Development of RRR Type Anthropomorphic Shoulder Joint Model and its Dynamics

Anil Kumar Gillawat¹, Hemant J. Nagarsheth², Mansi Nagarsheth³, H.D. Desai⁴

Abstract—The authors have developed a shoulder joint model considering RRR type serial manipulator. The servo motors are placed in such a way that they are dynamically balanced. For estimating the torques for each motor L-E method is employed. The joint architecture developed depicts circumduction, pronation and supination and abduction and adduction. Torque values are obtained both analytically and practically. Graphs are plotted and the results obtained are supportive to consider the joint for rehabilitation.

Index Terms—DOF, Revolute, Shoulder, Dynamics, Circumduction, Pronation, Supination, Abduction, Adduction

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents the work carried out by the authors in developing a model of an anthropomorphic shoulder joint by using three servo motors arranged as RRR-serial jointed arm. The 3 Dimensional, 3 DOF model with base motor as M_1 driving a platform accommodating motors M_2 and M_3 is depicted in Fig. 1.



Fig 1 RRR-Serial Manipulator for Shoulder

Manuscript received 7th March 2012; revised 16th April 2012.

Anil Kumar Gillawat is pursuing his PhD in Mechanical Engineering Department, SVNIT, Surat, India (email: g.anilkumar@med.svnit.ac.in).

Dr. Hemant J. Nagarsheth is Dean and Professor in Mechanical Engineering Department, SVNIT, Surat, India (Mb. +919824117447, email: hjn@med.svnit.ac.in).

H. D. Desai is Professor in Mechanical Engineering Department, SVNIT, Surat, India (Mb. +919924900778, email: hdd@med.svnit.ac.in).

Dr. Mansi Nagarsheth, MD (Physiology) is a tutor in Department of Physiology, Govt. Medical College, Surat, India (phone: +912612257571)

ISBN: 978-988-19252-2-0 ISSN: 2078-0958 (Print); ISSN: 2078-0966 (Online) The rotation of the motors sequentially represents circumduction, pronation and supination and abduction and adduction of the human arm motion. Considering the above motions the motor positions have been decided and the dynamic equations are formulated and torques are estimated critically using L-E method. The model interface is developed for the shoulder movements and programmed.

Many researchers have carried out work related to kinematics and dynamics of human shoulder mechanism. Few of them are presented here.

K. T. Mohamed et. al.^[2] proposed a generalized three dimensional dynamic model of the human shoulder complex and used MATLAB optimization toolbox for the model to compute the load sharing between the muscles, the bones and the ligaments of the shoulder region at any position under any loading conditions. B. Hannaford et. al.^[3] designed, constructed, and tested a replica of the human arm actuated by McKibben pneumatic artificial muscles, and controlled by a digital signal processing system designed to simulate spinal neural networks in real time. M. E. Rosheim^[4] developed the upper body humanoid robot using the dexterous arm that has 5 DOF on the shoulder, 1 DOF on the elbow, and 3 DOF on the wrist. Two categories of shoulder joints are considered for analysis: (i) open loop chain system, and (ii) closed loop chain system. J. Lenarcic et. al.^[5] presented a mechanical design with parallel mechanism, with four driven legs, for a humanoid robotic shoulder complex to support the load of the arm which can achieve the desired arm mobility and reachability. J. Lenarcic^[6] presented a parallel mechanism humanoid shoulder girdle for humanoid robotic shoulder complex and carried out the kinematics of humanoid humeral pointing performed by this shoulder complex. E. Shammas et. al.^[7] presented a mechanical design, for a 3 DOF joint mechanism, optimized for strength, compactness and accuracy, for use in robotic devices, and found out that (a) the force produced by the joint increases in magnitude as the joint has a higher bending angle measured from the vertical. i.e., the joint can produce more force as it bends away from the vertical to counteract the additional loads due to gravity, and (b) the torque produced at the joints end-effector is uniform throughout the entire work space due to the direct control of the twisting degree-of-freedom. N. Klopcar et. al.^[8] calculated the arm-reachable workspace volume and graphics, and compared the arm's workspaces during a Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2012 Vol III WCE 2012, July 4 - 6, 2012, London, U.K.

patient's shoulder treatment. S. J. Ball^[9] designed and constructed a prototype for upper-limb of exoskeleton robot.

S. Staicu^[10] developed dynamic problem for 3-RRR Spherical Parallel Mechanism using the principle of virtual powers. W. Chen et. al.^[11] proposed a nine degree-offreedom exoskeleton rehabilitation based on kinematic analysis of movement of shoulder complex, with six degreeof-freedom shoulder actuation mechanism. S. Sen et. al.^[12] proposed a design of a computer model which simulates the movements of the shoulder joint for a specific subject and analysis the three-dimensional forces and torques produced at that joint during movement.

