

# The Congruence Extension Property of Quasi-MV\* Algebras

Heyan Wang, Lei Cai, and Wenjuan Chen

**Abstract**—Quasi-MV\* algebras, introduced as a generalization of MV\*-algebras and quasi-MV algebras, provide a general algebraic framework in the setting of many-valued logic and quantum computational logic. In this paper, we study the congruence extension property of quasi-MV\* algebras. First, we present the subdirect product decomposition of any quasi-MV\* algebra. Next, we prove that any MV\*-algebra has the congruence extension property. Finally, we extend this property to quasi-MV\* algebras.

**Index Terms**—Congruences, Congruence extension property, Ideals, MV\*-algebras, Quasi-MV\* algebras.

## I. INTRODUCTION

NON-CLASSICAL mathematical logic, as the foundation of intelligent science, has received increasing attentions in recent years. It is well-known that the algebraic structures play a crucial role in the study of non-classical mathematical logic [3], [10], [13], [14], [15], [16], [18]. For example, in order to prove the completeness of Łukasiewicz's many-valued logic, Chang introduced MV-algebras in 1958 [3]. Since then, the algebraic structures of MV-algebras have been widely investigated. For another example, in order to characterize quantum computational logic, Ledda et al. introduced quasi-MV algebras in 2006 [10]. The study of the algebraic structures of quasi-MV algebras has played a positive role in quantum computational logic [1], [5], [8], [9], [17]. In 1965, to further characterize the structure of the real closed interval  $[-1, 1]$  equipped with truncated addition  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = \max\{-1, \min\{1, \varrho + \varsigma\}\}$  and negation  $-\varrho$ , Chang introduced MV\*-algebras in [4], paralleling similar work done for MV-algebras. Moreover, the logic associated with MV\*-algebras was also investigated in [4], [12]. Recently, Jiang and Chen proposed quasi-MV\* algebras in [7] as a unified framework for further research on quasi-MV algebras and MV\*-algebras. The logic associated with quasi-MV\* algebras has been preliminarily studied in [2]. To obtain additional characterizations of this logical system, we want to study more algebraic properties of quasi-MV\* algebras.

The congruence extension property (CEP), an important property of varieties, characterizes whether a congruence on a subalgebra can be extended to the entire algebra. In 2005, Gispert and Mundici proved that the variety of MV-algebras

satisfies CEP [6]. Subsequently, Paoli et al. generalized this result to quasi-MV algebras using the subdirect product decomposition of a quasi-MV algebra. Now, it is natural to ask whether quasi-MV\* algebras, as a generalization of MV\*-algebras and quasi-MV algebras, have CEP. We will give a positive answer in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and results of MV\*-algebras and quasi-MV\* algebras. In Section 3, we present the subdirect product decomposition of a quasi-MV\* algebra. Based on this decomposition, we establish the CEP for the variety of quasi-MV\* algebras. Finally, a conclusion is given.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and results of MV\*-algebras and quasi-MV\* algebras which will be used in what follows.

**Definition 1:** [4] Let  $\Sigma = \langle \Sigma; \oplus, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  be an algebra of type  $(2, 1, 0, 0)$ . If the following conditions are satisfied for any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \kappa \in \Sigma$ ,

- (MV\*1)  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = \varsigma \oplus \varrho$ ,
- (MV\*2)  $(1 \oplus \varrho) \oplus (\varsigma \oplus (1 \oplus \kappa)) = ((1 \oplus \varrho) \oplus \varsigma) \oplus (1 \oplus \kappa)$ ,
- (MV\*3)  $\varrho \oplus (-\varrho) = 0$ ,
- (MV\*4)  $(\varrho \oplus 1) \oplus 1 = 1$ ,
- (MV\*5)  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho$ ,
- (MV\*6)  $-(\varrho \oplus \varsigma) = (-\varrho) \oplus (-\varsigma)$ ,
- (MV\*7)  $-(-\varrho) = \varrho$ ,
- (MV\*8)  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = (\varrho^+ \oplus \varsigma^+) \oplus (\varrho^- \oplus \varsigma^-)$ ,
- (MV\*9)  $(-\varrho \oplus (\varrho \oplus \varsigma))^+ = -(\varrho^+) \oplus (\varrho^+ \oplus \varsigma^+)$ ,
- (MV\*10)  $\varrho \vee \varsigma = \varsigma \vee \varrho$ ,
- (MV\*11)  $\varrho \vee (\varsigma \vee \kappa) = (\varrho \vee \varsigma) \vee \kappa$ ,
- (MV\*12)  $\varrho \oplus (\varsigma \vee \kappa) = (\varrho \oplus \varsigma) \vee (\varrho \oplus \kappa)$ ,

in which ones define  $\varrho^+ = 1 \oplus (-1 \oplus \varrho)$ ,  $\varrho^- = -1 \oplus (1 \oplus \varrho)$ , and  $\varrho \vee \varsigma = (\varrho^+ \oplus (-\varrho^+ \oplus \varsigma^+))^+ \oplus (\varrho^- \oplus (-\varrho^- \oplus \varsigma^-))^+$ , then  $\Sigma = \langle \Sigma; \oplus, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is called an MV\*-algebra.

**Example 1:** Let  $\Sigma = \{\varrho, \varsigma, 0, \vartheta, 1\}$  be a 5-element set and define operations on  $\Sigma$  as follows:

$\oplus$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0
$\varsigma$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$
0	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1
$\vartheta$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1	1
1	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1

$-$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1
$\varsigma$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1
0	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1
$\vartheta$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	1	1
1	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1

Then  $\Sigma = \langle \Sigma; \oplus, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is an MV\*-algebra.

The variety of MV\*-algebras is denoted by  $\text{MV}^*$ . In the following, we abbreviate an MV\*-algebra  $\Sigma = \langle \Sigma; \oplus, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  as  $\Sigma$ . Below we list some properties of ideals of any MV\*-algebra.

Let  $\Sigma$  be an MV\*-algebra. The operation  $\ominus$  is defined by  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma = \varrho \oplus (-\varsigma)$  for any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Sigma$  in [4].

Manuscript received March 19, 2025; revised July 16, 2025.

Heyan Wang is a postgraduate student of the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Jinan, Shandong, 250022 China (e-mail: wangheyang@stu.ujn.edu.cn).

Lei Cai is a postgraduate student of the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Jinan, Shandong, 250022 China (e-mail: cailei@stu.ujn.edu.cn).

Wenjuan Chen is a professor of the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Jinan, Shandong, 250022 China (corresponding author to provide e-mail: wjchenmath@gmail.com).

**Definition 2:** [11] Let  $\Sigma$  be an MV\*-algebra. A non-empty subset  $\Phi$  of  $\Sigma$  is called an *ideal* of  $\Sigma$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- ( $\Phi$ 1) If  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Phi$ , then  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma \in \Phi$ ,
- ( $\Phi$ 2) If  $\varrho \in \Phi$ , then  $\varrho^+ \in \Phi$ ,
- ( $\Phi$ 3) If  $\varrho, \kappa \in \Phi$  and  $\varsigma \in \Sigma$  with  $\varrho \leq \varsigma \leq \kappa$ , then  $\varsigma \in \Phi$ .

