Ruin Probability for Non-standard Poisson Risk Model with Stochastic Returns

Tao Jiang *

Abstract—This paper investigates the finite time ruin probability in non-homogeneous Poisson risk model, conditional Poisson risk models and renewal risk model with stochastic returns. Under the assumption that the claimsize is subexponentially distributed, a simple asymptotic relation is established when the initial capital tends to infinity. The results obtained extend the corresponding results of constant interest force. Key Words:

Keywords: ruin probability, conditional Poisson process, renewal risk model, non-homogeneous Poisson process, subexponential classy, regularly varying tail

1 The model

We consider a Sparre Anderson model perturbed by a diffusion. In this model the claim sizes, X_n , n = 1, 2, ..., constitute a sequence of independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) and non-negative random variables (r.v.'s) with common distribution function (d.f.) $F = 1 - \overline{F}$. The claim arrival times, σ_n , n = 1, 2, ..., form a renewal counting process

$$N(t) = \max\{n \ge 1 : \sigma_n \le t\}, t > 0, \tag{1}$$

with a constant intensity λ , where, max $\phi = 0$ by convention. The total surplus of a company up to time t, with perturbed term $\sigma_0 W_0(t)$, is denoted by U(t), which satisfies the following equation:

$$U(t) = u + ct - \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} X_k + \sigma_0 B_0(s), \qquad (2)$$

where, u > 0 is the initial capital, c > 0 is the constant rate of premium, $\{B_0(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a standard Brownian motion and $\sigma_0 > 0$ is the volatility coefficient of $\sigma_0 B_0(t)$. If the inter-arrival times $\sigma_1, \sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}$ for n = 2, 3, ...have a common exponential distribution, then the model above is called C-L model.

If in the case of C-L model, parameter λ is time dependent, then $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called non-homogeneous with

intensity function $\{\lambda(t), t \ge 0\}$. If for arbitrarily fixed t, s > 0, N(t) satisfies that

$$P(N(t+s) - N(s) = k) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} G_\Lambda(d\lambda),$$

where Λ is a r.v. with d.f. G_{Λ} . Then $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called conditional Poisson process.

All limit relationships in this paper, unless otherwise stated, are for $u \to \infty$. $A \sim B$ and $A \stackrel{>}{\sim} B$ respectively mean that $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{A}{B} = 1$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{A}{B} \ge 1$.

1.1 Stochastic Returns

If an insurer invests his capital in a risky asset, then its capital value should be specified by a geometric Brownian motion

$$dV_t = V_t(\mu dt + \sigma dB(t)), \tag{3}$$

where $\{B(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a standard Brownian motion and $r \ge 0, \sigma \ge 0$ are respectively called expected rate of return and volatility coefficient. It is well known that stochastic equation (3) has the following solution

$$V_t = V(0)e^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma B(t)}$$

Therefore, at time t, the surplus with risky investment could be expressed as

$$U(t) = e^{\Delta(t)} (u + \int_0^t e^{-\Delta(s)} dU(s)),$$
(4)

where, $\Delta(t) = \beta t + \sigma B(t), \ \beta = \mu - \sigma^2/2.$

Through out, $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$, $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$, $\{B(t), t \ge 0\}$ and $\{B_0(t), t \ge 0\}$ are assumed to be mutually independent. We define

$$\psi(u,T) = P(\inf_{0 \le s \le T} U(s) < 0 | U(0) = u),$$

the finite time ruin probability within time T. If $T = \infty$, we say that $\psi(u, \infty)$ is ultimate ruin probability. This concept illustrates the possibility that the surplus process moves below zero.

Under the assumption that the risk models are nonhomogenous, conditional Poisson process and renewal risk model respectively. In this paper will derive some asymptotics of finite time ruin probabilities with stochastic returns.