Presently, the authors have designed, developed and tested RRR-serial manipulator for anthropomorphic shoulder joint in a view to minimise the load and torque for the motors. Lagrange-Euler (L-E) approach is used to derive dynamic equations of motions estimating torque.

Steps of Equations of Motion:

- 1. To identify the generalized coordinates.
- 2. Computing the Kinetic Energy, KE, as a function of θ'_i s and $\dot{\theta}'_i$ s.
- 3. Computing the Potential Energy, PE, as a function of θ_i 's.
- 4. Computing Lagrange Function, L = KE PE, which is a function of θ_i 's and $\dot{\theta}_i$'s.
- 5. Computing $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_i} \right)$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i}$
- 6. Computing generalized force/Torque

$$\tau_{i} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{i}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{KE}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{i}}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathrm{KE}}{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{i}}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{PE}}{\partial \theta_{\mathrm{i}}}$$

Since, $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial PE}{\partial \dot{\theta}_i} \right) = 0$

II. TORQUE EQUATIONS FOR THE SETUP

The torque equations are developed considering mass M attached at the end of link 3 of the model as shown in Fig. 2. The motors can be rotated at angles θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 respectively. The inertias are calculated as a function $f(M, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ with fixed link lengths 60 mm, 50mm and 165 mm respectively and mass of each motor as 125 grams.

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= 19.607 + 42.25M + 272.25M \times \cos^2\theta_3 \sin^2\theta_2 \\ &+ 1.819 \times \sin^2\theta_3 \cos^2\theta_2 \, \text{kgcm}^2 \end{split}$$

$$I_2 = 4.121 + 1.82 \times \cos^2 \theta_3 + (272.25 \times \cos^2 \theta_3 + 25) M \text{ kgcm}^2$$

$$I_3 = 2.426 + 272.25 M \text{ kgcm}^2$$

ISBN: 978-988-19252-2-0 ISSN: 2078-0958 (Print); ISSN: 2078-0966 (Online)



Fig 2 Constructed RRR- serial manipulator model

Angular velocity and Kinetic Energy due to linear velocity is expressed as

$$\mathrm{KE} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{I}\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{m}\mathrm{V}^2$$

Hence, KE for each motor is expressed as

$$KE_{1} = \frac{1}{2}I_{1}\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(19.607 + 42.25M + 272.25M)$$
$$\times \cos^{2}\theta_{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{2} + 1.819$$
$$\times \sin^{2}\theta_{3}\cos^{2}\theta_{2})\omega_{1}^{2} \times 10^{-4} J$$

$$\begin{split} \text{KE}_2 &= (4.121 + 1.82 \times \cos^2 \theta_3 \\ &\quad + (272.25 \times \cos^2 \theta_3 + 25) \times \text{M}) \omega_2^2 \\ &\quad \times 10^{-4} \text{ J} \end{split}$$

 $KE_3 = (2.426 + 272.25M)\omega_3^2 \times 10^{-4} J$

Potential Energy is defined as the energy stored due to position and is given by

$$PE = mgr$$

Hence, PE for each motor is expressed as

$$PE_1 = (216.33 + 16186.5M)(1 - \cos \theta_1) \times 10^{-4}$$

$$PE_2 = (216.33 + 16186.5M)(1 - \cos \theta_1) \times 10^{-4}$$

$$PE_3 = (216.33 + 16186.5M)(1 - \cos \theta_1)(1 - \cos \theta_3) \times 10^{-4}$$

Lagrange Function is defined as

$$L = KE - PE$$

Torque Equations

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2012 Vol III WCE 2012, July 4 - 6, 2012, London, U.K.

$$\tau_{i} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{i}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{i}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial KE}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{i}} \right) - \frac{\partial KE}{\partial \theta_{i}} + \frac{\partial PE}{\partial \theta_{i}}$$
$$\tau_{i} = I_{i} \alpha_{i} + \dot{I}_{i} \omega_{i} + mg\dot{r}$$

The final equations of torque for each motor are computed and given below

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \left[(19.607 + 42.25M + 272.25M \times \cos^2 \theta_3 \sin^2 \theta_2 \\ &+ 1.819 \times \sin^2 \theta_3 \cos^2 \theta_2) \ddot{\theta}_1 + 272.25M \\ &\times \left(- \dot{\theta}_3 \sin 2\theta_3 \sin^2 \theta_2 \\ &+ \dot{\theta}_2 \sin 2\theta_2 \cos^2 \theta_3 \right) \dot{\theta}_1 \\ &+ 1.819 (\dot{\theta}_3 \sin 2\theta_3 \cos^2 \theta_2 \\ &+ \dot{\theta}_2 \sin 2\theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3) \dot{\theta}_1 \\ &+ (216.33 + 16186.5M) \sin \theta_1 \right] \times 10^{-4}N \\ &- m \\ \tau_2 &= \left[(4.121 + 1.82 \times \cos^2 \theta_3 + (272.25 \times \cos^2 \theta_3 + 25) \right] \\ &\times M) \ddot{\theta}_1 = (1.82 + 272.25M) \sin 2\theta_1 \end{aligned}$$

