an edge in $G$. Moreover, $I_{G}\left(v v^{\prime}\right), I_{G}^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{G}^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$ can be simply written by $I\left(v v^{\prime}\right), I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$ and $I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.

Two vertices in a bipolar fuzzy graph $G=(V, A, B)$ are said to be positive independent if there is no positive strong edge between them.They are negative independent if there is no negative strong edge between them. They are bipolar independent if there is no positive and negative strong edge between them. $S \subseteq V$ is a positive independent set of $G$ if any two vertices in $S$ are positive independent, $S$ is a negative independent set of $G$ if any two vertices in $S$ are negative independent, and $S$ is a bipolar independent set of $G$ if any two vertices in $S$ are bipolar independent. A positive independent set $S$ is a maximal positive independent set if it has maximal cardinality among all positive independent sets, and this maximum cardinality is called the positive independence number of $G$ which is denoted by $\gamma^{P}(G)$. A negative independent set $S$ is a minimal negative independent set if it has minimal cardinality among all negative independent sets, and this minimal cardinality is called the negative independence number of $G$ which is denoted by $\gamma^{N}(G)$. Thus, the independence number of bipolar fuzzy graph $G$ is denoted by

$$
\gamma(G)=\left(\gamma^{P}(G), \gamma^{N}(G)\right) .
$$

## III. Bipolar independence in bipolar fuzzy GRAPHS

The aim of this section is to introduce our novel idea of independent set in bipolar fuzzy graph setting. In crisp graph setting, any two vertices in an independent set have no edge to connect them, while in our setting, any vertex set is allowed to be an independent set with certain degree of independence.

## A. Novel approach on the bipolar independence of bipolar fuzzy graph and theoretical analysis

Let $S \subseteq V$ be a vertex subset with $n$ vertices. We define the degree of independence of $S$ by

$$
D I_{n}(S)=\left(D I_{n}^{P}(S), D I_{n}^{N}(S)\right)
$$

where

$$
D I_{n}^{P}(S)=1-\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in S} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}}
$$

and

$$
D I_{n}^{N}(S)=-1+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in S} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}}
$$



Fig. 1. The bipolar fuzzy graph $G_{1}$.


Fig. 2. The bipolar fuzzy graph $G_{2}$.
are the positive degree of independence of $S$ and the negative degree of independence of $S$ respectively, and $\binom{n}{2}=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Remark 1. If $S$ is a set with no edges, $D I_{n}(S)=(1,-1)$ and such vertex subset become independent sets in the crisp graph. If bipolar fuzzy graph $G$ is complete, and $|V|=n$ ( $G$ has $n$ vertices). Then, $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\binom{n}{2}$ and $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\binom{n}{2}$, and thus $D I_{n}(V)=(0,0)$. If $S \stackrel{=}{=} V$, then $D I_{n}(V), D I_{n}^{P}(V)$ and $D I_{n}^{N}(V)$ can be written as $D I(G), D I^{P}(G)$ and $D I^{N}(G)$ which denote the degree of independence, positive degree of independence and negative degree of independence of bipolar fuzzy graph $G$, respectively.
Example 1. Two bipolar fuzzy graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are described in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

In $G_{1}, V_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right)=0.6, \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right)=$ $-0.8, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right)=0.5, \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)=-0.6, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{3}\right)=0.7$, $\iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{3}\right)=-0.4, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{4}\right)=0.8, \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{4}\right)=-0.1, \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=$ $0.4, \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=-0.5, \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=0.3, \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=$ $-0.2, \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{4}\right)=0.4$ and $\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{4}\right)=-0.1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V_{1}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{0.4}{0.5}+\frac{0.3}{0.5}+\frac{0.4}{0.5}=2.2 \\
\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V_{1}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{-0.5}{-0.6}+\frac{-0.2}{-0.4}+\frac{-0.1}{-0.1}=\frac{7}{3} \\
D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)=1-\frac{2.2}{6}=\frac{19}{30}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)=-1+\frac{7}{6 \times 3}=-\frac{11}{18}
$$

