

# A Mixed Quadrature Rule by Blending Clenshaw-Curtis and Gauss-Legendre Quadrature Rules for Approximation of Real Definite Integrals in Adaptive Environment

Rajani B. Dash and Debasish Das

**Abstract** - A mixed quadrature rule blending Clenshaw-Curtis five point rule and Gauss-Legendre three point rule is formed. The mixed rule has been tested in adaptive environment and it is found to be more effective than that of Clenshaw-Curtis five point rule.

**Key Words** - Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature rule, Gauss-Legendre 3-point rule, mixed quadrature rule. Adaptive quadrature method.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Real definite integrals of the type

$$I(f) = \int_a^b f(t) dt \quad (1.1)$$

have been successfully approximated by several Authors [1], [2], [3] by applying the mixed quadrature rule. The method involves construction of a symmetric quadrature rule of higher precision as a linear combination of two other rules of equal lower precision.

If we consider a Gauss-Legendre rule and a Clenshaw-Curtis rule having same precision, Clenshaw-Curtis rule is better than Gauss-Legendre rule. An  $n$ -point Gaussian rule is of precision  $2n-1$ , while the precision of an  $n$ -point Clenshaw-Curtis rule is  $n$ . In general, Gauss type rule is of higher precision than that of Clenshaw-Curtis type rule when same number of abscissae are used.

In this paper, taking the advantage of the fact that Gauss-Legendre 3-point rule and Clenshaw-Curtis 5-point rule are of same precision (i.e. precision 5), we formed a mixed quadrature rule of higher precision (i.e. precision 7) taking linear combination of these rules. The mixed rule so formed has been tested on different definite integrals giving better results than Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature rule in adaptive environment.

## II. THE CLENSHAW-CURTIS QUADRATURE RULE

The Clenshaw-Curtis method [4] essentially approximates a function  $f(t)$  over any interval  $[\alpha - h, \alpha + h]$  using the Chebyshev polynomials  $T_r(x)$  of degree  $n$

$$f(t) = F(x) = \sum_{r=0}^n a_r T_r(x) \quad (-1 \leq x \leq 1) \quad (2.1)$$

where,  $a_r$  are the expansion coefficients and  $\sum'$  denotes a finite sum whose first term is to be halved before beginning to sum. That is,

R.B.D. Author is with the Department of Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753003, Odisha, India.  
(e-mail : rajani\_bdash@rediffmail.com)  
D.D. Author is with the Department of Mathematics, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack-753003, Odisha, India.  
(e-mail:debasidas100@gmail.com)

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 T_0(x) + a_1 T_1(x) + a_2 T_2(x) + \dots + a_n T_n(x) \quad (2.2)$$

Collocating with  $f(\alpha + hx)$  at the  $n+1$  points

$$x_i = \cos\left(\frac{i\pi}{n}\right), \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (2.3)$$

one can evaluate the expansion coefficients  $a_r$ .

The Chebyshev polynomials  $T_r(x_i)$  can be expressed as

$$T_r(x_i) = \cos(r \cos^{-1}(x_i)) \quad r \geq 0 \quad (2.4)$$

$$= \cos\left(\frac{ri\pi}{n}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum_{i=0}^n f(\alpha + hx_i) T_r(x_i) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T_k(x_i) T_r(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \sum_{i=0}^n \cos\left(\frac{ki\pi}{n}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{ri\pi}{n}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The notation  $\sum'$  means that the first and last terms are to be halved before summation begins.

The orthogonality of the cosine function [5] with respect to the points  $x_i = \cos\left(\frac{i\pi}{n}\right)$  is expressed by

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \cos\left(\frac{ki\pi}{n}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{ri\pi}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} n & r = k = 0 \text{ or } n \\ \frac{n}{2} & 0 < r = k < n \\ 0 & r \neq k \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Substituting Eq(2.6) into Eq(2.5), gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^n f(\alpha + hx_i) T_r(x_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} a_r & 0 \leq r = k < n \\ n a_r & r = k = n \\ 0 & r \neq k \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$a_r = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(\alpha + hx_i) T_r(x_i) & (r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(\alpha + hx_i) T_r(x_i) & (r = n) \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Denoting the integral of  $f(t)$  over the interval  $[\alpha - h, \alpha + h]$  by  $I$ , and replacing  $t$  by  $\alpha + hx$ , we get

$$I = h \int_{-1}^1 f(\alpha + hx) dx$$

Assuming  $I \approx I_n$ , we write

$$I_n = h \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{r=0}^n a_r T_r(x) dx$$

$$= h \sum_{r=0}^n a_r \int_{-1}^1 T_r(x) dx$$

Substituting the values of  $a_r$  (as given in Eq 2.7), we get

$$= h \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(\alpha + hx_i) T_r(x_i) \int_{-1}^1 T_r(x) dx$$

Since  $\int_{-1}^1 T_r(x) dx = \frac{-2}{r^2 - 1} \quad (r = \text{even}), \quad (2.8)$

we get  $I_n = h \sum_{i=0}^n w_i f(\alpha + hx_i) \quad (2.9 (a))$

where  $w_i = -\frac{4}{n} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{r^2 - 1} T_r(x_i) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (2.9 (b))$