× M)
$$\ddot{\theta}_2$$
 - (1.82 + 272.25M) sin 2 θ_3
× $\dot{\theta}_2 \dot{\theta}_3$ + (216.33 + 16186.5M) sin θ_1]
× 10⁻⁴N - m

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3 &= \left[(4.426 + 272.25M) \ddot{\theta}_3 \\ &+ (216.33 \\ &+ 16186.5M) (\sin \theta_1 (1 \\ &- \cos \theta_3)) (\sin \theta_3 (1 - \cos \theta_1)) \right] \\ &\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{N} - \mathrm{m} \end{aligned}$$

III. RESULTS

The model is tested by interfacing it with '*Xinterface*' giving sequential rotations to each motor as well as for rotation of three motors simultaneously. Graphs of torque versus time are plotted for each of the above rotation using MATLAB as tool.

Case 1: Considering only motor 1 responsible for circumduction (i.e. only motor 1 undergoes rotation and motor 2 and motor 3 are disconnected), the torque developed by each motor w.r.t. time is shown in Fig. 3.

The motor 1 shows a steady torque rise with its peak value of torque 0.1836 N-m at time t = 5.5 seconds for quarter of the rotation due to load at the end of link 3 and in the next quarter, it drops to zero torque at time t = 10 seconds. The torque drops to maximum value with negative value at time t = 14.5 seconds and back to zero torque at time t = 19 seconds. It starts rising again at completion of one revolution following sinusoidal pattern. This means that the motor behaves in a normal manner related to servo motor architecture even when the mass is increased.

The trend of torque for motor 2 is same to that of motor 1 whereas the motor 3 has zero torque.



Fig 3 Only motor 1 is rotating and motor 2 and motor 3 are disconnected

Case 2: Considering motor 2 responsible for pronation and supination (i.e. only motor 2 undergoes rotation and the remaining motors (motor 1 and motor 3) are disconnected, it is observed that the torque shoots sharply upto a value of 3.11×10^{-4} N-m at time t = 2 seconds (as shown in Fig. 4) and the drops to 6.5×10^{-5} N-m at t= 19 seconds. The result is same to that of R. Shadmehr and M. A. Arbib^[1].



Fig 4 Only motor 2 is rotating and motor 1 and motor 3 are disconnected

But the torque for motor 1 and motor 3 remains almost zero, i.e. no torque is required to balance the torque for motor 2 as it is very small.

Case 3: Considering only motor 3 responsible for abduction and adduction (i.e. only motor 3 undergoes rotation and motor 1 and motor 2 are disconnected), the trend of the torque remains same for motor 3 as that of motor 2 in case 2.

Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2012 Vol III WCE 2012, July 4 - 6, 2012, London, U.K.



Fig 5 Only motor 3 is rotating and motor 1 and motor 2 are disconnected

Case 4: When only motors 1 & 2 are rotating and motor 3 is disconnected, the trend for torques is same to that depicted in case 1 as shown in Fig. 6. The effect of rotation of motor 2 (as shown in case 2), is negligible as compared to torque of motor 1. Hence, the resultant torque of each motor has no effect of rotation of motor 2.



Fig 6 When only motors 1 & 2 are rotating and motor 3 is disconnected

Case 5: When only motors 1 & 3 are rotating and motor 2 is disconnected, motor 1 and motor 2 has same trend for torque as depicted in case 1, as shown in Fig. 7, with maximum value of torque at time t = 5.5 seconds and t = 14.5 seconds with a value 0.1836 N-m and zero torque at time t = 10 seconds. Rotation of motor 3 has to balance the generated torques of motor 1 & motor 2. The trend of graphs for torque of motor 3 is maximum at time t = 7.0 seconds and 13.0 seconds with a maximum value 0.4766 N-m (which is almost equal to sum of torque of motor 1 and motor 2) and zero torque at time t = 10 seconds.