Hence, $D I\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{19}{30},-\frac{11}{18}\right)$.
In $G_{2}, V_{2}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right)=0.7, \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right)=$
$-0.4, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right)=0.5, \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)=-0.5, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{3}\right)=0.6$,
$\iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{3}\right)=-0.3, \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{4}\right)=0.8, \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{4}\right)=-0.2, \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=$ $0.3, \iota_{B}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=-0.3, \iota_{B}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=0.4$, and $\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=$ -0.2 . Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V_{2}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{0.3}{0.5}+\frac{0.4}{0.5}=\frac{7}{5}, \\
\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V_{2}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{-0.3}{-0.4}+\frac{-0.2}{-0.3}=\frac{17}{12}, \\
D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)=1-\frac{7}{5 \times 6}=\frac{23}{30}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)=-1+\frac{17}{6 \times 12}=-\frac{55}{72}
$$

Hence, $D I\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{23}{30},-\frac{55}{72}\right)$.
In a bipolar fuzzy graph $G$, we say an edge $v v^{\prime}$ is a positive independent strong edge if $I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\iota_{B}^{P}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}{\min \left\{\iota_{A}^{P}(v), \iota_{A}^{P}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Say $v v^{\prime}$ is a negative independent strong edge if $I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\frac{1}{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\iota_{B}^{N}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}{\max \left\{\iota_{A}^{N}(v), \iota_{A}^{N}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right\}} \geq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Say $v v^{\prime}$ is an independent strong edge if it is both positive independent strong edge and negative independent strong edge.

In terms of the above definitions, we get the following characteristics.
Theorem 1. Let $G=(V, A, B)$ be a bipolar fuzzy graph. If there is an independent strong edge between every two vertices of $G$, then $D I^{P}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $D I^{N}(G) \geq-\frac{1}{2}$.
Proof of Theorem 1. We only prove $D I^{N}(G) \geq-\frac{1}{2}$, and $D I^{P}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ can be obtained using the similar trick.

Assume $G$ has $n$ vertices, and there is a negative independent strong edge between any two vertices in $G$, that is, $I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for any $v, v^{\prime} \in V$. Hence, we acquire

$$
\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
D I^{N}(G)=-1+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}} \geq-1+\frac{1}{2}=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

The desired result is proved.
In terms of Theorem 1, we get the following corollary immediately.
Corollary 1. If a bipolar fuzzy graph $G$ has no positive (resp. negative) independent strong edge, then $D I^{P}(G)>\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\left.D I^{N}(G)<-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
Theorem 2. Let $H=\left(V^{\prime}, A, B\right)$ be a subgraph of bipolar fuzzy graph $G=(V, A, B)$ which is induced by $V^{\prime}$ with $|V|=n$ and $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=n^{\prime}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D I^{P}(H)= & D I^{P}(G)-\frac{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2} \times\binom{ n^{\prime}}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
D I^{N}(H)= & D I^{N}(G)-\frac{\binom{n}{2}+\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2} \times\binom{ n^{\prime}}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Here, we only give the detailed proof of (2), and the proof of (1) can be yielded in the same fashion.

According to the definition of negative degree of independence, we have

$$
D I^{N}(G)=-1+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D I^{N}(H)= & -1+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} \\
= & -1+\left(\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\left(\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \backslash\binom{n^{\prime}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D I^{N}(H)-D I^{N}(G) \\
= & -1+\left(\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\left(\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \backslash\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+1 \\
& -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}} \\
= & -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n}{2}}+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} \\
= & -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} \\
= & \frac{\binom{n}{2}-\left(\begin{array}{c}
n^{\prime} \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right) \times\binom{ n^{\prime}}{2}}{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)} \\
& -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result for the negative part follows.
In light of Theorem 2, we infer the following corollaries. Corollary 2. Let $G=(V, A, B)$ be a bipolar fuzzy graph with $n$ vertices and $x \in V$ be any vertex in $G$. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ by deleting $x$ from $G$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D I^{P}(H)= & D I^{P}(G)-\frac{4 \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \\
& +\frac{24 \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
D I^{N}(H)= & D I^{N}(G)+\frac{4 \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \\
& -\frac{24 \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Corollary 2. Here, we only give the detailed proof of (4), and the proof of (3) can be yielded in the same fashion.

