

# Optimal Multi Floor Facility Layout

F. Ramtin, M. Abolhasanpour, H. Hojabri, A. Hemmati, A. A. Jaafari

**Abstract**—In this paper, the two-floor facility layout problem with unequal departmental areas in multi-bay environments is addressed. A mixed integer programming formulation is developed to find the optimal solution to the problem. This model determines position and number of elevators with consideration of conflicting objectives simultaneously. Objectives include to minimize material handling cost and to maximize closeness rating.

**Index Terms**—Mixed Integer Programming, Multi Floor Layout, Multi Objective.

## I. INTRODUCTION

One of the oldest activities done by industrial engineers is facilities planning. The term facilities planning can be divided into two parts: facility location and facility layout. The latter is one of the foremost problems of modern manufacturing systems and has three sections: layout design, material handling system design and facility system design [30].

Determining the most efficient arrangement of physical departments within a facility is defined as a facility layout problem (FLP). Layout problems are known to be complex and are generally NP-Hard [10].

Classical approaches to layout designing problems tend to maximize the efficiency of layouts measured by the handling cost related to the interdepartmental flow and the distance among the departments. However, the actual problem involves several conflicting objectives hence requires a multi-objective formulation [1]. The common objectives to layout designing are minimizing the total cost of material transportation and maximizing the total closeness rating between each two departments. In some cases they are combined as below [20]:

$$\min \alpha \sum_j \sum_i (f_{ij} c_{ij}) d_{ij} - (1 - \alpha) \sum_j \sum_i r_{ij} x_{ij} \quad (1)$$

$\alpha$  is weighted coefficient of objective functions. That  $f_{ij}$  is material flow between departments  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $c_{ij}$  is the cost of moving in unit distance of material flow between departments of  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $r_{ij}$  is closeness ratio between departments of  $i$  and  $j$  and  $x_{ij}$  is an indicator which is 1 when departments of  $i$  and  $j$  have common boundary and

otherwise is zero.

Setting the parameter  $\alpha$  has been studied by Meller and Gau [21].

Aiello et al. [1] represented a two-stage multi-objective flexible-bay layout. Genetic algorithm (GA) was used to find Pareto-optimal in the first stage and the selection of an optimal solution was carried out by Electre method in second stage. These objectives considered minimization of the material handling cost, maximization of the satisfaction of weighted adjacency, maximization of the satisfaction of distance requests and maximization of the satisfaction of aspect ratio requests. Pierreval et al. [27] described evolutionary approaches to the design of manufacturing systems. Chen and Sha [6] presented a multi-objective heuristic which contained workflow, closeness rating, material-handling time and hazardous movement. Şahin and Türkbeý [28] proposed simulated annealing algorithm to find Pareto solutions for multi-objective facility layout problems including total material handling cost and closeness rating. A qualitative and quantitative multi-objective approach to facility layout was developed by Peer et al. [26]. Peer and Sharma [25] considered material handling and closeness relationships in multi-goal facilities layout. Konak et al. [13] conducted a survey on multi-objective optimization using genetic algorithms and Loiola et al. [17] provided a review paper for the quadratic assignment problem (QAP) which concerned multi-objective QAP.

In this paper we consider both issue of multi objective and multi floor. Nowadays, when it comes to the construction of a factory in an urban area, land providing is generally insufficient and expensive. The limitation of available horizontal space creates a need to use a vertical dimension of the workshop. Then, it can be relevant to locate the facilities on several floors Drira et al. [8].

Meller and Bozer [19] compared approaches of multi-floor facility layout. Lee et al [16] used GA multi-floor layout which minimized the total cost of material transportation and adjacency requirement between departments while satisfied constraints of area and aspect ratios of departments. A five-segmented chromosome represented multi-floor facility layout. Many firms are likely to consider renovating or constructing multi-floor buildings, particularly in those cases where land is limited [3]. Matsuzaki et al. [18] developed a heuristic for multi-floor facility layout considering capacity of elevator. Patsiatzis et al. [24] presented a mixed integer linear formulation for the multi-floor facility layout problem. This work was extended model of the single-floor work of Papageorgiou and Rotstein [23].