**Proposition 1:** [11] Let  $\Sigma$  be an MV\*-algebra and  $\Phi$  be an ideal of  $\Sigma$ . Then for any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \kappa, \varepsilon, \vartheta \in \Sigma$ , we have

- (1)  $0 \in \Phi$ ,
- (2) If  $\varrho \in \Phi$ , then  $-\varrho \in \Phi$ ,
- (3) If  $\varrho \in \Phi$ , then  $\varrho^- \in \Phi$ ,
- (4) If  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Phi$ , then  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma \in \Phi$ ,
- (5) If  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma \in \Phi$  and  $\varsigma \in \Phi$ , then  $\varrho \in \Phi$ ,
- (6) If  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma \in \Phi$  and  $\kappa \in \Phi$ , then  $(\varrho \oplus \kappa) \ominus (\varsigma \oplus \kappa) \in \Phi$ ,
- (7) If  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma \in \Phi$  and  $\varsigma \ominus \kappa \in \Phi$ , then  $\varrho \ominus \kappa \in \Phi$ ,
- (8) If  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma \in \Phi$  and  $\varepsilon \ominus \vartheta \in \Phi$ , then  $(\varrho \oplus \varepsilon) \ominus (\varsigma \oplus \vartheta) \in \Phi$ .

**Theorem 1:** [11] Let  $\Sigma$  be an MV\*-algebra. Then the lattice of congruences on  $\Sigma$  and the lattice of ideals of  $\Sigma$  are isomorphic.

Now, we present the definition and related properties of a quasi-MV\* algebra.

**Definition 3:** [7] Let  $\Lambda = \langle \Lambda; \oplus, -, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  be an algebra of type  $(2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ . If the following conditions are satisfied for any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \kappa \in \Lambda$ ,

- (QMV\*1)  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = \varsigma \oplus \varrho$ ,
- (QMV\*2)  $(1 \oplus \varrho) \oplus (\varsigma \oplus (1 \oplus \kappa)) = ((1 \oplus \varrho) \oplus \varsigma) \oplus (1 \oplus \kappa)$ ,
- (QMV\*3)  $(\varrho \oplus 1) \oplus 1 = 1$ ,
- (QMV\*4)  $(\varrho \oplus \varsigma) \oplus 0 = \varrho \oplus \varsigma$ ,
- (QMV\*5)  $0 = -0$ ,
- (QMV\*6)  $\varrho \oplus (-\varrho) = 0$ ,
- (QMV\*7)  $-(\varrho \oplus \varsigma) = -\varrho \oplus (-\varsigma)$ ,
- (QMV\*8)  $-(-\varrho) = \varrho$ ,
- (QMV\*9)  $\varrho^+ \oplus 0 = (\varrho \oplus 0)^+ = 1 \oplus (-1 \oplus \varrho)$  and  $\varrho^- = -1 \oplus (1 \oplus \varrho)$ ,
- (QMV\*10)  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = (\varrho^+ \oplus \varsigma^+) \oplus (\varrho^- \oplus \varsigma^-)$ ,
- (QMV\*11)  $(-\varrho \oplus (\varrho \oplus \varsigma))^+ = (-\varrho^+) \oplus (\varrho^+ \oplus \varsigma^+)$ ,
- (QMV\*12)  $\varrho \vee \varsigma = \varsigma \vee \varrho$ ,
- (QMV\*13)  $\varrho \vee (\varsigma \vee \kappa) = (\varrho \vee \varsigma) \vee \kappa$ ,
- (QMV\*14)  $\varrho \oplus (\varsigma \vee \kappa) = (\varrho \oplus \varsigma) \vee (\varrho \oplus \kappa)$ ,

in which ones define  $\varrho \vee \varsigma = (\varrho^+ \oplus (-\varrho^+ \oplus \varsigma^+))^+ \oplus (\varrho^- \oplus (-\varrho^- \oplus \varsigma^-))^+$ , then  $\Lambda = \langle \Lambda; \oplus, -, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is called a *quasi-MV\* algebra*.

**Example 2:** Let  $\Lambda = \{\varrho, \varsigma, \kappa, 0, \varepsilon, \vartheta, 1\}$  be a 7-element set and define operations on  $\Lambda$  as follows:

$\oplus$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\kappa$	0	$\varepsilon$	$\vartheta$	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	0
$\varsigma$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	0	$\vartheta$
$\kappa$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	0	$\vartheta$
0	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	$\vartheta$	1
$\varepsilon$	$\varsigma$	0	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1
$\vartheta$	$\varsigma$	0	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1
1	0	$\vartheta$	$\vartheta$	1	1	1	1
	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\kappa$	0	$\varepsilon$	$\vartheta$	1
-	1	$\vartheta$	$\varepsilon$	0	$\kappa$	$\varsigma$	$\varrho$
+	0	0	0	0	$\varepsilon$	$\vartheta$	1
-	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\kappa$	0	0	0	0

Then  $\Lambda = \langle \Lambda; \oplus, -, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a quasi-MV\* algebra.

**Example 3:** Let  $\Lambda' = \{\varrho, \varsigma, \kappa, \varpi, 0, \varsigma, \varepsilon, \vartheta, 1\}$  be a 9-element set and define operations on  $\Lambda'$  as follows:

$\oplus$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\kappa$	$\varpi$	0	$\varsigma$	$\varepsilon$	$\vartheta$	1
$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	0
$\varsigma$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	0	0	$\vartheta$
$\kappa$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	0	0	$\vartheta$
$\varpi$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	0	0	0	$\vartheta$
0	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	0	$\vartheta$	$\vartheta$	$\vartheta$	1
$\varsigma$	$\varsigma$	0	0	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1	1
$\varepsilon$	$\varsigma$	0	0	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1	1
$\vartheta$	$\varsigma$	0	0	0	$\vartheta$	1	1	1	1
1	0	$\vartheta$	$\vartheta$	$\vartheta$	1	1	1	1	1
	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\kappa$	$\varpi$	0	$\varsigma$	$\varepsilon$	$\vartheta$	1
-	1	$\vartheta$	$\varepsilon$	$\varsigma$	0	$\varpi$	$\kappa$	$\varsigma$	$\varrho$
+	0	0	0	0	0	$\varsigma$	$\varepsilon$	$\vartheta$	1
-	$\varrho$	$\varsigma$	$\kappa$	$\varpi$	0	0	0	0	0

Then  $\Lambda' = \langle \Lambda'; \oplus, -, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a quasi-MV\* algebra.

The variety of quasi-MV\* algebras is denoted by  $\mathbf{QMV}^*$ . In the following, we abbreviate a quasi-MV\* algebra  $\Lambda = \langle \Lambda; \oplus, -, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  as  $\Lambda$ .