Using the definition of $H$, we have

$$
\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{x^{\prime} \in V} \iota_{B}^{N}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=n-1$.
In view of Theorem 2, we acquire

$$
\begin{aligned}
D I^{N}(H)= & D I^{N}(G)+\frac{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-1}{2}}{\binom{n}{2} \times\binom{ n-1}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n-1}{2}} \\
= & D I^{N}(G)+\frac{4 \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \\
& -\frac{24 \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the equation in corollary holds.
Corollary 3. Let $G=(V, A, B)$ be a bipolar fuzzy graph and $H=\left(V^{\prime}, A, B\right)$ be a subgraph of $G$ with $|V|=n$ and $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=n^{\prime}$. We have the following two statements.
(i) $D I^{P}(H) \leq D I^{P}(G)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $D I^{N}(H) \leq D I^{N}(G)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Corollary 3. We only give the detailed proof of statement (ii).

Using Theorem 2 directly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D I^{N}(H)= & D I^{N}(G)-\frac{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}-\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{n}{2} \times\binom{ n^{\prime}}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{N}(H) \leq D I^{N}(G) \\
\leftrightarrow \quad & D I^{N}(G)-D I^{N}(H) \geq 0 \\
\leftrightarrow & \frac{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}-\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{n}{2} \times\binom{ n^{\prime}}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}} \geq 0 \\
\leftrightarrow & \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence, the statement (ii) is correct.
Corollary 4. Let $G=(V, A, B)$ be a bipolar fuzzy graph and $H=\left(V^{\prime}, A, B\right)$ be a subgraph of $G$ by deleting one vertex. Then
(1) $D I^{P}(H)=D I^{P}(G) \leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-$ $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) $D I^{P}(H)<D I^{P}(G) \leftrightarrow \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-$ $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)<\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.
(3) $D I^{P}(H)>I^{P}(G) \leftrightarrow \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-$ $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)>\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{P}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.
(4) $D I^{N}(H)=D I^{N}(G) \leftrightarrow \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-$ $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.
(5) $D I^{N}(H)>D I^{N}(G) \leftrightarrow \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-$ $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)<-\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.
(6) $D I^{N}(H)<D I^{N}(G) \leftrightarrow \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)-$ $\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)>-\frac{2}{n} \sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.

Next, we introduce the classification of bipolar fuzzy graph in terms of positive degree of independence or negative degree of independence. Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=$ $\left(V_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ be two bipolar fuzzy graphs. The relation " $\sim P$ " and " $\sim^{N}$ " between $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are defined as follows:

- $G_{1} \sim^{P} G_{2} \leftrightarrow D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)=D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)$;
- $G_{1} \sim^{N} G_{2} \leftrightarrow D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)=D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

After detailed analysis, we get the following result on $\sim^{P}$ and $\sim^{N}$ and we skip the detailed proof here.
Theorem 3. Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ be two bipolar fuzzy graphs. The following two statements are hold.
(i) The relation $\sim^{P}$ between $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is an equivalence relation.
(ii) The relation $\sim^{N}$ between $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is an equivalence relation.

The above two types of equivalence relations give two different classification methods of bipolar fuzzy graphs, which can be effectively divided according to actual application scenarios.

## B. Bipolar independence in two classes of product bipolar fuzzy graph settings

In this subsection, we consider the bipolar independence for various types of products of bipolar fuzzy graphs.
Definition 1. Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ be two bipolar fuzzy graphs. The Cartesian product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is denoted by $G_{1} \times G_{2}=\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}, A_{1} \times A_{2}, B_{1} \times B_{2}\right)$ such that
(i) The vertex set of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ is denoted by $V_{1} \times V_{2}=$ $\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \mid v_{1} \in V_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{2}\right\}$.
(ii) Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the edge set of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. The edge set of $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ is denoted by $E=E^{\prime} \cup E^{\prime \prime}$, where

$$
E^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mid v_{1} \in V_{1}, v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
E^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right) \mid v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{2}\right\}
$$