Fig 7 When only motors 1 & 3 are rotating and motor 2 is disconnected

Case 6: When only motors 2 & 3 are rotating and motor 1 is disconnected, the trend for motor 2 has double frequency with increasing peak values for torques (i.e. 2.56×10^{-4} N-m, 3.57×10^{-4} N-m, 3.54×10^{-4} N-m) at time t= 1.5 seconds, 8.0 seconds and 17.0 seconds and minimum values (i.e. 8.2×10^{-5} N-m and 2.47×10^{-4} N-m at time t= 4.0 seconds and 14.5 seconds), as shown in Fig. 8. The torque for motor 2 has the same trend as that depicted in case 2 with maximum value of torque (i.e. 2.59×10^{-4} N-m) at time t = 2.0 seconds. The motor 1 has zero torque as it is not rotating. The rotation of both motors motor 2 and motor 3 has negligible effect on motor 1.



Fig 8 When only motors 2 & 3 are rotating and motor 1 is disconnected

Case 7: When all motors 1, 2 & 3 are rotating, the trend for torques depicted is same to the torques in case 5. The effect of rotation of motor 2 has negligible effect on the trend depicted in case 5, as shown in Fig. 9.



Fig 9 When all motors 1, 2 & 3 are rotating

IV. CONCLUSION

From the graphs of the torque obtained for each motor separately and the combinations possible, it is observed that the maximum torque is encountered by motor 3, whereas, motor 2 and motor 1, which make the base of the arrangement, encounters minimum torque (discussed at length in the results). The arrangement of the motors is suitable for the working of the shoulder joint and can be thought of using it for rehabilitation.

ABBREVIATIONS

m
n

- R : Revolute
- I_i : Moment of Inertia at ith motor
- M : Mass attached at the end of link 3
- $KE_i \quad : \quad Kinetic \ Energy \ of \ i^{th} \ link$
- PE_i : Potential Energy of ith link
- θ_i : Angle of rotation of ith link
- T : Time of rotation
- $\dot{\theta}_{i}$: Time derivative of angle θ_{i}
- $\ddot{\theta}_i$: Double time derivative of angle θ_i
- τ_i : Torque of ith motor

REFERENCES

- R. Shadmehr and M.A. Arbib, "A mathematical analysis of the forcestiffness characteristics of muscles in control of a single joint system", *Biological Cybemetics*, vol. 66, pp. 463-477, 1992.
- [2] K. T. Mohamed, S. S. Asfour, M. A. Moustafa and H. A. Elgamal, "A Computerized Dynamic Biomechanical Model of the Human Shoulder Complex", *Computers and Industrial Engineering, Elsevier*, vol 31, No. 1/2, pp. 503 - 506,1996.
- [3] B. Hannaford, J. M. Winters, C-P. Chou and P-H. Marbot, 1995, "The Anthroform Biorobotic Arm: A system for the study of spinal circuits", *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, vol 23, pp. 399-408, March 1995.
- [4] M. E. Rosheim, "Robot Evolution: The Development of Anthrobotics", New York, Wiley, 1994.
- [5] J. Lenarcic, M. Stanisic and V. Parenti-Castelli, "Kinematic design of a humanoid robotic shoulder complex", in *Proc. of the 2000, IEEE*

International Conference on Robotics & Automation, San Francisco, CA, April 2000, pp. 27-32.

- [6] J. Lenarcic and M. Stanisic, "A humanoid shoulder complex and the humeral pointing kinematics", *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 499-506, June 2003.
- [7] E. Shammas, A. Wolf and H. Choset, "Three degrees-of-freedom joint for spatial hyper-redundant robots", *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, vol. 41, pp. 170–190, 2006.
- [8] N. Klopcar, M. Tomsic and J. Lenarcic, "A kinematic model of the shoulder complex to evaluate the arm-reachable workspace", *Journal* of Biomechanics, vol. 40, pp. 86–91, 2007.
- [9] S. J. Ball, I. E. Brown and S. H. Scott, "A planar 3DOF robotic exoskeleton for rehabilitation and assessment", in *Proc. of the 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS*, Cité Internationale, Lyon, France, August 23-26, 2007, pp. 4024-4027.
- [10] S. Staicu, "Dynamics of a 3-RRR Spherical Parallel Mechanism Based on Principle of Virtual Powers", in 12thIFToMM World Congress, Besançon (France), 2007.
- [11] W. Chen, C. Xiong, R. Sun and Xiaolin Huang, "On the Design of Exoskeleton Rehabilitation Robot with Ergonomic Shoulder Actuation Mechanism", in *Second International Conference, ICIRA* 2009, Intelligent Robotics and Applications, Singapore, December 16-18.
- [12] S. Sen, R. Abboud, W. Wang, D. Ming, B. Wan, Y. Liao and Q. Gong, "A motion simulation and biomechanical analysis of the shoulder joint using a whole human model", in 4thInternational Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Informatics (BMEI), Inst. Motion Anal. & Res., Univ. of Dundee, Dundee, UK, 2011, pp. 2322 2326.