Obviously, any MV\*-algebra is a quasi-MV\* algebra. Conversely, for any quasi-MV\* algebra  $\Lambda$ , if  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho$  for any  $\varrho \in \Lambda$ , then it is an MV\*-algebra. Moreover, let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\varrho \in \Lambda$ . If  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho$ , then  $\varrho$  is called *regular*. We denote that  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$  is the set of all regular elements in  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\mathbf{R}_\Lambda = \langle \mathcal{R}(\Lambda); \oplus, -, +, -, 0, 1 \rangle$  is an MV\*-algebra, where the operations  $\oplus, -, +$ , and  $-$  are those of  $\Lambda$  restricted to  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ .

In any quasi-MV\* algebra  $\Lambda$ , we consider that the operations  $+$  and  $-$  (which have the same priority) have priority to operations  $\oplus$  and  $-$ , the operation  $-$  has priority to the operation  $\oplus$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. For any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ , we define an operation  $\varrho \wedge \varsigma = -((-\varrho) \vee (-\varsigma))$ . We can also define a binary relation  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$  iff  $\varrho \vee \varsigma = \varsigma \oplus 0$ . Then the following results hold.

**Proposition 2:** [7] Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then for any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \kappa, \varepsilon, \vartheta \in \Lambda$ , we have

- (1)  $0 \oplus 0 = 0$ ,  $1 \oplus 0 = 1$ ,  $-1 \oplus 0 = -1$ ,  $1 \oplus 1 = 1$ ,  $-1 \oplus (-1) = -1$ ,
- (2)  $-(\varrho \oplus 0) = -\varrho \oplus 0$ ,
- (3)  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = (\varrho \oplus 0) \oplus \varsigma = \varrho \oplus (\varsigma \oplus 0) = (\varrho \oplus 0) \oplus (\varsigma \oplus 0)$ ,
- (4)  $\varrho \vee \varrho = \varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho \wedge \varrho$ ,
- (5)  $\varrho \wedge (\varsigma \wedge \kappa) = (\varrho \wedge \varsigma) \wedge \kappa$ ,
- (6)  $\varrho \oplus (\varsigma \wedge \kappa) = (\varrho \oplus \varsigma) \wedge (\varrho \oplus \kappa)$ ,
- (7) If  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$ , then  $-\varsigma \leq -\varrho$ ,
- (8) If  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$ , then  $\varrho^+ \leq \varsigma^+$  and  $\varrho^- \leq \varsigma^-$ ,
- (9) If  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$  and  $\varsigma \leq \varrho$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$ ,
- (10) If  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \vartheta$ , then  $\varrho \oplus \varepsilon \leq \varsigma \oplus \vartheta$ ,
- (11) If  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \vartheta$ , then  $\varrho \wedge \varepsilon \leq \varsigma \wedge \vartheta$ ,
- (12) If  $\varrho \leq \varsigma$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \vartheta$ , then  $\varrho \vee \varepsilon \leq \varsigma \vee \vartheta$ .

### III. CONGRUENCE EXTENSION PROPERTY

In this section, we investigate the congruence extension properties of  $\mathbf{QMV}^*$  mainly. To achieve it, we first discuss the subdirect product decomposition of a quasi-MV\* algebra.

**Definition 4:** [2] Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is called *flat*, if it satisfies the equation  $0 = 1$ .

**Remark 1:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a flat quasi-MV\* algebra. Then for any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\varrho \oplus \varsigma = ((\varrho \oplus \varsigma) \oplus 0) \oplus 0 = ((\varrho \oplus \varsigma) \oplus 1) \oplus 1 = 0$  by (QMV\*4) and (QMV\*3).

**Example 4:** Let  $\Lambda_1 = \{\kappa, 0, \varepsilon\}$  be a 3-element set and define operations on  $\Lambda_1$  as follows:

$\oplus$	$\kappa$	$0$	$\varepsilon$		$\kappa$	$0$	$\varepsilon$
$\kappa$	0	0	0	-	$\varepsilon$	0	$\kappa$
$0$	0	0	0	+	0	0	$\varepsilon$
$\varepsilon$	0	0	0	-	$\kappa$	0	0

, and  $1 = 0$ .

Then  $\Lambda_1 = \langle \Lambda_1; \oplus, -, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a flat quasi-MV\* algebra.

**Example 5:** Let  $\Lambda_2 = \{\kappa, \varpi, 0, \varsigma, \varepsilon\}$  be a 5-element set and define operations on  $\Lambda_2$  as follows:

$\oplus$	$\kappa$	$\varpi$	$0$	$\varsigma$	$\varepsilon$		$\kappa$	$\varpi$	$0$	$\varsigma$	$\varepsilon$
$\kappa$	0	0	0	0	0	-	$\varepsilon$	$\varsigma$	0	$\varpi$	$\kappa$
$\varpi$	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	$\varsigma$	$\varepsilon$
$0$	0	0	0	0	0	-	$\kappa$	$\varpi$	0	0	0
$\varsigma$	0	0	0	0	0						
$\varepsilon$	0	0	0	0	0						

and  $1 = 0$ .

Then  $\Lambda_2 = \langle \Lambda_2; \oplus, -, +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a flat quasi-MV\* algebra.

The variety of flat quasi-MV\* algebras is denoted by  $\mathbf{FQMV}^*$ .

**Definition 5:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. For any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ , we define a binary relation

$$\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \text{ iff } \varrho \leq \varsigma \text{ and } \varsigma \leq \varrho.$$

**Remark 2:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$  iff  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$  by Proposition 2(9).

**Lemma 1:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof:* For any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \varepsilon \in \Lambda$ , since  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho \oplus 0$ , we have  $\langle \varrho, \varrho \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$ , it turns out that  $\varsigma \oplus 0 = \varrho \oplus 0$  and then  $\langle \varsigma, \varrho \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\langle \varsigma, \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$  and  $\varsigma \oplus 0 = \varepsilon \oplus 0$ , it follows that  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varepsilon \oplus 0$  and then  $\langle \varrho, \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , so  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\Lambda$ . Now, we prove that  $\mathfrak{R}$  satisfies the compatibility property. For any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \varepsilon, \vartheta \in \Lambda$ , if  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\langle \varepsilon, \vartheta \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$  and  $\varepsilon \oplus 0 = \vartheta \oplus 0$ . By Proposition 2(3), we have  $(\varrho \oplus \varepsilon) \oplus 0 = (\varrho \oplus 0) \oplus (\varepsilon \oplus 0) = (\varsigma \oplus 0) \oplus (\vartheta \oplus 0) = (\varsigma \oplus \vartheta) \oplus 0$ , so  $\langle \varrho \oplus \varepsilon, \varsigma \oplus \vartheta \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$ , it turns out that  $-\varrho \oplus 0 = -(\varrho \oplus 0) = -(\varsigma \oplus 0) = -\varsigma \oplus 0$  by Proposition 2(2), so  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Moreover,  $\varrho^+ \oplus 0 = 1 \oplus (-1 \oplus \varrho) = 1 \oplus (-1 \oplus (\varrho \oplus 0)) = 1 \oplus (-1 \oplus (\varsigma \oplus 0)) = 1 \oplus (-1 \oplus \varsigma) = \varsigma^+ \oplus 0$  and  $\varrho^- \oplus 0 = -1 \oplus (1 \oplus \varrho) = -1 \oplus (1 \oplus (\varrho \oplus 0)) = -1 \oplus (1 \oplus (\varsigma \oplus 0)) = -1 \oplus (1 \oplus \varsigma) = \varsigma^- \oplus 0$  by Proposition 2(3), so  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ . ■

Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a congruence on  $\Lambda$ . For any  $\varrho \in \Lambda$ , the equivalence class of  $\varrho$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{N}$  is denoted by  $\varrho/\mathfrak{N} = \{\varsigma \in \Lambda \mid \langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{N}\}$ . The set of all equivalence classes of elements in  $\Lambda$  is denoted by  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}$ . For any  $\varrho/\mathfrak{N}, \varsigma/\mathfrak{N} \in \Lambda/\mathfrak{N}$ , the operations on  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}$  are defined as follows:  $(\varrho/\mathfrak{N}) \oplus^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}} (\varsigma/\mathfrak{N}) = (\varrho \oplus \varsigma)/\mathfrak{N}$ ,  $-^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}}(\varrho/\mathfrak{N}) = (-\varrho)/\mathfrak{N}$ ,  $(\varrho/\mathfrak{N})^{+\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}} = (\varrho^+)/\mathfrak{N}$ , and  $(\varrho/\mathfrak{N})^{-\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}} = (\varrho^-)/\mathfrak{N}$ . Then  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{N} = \langle \Lambda/\mathfrak{N}; \oplus^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}}, -^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}}, +^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}}, \cdot^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}}, 0^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}}, 1^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}} \rangle$  is a quasi-MV\* algebra and we call that  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{N}$  is the quotient algebra of  $\Lambda$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Furthermore, we discuss the quotient algebra of a quasi-MV\* algebra with respect to  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Lemma 2:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{R}$  is an MV\*-algebra.

*Proof:* We only need to prove that any element in  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{R}$  is regular. For any  $\varrho/\mathfrak{R} \in \Lambda/\mathfrak{R}$ , since  $(\varrho \oplus 0) \oplus 0 = \varrho \oplus 0$  by (QMV\*4), we have  $\langle \varrho \oplus 0, \varrho \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , it turns out that  $(\varrho \oplus 0)/\mathfrak{R} = \varrho/\mathfrak{R}$ , so  $(\varrho/\mathfrak{R}) \oplus^{\Lambda/\mathfrak{R}} (0/\mathfrak{R}) = (\varrho \oplus 0)/\mathfrak{R} = \varrho/\mathfrak{R}$ . Hence  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{R}$  is an MV\*-algebra. ■

Likewise, we introduce a congruence which is called the *flat congruence* on any quasi-MV\* algebra.

**Definition 6:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. For any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ , we define a binary relation

$$\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ iff } \varrho = \varsigma \text{ or } \varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda).$$

**Lemma 3:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof:* It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\Lambda$ . Now, we prove that  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies the compatibility property. For any  $\varrho, \varsigma, \varepsilon, \vartheta \in \Lambda$ , if  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\langle \varepsilon, \vartheta \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\varrho = \varsigma$  or  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , and  $\varepsilon = \vartheta$  or  $\varepsilon, \vartheta \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ . Since  $\varrho \oplus \varepsilon$  and  $\varsigma \oplus \vartheta \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , we have  $\langle \varrho \oplus \varepsilon, \varsigma \oplus \vartheta \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\varrho = \varsigma$  or  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ . We distinguish several cases to discuss. If  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , then  $-\varrho = -\varsigma$ , so  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho$  and  $\varsigma \oplus 0 = \varsigma$ . Since  $-\varrho \oplus 0 = -(\varrho \oplus 0) = -\varrho$  and  $-\varsigma \oplus 0 = -(\varsigma \oplus 0) = -\varsigma$  by Proposition 2(2), we have  $-\varrho, -\varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , so  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Moreover, if  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , then  $\varrho^+ = \varsigma^+$  and  $\varrho^- = \varsigma^-$ , so  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , then  $\varrho^+ \oplus 0 = (\varrho \oplus 0)^+ = \varrho^+$  and  $\varsigma^+ \oplus 0 = (\varsigma \oplus 0)^+ = \varsigma^+$  by (QMV\*9), it turns out that  $\varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , so  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Similarly, we have  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ . ■

**Lemma 4:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{F}$  is a flat quasi-MV\* algebra.

*Proof:* Since  $0, 1 \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , we have  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ , it turns out that  $0/\mathfrak{F} = 1/\mathfrak{F}$ , so  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{F}$  is a flat quasi-MV\* algebra. ■

**Lemma 5:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then

(1)  $\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{F} = \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal relation,

(2)  $\mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F} = \nabla$ , where  $\mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$  is the smallest congruence which contains  $\mathfrak{R} \cup \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\nabla$  is the all relation.

*Proof:* (1) For any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Delta$ , then  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , so  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ . Conversely, for any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we have  $\varrho = \varsigma$  or  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ . If  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Delta$ . If  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varrho$  and  $\varsigma \oplus 0 = \varsigma$ . Since  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we have  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$ , it follows that  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , so  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Delta$  and then  $\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \Delta$ . Hence, we have  $\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{F} = \Delta$ .

(2) It is clear that  $\mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \nabla$ . Now, we prove that  $\nabla \subseteq \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ . For any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ , if  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$  or  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then we have  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \notin \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \notin \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\varrho \oplus 0 \neq \varsigma \oplus 0$ ,  $\varrho \neq \varsigma$ , and  $\varrho, \varsigma \notin \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , it turns out that there exist  $\varrho \oplus 0, \varsigma \oplus 0 \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$  such that  $\varrho \oplus 0 = (\varrho \oplus 0) \oplus 0$  and  $\varsigma \oplus 0 = (\varsigma \oplus 0) \oplus 0$ , it means that  $\langle \varrho, \varrho \oplus 0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\langle \varsigma, \varsigma \oplus 0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\langle \varrho \oplus 0, \varsigma \oplus 0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and then  $\langle \varrho, \varrho \oplus 0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\langle \varsigma, \varsigma \oplus 0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\langle \varrho \oplus 0, \varsigma \oplus 0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ , it turns out that  $\nabla \subseteq \mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R} \vee \mathfrak{F} = \nabla$ . ■

Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is called *simple*, if the set of all congruences on  $\Lambda$  is  $\{\Delta, \nabla\}$ .