With  $n = 4$ ,

$$I_4 = \frac{h}{15} \left[ f(\alpha + h) + 8f\left(\alpha + \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + 12f(\alpha) + 8f\left(\alpha - \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + f(\alpha - h) \right] \quad (2.10)$$

### III. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MIXED QUADRATURE RULE OF PRECISION SEVEN

We choose Clenshaw-Curtis five point rule

$$I(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx \approx R_{CC_5}(f)$$

$$= \frac{1}{15} \left[ f(1) + 8f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + 12f(0) + 8f\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + f(-1) \right] \quad (3.1)$$

and the Gauss-Legendre three point rule

$$I(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx \approx R_{GL_3}(f)$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5f\left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right) + 8f(0) + 5f\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right) \right] \quad (3.2)$$

Each of the rules(3.1) and (3.2) is of precision five. Let  $E_{CC_5}(f)$  and  $E_{GL_3}(f)$  denote the errors in approximating the integral  $I(f)$  by the rules (3.1) and (3.2) respectively. Using Maclaurin's expansion of functions in Eqs (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$I(f) = R_{CC_5}(f) + E_{CC_5}(f) \quad (3.3)$$

and  $I(f) = R_{GL_3}(f) + E_{GL_3}(f) \quad (3.4)$

where

$$E_{CC_5}(f) = \frac{1}{315 \times 5!} f^{(6)}(0) + \frac{1}{360 \times 7!} f^{(8)}(0) + \dots$$

$$E_{GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{175 \times 90} f^{(6)}(0) + \frac{88}{1125 \times 8!} f^{(8)}(0) + \dots$$

Now multiplying the equations (3.3) and (3.4) by  $\frac{1}{5}$  and  $-\frac{1}{12}$  respectively, and then adding the resulting equations, we obtain

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{7} [12R_{CC_5}(f) - 5R_{GL_3}(f)] + \frac{1}{7} [12E_{CC_5}(f) - 5E_{GL_3}(f)]$$

or  $I(f) = R_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) + E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) \quad (3.5)$

where  $R_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [12R_{CC_5}(f) - 5R_{GL_3}(f)] \quad (3.6)$

This is the desired mixed quadrature rule of precision seven for the approximate evaluation of  $I(f)$ . The truncation error generated in this approximation is given by

$$E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [12E_{CC_5}(f) - 5E_{GL_3}(f)]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{450 \times 7!} f^{(8)}(0) + \dots \quad (3.7)$$

The rule (3.6) may be called a mixed type rule as it is constructed from two different types of rules of the same precision. (i.e, precision 5)

### IV. ERROR ANALYSIS

An asymptotic error estimate and an error bound of the rule (3.6) are given in theorems 4.1(a) and 4.1(b), respectively.

*Theorem 4.1(a)*

Let  $f(x)$  be a sufficiently differentiable function in the closed interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Then the error  $E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)$  associated with the rule  $R_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)$  is given by

$$|E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)| \approx \frac{1}{450 \times 7!} |f^{(8)}(0)|$$

*Proof*

From Eq(3.5),

$$I(f) = R_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) + E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)$$

where  $R_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [12R_{CC_5}(f) - 5R_{GL_3}(f)]$

and  $E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) = \frac{1}{7} [12E_{CC_5}(f) - 5E_{GL_3}(f)]$

Hence  $E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) = -\frac{1}{450 \times 7!} f^{(8)}(0) + \dots$

So  $|E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)| \approx \frac{1}{450 \times 7!} |f^{(8)}(0)|$

*Theorem 4.1(b)*

The bound for the truncation error

$$E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f) = I(f) - R_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)$$

is given by

$$|E_{CC_5 GL_3}(f)| \leq \frac{M}{22050} |(\eta_2 - \eta_1)| \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \in [-1, 1]$$

where  $M = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f^{(7)}(x)|$

*Proof*

We have  $E_{CC_5}(f) \approx \frac{1}{315 \times 5!} f^{(6)}(\eta_2) \quad \eta_2 \in [-1, 1]$

$$E_{GL_3}(f) \approx \frac{1}{175 \times 90} f^{(6)}(\eta_1) \quad \eta_1 \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hence } E_{CC_5GL_3} &= \frac{1}{7} [12E_{CC_5}(f) - 5E_{GL_3}(f)] \\ &= \frac{1}{22050} [f^{(6)}(\eta_2) - f^{(6)}(\eta_1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{22050} \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} f^{(7)}(x) dx \text{ (assuming } \eta_1 < \eta_2 \text{)}\end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$|E_{CC_5GL_3}(f)| = \left| \frac{1}{22050} \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} f^{(7)}(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{22050} \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} |f^{(7)}(x)| dx$$

$$\text{So } |E_{CC_5GL_3}(f)| \leq \frac{M}{22050} (\eta_2 - \eta_1)$$

which gives only a theoretical error bound, as  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are unknown points in  $[-1, 1]$ . It shows that the error in the approximation will be less if the points  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  are close to each other.

