# Fuzzy Observer-Based Finite-Time Prescribed Performance Control of Linear Stepping Motor

Yue Sun, Chuang Gao, and Xin Zhou

Abstract—Due to the position tracking problem of linear stepping motor, a fuzzy controller is designed with observer and finite-time prescribed performance, which can improve the transient and steady-state performance of tracking error at finite time. Through the d-q state equations of linear stepping motor, the proposed control scheme is carried out by using backstepping method. To deal with the unmeasurable problem of motor states, an efficient observer is designed. In addition, it is not required to contain the known functions of motor state equations in the control law of the proposed scheme, which makes the control scheme more flexible. Based on Lyapunov stability analysis, it is proved that all the signals in the motor closed-loop system are uniformly ultimately bounded. Finally, the effectiveness of the proposed control scheme is verified by simulation.

*Index Terms*—Linear stepping motor, Backstepping, Finite-time control, Prescribed performance, Fuzzy observer

### I. INTRODUCTION

inear stepping motor (LSM) is widely used in various fields with high precision requirements. It has the advantages of simple structure, small mechanical loss and good environmental adaptability. Recently, the control methods of LSM have been paid more and more attentions [1-3]. LSM system is a high-order and multivariable nonlinear system, and its controller is also affected by the changes of motor parameters and other uncertain factors. In order to solve above problems, a recursive design method called backstepping can be adopted [4-10]. It has also been widely used in the research of motor control problems [11-15]. With the continuous in-depth study of intelligent algorithm, fuzzy control schemes [16-20] can effectively solve the uncertainty problem of the system, and provide a new approach for the realization of high-performance control. From the existing literatures, it is found that there is no research to consider the unmeasurable motor states and optimize the transient performance of the motor system

Manuscript received October 10, 2020; revised January 21, 2021. This work was supported in part by Shandong Key Laboratory of Intelligent Buildings Technology (Grant No. SDIBT202002) and the Scientific Research Fund of Liaoning Provincial Education Department of China (Grant No. 2020LNZD05).

Yue Sun is an Undergraduate student of School of Electronic and Information Engineering, University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, 114051 China. (e-mail: sunyue000224@163.com).

Chuang Gao is an Associate Professor of School of Electronic and Information Engineering, University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, 114051 China. (corresponding author, phone: 86-0412-5929068; e-mail: 13500422153@163.com).

Xin Zhou is a Postgraduate candidate of School of Electronic and Information Engineering, University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, 114051 China. (e-mail: 13028053666@163.com). simultaneously. Therefore, a novel fuzzy observer-based controller is designed to estimate unmeasurable states of the LSM system, then a finite-time prescribed performance function is introduced to enhance the transient and steady-state performance of the LSM system. In addition, the proposed controller can ensure that the motor can track the trajectory of the reference signal.

II. STATE EQUATION OF LINEAR STEPPING MOTOR

Consider the following dynamic LSM model [14]:

$$\begin{cases} m\frac{d^{2}x}{dt^{2}} + B\frac{dx}{dt} + F_{c}\sin\left(\frac{8\pi}{p}x\right) = F_{e}, \\ F_{e} = -k_{f}i_{a}\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{p}x\right) + k_{f}i_{b}\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{p}x\right), \\ L\frac{di_{a}}{dt} + Ri_{a} - k_{f}\dot{x}\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{p}x\right) = V_{a}, \\ L\frac{di_{b}}{dt} + Ri_{b} + k_{f}\dot{x}\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{p}x\right) = V_{b}, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where x denotes the mover position, m is the mover mass, B denotes the viscous friction coefficient, p is the pitch,  $F_c$  is the cogging force constant,  $F_e$  is the electromagnetic thrust,  $k_f = 2k_m/p$  and  $k_m$  is the back electromotive force constant, R is the winding resistance, L is the winding inductance,  $i_a$ ,  $i_b$ , and  $V_a$  and  $V_b$  are the winding currents and voltages, respectively. By transforming  $i_a$ ,  $i_b$ ,  $V_a$  and  $V_b$  to  $i_d$ ,  $i_q$ ,  $V_d$  and  $V_q$ , the d-qsystem model of LSM is given in the following form [14]:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = f_1(x_1, x_2) + b_1 x_3, \\ \dot{x}_3 = f_2(x_2, x_3, x_4) + b_2 V_q, \\ \dot{x}_4 = -b_3 x_4 + f_3(x_2, x_3) + b_2 V_d, \\ y = x_1, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $x_i \in R^i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) are the state variables of motor and represent position, velocity, current  $i_d$  and  $i_q$ , respectively.  $V_q$  and  $V_d$  are input voltages, and y is the output of LSM system. The relative coefficients and functions are given below:

$$\begin{cases} b_{1} = k_{f} / m, \\ b_{2} = 1 / L, \\ b_{3} = R / L, \\ f_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = -Bx_{2} / m - F_{c} \sin(8\pi x_{1} / p) / m, \\ f_{2}(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}) = -k_{f} x_{2} / L - Rx_{3} / L - 2\pi x_{2} x_{4} / p, \\ f_{3}(x_{2}, x_{3}) = 2\pi x_{2} x_{3} / p. \end{cases}$$

$$(3)$$

For system (2), the control objective can be described as follows: when the motor states  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  and  $x_4$  are unmeasurable,

an adaptive fuzzy tracking controller is designed based on observer to ensure that the motor position can follow the reference signal  $y_d(t)$ , and the tracking error can converge to a small neighborhood within tuning time, and all the signals of the motor closed-loop system are uniformly ultimately bounded. In order to achieve the proposed control objective, the following assumptions are needed:

Assumption 1 [16]: For any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^i$ , there exists a set of  $K_i$  satisfying the following inequality:

$$|f_i(X_1) - f_i(X_2)| \le K_i ||X_1 - X_2||, \ 1 \le i \le 4.$$
 (4)

Assumption 2: The reference signal  $y_d(t)$  and its *k*-th order derivatives  $y_d^{(k)}(t)$  are continuous and bounded.