(iii) For any $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{A_{1} \times A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\min \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& \iota_{A_{1} \times A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) For any $\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}^{P}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
& \iota_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right) \in E^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}^{P}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\min \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& \iota_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\max \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound of degree of independence for the Cartesian product of bipolar fuzzy graphs can be characterized as follows (in Theorem 4, $D I_{n_{1}}^{P}\left(G_{1}\right), D I_{n_{1}}^{N}\left(G_{1}\right), D I_{n_{2}}^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)$, $D I_{n_{2}}^{N}\left(G_{2}\right), D I_{n_{1} n_{2}}^{P}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ and $D I_{n_{1} n_{2}}^{N}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ can be simply written by $D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right), D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right), D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)$, $D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right), D I^{P}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ and $D I^{N}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$, respectively).
Theorem 4. Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ be two bipolar fuzzy graphs with $\left|V_{1}\right|=n_{1}$ and $\left|V_{2}\right|=n_{2}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D I^{P}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \leq 1 \\
& -\frac{n_{2}\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)}{\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2}}, \\
D & I^{N}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \leq-1 \\
+ & \frac{n_{2}\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)}{\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4. We only present the detailed proof of the negative part.

By the definition of negative degree of independence, we have

$$
D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)=-1+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V_{1}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n_{1}}{2}}
$$

and

$$
D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)=-1+\frac{\sum_{v, v^{\prime} \in V_{2}} I^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)}{\binom{n_{2}}{2}} .
$$

We discuss the two classes of edges $E^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$ in $G_{1} \times G_{2}$, respectively.

- For any $\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{\iota_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1} \times A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{1} \times A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}} \\
= & \frac{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}}{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}} \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}}{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right)}, \\
\operatorname{if~}{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right)}_{\iota_{\iota_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)}^{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}}, \\
\operatorname{if~} \max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \neq \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
>I_{G_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
\text { if } \max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right) \\
=I_{G_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
\text { if } \max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \neq \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\geq \quad I_{G_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}} I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\geq & n_{1} I_{G_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For any $\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right) \in E^{\prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\iota_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right)}{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1} \times A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), \iota_{A_{1} \times A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right\}} \\
& =\frac{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}}{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}}{\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)}, \\
\text { if }{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}=\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)}^{\frac{\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}},} \\
\text { if } \max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\} \neq \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
>I_{G_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
\text { if } \max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}=\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right) \\
=I_{G_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
\text { if } \max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\} \neq \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \geq I_{G_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}} I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right) \\
\geq & n_{2} I_{G_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ only has two kinds of edges $E^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$, we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}} I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}} I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}} I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}\right)\right) \\
\geq & n_{1} I_{G_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime}\right)+n_{2} I_{G_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & n_{2}\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D I^{N}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -1+\frac{\sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}} I_{G_{1} \times G_{2}}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2}} \\
\leq & -1+\frac{n_{2}\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)}{\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We finish the proof of Theorem 4.
Now, we define the normal product of bipolar fuzzy graphs.
Definition 2. Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ be two bipolar fuzzy graphs. The normal product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is denoted by $G_{1} \circ G_{2}=\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}, A, B\right)$ such that
(i) The vertex set of $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ is denoted by $V_{1} \times V_{2}=$ $\left\{\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \mid v_{1} \in V_{1}, v_{2} \in V_{2}\right\}$.
(ii) Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the edge set of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. $\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right) \times\left(V_{1} \times V_{2}\right)$ is an edge in $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ if one of the following conditions meets:

- $v_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime}$ and $v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2}$;
- $v_{2}=v_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{1} \in E_{1}$;
- $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E_{1}$ and $v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2}$.
(iii) For any $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in V_{1} \times V_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{A}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) & =\min \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
\iota_{A}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) & =\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) For any $\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{B}^{P}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
\text { if } v_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2} \\
\min \left\{\iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
\text { if } v_{2}=v_{2}^{\prime} \text { and } v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E_{1} \\
\min \left\{\iota_{B_{y}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
\text { if } v_{1} v_{1} \in E_{1} \text { and } v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\iota_{B}^{N}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\max \left\{\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
\operatorname{if~} v_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } v_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2} \\
\max \left\{\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right), \iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
\text { if } v_{2}=v_{2}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E_{1} \\
\max \left\{\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right), \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
\text { if } \quad v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{2} v_{2}^{\prime} \in E_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, A_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$ be two bipolar fuzzy graphs with $\left|V_{1}\right|=n_{1},\left|V_{2}\right|=n_{2}$, $\left|E_{1}\right|=m_{1}$ and $\left|E_{2}\right|=m_{2}$. Then, we have
(1) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{P}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & 1-\left\{\left(n_{2}+2 m_{2}\right)\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(2) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{P}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & 1-\left\{\left(n_{1}+2 m_{1}\right)\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{1}\binom{n}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(3) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{P}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & 1-\left\{\left(n_{1}+2 m_{1}\right)\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{1}\binom{n}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(4) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \iota_{B_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{1}}^{P}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}\right) \wedge \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{P}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & 1-\left\{\left(n_{2}+2 m_{2}\right)\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{P}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(5) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{N}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -1+\left\{\left(n_{2}+2 m_{2}\right)\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(1-D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(6) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{N}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -1+\left\{\left(n_{1}+2 m_{1}\right)\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{2}\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(7) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{1}^{\prime}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{N}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -1+\left\{\left(n_{1}+2 m_{1}\right)\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(1-D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{2}\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

(8) If $\iota_{B_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq \iota_{B_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{1}}^{N}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\iota_{A_{2}}^{N}\left(v_{2}\right) \vee \iota_{A_{2}}^{P}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& D I^{N}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) \\
\leq & -1+\left\{\left(n_{2}+2 m_{2}\right)\binom{n_{1}}{2}\left(1-D I^{N}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+n_{1}\binom{n_{2}}{2}\left(-1+D I^{N}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)\right\} \backslash\binom{n_{1} n_{2}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

We skip the detailed proof of Theorem 5 which can be done using the same tricks and discussions as the proof of Theorem 4.
C. Algorithms to search the maximum positive independent graph and the minimum negative independent graph
For a given bipolar fuzzy graph $G=(V, A, B)$, we say a fuzzy subgraph $H=\left(V^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$ is a maximal positive independent subgraph with a given positive degree of independence (denoted by $D I_{c}^{P}$ ) if there is no bipolar fuzzy subgraph $H^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $V^{\prime} \subseteq V^{\prime \prime}$, which makes the positive degree of independence of $H^{\prime}$ larger or
equal to $D I_{c}^{P}$. Similarly, we have the following definition for the negative side. W say a fuzzy subgraph $H=\left(V^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$ is a minimal negative independent subgraph with given negative degree of independence (denoted by $D I_{c}^{N}$ ) if there is no bipolar fuzzy subgraph $H^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $V^{\prime} \subseteq V^{\prime \prime}$, which makes the negative degree of independence of $\bar{H}^{\prime}$ smaller or equal to $D I_{c}^{N}$.

We have the algorithms to search the maximum positive independent graph with detailed positive degree of independence and minimal negative independent graph with specific negative degree of independence, respectively. Here, we only present the second algorithm to search the minimal negative independent graph with given $D I_{c}^{N}$.
Algorithm A: Find the minimal negative independent graph Input: A bipolar fuzzy graph $G=(V, A, B)$ with fixed negative degree of independence $D I_{c}^{N}$.
A1: Compute the $I_{G}^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$ for each edge $v v^{\prime}$ in bipolar fuzzy graph $G$.
A2: Consider $\Theta_{v}$ as the sum of negative independent strengths of edges incident to a vertex $v \in V$. In the initialization, $\Theta_{v}=0$ for all vertices $v$ in $V$. Set $\Upsilon$ as the sum of the negative independent strengths of all edges in bipolar fuzzy graph $G$. Calculate $\Upsilon=\sum_{v, v^{\prime}} \iota^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)$.
A3: Compute $D I_{n}^{N}=-1+\frac{\Upsilon}{\binom{n}{2}}$.
A4: If $D I_{n}^{N} \geq D I_{c}^{N}$, then the given bipolar fuzzy graph is the desired minimal negative fuzzy independent subgraph. On the contrary, we go to the step A5.
A5: Find $\Theta_{v}$ for every vertex $v$ in $G$ :
For each vertex $v$ in bipolar fuzzy graph $G$
For each vertex $v^{\prime}$ in bipolar fuzzy graph $G$

$$
\Theta_{v}=\Theta_{v}+I_{G}^{N}\left(v v^{\prime}\right)
$$

End For
End For
A6: Find

$$
\Omega=\min _{v \in V}\left\{\Theta_{v}\right\}
$$

and we mark

$$
v_{\text {min }}=\operatorname{argmin}_{v \in V}\left\{\Theta_{v}\right\} .
$$