### Corollary

The error bound for the truncation error  $E_{CC_5GL_3}(f)$  is given by

$$|E_{CC_5GL_3}(f)| \leq \left( \frac{M}{11025} \right) (\eta_2 - \eta_1)$$

*Proof*

We know from the theorem 4.1 (b) that

$$|E_{CC_5GL_3}(f)| \leq \frac{M}{22050} (\eta_2 - \eta_1) \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\text{where } M = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f^{(7)}(x)|$$

Choosing  $|(\eta_1 - \eta_2)| \leq 2$ , we have

$$|E_{CC_5GL_3}(f)| \leq \frac{M}{11025}$$

## V. NUMERICAL VERIFICATION

TABLE -1

Comparison of the mixed quadrature rule with Clenshaw-Curtis 5- point rule in approximation of some real definite integrals by adaptive quadrature method.

| Integrals   | Exact value        | Approximate value   |                            |   |                          | Maximum admissible absolute error( $\varepsilon$ ) |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
|   |                    | Clenshaw-Curtis 5-point quadrature rule ( $R_{CC_5}(f)$ ) by adaptive quadrature method | No. of Inter-val's divided | Mixed quadrature rule ( $R_{CC_5GL_3}(f)$ ) by adaptive quadrature method | No. of Intervals divided |  |
| $I_1 = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dx}{1 + \cos x}$      | 1                  | 0.999999824050772   | 2                          | 1.000000097429282   | 1                        | $\varepsilon_1 = 0.000001$                         |
| $I_2 = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{dx}{5 + 4 \cos x}$      | 1.047197551196503  | 1.047197543767417   | 6                          | 1.047197549459474   | 3                        | $\varepsilon_2 = 0.000001$                         |
| $I_3 = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1 + 25x^2}$             | 0.274680153389003  | 0.274680188936363   | 6                          | 0.274680147293959   | 3                        | $\varepsilon_3 = 0.000001$                         |
| $I_4 = \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^3 x \, dx$             | 0.666666666666...  | 0.666666655780439   | 6                          | 0.666666666387270   | 3                        | $\varepsilon_4 = 0.000001$                         |
| $I_5 = \int_0^{\pi/4} \frac{1}{1 + \sin x} \, dx$ | 0.5857864372626905 | 0.585786436967197   | 3                          | 0.585786438175938   | 1                        | $\varepsilon_5 = 0.00000001$                       |
| $I_6 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+x} \, dx$              | 0.693147180559945  | 0.693147151845538   | 2                          | 0.693147191045928   | 1                        | $\varepsilon_6 = 0.0000001$                        |
| $I_7 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-0.5x^4} \, dx$         | 1.143667254069416  | 1.143666134639847   | 3                          | 1.143669272509230   | 2                        | $\varepsilon_7 = 0.00001$                          |
| $I_8 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+100x^2} \, dx$         | 0.147112767430373  | 0.147112762552179   | 8                          | 0.147112867618549   | 4                        | $\varepsilon_8 = 0.000001$                         |
| $I_9 = \int_1^2 \frac{\ln x}{x} \, dx$            | 0.240226506959101  | 0.240226563432822   | 2                          | 0.240226480745529   | 1                        | $\varepsilon_9 = 0.000001$                         |
| $I_{10} = \int_1^2 \frac{1}{e^x - 1} \, dx$       | 0.313261687518223  | 0.313261687317049   | 6                          | 0.313261688035760   | 2                        | $\varepsilon_{10} = 0.000000001$                   |

## VI. CONCLUSION

Above ten examples give a clear picture about the effectiveness of imposing mixed quadrature rule in adaptive environment. The mixed quadrature rule  $(R_{CC_5GL_3}(f))$  reduces the number of steps required to approximate an integral in adaptive quadrature method in comparison to its constituent Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature rule.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.N.Das and G. Pradhan, 'A mixed quadrature rule for approximate evaluation of real definite integrals'. [INT. J. MATH. EDUC. SCI. TECHNOL., 1996, VOL.27, NO 2, 279-283]
- [2] R.N. Das and G. Pradhan, 'A mixed quadrature rule for numerical integration of analytic functions', Bulletin, Cal. Math soc, 89: 37-42
- [3] R. B. Dash and S.K. Mohanty, 'A mixed quadrature rule for numerical integration of analytic functions', Bulletin, Pure and Applied Sciences. Vol. 27E (No. 2), 2008, p: 369-372
- [4] J. Oliver (1971). A doubly-adaptive Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature method, Computing centre, University of Essex, Wivenhoe park, Colchester, Essex.
- [5] Kendall E. Atkinson, 'An introduction to numerical analysis', 2nd. ed. (John Wiley), 2001.
- [6] Conte, S and C. de Boor, 'Elementary numerical analysis (Mc-Graw Hill), 1980.
- [7] Erwin Kreyszig, 'Advanced engineering mathematics', 8th ed. (John Wiley), 2005.