## III. DESIGN OF FUZZY OBSERVER

In this section, a fuzzy observer is designed firstly. The advantages of the fuzzy observer are described as follows: 1) the controller does not contain the known function of the state equation; 2) the system state can be estimated when the system states are unmeasurable. Hence, the design method is more flexible. In the aspect of error estimation, the fuzzy approximation plays a role in ensuring good observation effect. Because the states of the motor are unmeasurable, then the fuzzy observer design method can be used to estimate the state variables of the motor. Generally, fuzzy logic system can be expressed by the following formula:

$$y(x) = \frac{\sum_{Z=1}^{N} \overline{y}_{Z} \Pi_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{F_{i}^{Z}}(x_{i})}{\sum_{Z=1}^{N} \left( \Pi_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{F_{i}^{Z}}(x_{i}) \right)},$$
(5)

where  $x = [x_1, ..., x_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  are the input and output of fuzzy logic system, N denotes the number of IF-THEN rules,  $\varphi_{F_i^Z}(x_i)$  and  $\varphi_{G^Z}(x_i)$  are fuzzy membership functions related to fuzzy sets  $F_i^Z$  and  $G^Z$ , and  $\overline{y}_Z = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi_{G^Z}(y)$ . Then, define the following function:

$$\phi_{Z} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{F_{i}^{Z}}(x_{i})}{\sum_{Z=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{F_{i}^{Z}}(x_{i})\right)}.$$
(6)

Hence, (6) can be expressed as follows:

$$y(x) = \theta^T \phi(x) . \tag{7}$$

where  $\theta^{T} = [\overline{y}_{1}, ..., \overline{y}_{N}]^{T} = [\theta_{1}, ..., \theta_{N}]^{T}$  and  $\phi(x) = [\phi_{1}(x), ..., \phi_{N}(x)]^{T}$ .

**Lemma 1** [17]: If f(x) is a continuous function defined on a compact set  $\Omega$ , then for any constant, (7) can be approximated by f(x), thus

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega} \left| f(x) - \theta^T \phi(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$
(8)

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an approximation error.

Define the estimated functions of  $f_1(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $f_2(x_2, x_3, x_4)$  and  $f_3(x_2, x_3)$  as  $f_1(\hat{X}_2) = f_1(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$ ,  $f_2(\hat{X}_4) = f_2(\hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_4)$  and  $f_3(\hat{X}_3) = f_3(\hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3)$ , respectively. Then, system (2) can be transformed into the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1} = x_{2}, \\ \dot{x}_{2} = b_{1}x_{3} + f_{1}(\hat{X}_{2}) + \Delta F_{1}, \\ \dot{x}_{3} = f_{2}(\hat{X}_{4}) + \Delta F_{2} + b_{2}V_{q}, \\ \dot{x}_{4} = -b_{3}x_{4} + f_{3}(\hat{X}_{3}) + \Delta F_{3} + b_{2}V_{d}, \\ y = x_{1}, \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$

where  $\Delta F_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2) - f_1(\hat{X}_2)$ ,  $\Delta F_2 = f_2(x_2, x_3, x_4)$  $-f_2(\hat{X}_4)$  and  $\Delta F_3 = f_3(x_2, x_3) - f_3(\hat{X}_3)$ . Then, transform system (9) into the following matrix form:

$$\dot{X} = AX + Wy + F\left(\hat{X}\right) + \Delta F + b_2 u, \qquad (10)$$

where  $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$ ,  $W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1, \dots, w_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$  is called the  $\begin{bmatrix} -w_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ 

gain vector, 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -w_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -w_2 & 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ -w_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -w_4 & 0 & 0 & -b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $u = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, V_q, V_d \end{bmatrix}^T$ ,

$$F\left(\hat{X}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, f_1\left(\hat{X}_2\right), f_2\left(\hat{X}_4\right), f_3\left(\hat{X}_3\right) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Delta F = [0, \Delta F_1, \Delta F_2]$$

 $\Delta F_2, \Delta F_3$ ]<sup>*T*</sup>. Choose vector *W* to make matrix *A* be a strict Hurwitz matrix. If *Q* is a positive definite matrix and satisfies  $Q = Q^T$ , then there exists a positive definite matrix  $P = P^T$  which satisfies the following condition:

$$A^T P + PA = -2Q. \tag{11}$$

According to Lemma 1, from (10), nonlinear function  $f_i(\hat{X}_j)$  in  $F(\hat{X})$  can be approximated by

$$\hat{f}_i\left(\hat{X}_j \mid \theta_i\right) = \theta_i^T \phi_i\left(\hat{X}_j\right), i = 1, 2, 3, j = 2, 3, 4.$$
(12)