We focus on flexible bay-structured layout. In the bay-structured facility layout problems, a pre-specified rectangular floor space is first partitioned horizontally or vertically into bays and then each bay is divided into blocks with equal width but different lengths. Some typical works in

F. Ramtin, M. Abolhasanpour, H. Hojabri, A. Hemmati, A. Ardestani Jaafari, M.abolhasanpour are with the Department of Industrial Engineering, Amirkabir University, Tehran, Iran (e-mail: ardestani.amir@aut.ac.ir)

Are with the Department of Industrial Engineering, Amirkabir University, Tehran, Iran (e-mail: ardestani.amir@aut.ac.ir)

bay layout are [1], [2], [4], [5], [7], [9], [10], [11], [14], [15], [22], [26], [29].

In this paper we formulate a multi floor layout considering conflicting objectives. Objectives are common-used in previous works and include to minimize material handling cost and to maximize closeness rating.

## II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

### A. Sets and Indices

$N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ : Set of cells in block layout graph( $i, j \in N$ ).

### B. Variables

$z_{ik}$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If department } i \text{ is assigned to bay } k \text{ in the first floor} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$z'_{ik}$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If department } i \text{ is assigned to bay } k \text{ in the second floor} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$r_{ij}$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If department } i \text{ is located above department } j \text{ in the same bay} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$\delta_k$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If bay } k \text{ is occupied in first floor} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$\delta'_k$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If bay } k \text{ is occupied in second floor} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$G_i$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If department } i \text{ is located in first floor} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$y_{ij}$	$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{If department } i \text{ and } j \text{ have common boundary} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$
$w_k$	Width (the length in the $x$ -axis direction) of bay $k$ in first floor
$w'_k$	Width (the length in the $x$ -axis direction) of bay $k$ in second floor
$w_{ik}^1$	Width (the length in the $x$ -axis direction) of bay $i$ in bay $k$ in first floor
$w_{ik}^2$	Width (the length in the $x$ -axis direction) of bay $i$ in bay $k$ in second floor
$l_i^y$	Height (the length in the $y$ -axis direction) of department $i$ in first floor
$l_i'^y$	Height (the length in the $y$ -axis direction) of department $i$ in second floor
$(o_i^x, o_i^y)$	Coordinates of the centroid of department $i$ in first floor
$(o_i'^x, o_i'^y)$	Coordinates of the centroid of department $i$ in second floor
$d_{ij}^x$	Distance between the centroid of departments $i$ and $j$ in the $x$ -axis direction in first floor
$d_{ij}'^x$	Distance between the centroid of departments $i$ and $j$ in the $x$ -axis direction in second floor
$d_{ij}^y$	Distance between the centroid of departments $i$ and $j$ in the $y$ -axis direction in first floor
$d_{ij}'^y$	Distance between the centroid of departments $i$ and $j$ in the $y$ -axis direction in second floor
$h_{ik}$	Height (the length in the $y$ -axis direction) of department $i$ in first floor
$h'_{ik}$	Height (the length in the $y$ -axis direction) of department $i$ in second floor
$(Up_i^x, Up_i^y)$	Coordinates of the northeastern corner of department $i$
$(Lo_i^x, Lo_i^y)$	Coordinates of the southwestern corner of

department  $i$

$$s_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{If the first floor is used} \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$s_2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{If first elevator is located in southwest corner of facility} \\ 0, & \text{If first elevator is located in northwest corner of facility} \end{cases}$$

$$s_3 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{If second elevator is located in southeast corner of facility} \\ 0, & \text{If second elevator is located in northeast corner of facility} \end{cases}$$