^{*}This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 70471071 and Grant No. 70871104) and the planning project of National Educational Bureau of China (Grant No. 08JA630078). Manuscript submitted March 15, 2009. Postal address: School of Finance Zhejiang Gongshang University Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang , P. R. China. Email: jtao@263.net(Tao Jiang)

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2009 Vol II WCE 2009, July 1 - 3, 2009, London, U.K.

1.2 Some Related Results

Heavy-tailed risk has played an important role in insurance and finance because it can describe large claims; see Embrechts et al. (see [6]) for a nice review. We give here several important classes of heavy-tailed distributions for further references:

• class \mathcal{L} (Long-tailed): a d.f. F belongs to \mathcal{L} iff

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x+t)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 1$$

for any t (or equivalently, for t = 1);

• class $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$: a distribution F belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ iff

$$\overline{F}(x) = x^{-\alpha}L(x), \quad x > 0,$$

where L(x) is a slowly varying function as $x \to \infty$ and index $-\alpha < 0$. $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ is called regularly varying function class, or Pareto-like function class with index $-\alpha$.

• class \mathcal{S} (Subexponential): a d.f. F belongs to \mathcal{S} iff

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\overline{F^{*n}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = r$$

for any n (or equivalently, for n = 2); where F^{*n} denotes the *n*-fold convolution of F, with convention that F^{*0} is a d.f. degenerate at 0.

These heavy-tailed classes satisfies $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha} \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$ (see Embrechts et al. [6]). The asymptotic behavior of the ultimate ruin probability $\psi(u)$ is an important topic in risk theory. In the recent literature, the asymptotic behavior of the ruin probability with constant interest force has been extensively investigated. One of the interesting results was obtained by Klüppelberg and Stadtmuller([12]), who used a very complicated L_p transform method, proved that, in the Cramér-Lundberg risk model, if the claimsize is of regularly varying with index $-\alpha$, then

$$\psi(u) \sim \frac{\lambda}{\alpha r} \overline{F}(u),$$
(5)

where r is constant interest force. As mussen ([1]) and As mussen et al. ([2]) obtained a more general result:

$$\psi(u) \sim \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(y)}{y} \mathrm{d}y,$$
(6)

where the claimsize is assumed to be in S^* , an important subclass of S. In the case of compound Poisson model with constant interest force and without diffusion term, Tang ([16]) obtained the asymptotic formula of finite time ruin probability for sub-exponential claims. Tang ([17]) proved that, in the renewal risk model with constant interest force, if the d.f. of claimsize belongs to regularly varying class with index $-\alpha$, then ultimate ruin probability satisfies that

$$\psi(u) \sim \frac{\mathrm{Ee}^{-\mathrm{r}\alpha\theta_1}}{1 - \mathrm{Ee}^{-\mathrm{r}\alpha\theta_1}} \overline{F}(u),$$

which extends (5) essentially. Jiang ([10]) extended some results to the risky case. See also Jiang ([8], [9]). Dufresne and Gerber ([4]) first researched the ruin probability for jump-diffusion Poisson process. Veraverbeke ([20]) discussed the asymptotic behavior of ruin with diffusion term.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, main results of this paper are presented. In Section 3, after some necessary lemmas are supplied, the proofs of the main results are completed.

2 Main Results

The following theorems are main results of this paper:

Theorem 1. Consider non-homogenous Poisson model introduced in Section 1. If $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$, then it holds that

$$\psi(u;T) \sim \overline{F}(u) \int_0^T \lambda(s) e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2)s} ds.$$
 (7)

Notes and Comments. When $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ and the perturbed term disappears, the results of Tang ([10]) is consistent with this Theorem. In particular, this result is also in consistence with that of Veraverbeke ([20]), who pointed out that the diffusion term $W_0(t)$ does not influence the asymptotic behavior of the ruin probability. We should point out that the diffusion term W(t) plays an essential role in influencing the interest force.