Then, define the optimal vector  $\theta_i^*$  of  $\theta_i$  as

$$\theta_{i}^{*} = \arg\min_{\theta_{i}\in\Omega_{i}}\left\{\sup_{\hat{X}_{i}\in U_{j}}\left|\hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{X}_{j}\mid\theta_{i}\right) - f_{i}\left(\hat{X}_{j}\right)\right|\right\},$$
(13)

where  $\Omega_i$  is a bounded compact set of  $\theta_i$ . Similarly,  $U_j$  is a bounded compact set of  $\hat{X}_j$ . In addition, the minimum approximation error can be defined by

$$\xi_i = f_i \left( \hat{X}_j \right) - \hat{f}_i \left( \hat{X}_j \mid \theta_i^* \right), \tag{14}$$

where  $|\xi_i| \le \xi_i^*$  (i = 1, 2, 3) and  $\xi_i^* > 0$ . Then, the following fuzzy state observer is established to estimate the unmeasurable states:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}}_{1} = \hat{x}_{2} + w_{1}(y - \hat{x}_{1}), \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_{2} = b_{1}\hat{x}_{3} + w_{2}(y - \hat{x}_{1}) + \hat{f}_{1}(\hat{X}_{2}), \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_{3} = w_{3}(y - \hat{x}_{1}) + \hat{f}_{2}(\hat{X}_{4}) + b_{2}V_{q}, \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_{4} = -b_{3}\hat{x}_{4} + w_{4}(y - \hat{x}_{1}) + \hat{f}_{3}(\hat{X}_{3}) + b_{2}V_{d}, \\ \dot{\hat{y}} = \hat{x}_{1}. \end{cases}$$
(15)

Furthermore, (16) can be obtained.

$$\begin{cases} \hat{X} = A\hat{X} + Wy + \hat{F}(\hat{X}) + b_2 u, \\ \hat{y} = D\hat{X}, \end{cases}$$
(16)

# Volume 48, Issue 1: March 2021

where  $\hat{F}(\hat{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \hat{f}_1(\hat{X}_2), \hat{f}_2(\hat{X}_4), \hat{f}_3(\hat{X}_3) \end{bmatrix}^T$ , D = [1, 0, 0, 0]

0] and  $\hat{X} = [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3, \hat{x}_4]^T$ .

Define the error vector e as follows:

$$e = X - \hat{X},\tag{17}$$

where  $e = [e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4]^T$ .

From  $\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta_i^* - \theta_i$ , i = 1, ..., 3, the time-derivative of *e* is

$$\dot{e} = \dot{X} - \ddot{X} = Ae + \xi + J + \Delta F, \qquad (18)$$

where  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \tilde{\theta}_1^T \phi_1(\hat{X}_2), \tilde{\theta}_2^T \phi_2(\hat{X}_4), \tilde{\theta}_3^T \phi_3(\hat{X}_3) \end{bmatrix}^T$  and  $\xi =$  $[0,\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3]^T$ .

Select the following Lyapunov function for fuzzy observer:

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{2}e^T Pe.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Then, the time-derivative of  $V_0$  can be described as follows:

$$\dot{V}_0 = e^T P \dot{e}. \tag{20}$$

According to Assumption 1, substituting (18) into (20) yields

$$\dot{V}_0 = e^T P \left( A e + \xi + J + \Delta F \right)$$
  
=  $-e^T Q e + e^T P \Delta F + e^T P J + e^T P \xi.$  (21)

Generally,  $\phi_i^T(\hat{X}_i)$  is chosen as Gaussian function, it gives  $\phi_i^T(\hat{X}_i)^T \phi_i(\hat{X}_i) \le 1$ . From Young's inequality, it produces

$$e^{T}PJ \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\max} \left( P \right) \left\| e \right\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{i}, \qquad (22)$$

$$e^{T}P(\Delta F + \xi) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{1}{2} ||P||^{2} K_{i}^{2} ||e||^{2} + \frac{1}{2} ||P||^{2} ||\xi^{*}||^{2} + ||e||^{2}, (23)$$

where  $\lambda_{\max}(P) > 0$  represents the maximum eigenvalue of matrix *P* and  $\xi^* = [0, \xi_1^*, \xi_2^*, \xi_3^*]^T$ .

Substituting (22) and (23) into (21) yields

$$\dot{V}_{0} \leqslant -\lambda_{E} \left\| \boldsymbol{e} \right\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{i}^{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{i} + \boldsymbol{q}, \qquad (24)$$

where

 $\lambda_{E} = \lambda_{\min}\left(Q\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\max}\left(P\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4}\frac{1}{2} \parallel P \parallel^{2} K_{i} + 1\right) \quad ,$  $\lambda_{\min}(Q)$  represents the minimum eigenvalue of Q and  $q = \frac{1}{2} ||P||^2 ||\xi^*||^2$ 

## IV. DESIGN OF FINITE-TIME PRESCRIBED PERFORMANCE CONTROLLER

The prescribed performance control method can effectively improve transient and steady-state performance of the nonlinear system. For the control problem of LSM, the following performance function is given as follows [8]:

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \left(v_0 - \frac{t}{T_f}\right) \exp\left(1 - \frac{T_f}{T_f - t}\right) + v_{T_f}, & t \in [0, T_f], \\ v_{T_f}, & t \in [T_f, \infty), \end{cases}$$
(25)

where  $v_0 \ge 1.25$  and  $v_{T_f} > 0$  represent the initial and final values of the prescribed performance function, respectively,  $T_f$  is the tuning time. [8] proved that the *j*-th order derivative of the function  $v^{(j)}(t)$  is continuous and smooth. The tracking error of the motor position is defined as  $e_0(t) = x_1(t) - y_d(t)$ , and the performance function control design can make the tracking error converge into a preset region at finite time. In this paper, the backstepping method is adopted. Firstly, the following coordinate transformation is selected:

$$e_0(t) = v(t) \tanh(\eta).$$
<sup>(26)</sup>

where 
$$\tanh(\eta) = (e^{\eta} - e^{-\eta})/(e^{\eta} + e^{-\eta})$$
 and  $\eta$  is the

transformation error. Then, the time-derivative of  $e_0(t)$  is

$$\dot{e}_{0}(t) = \dot{v}(t) \tanh(\eta) + v(t) \frac{\partial \tanh(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \dot{\eta}(t).$$
<sup>(27)</sup>

Substituting  $e_1(t) = x_1(t) - y_d(t)$  into (31) gives

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = \frac{\dot{x}_1(t) - \dot{y}_d(t) - \dot{v}(t) \tanh(\eta)}{v(t) \frac{\partial \tanh(\eta)}{\partial \eta}}.$$
(28)

Then, substituting  $\dot{x}_1$  in (2) into (28) yields

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = \frac{x_2(t) - \dot{y}_d(t) - \dot{v}(t) \tanh(\eta)}{v(t) \frac{\partial \tanh(\eta)}{\partial \eta}}$$
$$= \frac{\hat{x}_2 + e_2 - \dot{y}_d(t) - \dot{v}(t) \tanh(\eta)}{v(t) \frac{\partial \tanh(\eta)}{\partial \eta}}$$
$$= \gamma + G(\hat{x}_2 + e_2 - \dot{y}_d), \qquad (29)$$

 $\gamma = -\dot{v}(t) \tanh(\eta) / (v(t)\partial \tanh(\eta) / \partial \eta)$ where and  $G = 1/(v(t)\partial \tanh(\eta)/\partial \eta).$ 

From (29), (15) can be transformed into

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\eta} = \gamma + G(\hat{x}_{2} + e_{2} - \dot{y}_{d}), \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_{2} = b_{1}\hat{x}_{3} + w_{2}(y - \hat{x}_{1}) + \theta_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}(\hat{X}_{2}), \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_{3} = w_{3}(y - \hat{x}_{1}) + \theta_{2}^{T}\phi_{2}(\hat{X}_{4}) + b_{2}V_{q}, \\ \dot{\hat{x}}_{4} = -b_{3}\hat{x}_{4} + w_{4}(y - \hat{x}_{1}) + \theta_{3}^{T}\phi_{3}(\hat{X}_{3}) + b_{2}V_{d}. \end{cases}$$
(30)

According to (30), choose the following coordinate transformation:

$$\begin{cases} z_{1} = \eta \\ z_{i} = \hat{x}_{i} - \alpha_{i-1}, & i = 2, 3 \end{cases}$$
(31)

where  $z_1$  and  $z_i$  are transformation errors and  $\alpha_{i-1}$  is the virtual control law.

Step 1:

From (31), the time-derivative of  $z_1$  is

$$\dot{z}_1 = \gamma + G(\hat{x}_2 + e_2 - \dot{y}_d).$$
(32)

Substituting  $z_2 = \hat{x}_2 - \alpha_1$  into (32) produces

$$\dot{z}_1 = \gamma + G(z_2 + \alpha_1 + e_2 - \dot{y}_d).$$
 (33)

For the 1<sup>st</sup> subsystem in (30), choose the following Lyapunov function:

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} z_1^2.$$
(34)

Substitute (33) into the derivative of  $V_1$  gives

$$\dot{V}_1 = z_1 \dot{z}_1 = z_1 \left( \gamma + G \left( z_2 + \alpha_1 + e_2 - \dot{y}_d \right) \right).$$
 (35)

According to Young's inequality, it yields

$$z_1 G e_2 \le \frac{1}{2} z_1^2 G^2 + \frac{1}{2} || e||^2.$$
(36)

Substitute (36) into (35), the following result holds.

$$\dot{V_1} \le z_1 \left( \gamma + G \left( z_2 + \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2} z_1 G - \dot{y}_d \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} ||e||^2.$$
 (37)

Design the virtual control  $\alpha_1$  as

$$\alpha_1 = -\left(\frac{c_1}{G} + \frac{G}{2}\right)z_1 - \frac{\gamma}{G} + \dot{y}_d, \qquad (38)$$

where,  $c_1 > 0$  is a design parameter. Substituting  $\alpha_1$  into (38) gives

$$\dot{V}_1 \le -c_1 z_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||e||^2 + G z_1 z_2.$$
 (39)

Step 2:

Choose the following Lyapunov function for the 2<sup>nd</sup> subsystem:

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 + \frac{1}{2r_1}\tilde{\theta}_1^T\tilde{\theta}_1, \qquad (40)$$

where  $r_1 > 0$  is a design parameter.