### C. Parameters

$n$ :	Number of departments
$W$ :	Width of the facility along the $x$ -axis
$H$ :	Width of the facility along the $y$ -axis
$a_i$ :	Area requirement of department $i$
$\alpha_i$ :	Aspect ratio of department $i$
$l_i^{max}$ :	Maximum permissible side length of department $i$
$l_i^{min}$ :	Maximum permissible side length of department $i$
$f_{ij}$ :	Amount of material flow between departments $i$ and $j$
$c_{ij}$ :	Amount of material cost between departments $i$ and $j$ if they would be in different floors in $y$ -axis
$adj_{ij}$ :	Adjacency ratio between departments $i$ and $j$
$He$ :	Distance between two department in $z$ -axis
$p_1, p_2$ :	Weights of objective functions

### D. Assumptions

- The coordinates of the southwestern corner of the facility are (0, 0).
- In the model description, the long side of the facility is along the  $x$ -axis direction, and bays are assumed to be vertically arranged within the facility.
- If a department is assigned to a bay, then the bay must be completely filled.
- If the aspect ratio is specified to control departmental shapes, then  $l_i^{min} = \sqrt{a_i/\alpha_i}$ ,  $l_i^{max} = \sqrt{a_i\alpha_i}$

### E. Problem Formulation

In our paper, we extend their model with following constraints:

$$W(2 - G_i - G_j) + d_{ij}^x \geq (o_i^x - o_j^x) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (1)$$

$$W(2 - G_i - G_j) + d_{ij}'^x \geq (o_j^x - o_i^x) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (2)$$

$$L(2 - G_i - G_j) + d_{ij}^y \geq (o_j^y - o_i^y) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (3)$$

$$L(2 - G_i - G_j) + d_{ij}'^y \geq (o_i^y - o_j^y) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (4)$$

$$W(2 - (1 - G_i) - (1 - G_j)) + d_{ij}'^x \geq (o_i^x - o_j^x) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (5)$$

$$W(2 - (1 - G_i) - (1 - G_j)) + d_{ij}^x \geq (o_j^x - o_i^x) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (6)$$

$$L(2 - (1 - G_i) - (1 - G_j)) + d_{ij}'^y \geq (o_i^y - o_j^y) \quad \forall i < j, \quad (7)$$

$$L(2 - (1 - G_i) - (1 - G_j)) + d_{ij}^y \quad \forall i < j, \quad (8) \quad r_{ij} + r_{ji} \geq z'_{ik} + z'_{jk} - 1 \quad \forall \quad (31)$$

$$\geq (o_j^y - o_i^y) \quad , k, \quad i < j$$

Constraints (1)–(8) linearize the absolute value term in the rectilinear distance function in first and second floor.

$$\sum_k z_{ik} = G_i \quad \forall i, \quad (9) \quad 0.5l_i^y \leq o_j^y \leq H - 0.5l_i^y \quad \forall i, \quad (32)$$

$$\sum_k z'_{ik} = 1 - G_i \quad \forall i, \quad (10) \quad 0.5l_i^y \leq o_j^y \leq H - 0.5l_i^y \quad \forall i, \quad (33)$$

Constraints (9), (10) state that each department is located in a bay.

$$w_k = \frac{1}{L} \sum_i z_{ik} a_i \quad \forall k, \quad (11) \quad \text{Constraints (11)–(33) state restrictions of length and width of each department and determine coordination of each department.}$$

$$w'_k = \frac{1}{L} \sum_i z'_{ik} a_i \quad \forall k, \quad (12) \quad w_i^1 = \sum_k z_{ik} w_k \quad \forall i, k, \quad (34)$$

$$l_i^{\min} z_{ik} \leq w_k \leq l_i^{\max} + W(1 - z_{ik}) \quad \forall i, k, \quad (13) \quad w_i^2 = \sum_k z'_{ik} w'_k \quad \forall i, k, \quad (35)$$

$$l_i^{\min} z'_{ik} \leq w'_k \leq l_i^{\max} + W(1 - z'_{ik}) \quad \forall i, k, \quad (14) \quad o_i^x - o_j^x \leq 0.5(w_i^1 + w_j^1) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (36)$$

$$o_i^x \leq \sum_{j \leq k} w_j - 0.5w_k + (W - l_i^{\min})(1 - z_{ik}) \quad \forall i, j, \quad (15) \quad o_j^x - o_i^x \leq 0.5(w_i^1 + w_j^1) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (37)$$