Theorem 2. Consider conditional Poisson process introduced in Section 1. If $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$, then

$$\psi(u;T) \sim \overline{F}(u) E \Lambda \int_0^T e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2)s} ds.$$
 (8)

Notes and Comments. In these two Theorems, if parameter λ is a constant and perturbed term disappears, then (7) and (8) turn to the following:

$$\psi(u;T) \sim \frac{\lambda}{\alpha r} \overline{F}(u)(1 - e^{-\alpha rT}),$$
(9)

which is in consistence with the result of Klüppelberg and Stadtmuller ([12]).

l

Theorem 3. In the renewal model with surplus process (4). Denote

$$q = \mathbf{E}e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2)\theta_1}.$$

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2009 Vol II WCE 2009, July 1 - 3, 2009, London, U.K.

If $F \in \mathcal{S}$ and m(s) is the renewal function of N(s), then By the definition of run probability, we have

$$\psi(u;T) \sim \int_0^T P(X_1 e^{-\beta s - \sigma B(s)} \ge u) dm(s).$$
(10)

If $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$, then (10) tends to

$$\psi(u;T) \sim \overline{F}(u) \int_0^T e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2)s} dm(s).$$
(11)

If we denote $\psi_k(u)$ as the ruin probability when ruin happens not later than kth claim, then in the renewal case, we can obtain the following Theorem:

Theorem 4. In the renewal model with surplus (4). If $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$, then

$$\psi_k(u) \sim \overline{F}(u) \frac{q - q^{k+1}}{1 - q}.$$
(12)

Notes and Comments. From Theorem 5, we can get the main result of Tang (2005a) easily.

Proofs of the Main Results 3

Several Lemmas 3.1

The following lemma is well known Ross ([19]):

Lemma 1. Let $\{N(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a Poisson process with arrival times $\{\sigma_k, k \geq 1\}$. Given N(T) = n for any fixed T > 0, the random vector $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$ is equal in distribution to the random vector $(TU_{(1,n)}, ..., TU_{(n,n)})$, where $(U_{(1,n)},...,U_{(n,n)})$ are the order statistics of n i.i.d. (0,1)uniformly distributed random variables $U_1, ..., U_n$.

The following lemma can be found in many standard textbooks on stochastic process, see, for example, Karatzas and Shreve ([11]).

Lemma 2. If B(t) is a standard Brownian Motion, then the moment of any order of $\max_{0 \le t \le T} B(t)$ exists. The following Lemma can be found in Tang ([15]):

Lemma 3. Let $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be n i.i.d. subexponential r.v.s, with common distribution F. Then for any fixed $0 < a \leq b < \infty$, uniformly for all $a \leq c_i \leq b$, $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i X_i > u) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(c_i X_i > u)$$

Proofs of Main Results 3.2

Proof of Theorem 1.

$$\psi(u;T) = P\left(e^{-\Delta(t)}U(t) < 0 \text{ for some } T \ge t > 0|U(0) = u\right).$$
(13)

For each $t \in (0, T]$, we have

$$u - \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} + \sigma_0 \int_0^t e^{-\Delta(s)} dB_0(s)$$

$$\leq e^{-\Delta(t)} U(t)$$

$$\leq u + c \int_0^T e^{-\Delta(s)} ds - \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} + \sigma_0 \int_0^t e^{-\Delta(s)} dB_0(s).$$
(14)

Without essential difficulty, one can see that $\psi(u;T)$ satisfies that

$$\psi(u;T) \ge P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u + \frac{c}{\beta}\xi + \xi\eta) \qquad (15)$$

and

$$\psi(u;T) \le P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u + \xi \eta), \tag{16}$$

where

$$\xi = e^{\sigma \max_{0 \le s \le T} (-B(s))}$$

and

$$\eta = \sigma_0 \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^t e^{-\beta s} dB_0(s).$$

From Ross ([19]), N(t) with intensity function $\lambda(s)$ can be regarded as a random sampling of some homogenous Poisson process $\overline{N}(t)$ with constant parameter λ , where $\lambda(s) \leq \lambda$. Now we introduce the indicator function of event A_i , I(A_i). We say that A_i happens, if at time σ_i , with probability $\lambda(\sigma_i)/\lambda$, X_i is picked out. From Lemma 3