Substitute  $\dot{\hat{x}}_2$  in (30),  $\hat{x}_3 = z_3 + \alpha_2$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_1 = \theta_1^* - \theta_1$  into the time-derivative of  $V_2$ , it produces

$$\begin{split} \dot{V_{2}} &= \dot{V_{1}} + z_{2}\dot{z}_{2} - \frac{1}{r_{1}}\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T}\dot{\theta}_{1}. \\ &= \dot{V_{1}} + z_{2}\left(b_{1}\left(z_{3} + \alpha_{2}\right) + w_{2}e_{1} + \theta_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}\left(\hat{X}_{2}\right) - \dot{\alpha}_{1}\right) - \frac{1}{r_{1}}\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T}\dot{\theta}_{1} \\ &= \dot{V_{1}} + z_{2}\left(b_{1}\left(z_{3} + \alpha_{2}\right) + w_{2}e_{1} + \theta_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}\left(\hat{X}_{2}\right)_{1}\right) \\ &- z_{2}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}\left(\hat{X}_{2}\right) + \dot{\alpha}\right) + \frac{1}{r_{1}}\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T}\left(r_{1}z_{2}\phi_{1}\left(\hat{X}_{2}\right) - \dot{\theta}_{1}\right), \\ &\text{where } \dot{\alpha}_{1} = \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y}\left(\hat{x}_{2} + e_{2}\right) + \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial\hat{x}_{1}}\left(\hat{x}_{2} + w_{1}e_{1}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y_{d}^{(j-1)}}y_{d}^{(j)} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y^{(j-1)}}v^{(j)}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, it yields

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{2} &= \dot{V}_{1} + z_{2} \left( b_{1} \left( z_{3} + \alpha_{2} \right) + w_{2} e_{1} + \theta_{1}^{T} \phi_{1} \left( \hat{X}_{2} \right) \right) \\ &- z_{2} \left( \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T} \phi_{1} \left( \hat{X}_{2} \right) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \left( \hat{x}_{2} + e_{2} \right) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \hat{x}^{1}} \left( \hat{x}_{2} + w_{1} e_{1} \right) \right) \\ &- z_{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y_{d}^{(j-1)}} y_{d}^{(j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y^{(j-1)}} v^{(j)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T} \left( r_{1} z_{2} \phi_{1} \left( \hat{X}_{2} \right) - \dot{\theta}_{1} \right) \end{split}$$
(42)

According to Young's inequality, it gives

$$-z_{2}\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}(\hat{X}_{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}z_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T}\tilde{\theta}_{1}, \qquad (43)$$

$$-z_2 \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial y} e_2 \le \frac{1}{2} z_2^2 \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| e \|^2.$$
(44)

Substitute (43) and (44) into (42), the following result holds.

$$\dot{V}_{2} \leq z_{2} \left( b_{1} \left( z_{3} + \alpha_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} z_{2} + \frac{1}{2} z_{2} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \right)^{2} \right)$$
  
$$-c_{1} z_{1}^{2} + G z_{1} z_{2} + z_{2} D_{2} + ||e||^{2}$$
  
$$+ \frac{1}{r_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T} \left( r_{1} z_{2} \phi_{1} \left( \hat{X}_{2} \right) - \dot{\theta}_{1} \right), \qquad (45)$$

where

$$D_{2} = w_{2}e_{1} + \theta_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}\left(\hat{X}_{2}\right) - \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial\hat{x}_{1}}\left(\hat{x}_{2} + w_{1}e_{1}\right) - \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y}\hat{x}_{2}$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y_{d}^{(j-1)}}y_{d}^{(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial v^{(j-1)}}v^{(j)}.$$
(46)

Design the virtual control  $\alpha_2$  and adaptive law  $\dot{\theta}_1$  as follows:

$$\alpha_{2} = -\frac{1}{b_{1}} \left( c_{2} z_{2} + D_{2} + \frac{1}{2} z_{2} + \frac{1}{2} z_{2} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + G z_{1} \right) \quad (47)$$

(48)

and

 $\dot{\theta}_1 = r_1 z_2 \phi_1(\hat{X}_2) - \kappa_1 \theta_1,$ 

where  $c_2 > 0$  and  $\kappa_1 > 0$  are design parameters.

Substitute (47) and (48) into (45), from 
$$\theta_1 \cdot \theta_1 \leq \tilde{\theta}_1^T \left(\theta_1^* - \tilde{\theta}_1\right) \leq -\tilde{\theta}_1^T \tilde{\theta}_1 / 2 + || \theta_1^* ||^2 / 2$$
, it produces  
 $\dot{V}_2 \leq -\sum_{j=1}^2 c_j z_j^2 + b_1 z_2 z_3 - \frac{\kappa_1}{2r_1} \tilde{\theta}_1^T \tilde{\theta}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_1^T \tilde{\theta}_1 + || e ||^2 + \sigma_1,$ 
(49)

where  $\sigma_1 = \frac{\kappa_1}{2r_1} || \theta_1^* ||^2$ .