$$o_i^x \geq \sum_{j \leq k} w_j - 0.5w_k - (W - l_i^{\min})(1 - z_{ik}) \quad \forall i, j, \quad (16) \quad o_i^x - o_j^x \leq 0.5(w_i^2 + w_j^2) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (38)$$

$$o_i^x \leq \sum_{j \leq k} w'_j - 0.5w'_k \quad \forall i, j, \quad (17) \quad o_j^x - o_i^x \leq 0.5(w_i^2 + w_j^2) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (39)$$

$$o_i^x \geq \sum_{j \leq k} w'_j - 0.5w'_k \quad \forall i, j, \quad (18) \quad o_i^y - o_j^y \leq 0.5(l_i^y + l_j^y) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (40)$$

$$- (W - l_i^{\min})(1 - z'_{ik}) \quad \forall i, j, \quad (19) \quad o_j^y - o_i^y \leq 0.5(l_i^y + l_j^y) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{h_{ik}}{a_i} - \frac{h_{jk}}{a_j} - \max \left\{ \frac{l_i^{\max}}{a_i}, \frac{l_j^{\max}}{a_j} \right\} (2 - z_{ik} - z_{jk}) \leq 0 \quad \forall i, j, \quad (20) \quad o_i^y - o_j^y \leq 0.5(l_i^y + l_j^y) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{h_{ik}}{a_i} - \frac{h_{jk}}{a_j} + \max \left\{ \frac{l_i^{\max}}{a_i}, \frac{l_j^{\max}}{a_j} \right\} (2 - z_{ik} - z_{jk}) \geq 0 \quad \forall i, j, \quad (21) \quad o_j^y - o_i^y \leq 0.5(l_i^y + l_j^y) + W(1 - y_{ij}) \quad \forall \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{h'_{ik}}{a_i} - \frac{h'_{jk}}{a_j} - \max \left\{ \frac{l_i^{\max}}{a_i}, \frac{l_j^{\max}}{a_j} \right\} (2 - z'_{ik} - z'_{jk}) \leq 0 \quad \forall i, j, \quad (22) \quad y_{ij} \leq G_i - G_j + 1 \quad \forall \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{h'_{ik}}{a_i} - \frac{h'_{jk}}{a_j} + \max \left\{ \frac{l_i^{\max}}{a_i}, \frac{l_j^{\max}}{a_j} \right\} (2 - z'_{ik} - z'_{jk}) \geq 0 \quad \forall i, j, \quad (23) \quad y_{ij} \leq G_j - G_i + 1 \quad \forall \quad (44)$$

$$\sum_i h_{ik} = H \delta_k \quad \forall i, k, \quad (24) \quad \text{Constraints (34) and (44) determine which two departments can be have common boundary.}$$

$$\sum_i h'_{ik} = H \delta'_k \quad \forall i, k, \quad (25) \quad F_1 = \sum_{j>i} \sum_i c_{ij} f_{ij} \left( \begin{matrix} (d_{ij}^x + d_{ij}^y) \\ +(d'_{ij}^x + d'_{ij}^y) \end{matrix} \right) (G_i G_j + (1 - G_i)(1 - G_j)) \quad (45)$$

$$l_i^{\min} z_{ik} \leq h_{ik} \leq l_i^{\max} z_{ik} \quad \forall i, k, \quad (26) \quad \text{Statement (45) calculates material handling cost if two departments be in same floor.}$$

$$l_i^{\min} z'_{ik} \leq h'_{ik} \leq l_i^{\max} z'_{ik} \quad \forall i, k, \quad (27) \quad I = (1 - s_1) s_3 ((o_i^x + o_i^y) + (o_j^x + o_j^y)) \quad (46)$$

$$\sum_k h_{ik} = l_i^y \quad \forall i, k, \quad (28) \quad II = (1 - s_1)(1 - s_3) (((L - o_i^y) + o_i^x) + ((L - o_i^y) + o_i^x)) \quad (47)$$