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u\right)$$

$$= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\overline{N}(T)} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})}I(A_{i}) \ge u\right)$$

$$= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})}I(A_{i})I(\sigma_{i} \le T) \ge u\right)$$

$$\sim \overline{F}(u)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} E[e^{-\alpha\Delta(s)}(\lambda(s)/\lambda)]dF_{\sigma_{i}}(s)$$

$$\sim \overline{F}(u)\int_{0}^{T} E[e^{-\alpha\Delta(s)}(\lambda(s)/\lambda)]dm(s)$$

$$= \overline{F}(u)\int_{0}^{T} \lambda(s)e^{-(\alpha\beta-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{2}\sigma^{2})s}ds, \quad (17)$$

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2009 Vol II WCE 2009, July 1 - 3, 2009, London, U.K.

where, we have used the fact that, the renewal function Notes and Comments. Rewrite of Poisson process m(t), is just λt . For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u + \frac{c}{\beta} \xi + \xi \eta)$$

$$\ge P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge (1+\varepsilon)u) - P(\frac{c}{\beta} \xi + \xi \eta \ge \varepsilon u)$$

$$\ge P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge (1+\varepsilon)u) - \frac{E\xi^{\tau} E(\eta + \frac{c}{\beta})^{\tau}}{(\varepsilon u)^{\tau}},$$
(18)

where we have used Markov inequality. Lemma 2 implies that $E\xi^{\tau} < \infty$. Choosing $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\frac{E\xi^{\tau}E(\eta+\frac{c}{\beta})^{\tau}}{\left(\varepsilon u\right)^{\tau}}$$

is the higher order infinitesimal of

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge (1+\varepsilon)u).$$

By (17)

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge (1+\varepsilon)u)$$

$$\sim \quad \frac{\overline{F}(u) \int_0^T \lambda(s) e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2\sigma^2)s} ds}{(1+\varepsilon)^{\alpha}}.$$
(19)

By the arbitrariness of ε , we obtain that

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u + \frac{c}{\beta} \xi + \xi \eta)$$

$$\gtrsim \quad \overline{F}(u) \int_0^T \lambda(s) e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \sigma^2)s} ds. \tag{20}$$

On the other hand

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u + \xi \eta)$$

$$\leq P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon)u) + P(\xi \eta \ge \varepsilon u)$$

$$\leq P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon)u) + \frac{E\xi^{\tau} E \eta^{\tau}}{(\varepsilon u)^{\tau}}. (21)$$

Similarly

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u + \xi \eta)$$

$$\lesssim \quad \overline{F}(u) \int_0^T \lambda(s) e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \sigma^2)s} ds. \tag{22}$$

$$\max_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-rs} dB(s)$$

=
$$\max_{0 \le t \le \frac{1-e^{-2rT}}{2r}} \int_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2r} \ln(1-2rt)} e^{-rs} dB(s). \quad (23)$$

Denote $\int_0^{-\frac{1}{2r}\ln(1-2rt)} e^{-rs} dB(s)$ by M(t). We aim to prove that M(t) is Brownian motion. From Fima ([7]), we only need to prove that, the quadratic variation process, [M, M](t), equals to t, because M(t) is a local martingale. Using the definition of the quadratic variation, we have $[M, M](t) = \int_0^{-\frac{1}{2r} \ln(1-2rt)} e^{-2rs} ds = t$. Hence, the m.g.f. of $\max_{0 \le t \le T} \int_0^t e^{-rs} dB(s)$ exists and $E\eta^{\tau}$ exists. It is not difficult to check that the result of Klüppelberg and Stadtmuller ([12]) is the special case of Theorem 1 if $F \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}, \lambda$ is some constant and $T = \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \geq u\right)$$

$$\sim \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \geq u | N(T) = n, \Lambda = \lambda\right)$$