Step 3:

Choose the following Lyapunov function for the 3<sup>rd</sup> subsystem:

$$V_{3} = V_{2} + \frac{1}{2}z_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{2r_{2}}\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{T}\tilde{\theta}_{2} + \frac{1}{2r_{3}}\tilde{\theta}_{3}^{T}\tilde{\theta}_{3},$$
(50)

Substituting  $\hat{x}_3 = z_3 + \alpha_2$  into the time-derivative of  $V_3$  yields

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{3} &= \dot{V}_{2} + z_{3}\dot{z}_{3} - \frac{1}{r_{2}}\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{T}\dot{\theta}_{2} - \frac{1}{r_{3}}\tilde{\theta}_{3}^{T}\dot{\theta}_{3} \\ &= \dot{V}_{2} + z_{3}\left(\dot{\hat{x}}_{3} - \dot{\alpha}_{2}\right) - \frac{1}{r_{2}}\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{T}\dot{\theta}_{2} - \frac{1}{r_{3}}\tilde{\theta}_{3}^{T}\dot{\theta}_{3} \\ &= \dot{V}_{2} + z_{3}\left(w_{3}e_{1} + b_{2}V_{q} + \theta_{2}^{-T}\phi_{2}\left(\hat{X}_{4}\right) - \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{-T}\phi_{2}\left(\hat{X}_{4}\right)\right) \quad (51) \\ &- z_{3}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{3}^{-T}\phi_{3}\left(\hat{X}_{3}\right) + \dot{\alpha}_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{r_{2}}\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{-T}\left(r_{2}z_{3}\phi_{2}\left(\hat{X}_{4}\right) - \dot{\theta}_{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r_{2}}\tilde{\theta}_{3}^{-T}\left(r_{3}z_{3}\phi_{3}\left(\hat{X}_{3}\right) - \dot{\theta}_{3}\right), \end{split}$$

According to Young's inequality, it produces

$$-z_3\left(\tilde{\theta}_2^T\phi_2\left(\hat{X}_4\right) + \tilde{\theta}_3^T\phi_3\left(\hat{X}_3\right)\right) \le z_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_2^T\tilde{\theta}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}_3^T\tilde{\theta}_3, \quad (52)$$

$$-z_3 \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} e_2 \le \frac{1}{2} z_3^2 \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{e} \|^2.$$
 (53)

From (47),  $\dot{\alpha}_2$  is given as follows:

# Volume 48, Issue 1: March 2021

$$\dot{\alpha}_{2} = \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} (\hat{x}_{2} + e_{2}) + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \hat{x}_{1}} (\hat{x}_{2} + w_{1}e_{1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y_{d}^{(j-1)}} y_{d}^{(j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial v^{(j-1)}} v^{(j)} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \theta_{1}} \dot{\theta}_{1}$$
(54)
$$+ \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \hat{x}_{2}} (b_{1}x_{3} + w_{2}e_{1} + \theta_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}(\hat{X}_{2})).$$

Substitute (52), (53) and (54) into (51),  $V_3$  can be obtained.

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{3} &\leq -\sum_{j=1}^{2} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} + b_{1} z_{2} z_{3} - \frac{\kappa_{1}}{2r_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{1} \\ &+ \|e\|^{2} + \sigma_{1} + z_{3} \left( b_{2} V_{q} + D_{3} + z_{3} + \frac{1}{2} z_{3} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} \right)^{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|e\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{3}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{r_{2}} \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{T} \left( r_{2} z_{3} \phi_{2} \left( \hat{X}_{4} \right) - \dot{\theta}_{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r_{3}} \tilde{\theta}_{3}^{T} \left( r_{3} z_{3} \phi_{2} \left( \hat{X}_{3} \right) - \dot{\theta}_{3} \right) \end{split}$$
(55)

where

$$D_{3} = w_{3}e_{1} + \theta_{2}^{T}\phi_{2}\left(\hat{X}_{4}\right) - \frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y}\hat{x}_{2}$$
$$-\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial\hat{x}_{2}}\left(b_{1}x_{3} + w_{2}e_{1} + \theta_{1}^{T}\phi_{1}\left(\hat{X}_{2}\right)\right) - \frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial\hat{x}_{1}}\left(\hat{x}_{2} + w_{1}e_{1}\right) (56)$$
$$-\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial\theta_{1}}\dot{\theta}_{1} - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y_{d}^{(j-1)}}y_{d}^{(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y^{(j-1)}}v^{(j)}.$$

Choose the following actual control law  $V_q$ :

$$V_{q} = -\frac{1}{b_{2}} \left( c_{3}z_{3} + D_{3} + z_{3} + b_{1}z_{2} + \frac{1}{2}z_{3} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} \right)^{2} \right), \quad (57)$$

Then, select the following adaptive laws  $\dot{\theta}_2$  and  $\dot{\theta}_3$ :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta}_2 = r_2 z_3 \phi_2(\hat{X}_4) - \kappa_2 \theta_2, \\ \dot{\theta}_3 = r_3 z_3 \phi_3(\hat{X}_3) - \kappa_3 \theta_3, \end{cases}$$
(58)

where  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $\kappa_2 > 0$  and  $\kappa_3 > 0$  are design parameters. Substituting (57) and (58) into (55) yields

$$\dot{V}_{3} \leq -\sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} - \frac{\kappa_{1}}{2r_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{1}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{1} + \frac{\kappa_{2}}{r_{2}} \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{T} \theta_{2} + \frac{\kappa_{3}}{r_{3}} \tilde{\theta}_{3}^{T} \theta_{3} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{i} + \frac{3}{2} ||e||^{2} + \sigma_{1}$$

$$\leq -\sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} z_{j}^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\kappa_{j}}{2r_{j}} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{\theta}_{i}^{T} \tilde{\theta}_{i} + \frac{3}{2} ||e||^{2} + \sigma_{2},$$
where  $\sigma_{2} = \sigma_{1} + \frac{\kappa_{2}}{2r_{2}} ||\theta_{2}^{*}||^{2} + \frac{\kappa_{3}}{2r_{3}} ||\theta_{3}^{*}||^{2}.$ 
(59)