**Lemma 6:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a simple quasi-MV\* algebra. Then either  $\Lambda$  is an MV\*-algebra or  $\Lambda$  is a flat quasi-MV\* algebra.

*Proof:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a simple quasi-MV\* algebra. Then either  $\mathfrak{R} = \Delta$  or  $\mathfrak{R} = \nabla$ . If it is the former, then  $\Lambda$  is an

MV\*-algebra. If it is the latter, then  $\Lambda$  is a flat quasi-MV\* algebra. ■

**Definition 7:** Let  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  be quasi-MV\* algebras. A mapping  $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$  is called a *quasi-MV\* algebra homomorphism* from  $\Lambda_1$  to  $\Lambda_2$ , if  $f(0^{\Lambda_1}) = 0^{\Lambda_2}$ ,  $f(1^{\Lambda_1}) = 1^{\Lambda_2}$ ,  $f(\varrho_1 \uplus \Lambda_1 \varrho_2) = f(\varrho_1) \uplus \Lambda_2 f(\varrho_2)$ ,  $f(-\Lambda_1 \varrho_1) = -\Lambda_2 f(\varrho_1)$ ,  $f(\varrho_1^{+\Lambda_1}) = f(\varrho_1)^{+\Lambda_2}$ ,  $f(\varrho_1^{-\Lambda_1}) = f(\varrho_1)^{-\Lambda_2}$  for any  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \Lambda_1$ . Moreover, if the mapping  $f$  is injective, then  $f$  is called *monomorphism*. Such a mapping  $f$  is also called an *embedding*.

**Proposition 3:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra. Then there exist an MV\*-algebra  $\Sigma$  and a flat quasi-MV\* algebra  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda$  can be embedded into the direct product  $\Sigma \times \Gamma$ .

**Proof:** Denote  $\Sigma = \Lambda/\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Gamma = \Lambda/\mathbb{S}$ . Then we have that  $\Sigma$  is an MV\*-algebra by Lemma 2 and  $\Gamma$  is a flat MV\*-algebra by Lemma 4. Define a mapping  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S}$  by  $f(\varrho) = \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle$  for any  $\varrho \in \Lambda$ . We show that  $f$  is a homomorphism. Obviously, we have  $f(0) = \langle 0/\mathbb{R}, 0/\mathbb{S} \rangle$ ,  $f(1) = \langle 1/\mathbb{R}, 1/\mathbb{S} \rangle$ . For any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ , we have  $f(\varrho) \uplus \Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S} f(\varsigma) = \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle \uplus \Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S} \langle \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle = \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R} \uplus \Lambda/\mathbb{R} \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \uplus \Lambda/\mathbb{S} \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle = \langle (\varrho \uplus \varsigma)/\mathbb{R}, (\varrho \uplus \varsigma)/\mathbb{S} \rangle = f(\varrho \uplus \varsigma)$ . For any  $\varrho \in \Lambda$ , we have  $-\Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S} f(\varrho) = -\Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S} \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle = \langle -\Lambda/\mathbb{R}(\varrho/\mathbb{R}), -\Lambda/\mathbb{S}(\varrho/\mathbb{S}) \rangle = \langle (-\varrho)/\mathbb{R}, (-\varrho)/\mathbb{S} \rangle = f(-\varrho)$ . Moreover, we have  $f(\varrho)^{+\Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S}} = \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle^{+\Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S}} = \langle (\varrho/\mathbb{R})^{+\Lambda/\mathbb{R}}, (\varrho/\mathbb{S})^{+\Lambda/\mathbb{S}} \rangle = \langle \varrho^+/\mathbb{R}, \varrho^+/\mathbb{S} \rangle = f(\varrho^+)$  and  $f(\varrho)^{-\Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S}} = \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle^{-\Lambda/\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda/\mathbb{S}} = \langle (\varrho/\mathbb{R})^{-\Lambda/\mathbb{R}}, (\varrho/\mathbb{S})^{-\Lambda/\mathbb{S}} \rangle = \langle \varrho^-/\mathbb{R}, \varrho^-/\mathbb{S} \rangle = f(\varrho^-)$ . Finally, if  $f(\varrho) = f(\varsigma)$ , then  $\langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle = \langle \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle$ , it turns out that  $\varrho/\mathbb{R} = \varsigma/\mathbb{R}$  and  $\varrho/\mathbb{S} = \varsigma/\mathbb{S}$ , which means that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{S}$ . By Lemma 5(1), we have  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , so  $f$  is injective. Hence  $\Lambda$  can be embedded into the direct product  $\Sigma \times \Gamma$ . ■

**Corollary 1:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a simple quasi-MV\* algebra. Then the embedding in Proposition 3 is an isomorphism.

Based on the subdirect product decomposition of a quasi-MV\* algebra, we can transform the study on the CEP of quasi-MV\* algebras into the study on the CEPs of MV\*-algebras and flat quasi-MV\* algebras, respectively.

**Definition 8:** [17] A variety  $\mathbb{K}$  is called to have the *congruence extension property* (for short, CEP), iff for any  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ , any subalgebra  $\Upsilon$  of  $\Lambda$  and for any congruence  $\mathbb{N}$  on  $\Upsilon$ , there exists a congruence  $\varphi$  on  $\Lambda$  such that  $\mathbb{N} = \varphi \cap \Upsilon^2$ .

According to Theorem 1, we have that  $\text{MV}^*$  has the CEP iff  $\text{MV}^*$  has the ideal extension property, i.e., iff for any  $\Sigma \in \text{MV}^*$ , any subalgebra  $\Upsilon$  of  $\Sigma$  and for any ideal  $\Phi$  of  $\Upsilon$ , there exists an ideal  $\Psi$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Phi = \Psi \cap \Upsilon$ .

**Lemma 7:** Let  $\Sigma$  be an MV\*-algebra,  $\Upsilon$  be a subalgebra of  $\Sigma$ , and  $\Phi$  be an ideal of  $\Upsilon$ . Then  $(\Phi) = \{\varrho \in \Sigma : a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \leq \varrho \leq b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m, \text{ for some } a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \Phi\}$  is an ideal of  $\Sigma$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\Phi \subseteq (\Phi)$ , we have that the set  $(\Phi)$  is non empty. If  $\varrho, \varsigma \in (\Phi)$ , then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_\ell, d_1, \dots, d_j \in \Phi$  such that  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \leq \varrho \leq b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m$  and  $c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell \leq \varsigma \leq d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j$ . By Proposition 1(4) and Proposition 2(7), we have  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n, b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m, c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell, d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j \in \Phi$ , and  $-(d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j) \leq -\varsigma \leq -(c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is an ideal of  $\Upsilon$ , we have  $(a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n) \ominus (d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j) \in \Phi$  and  $(b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m) \ominus (c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell) \in \Phi$  by  $(\Phi 1)$ . Meanwhile, we have  $(a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n) \uplus (-(d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j)) \leq \varrho \uplus (-\varsigma) \leq$