$$P(N(T) = n | \Lambda = \lambda)G(d\lambda)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(TU_{i})} \geq u\right)$$

$$P(N(T) = n | \Lambda = \lambda)G(d\lambda)$$

$$\sim P\left(X_{1}e^{-\Delta(TU_{1})} \geq u\right)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nP(N(T) = n | \Lambda = \lambda)G(d\lambda)$$

$$= E\Lambda \overline{F}(u) \int_{0}^{T} e^{-(\alpha\beta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{2}\sigma^{2})s} ds, \qquad (24)$$

where we have used Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. We only consider

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u).$$

Because

=

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u)$$

=
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\sum_{i=1}^k X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u, N(T) = k), \quad (25)$$

while

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i e^{-\Delta(\sigma_i)} \ge u, N(T) = k)$$

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2009 Vol II WCE 2009, July 1 - 3, 2009, London, U.K.

$$= \int_{(N(T)=k)} P(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u)dF(\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{k+1})$$

$$= \int_{(N(T)=k)} E[E[P(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u \\ |B(\sigma_{1}),...,B(\sigma_{k}))]]dF(\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{k+1})$$

$$\sim \int_{(N(T)=k)} E[E[\sum_{i=1}^{k} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u \\ |B(\sigma_{1}),...,B(\sigma_{k}))]]dF(\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{k+1})$$

$$= \int_{(N(T)=k)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u)dF(\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{k+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u, N(T) = k), \quad (26)$$

hence

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N(T)} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u, N(T) = k\right)$$

$$\sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u, N(T) = k)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u, N(T) \ge i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(\sigma_{i})} \ge u, \sigma_{i} \le T)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(s)} \ge u) dF_{\sigma_{i}}(s)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} P(X_{i}e^{-\Delta(s)} \ge u) dm(s). \quad (27)$$

Hence Theorem 3 is completed.

 $Proof \ of \ Theorem$ 4. We deal with the proof by induction. For k=1

$$\psi_{1}(u)$$

$$= P(u + \int_{0}^{\theta_{1}} e^{-\Delta(y)} dy - X_{1} e^{-\Delta(\theta_{1})} < 0)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\theta_{1}}(ds) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(X_{1} > u e^{\beta s + \sigma t} + e^{\beta s + \sigma t} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-\Delta(y)} dy) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2s}} dt$$

$$\sim \overline{F}(u) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \sigma s} F_{\theta_{1}}(ds) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{v^{2}}{2}} e^{-\alpha \sigma v \sqrt{s}} dv$$

$$= \overline{F}(u)q, \qquad (28)$$

we have used the fact that X_1 is in \mathcal{L} class. Assume that

$$\psi_n(u) \sim \overline{F}(u) \frac{q - q^{n+1}}{1 - q},\tag{29}$$

we should prove that (29) holds for n + 1. Denote

$$ue^{\Delta(\theta_1)} + c \int_0^{\theta_1} e^{\Delta(\theta_1) - \Delta(y)} dy$$

by $V(\theta_1, u)$. With total probability formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n+1}(u) &= \psi_{1}(u) + \overline{\psi_{1}}(u) \mathbb{E}[\psi_{n}(V - X_{1}, u) \mathbf{I}(V \ge X_{1})] \\
\sim &\psi_{1}(u) + \frac{q - q^{n+1}}{1 - q} \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{\theta_{1}}(ds) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{(0,s)}(dt) \\
&\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha rs - \alpha \sigma t} F_{X_{1}}(dy) \overline{F}(u) \\
\sim &(q + \frac{q - q^{n+1}}{1 - q} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \mu s} F_{\theta_{1}}(ds) \\
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{2}\sigma^{2}s} \Phi_{(0,1)}(dt)) \overline{F}(u) \\
&= &\frac{q - q^{n+2}}{1 - q} \overline{F}(u),
\end{aligned}$$
(30)

so by using induced assumption, Theorem 4 is finished.