A7: Remove $v_{\min }$ from the vertex set and re-set $V=V-$ $v_{\text {min }}$. Moreover, the $\Theta_{v}$ for every vertex $v$ is updated in the following procedure.

For each vertex $v$ in bipolar fuzzy graph $G$.

$$
\Theta_{v}=\Theta_{v}-\iota^{N}\left(v, v_{\min }\right)
$$

## End For

A8: Update $\Upsilon=\Upsilon-\Omega$ and $n=n-1$.
A9: Find $D I_{n}^{N}=-1+\frac{\Upsilon}{\binom{n}{2}}$.
A10: Judge whether the minimal negative independent subgraph is achieved or not in terms of the following programme.

If $D I_{n}^{N} \geq D I_{c}^{N}$, then
The desired minimal negative independent subgraph is achieved which is induced by the current vertex set $V$.

Else
Go to step A6
End For
Output: The minimal negative independent graph with given $D I_{c}^{N}$.


Fig. 3. The structure of cycloalkane $C_{n}^{2}$.


Fig. 4. The structure of Benzene $H_{k}$.

## IV. APPLICATION IN CHEMICAL COMPOUND

In this section, we apply the concept of bipolar independence to bipolar fuzzy graphs of chemical molecules. We consider the following chemical structures: cycloalkane $C_{n}^{2}$ (see Figure 3), Benzene $H_{k}$ (see Figure 4) and Onesided polyamine chain $C(m, n)$ (see Figure 5). The bipolar membership function of the vertices is described according to the types and rotation characteristics of the atoms, and the bipolar membership functions of the edges in the chemical bipolar molecular graph are described according to the types of chemical bonds and the properties of the atoms at both ends of the chemical bonds.

Let $n=20$ in $C_{n}^{2}, k=6$ in $H_{k}$ and $(m, n)=$ $(5,6)$ in $C(m, n)$. We determined that $D I^{P}\left(C_{n}^{2}\right)=0.8$, $D I^{N}\left(C_{n}^{2}\right)=-0.4, D I^{P}\left(H_{k}\right)=0.5, D I^{N}\left(H_{k}\right)=-0.6$, $D I^{P}(C(m, n))=0.2$ and $D I^{N}(C(m, n))=-0.9$. It implies that $D I^{P}\left(C_{n}^{2}\right)>D I^{P}\left(H_{k}\right)>D I^{P}\left(H_{k}\right)$ and $D I^{N}\left(C_{n}^{2}\right)<D I^{N}\left(H_{k}\right)<D I^{N}\left(H_{k}\right)$.


Fig. 5. The structure of one-sided polyamine chain $C(m, n)$.

## V. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce the degree of independence in bipolar fuzzy graph setting, and several characteristics are determined from a theoretical point of view, including the two classes of products of bipolar fuzzy graphs. The results obtained in this paper have guiding significance for the analysis of network structure and chemical molecular structure with uncertainty. The following content can be used as the subject of the ongoing research.

- The independent set in the network reflects the sparseness and connectivity of the network, especially the device-based network. Therefore, the user will be disconnected at special moments (for example, shutting down at night, no signal in the basement or virgin forest), and the connection status of each node in the network is uncertain. Under this condition, the fuzzy graph can be used to model the device-based network, analyze its independence, and obtain the relevant information (up and down link capacity, throughput, bandwidth, frequency, etc.) of the corresponding network.
- There are certain uncertainties in the structure of chemical molecules, such as the spin angle of atoms, the characteristics of chemical bond splitting and recombination, etc. We can define the membership function of atoms and chemical bonds, so as to convert molecular graphs into fuzzy molecular graphs, and analyze it from the perspective of fuzzy mathematics.
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