$(b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m) \uplus (-(c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell))$  by Lemma 2(7), it turns out that  $(a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n) \ominus (d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j) \leq \varrho \ominus \varsigma \leq (b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m) \ominus (c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell)$ , so  $\varrho \ominus \varsigma \in (\Phi)$  by  $(\Phi 3)$ . If  $\varrho \in (\Phi)$ , then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \Phi$  such that  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \leq \varrho \leq b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m$ . By Proposition 1(4), we have  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \in \Phi$  and  $b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m \in \Phi$ . Since  $\Phi$  is an ideal of  $\Upsilon$ , we have  $(a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n)^+ \in \Phi$  and  $(b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m)^+ \in \Phi$  by  $(\Phi 2)$ . By Proposition 2(8), we have  $(a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n)^+ \leq \varrho^+ \leq (b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m)^+$ , so  $\varrho^+ \in (\Phi)$  by  $(\Phi 3)$ . If  $\varrho, \kappa \in (\Phi)$  and  $\varsigma \in \Sigma$  with  $\varrho \leq \varsigma \leq \kappa$ , then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_\ell, d_1, \dots, d_j \in \Phi$  such that  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \leq \varrho \leq b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m$  and  $c_1 \uplus \dots \uplus c_\ell \leq \kappa \leq d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j$ , it turns out that  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \leq \varsigma \leq d_1 \uplus \dots \uplus d_j$ , so  $\varsigma \in (\Phi)$  by  $(\Phi 3)$ . Hence  $(\Phi)$  is an ideal of  $\Sigma$ . ■

**Theorem 2:** The variety  $\text{MV}^*$  has the CEP.

**Proof:** For any  $\Sigma \in \text{MV}^*$ ,  $\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Sigma$ , and  $\Phi$  is an ideal of  $\Upsilon$ . Then we have that  $(\Phi)$  is an ideal of  $\Sigma$  by Lemma 7. Below we prove that  $\Phi = (\Phi) \cap \Upsilon$ . For any  $\varrho \in \Phi$ , since  $\varrho \leq \varrho \leq \varrho$ , we have  $\varrho \in (\Phi)$  by  $(\Phi 3)$  and then  $\varrho \in (\Phi) \cap \Upsilon$ , so  $\Phi \subseteq (\Phi) \cap \Upsilon$ . For any  $\varrho \in (\Phi) \cap \Upsilon$ , then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \Phi$  such that  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \leq \varrho \leq b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m$ . Since  $\Phi$  is an ideal of  $\Upsilon$ , we have  $a_1 \uplus \dots \uplus a_n \in \Phi$  and  $b_1 \uplus \dots \uplus b_m \in \Phi$  by Proposition 1(4), it turns out that  $\varrho \in \Phi$  by  $(\Phi 3)$ , so  $(\Phi) \cap \Upsilon \subseteq \Phi$  and then  $(\Phi) \cap \Upsilon = \Phi$ . Hence the variety  $\text{MV}^*$  has the CEP. ■

Since  $\text{MV}^*$  has the CEP, we next need to discuss the variety  $\text{FQMV}^*$ .

**Lemma 8:** The variety  $\text{FQMV}^*$  has the CEP.

**Proof:** For any  $\Lambda \in \text{FQMV}^*$ ,  $\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ , and  $\mathbb{N}$  is a congruence on  $\Upsilon$ . We define a binary relation  $\mathbb{N}' = \{\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Lambda^2 \mid \langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N} \text{ or } \varrho = \varsigma\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{N}'$  is the congruence on  $\Lambda$  such that  $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}' \cap \Upsilon^2$ . Indeed, it is easy to see that  $\mathbb{N}'$  is an equivalence on  $\Lambda$ . Suppose that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$  and  $\langle \varepsilon, \vartheta \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is flat, we have  $\langle \varrho \uplus \varepsilon, \varsigma \uplus \vartheta \rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . For any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\varrho = \varsigma$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mathbb{N}$  is a congruence on  $\Upsilon$ , we have  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . If  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , then  $-\varrho = -\varsigma$ , we also have  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . Moreover, if  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , then  $\varrho^+ = \varsigma^+$  and  $\varrho^- = \varsigma^-$ , so  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$  and  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mathbb{N}$  is a congruence on  $\Upsilon$ , we have  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$  and  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . Hence  $\mathbb{N}'$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ . For any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Upsilon^2$  and  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}' \cap \Upsilon^2$ , so  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{N}' \cap \Upsilon^2$ . Conversely, for any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}' \cap \Upsilon^2$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Upsilon^2$  and  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ , it turns out that  $\varrho = \varsigma$  or  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the result is true. If  $\varrho = \varsigma$ , since  $\mathbb{N}$  is a congruence on  $\Upsilon$ , we also have  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\mathbb{N}' \cap \Upsilon^2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and then  $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}' \cap \Upsilon^2$ . Hence the variety  $\text{FQMV}^*$  has the CEP. ■

**Lemma 9:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\mathbb{N}$  be a congruence on  $\Lambda$ . Then there exist a congruence  $\mathbb{N}_1$  on  $\Lambda/\mathbb{R}$  and a congruence  $\mathbb{N}_2$  on  $\Lambda/\mathbb{S}$  such that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$  iff  $\langle \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{N}_1 \times \mathbb{N}_2$ .

**Proof:** Define a binary relation  $\mathbb{N}' = \{\langle \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle \rangle : \langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{N}'$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$  such that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$  iff  $\langle \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ . It is clear that  $\mathbb{N}'$  is an equivalent relation. For any  $\langle \langle \varrho/\mathbb{R}, \varrho/\mathbb{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma/\mathbb{R}, \varsigma/\mathbb{S} \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$  and  $\langle \langle \varepsilon/\mathbb{R}, \varepsilon/\mathbb{S} \rangle, \langle \vartheta/\mathbb{R}, \vartheta/\mathbb{S} \rangle \rangle \in \mathbb{N}'$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$