$$\sum_k h'_{ik} = l_i^y \quad \forall i, k, \quad (28) \quad III = s_1 s_2 (((W - o_i^x) + o_i^y) + ((W - o_i^x) + o_i^y)) \quad (48)$$

$$o_i^y - 0.5l_i^y \geq o_j^y + 0.5l_i^y - H(1 - r_{ij}) \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad (29) \quad IV = s_1(1 - s_2) (((W - o_i^x) + (L - o_i^y)) + ((W - o_i^x) + (L - o_i^y))) \quad (49)$$

$$o_i^y - 0.5l_i^y \geq o_j^y + 0.5l_i^y - H(1 - r_{ij}) \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad (30) \quad F_2 = \sum_{j>i} \sum_i f_{ij} (c_{ij} H e + (I + II + III + IV)) (G_i(1 - G_j) + G_j(1 - G_i)) \quad (50)$$

(46)- (50) determine material handling cost between two departments if they are in different floors.

$$Up_i^x = (o_i^x + o_i^{ix}) + 0.5(w_i^1 + w_i^2) \quad \forall i, \quad (51)$$

$$Lo_i^x = (o_i^x + o_i^{ix}) - 0.5(w_i^1 + w_i^2) \quad \forall i, \quad (52)$$

$$Up_i^y = (o_i^y + o_i^{iy}) + 0.5(l_i^y + l_i^{iy}) \quad \forall i, \quad (53)$$

$$Lo_i^y = (o_i^y + o_i^{iy}) - 0.5(l_i^y + l_i^{iy}) \quad \forall i, \quad (54)$$

$$F_4 = \sum_{j>i} \sum_i adj_{ij} \left( \begin{aligned} & \left( \min(Up_i^x, Up_j^x) - \max(Lo_i^x, Lo_j^x) \right) \\ & + \\ & \left( \min(Up_i^y, Up_j^y) - \max(Lo_i^y, Lo_j^y) \right) \end{aligned} \right) \left( G_i G_j + (1 - G_i)(1 - G_j) \right) \quad (55)$$

(51)- (55) calculate summation of closeness rating between departments.

$$\min z = p_1(F_1 + F_2 + F_3) - p_2 F_4 \quad (56)$$

$$p_1 + p_2 = 1; p_1, p_2 \geq 0 \quad (57)$$

Objectives were formulated in a weighted form using (56) and (57)

$$A = xy; x \geq 0, y \in \{0,1\} \quad (58)$$

$$A \leq My; M \text{ is big number} \quad (59)$$

$$A \leq x + M(1 - y) \quad (60)$$

$$A \geq x - M(1 - y) \quad (61)$$

Constraints (58)-(61) can afford to linearize product of variable by integer variable.

### III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a multi-objective mixed integer linear programming model was developed to find the optimal solution to the multi-floor facility layout problem with unequal departmental areas in multi-bay environments where the bays are connected at one or two ends by an inter-bay material handling system.

### REFERENCES

[1] Aiello G, Enea M, Galante G, A multi-objective approach to facility layout problem by genetic search algorithm and Electre method, *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, Vol. 22, (2006), 447-455.  
 [2] Arapoglu R.A, Norman B.A, Smith A.E, Locating Input and Output Points in Facilities Design-A Comparison of Constructive, Evolutionary, and Exact Methods, *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, Vol. 5, (2001), 192-203.  
 [3] Bozer Y.A, Meller R.D, Erlebacher S.J, An Improvement-Type Layout Algorithm for Single and Multiple Floor Facilities, *Management Science*, Vol. 40, (1994), 918-932.  
 [4] Castillo I, Peters B.A, Integrating design and production planning considerations in multi-bay manufacturing facility layout, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 157, (2004), 671-687.  
 [5] Chae J, Peters B.A, Layout Design of Multi-Bay Facilities with Limited Bay Flexibility, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 25, (2006), 1-11.  
 [6] Chen C.W, Sha D.Y, Heuristic approach for solving the multi-objective facility layout problem, *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 43, (2005), 4493-4507.  
 [7] Chen Y.K, Lin S.W, Chou S.Y, An efficient two-staged approach for generating block layouts, *Computers & Operations Research*, Vol. 29, (2002), 489-504.  
 [8] Drira A, Pierreval H, Hajri-Gabouj S, Facility layout problems: A survey, *Annual Reviews in Control*, Vol. 31, (2007), 255-267.  
 [9] Eklund N.H, Embrechts M.J, Goetschalckx M, An Efficient Chromosome Encoding and Problem-Specific Mutation Methods for the Flexible Bay Facility Layout Problem, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, MAN, and Cybernetics—part C: applications and reviews*, Vol. 36, (2006), 109-113.  
 [10] Enea M, Galante G, Panascia E, The facility layout problem approached using a fuzzy model and a genetic search, *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, Vol. 16, (2005), 303-316.  
 [11] Garey M. R, Johnson D. S, *Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*, WH Freeman, New York, (1979).