We can see that, when $n = \infty$, this Theorem turns to

$$\psi_{\infty} \sim \frac{q}{1-q}\overline{F}(u),$$

which contains the result of Tang ([16]) as a special case.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 70471071 and Grant No. 70871104) and the planning project of National Educational Bureau of China(Grant No. 08JA630078).

References

- Asmussen, S.: Subexponential asymptotics for stochastic processes: extremal behavior, statonary distribution and first passage probabilities. The Annals of Appl. Prob. (8), 354-374 (1998)
- [2] Asmussen, S., Kalashnikov, V., Konstantinides, D., Klüppelberg, C., Tsitsiashvili, G.: A local limit theorem for random walk maxima with heavy tails. Statist. Probab. Lett. 56, no. 4, 399-404 (2002)
- [3] Cai, J.: Discrete time risk models under rates of interest. Prob. Eng. Inf. Sci. (16):309–324 (2002)
- [4] Dufrense F., and Gerber, H.: Risk theory for the compound Poisson process that is perturbed by diffusion[J]. Insurance: Mathematics Economics, (10):51– 59 (1991)

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2009 Vol II WCE 2009, July 1 - 3, 2009, London, U.K.

- [5] Embrechts, P., Veraverbeke, N.: Estimates for the probability of ruin with special emphasis on the possibility of large claims, Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 1: 55–72 (1982)
- [6] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C. and Mikosch, T., 1997. Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance. Springer, Berlin.
- [7] Fima, C. K.: Introduction to Stochastic Calculus with Applications. Imperial Cpllege Press, London (1998)
- [8] Jiang, T., Xu, C.: The Asymptotic Behavior of the Ruin Probability within a Random Horizon, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica, 20(2), 353-356 (2004)
- [9] Jiang, T.: Large-deviation probabilities for maxima of sums of subexponential random variables with application to finite-time ruin probabilities. Science In China (Series A), 51(7), 1147-1338 (2008)
- [10] Jiang, T.: Ruin probability for risky investment of insurance capital. Journal of Systems Engineering, 23(2), 148-153 (2008)
- [11] Karatzas, I., Shreve, S.: Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Springer, Berlin (1988)
- [12] Klüppelberg C., Stadtmuller, U.: Ruin probabilities in the presence of heavy-tails and interest rates. Scand Actuar J., 1:49-58 (1998)
- [13] Konstantinides, D. G., Tang, Q.: Tsitsiashvili, G.Sh.: Estimates for the ruin probability in the classical risk model with constant interest force in the presence of heavy tails. Insurance Math. Econom. 31(3):447-460 (2002)
- [14] Tang, Q.: Extremal Values of Risk Processes for Insurance and Finance: with Special Emphasis on the Possibility of Large Claims. Doctoral thesis of University of Science and Technology of China (2001)
- [15] Tang, Q.: The ruin probability of a discrete time risk model under constant interest rate with heavy tails. Scand. Actuar. J. (3):229–240 (2004)
- [16] Tang, Q.: Asymptotic ruin probabilities of the renewal model with constant interest force and regular variation, Scand Actuar J., (1):1–5 (2005a)
- [17] Tang, Q.: The finite time ruin probability of the compound Poisson model with constant interest force. J. Appl. Probab. 42(3), 608–619 (2005b)
- [18] Resnick, S. I., Willekens, E.: Moving averages with random coefficients and random coefficient autoregressive models. Comm Statist Stochastic Models, 7(4):511–525 (1991)
- [19] Ross, S.: Stochastic Processes. Wiley, New York (1983)

- [20] Veraverbeke, N.: Asymptotic estimates for the probability of ruin in a Poisson model with diffusion. Insurance Math. Econom. 13(1), 57–62 (1993)
- [21] Yang, H., Zhang, L.: Martingale method for ruin probability in an autoregressive model with constant interest rate.Prob. Eng. Inf. Sci.(17):183–198 (2003)