and  $\langle \varepsilon, \vartheta \rangle \in \aleph$ . Since  $\aleph$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\langle \varrho \oplus \varepsilon, \varsigma \oplus \vartheta \rangle \in \aleph$ , it turns out that  $\langle \langle \varrho \oplus \varepsilon \rangle / \mathcal{R}, \langle \varrho \oplus \varepsilon \rangle / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \langle \varsigma \oplus \vartheta \rangle / \mathcal{R}, \langle \varsigma \oplus \vartheta \rangle / \mathcal{S} \rangle \in \aleph'$ , so  $\langle \langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R} \rangle \oplus \langle \varepsilon / \mathcal{R} \rangle, \langle \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle \oplus \langle \varepsilon / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle, \langle \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R} \rangle \oplus \langle \vartheta / \mathcal{R} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \oplus \langle \vartheta / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ . For any  $\langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph$ . Since  $\aleph$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\langle -\varrho, -\varsigma \rangle \in \aleph$ , it turns out that  $\langle \langle (-\varrho) / \mathcal{R}, (-\varrho) / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle (-\varsigma) / \mathcal{R}, (-\varsigma) / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ , which means that  $\langle \langle -\Lambda / \mathcal{R}(\varrho / \mathcal{R}), -\Lambda / \mathcal{S}(\varrho / \mathcal{S}) \rangle, \langle -\Lambda / \mathcal{R}(\varsigma / \mathcal{R}), -\Lambda / \mathcal{S}(\varsigma / \mathcal{S}) \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ . Moreover, we have  $\langle \varrho^+, \varsigma^+ \rangle \in \aleph$  and  $\langle \varrho^-, \varsigma^- \rangle \in \aleph$ , it turns out that  $\langle \langle \langle \varrho^+ \rangle / \mathcal{R}, \langle \varrho^+ \rangle / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \langle \varsigma^+ \rangle / \mathcal{R}, \langle \varsigma^+ \rangle / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph$  and  $\langle \langle \langle \varrho^- \rangle / \mathcal{R}, \langle \varrho^- \rangle / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \langle \varsigma^- \rangle / \mathcal{R}, \langle \varsigma^- \rangle / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph$ , which means that  $\langle \langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R} \rangle^{+\Lambda / \mathcal{R}}, \langle \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle^{+\Lambda / \mathcal{S}} \rangle, \langle \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R} \rangle^{+\Lambda / \mathcal{R}}, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle^{+\Lambda / \mathcal{S}} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph$  and  $\langle \langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R} \rangle^{-\Lambda / \mathcal{R}}, \langle \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle^{-\Lambda / \mathcal{S}} \rangle, \langle \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R} \rangle^{-\Lambda / \mathcal{R}}, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle^{-\Lambda / \mathcal{S}} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph$ . So  $\aleph'$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda$  and  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph$  iff  $\langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$  for any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ .

Define a binary relation  $\aleph_1$  on  $\Lambda / \mathcal{R}$  by  $\langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{R} \rangle \in \aleph_1$  iff  $\langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ . Define a binary relation  $\aleph_2$  on  $\Lambda / \mathcal{S}$  by  $\langle \varrho / \mathcal{S}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \in \aleph_2$  iff  $\langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ . It is clear that  $\aleph_1$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda / \mathcal{R}$  and  $\aleph_2$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda / \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover,  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph$  iff  $\langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'$ , iff  $\langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{R} \rangle \in \aleph_1$  and  $\langle \varrho / \mathcal{S}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \in \aleph_2$ , iff  $\langle \langle \varrho / \mathcal{R}, \varrho / \mathcal{S} \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \mathcal{R}, \varsigma / \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle \in \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2$ .

**Lemma 10:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\Upsilon$  be a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ . Then the congruence  $\aleph^\Upsilon$  on  $\Upsilon$  extends to the congruence  $\aleph^\Lambda$  on  $\Lambda$  and the congruence  $\mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$  on  $\Upsilon$  extends to the congruence  $\mathfrak{S}^\Lambda$  on  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof:* We only prove that  $\aleph^\Upsilon = \aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$  and  $\mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon = \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ . For any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph^\Upsilon$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Upsilon^2$  and  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$ . Because  $\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Lambda^2$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph^\Lambda$  and then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ , so  $\aleph^\Upsilon \subseteq \aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ . For any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Upsilon^2$  and  $\varrho \oplus 0 = \varsigma \oplus 0$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph^\Upsilon$ , so  $\aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2 \subseteq \aleph^\Upsilon$  and then  $\aleph^\Upsilon = \aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ . Similarly, for any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Upsilon^2$ , and  $\varrho = \varsigma$  or  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Upsilon)$ . Because  $\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Lambda^2$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda$  and then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ , so  $\mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \subseteq \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ . For any  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ , then  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \Upsilon^2$ , and  $\varrho = \varsigma$  or  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}(\Lambda)$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$ , so  $\mathfrak{S}^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$  and then  $\mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon = \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2$ . Hence the congruence  $\aleph^\Upsilon$  on  $\Upsilon$  extends to the congruence  $\aleph^\Lambda$  on  $\Lambda$  and the congruence  $\mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$  on  $\Upsilon$  extends to the congruence  $\mathfrak{S}^\Lambda$  on  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 11:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\Upsilon$  be a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda / \aleph^\Lambda$  and  $\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda / \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\Lambda = \langle A; \oplus^\Lambda, -^\Lambda, +^\Lambda, 0, 1 \rangle$  be a quasi-MV\* algebra and  $\Upsilon = \langle \Upsilon; \oplus^\Upsilon, -^\Upsilon, +^\Upsilon, 0, 1 \rangle$  be a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda / \aleph^\Lambda = \langle A / \aleph^\Lambda; \oplus_1, -_1, +_1, 0 / \aleph^\Lambda, 1 / \aleph^\Lambda \rangle$  and  $\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon = \langle \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon; \oplus_2, -_2, +_2, 0 / \aleph^\Upsilon, 1 / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle$  are MV\* algebras. For any  $\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$ , then  $\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon = \varrho / (\aleph^\Lambda \cap \Upsilon^2) = (\varrho / \aleph^\Lambda) \cap \Upsilon \subseteq \varrho / \aleph^\Lambda$  by Lemma 10. Since  $\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \subseteq \Lambda / \aleph^\Lambda$  and then  $\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \subseteq \Lambda / \aleph^\Lambda$ . For any  $\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$ , we have  $(\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon) \oplus_1 (\varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon) = (\varrho \oplus \varsigma) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (\varrho \oplus \varsigma) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon) \oplus_2 (\varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon)$ . For any  $\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$ , we have  $-_1(\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon) = (-^\Lambda \varrho) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (-^\Upsilon \varrho) / \aleph^\Upsilon = -_2(\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon)$ . Moreover, we have  $(\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon)^{+1} = (\varrho^{+1}) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (\varrho^{+1}) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon)^{+2}$

and  $(\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon)^{-1} = (\varrho^{-1}) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (\varrho^{-1}) / \aleph^\Upsilon = (\varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon)^{-2}$ . Hence  $\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda / \aleph^\Lambda$ . Similarly,  $\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda / \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3:** The variety QMV\* has the CEP.