[12] Kim J G, Kim YD, A space partitioning method for facility layout problems with shape constraints, *IIE Transactions*, Vol. 30, (1998), 947-57.  
 [13] Konak A, Coit D.W, Smith A.E, *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, Vol. 91, (2006), 992-1007.  
 [14] Konak A, Kulturel-Konak S, Bryan A.N, Smith A.E, A new mixed integer programming formulation for facility layout design using flexible bays, *Operations Research Letters*, Vol. 34, (2006), 660-672.  
 [15] Kulturel-Konak S, Norman B.A, Coit D.W, Smith A.E, Exploiting Tabu Search Memory in Constrained Problems, *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, Vol. 16, (2004), 241-254.  
 [16] Lee K.Y, Roh M, Jeong H, An improved genetic algorithm for multi-floor facility layout problems having inner structure walls and passages, *Computers & Operations Research*, Vol. 32, (2005), 879-899.  
 [17] Lioila E.M, de Abreu N.M.M, Boaventura-Netto P.O, Hahn.P, Querido T, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 176, (2007), 657-690.  
 [18] Matsuzaki K, Irohara T, Yoshimoto K, Heuristic algorithm to solve the multi-floor layout problem with the consideration of elevator utilization, *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 36, (1999), 487-502.  
 [19] Meller R.D, Bozer Y.A, Alternative Approaches to Solve the Multi-Floor Facility Layout Problem, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 16, (1997),  
 [20] Meller R.D, Gau K.Y, An Investigation of Facility Layout Objective Functions and Robust Layouts, *International Journal of Production Research*, 1996  
 [21] Meller R.D, Gau K.Y, The Facility Layout Problem: Recent and Emerging Trends and Perspectives, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, Vol. 15, (1997),  
 [22] Meller R.D, The multi-bay manufacturing facility layout problem, *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 35, (1997), 1229-1237.  
 [23] Papageorgiou L.G, Rotstein G.E, Continuous-domain mathematical models for optimal process layout, *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 37, (1998), 3631-3639.  
 [24] Patsiatzis D.I, Papageorgiou L.G, Optimal multi-floor process plant layout, *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 26, (2002), 575-583.  
 [25] Peer S.K, Sharma D.K, Human-computer interaction design with multi-goal facilities layout model, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, Vol. 56, (2008), 2164-2174.  
 [26] Peters B.A, Yang T, Integrated Facility Layout and Material Handling System Design in Semiconductor Fabrication Facilities, *IEEE Transactions on semiconductor manufacturing*, Vol. 10, (1997), 1-1.  
 [27] Pierreval H, Caux C, Paris J.L, Viguier F, Evolutionary approaches to the design and organization of manufacturing systems, *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 44, (2003), 339-364.  
 [28] Şahin R, Türkbeý O, A simulated annealing algorithm to find approximate Pareto optimal solutions for the multi-objective facility layout problem, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Vol.37, (2008).  
 [29] Tate D.M, Smith A.E, Unequal-area facility layout by genetic search, *IIE Transaction*, Vol. 27, (1995), 465-472.  
 [30] Tompkins J.A, Bozer Y.A, Tanchoco J.M.A, White J.A, Tanchoco J, *Facilities Planning*, Wiley, New York, ( 2003).