Step 4:

For the 4<sup>th</sup> subsystem, design the following control voltage  $V_d$ :

$$V_{d} = -\frac{1}{b_{2}} \Big( w_{4} e_{1} + \theta_{3}^{T} \phi_{3}(\hat{X}_{3}) \Big), \tag{60}$$

then the state function  $\dot{\hat{x}}_4 = -b_3\hat{x}_4$  can be obtained. It can be seen that the state eventually tends to zero. Finally,

combining the Lyapunov functions in the observer and the backstepping method gives

$$V = V_0 + V_3.$$
(61)  
Therefore, the time-derivative of V is  

$$\dot{V} = \dot{V}_0 + \dot{V}_3$$

$$\leq -\lambda_E ||e||^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{\theta}_i^T \tilde{\theta}_i + q - \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j z_j^2$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\kappa_j}{2r_j} \tilde{\theta}_i^T \tilde{\theta}_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_i^T \tilde{\theta}_i + \frac{3}{2} ||e||^2 + \sigma_2$$

$$\leq -\lambda ||e||^2 - \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j z_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^3 \beta \tilde{\theta}_i^T \tilde{\theta}_i + b,$$
where  $\lambda = \lambda_E - \frac{3}{2}, \ \beta = \frac{\kappa_j}{2r_i} - \frac{3}{2}$  and  $b = q + \sigma_2$ .

Select the appropriate design parameters to make  $\lambda > 0$ and  $\beta > 0$ , and define

$$a = \min\{2\lambda / \lambda_{\min}(P), 2c_i, 2\beta r_i\}, i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(63)

Then, (62) can be written as

$$\dot{V} \leqslant -aV + b. \tag{64}$$

Therefore, from (64), it is concluded that

$$0 \leqslant V(t) \leqslant V(0) e^{-a(t-t_0)} + \frac{b}{a}, \tag{65}$$

where  $t_0$  is the initial time. Inequality (65) illustrates that all the signals of the LSM system are uniformly ultimately bounded. From (26), it can be seen that  $-1 < \tanh(\eta) < 1$  and v(t) > 0, thus  $-v(t) < v(t) \tanh(\eta) < v(t)$  is obtained. Furthermore, it yields that  $-v(t) < e_0(t) < v(t)$ , hence the constraint by (26) can realize that the tracking error  $e_0(t)$  of motor position can be limited in the range (-v(t), v(t)). When the value of v(t) is decreased,  $e_0(t)$  can be limited in  $\Delta = \{e_0(t) \in R : | e_0(t) | < v_{T_f}, t \ge T_f\}$  at finite tuning time  $T_f$ . Therefore, the control objective is achieved.

# V. SIMULATION

In this section, the performance of the proposed control method is verified by simulation. The motor parameters and controller parameters are shown in TABLE I, where  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$  are the parameters of adaptive laws (47) and (57),  $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$  are the parameters of observer,  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  denotes controller parameters in (38), (46) and (56), and  $v_0, v_{T_f}, T_f$  are the parameters of prescribed performance function (25). By selecting appropriate parameters, the motor can follow the reference signal  $y_d(t) = \sin(t)$ , and the tracking error converges to the predetermined region at finite time. The fuzzy membership function is selected as follows:

$$\varphi_{F_i^Z}\left(\hat{x}_i\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\hat{x}_i + 3 - Z\right)^2}{4}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
  
$$Z = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$$
 (65)

Based on above design method, the simulation results are shown in Fig. 1-4. Fig. 1 describes the motor position and reference motion trajectory. From Fig. 1, it shows that the controller achieves a good tracking effect. Fig. 2 shows that

# Volume 48, Issue 1: March 2021

the observer can estimate the position and velocity states of the electronic mover when the states are not measurable.

TABLE I Selected Parameters Table		
Motor	Adaptive laws	Observer
$m = 0.65 \text{ kg} B = 0.01 \text{ N/m/s} F_c = 2.4 \text{ N} p = 1.28 \text{ mm} K_f = 27.83 \text{ N/A} R = 3 \Omega L = 0.5 \text{ mH} $	$r_{1} = 1r_{2} = 1r_{3} = 1\kappa_{1} = 6\kappa_{2} = 10\kappa_{3} = 10$	$w_1 = 1$ $w_2 = 240$ $w_3 = 120$ $w_4 = 10$
Control Laws	Prescribed Performance Function	Initial state
$c_1 = 2c_2 = 10c_3 = 15$	$v_0 = 1.25$ $v_{ty} = 0.25$ $T_f = 1$ s	$x_1(0) = 0.5 \text{ m}$ $x_2(0) = 0 \text{ m/s}$ $x_3(0) = 0 \text{ A}$ $x_4(0) = 0 \text{ A}$



Fig. 1. Position tracking performance of linear stepping motor



Fig. 2. Position and velocity estimations of linear stepping motor

Fig. 3 shows the tracking error of the motor position. Under the action of the prescribed performance function, the tracking error can quickly converge to the preset boundary in about 1 s, which shows that the control method can improve the transient and steady-state performance of the motor tracking error at finite time. In addition, the proposed controller ensures that all the signals in the closed-loop are uniformly ultimately bounded, and it can realize the motor tracking control when the motor states are unmeasurable. Under this effect, the curves of input voltage  $V_q$  and  $V_d$  are shown in Fig. 4. From the simulation analysis, It is concluded that the proposed controller can effectively improve the system performance and realize the tracking control of LSM more flexibly.