*Proof:* For any  $\Lambda \in \text{QMV}^*$ ,  $\Upsilon$  is a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$  and  $\aleph$  is a congruence on  $\Upsilon$ . Then there exist a congruence  $\aleph_1$  on  $\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$  and a congruence  $\aleph_2$  on  $\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$  such that  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph$  iff  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2$  for any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Upsilon$  by Lemma 9. Moreover, since the CEP holds for MV\* and FQMV\* by Theorem 2 and Lemma 8, respectively, we have a congruence  $\aleph'_1$  on  $\Lambda / \aleph^\Lambda$  and a congruence  $\aleph'_2$  on  $\Lambda / \mathfrak{S}^\Lambda$  such that  $\aleph_1 = \aleph'_1 \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon)$  and  $\aleph_2 = \aleph'_2 \cap (\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ . Now, we only show that  $\aleph_1 \times \aleph_2 = (\aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2) \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ . For any  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2$ , then  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph_1$  and  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph_2$ . Since  $\aleph_1 = \aleph'_1 \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon)$  and  $\aleph_2 = \aleph'_2 \cap (\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ , we have  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_1 \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon)$  and  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_2 \cap (\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_1$ ,  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$ , and  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_2$ ,  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$ , which imply that  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2$  and  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$ . So  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in (\aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2) \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ , and then we get  $\aleph_1 \times \aleph_2 \subseteq (\aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2) \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ . For any  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in (\aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2) \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ , then  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2$  and  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$ , it turns out that  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_1$ ,  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_2$ , and  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon$ ,  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon$ , which imply that  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_1 \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon)$  and  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph'_2 \cap (\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ . Since  $\aleph_1 = \aleph'_1 \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon)$  and  $\aleph_2 = \aleph'_2 \cap (\Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon)$ , we have  $\langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph_1$  and  $\langle \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \in \aleph_2$ , it turns out that  $\langle \langle \varrho / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varrho / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle, \langle \varsigma / \aleph^\Upsilon, \varsigma / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon \rangle \rangle \in \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2$ , so  $(\aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2) \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon) \subseteq \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2$ , and then  $(\aleph'_1 \times \aleph'_2) \cap (\Upsilon / \aleph^\Upsilon \times \Upsilon / \mathfrak{S}^\Upsilon) = \aleph_1 \times \aleph_2$ . Hence the variety QMV\* has the CEP.

At the end of this section, we demonstrate the congruence extension property of quasi-MV\* algebras with an illustrative example.

**Remark 3:** Let  $\Lambda'$  be the algebra defined in Example 3 and  $\Lambda$  be the algebra defined in Example 2. Then  $\Lambda$  is the subalgebra of  $\Lambda'$ , where the operations  $\oplus, -, +, -$  of  $\Lambda$  are those of  $\Lambda'$  restricted to  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda \cong \Sigma \times \Lambda_1$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the algebra defined in Example 1 and  $\Lambda_1$  is the algebra defined in Example 4. Define a congruence  $\aleph$  on  $\Lambda$  by  $\langle \varrho, \varsigma \rangle \in \aleph$  iff  $\varrho \vee 0 = \varsigma \vee 0$  for any  $\varrho, \varsigma \in \Lambda$ . Then we have a congruence  $\aleph_1$  on  $\Sigma$  and a congruence  $\aleph_2$  on  $\Lambda_1$  following Lemma 9. Define a binary relation  $\aleph'_2$  on  $\Lambda_2$  by  $\aleph'_2 = \aleph \cup \Delta$ , where  $\Lambda_2$  is defined in Example 5. Then  $\aleph'_2$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda_2$ . Denote  $\aleph' = \aleph_1 \times \aleph'_2$ . Then  $\aleph'$  is a congruence on  $\Lambda'$  and  $\aleph = \aleph' \cap \Lambda^2$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proved that the variety of quasi-MV\* algebras has the congruence extension property. To complete this work, we have first shown that the subdirect product decomposition of a quasi-MV\* algebra, and then proved that MV\*-algebras and flat quasi-MV\* algebras have the CEP. These results mean that in these algebras, the congruence on a subalgebra can be extended to the

entire algebra, which is helpful to the study of algebraic structures. Consider that quasi-MV\* algebras are the new non-classical logical algebras arising from many-valued logic and quantum computational logic, their theoretical research could be applied to fields such as artificial intelligence and quantum computation. Thus, future work will discuss more properties of quasi-MV\* algebras in order to characterize the logical system associated with quasi-MV\* algebras.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bou, F. Paoli, A. Ledda, H. Freytes, "On some properties of quasi-MV algebras and  $\sqrt[n]{}$  quasi-MV algebras. Part II," *Soft Computing*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 341-352, 2008.
- [2] L. Cai, Y. Y. Jiang, W. J. Chen, "The logic of quasi-MV\* algebras," <http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.14081>.
- [3] C. C. Chang, "Algebraic analysis of many valued logics," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 467-490, 1958.
- [4] C. C. Chang, "Logic with positive and negative truth values," *Acta Philosophica Fennica*, vol. 16, pp. 19-39, 1963.
- [5] A. Dvurečenskij, O. Zahiri, "Some results on quasi-MV algebras and perfect quasi-MV algebras," *Studia Logica*, vol. 24, pp. 1-37, 2025.
- [6] J. Gispert, D. Mundici, "MV-algebras: a variety for magnitudes with archimedean units," *Algebra Universalis*, vol. 53, pp. 7-43, 2005.
- [7] Y. Y. Jiang, W. J. Chen, "Quasi-MV\* algebras: a generalization of MV\*-algebras," *Soft Computing*, vol. 26, no. 15, pp. 6999-7015, 2022.
- [8] P. Jipsen, A. Ledda, F. Paoli, "On some properties of quasi-MV algebras and  $\sqrt[n]{}$  quasi-MV algebras. Part IV," *Reports on Mathematical Logic*, vol. 48, pp. 3-36, 2013.
- [9] T. Kowalski, "On some properties of quasi-MV algebras and  $\sqrt[n]{}$  quasi-MV algebras. Part III," *Reports on Mathematical Logic*, vol. 45, pp. 161-199, 2010.
- [10] A. Ledda, M. Konig, F. Paoli, R. Giuntini, "MV-algebras and quantum computation," *Studia Logica*, vol. 82, pp. 245-270, 2006.
- [11] R. Lewin, M. Sagastume, P. Massey, "MV\*-algebras," *Logic Journal of the IGPL*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 461-483, 2004.
- [12] R. Lewin, M. Sagastume, P. Massey, "Chang's  $L^*$  logic," *Logic Journal of IGPL*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 485-497, 2004.
- [13] M. Liu, H. X. Liu, "Pseudo-choops," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 90-103, 2025.
- [14] T. Liu, H. X. Liu, "ET-norms and n-roots on EBL-algebras," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1227-1234, 2025.
- [15] L. L. Lu, Y. W. Yang, "Generalized additive derivations on MV-algebras," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 789-794, 2021.
- [16] Y. J. Lv, W. J. Chen, "Quasi-Boolean algebras: a generalization of Boolean algebras," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 1372-1376, 2022.
- [17] F. Paoli, A. Ledda, R. Giuntini, H. Freytes, "On some properties of quasi-MV algebras and  $\sqrt[n]{}$  quasi-MV algebras," *Reports Math. Log.*, vol. 44, pp. 31-63, 2009.
- [18] B. Satyanarayana, S. Baji, "Positive implicative, implicative, and commutative SB-neutrosophic ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras," *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 815-830, 2024.