Fig. 3. Performance of tracking error





#### VI. CONCLUSION

Due to the position tracking problem of linear stepping motor, an adaptive fuzzy tracking control scheme is designed in this paper.

1. Compared with the previous motor control methods, it can improve the transient and steady-state performance of the position tracking error at finite time.

2. The proposed controller ensures that all the signals in the closed loop are uniformly ultimately bounded.

3. The backstepping method and fuzzy observer are used to solve the problem when the motor states are not measurable. The controller does not contain the known function in the state equations, which makes the control design more flexible.

4. The experimental results illustrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the control scheme.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. S. Kung, "Design and implementation of a high-performance PMLSM drives using DSP chip," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 1341-1351, 2008.
- [2] J. Hirai, T. W. Kim, and A. Kawamura, "Position-sensorless drive of linear pulse motor for suppressing transient vibration," *IEEE*

Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 337–345, 2000.

- [3] S. M. Yang, F. C. Lin, and M. T. Chen, "Micro-stepping control of a two-phase linear stepping motor with three-phase VSI inverter for high-speed applications," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1257-1264, 2004.
- [4] M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P. V. Kokotovic, Nonlinear and adaptive control design. New York: Wiley and Sons, 1995.
- [5] Y. Z. Wang, W. Q Hou, and J. R. Ding, "Robust control for a class of nonlinear switched systems with Mixed Delays," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 903-911, 2020.
- [6] Y. Wang, J. Zhao, and Y. Q. Chen, "Robot joint trajectory tracking control algorithm with Human-like smooth reaching motion profile based on sole velocity feedback," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 763-775, 2019.
- [7] S. I. Han and J. M. Lee, "Recurrent fuzzy neural network backstepping control for the prescribed output tracking performance of nonlinear dynamic systems," *ISA Transactions*, vol.53, no.1, pp.33-43, 2013.
- [8] Y. Liu, X. P. Liu, and Y. W. Jing, "Adaptive neural networks finite-time tracking control for non-strict feedback systems via prescribed performance," *Information Sciences*, vol. 468, pp. 29-46, 2018.
- [9] J. Zhou, Y. Zhang, and C. Wen, "Adaptive backstepping control of a class of uncertain nonlinear systems with unknown backlash-like hysteresis," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 1751-1757, 2004.
- [10] Z. Q. Song, W. Fang, X. Z. Liu, and A. H. Lu, "Adaptive fuzzy control for a class of MIMO nonlinear systems with bounded control inputs," *Engineering Letters*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 820-826, 2020.
- [11] J. H. Hu and J. B. Zou, "Adaptive backstepping control of permanent magnet synchronous motors with parameter uncertainties," *Control* and Decision, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 1264-1269, 2006.
- [12] A. Lagrioui and H. Mahmoudi, "Nonlinear adaptive backstepping control of permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM)," *Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1-9, 2011.
- [13] M. Morawiec, "The adaptive backstepping control of permanent magnet synchronous motor supplied by current source inverter," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1047-1055, 2013.
- [14] C. S. Ting and Y. N. Chang, "Observer-based backstepping control of linear stepping motor," *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 21, pp. 930-939, 2013.
- [15] T. S. Hwang and J. K. Seok, "Observer-based ripple force compensation for linear hybrid stepping motor drives," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2417-2424, 2007.
- [16] Y. M. Li and S. C. Tong, "Prescribed performance adaptive fuzzy output-feedback dynamic surface control for nonlinear large-scale systems with time delays," *Information Sciences*, vol. 292, pp. 125-142, 2015.
- [17] L. Wang, "Stable adaptive fuzzy control of nonlinear systems", *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy system*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 146-155, 1993.
- [18] Y. M. Li, K. W. Li, and S. C. Tong, "Finite-time adaptive fuzzy output feedback dynamic surface control for MIMO non-strict feedback systems," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 96-110, 2019.
- [19] S. C. Tong and Y. M. Li, "Robust adaptive fuzzy backstepping output feedback tracking control for nonlinear system with dynamic uncertainties," *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 307-324, 2010.
- [20] C. C. Hua, Q. G. Wang, and X. P. Guan, "Adaptive fuzzy output feedback controller design for nonlinear time delay systems with unknown control direction," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 363-374, 2009.



YUE SUN was born in Liaoning Province, P. R. China. She is currently pursuing the B.S. degree in Communication Engineering with University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, P. R. China. Her research interest is nonlinear system control.



CHUANG GAO was born in Liaoning Province, P. R. China, received the B.S. degree in Electronic and Communication Engineering from Warwick University, Coventry, UK, the M.S. degree in Digital Signal Processing from King's College, London, UK and the Ph.D. degree in Iron and Steel Metallurgy from University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, P. R. China, in 2005, 2007 and 2020.

He is currently an associate professor in School of Electronic and Information Engineering,

University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, P. R. China. He has authored over 30 research papers indexed by SCI and EI. His research interests include nonlinear system control, machine learning and intelligent control.



**XIN ZHOU** was born in Shanxi Province, P. R. China, received the B.S. degree in Information and Computation Science from Minjiang University, Fuzhou, P. R. China, in 2014.

She is currently pursuing the M.S. degree in Control Engineering with University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan, P. R. China. Her research interests include fuzzy control and adaptive control.